

Multi-agent systems applied for energy systems integration: State-of-the-art smart-microgrids applications and trends

Vitor N. Coelho^{a,*}, Frederico G. Guimarães^b, Igor M. Coelho^c, Miri Weiss
Cohen^d, Agnaldo J. R. Reis^e

^a*Graduate Program in Electrical Engineering, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil*

^b*Department of Electrical Engineering, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil*

^c*Department of Computer Science, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil*

^d*Department of Software Engineering, ORT Braude College of Engineering, Karmiel, Israel*

^e*Department of Control and Automation Engineering, Universidade Federal de Ouro Preto, Ouro Preto, Brazil*

Abstract

Smart-microgrid is a potential solution being studied for future distributed generation systems. Due to the distributed topology of the emerging Smart Grid (SG) systems, different paradigms are quoted for integrating the new emerging components and communication between existing ones. Multi-Agent Systems (MAS) paradigm have been used as an useful tool for a widely range of distinct applications. In this paper, the major issues and challenges in MAS and smart-microgrids are discussed. A review of state-of-the-art applications and trends is presented.

Keywords: Multi-Agent Systems, Smart Grid, Microgrid, Smart-Microgrids, Integrated Energy Systems

1. Introduction

1 Smart Grid (SG) is considered as the future of power grid capable of man-
2 aging production, transmission and electricity distribution. This task has been
3 mainly provided Information and Communication Technologies (ICT), Distributed
4 Systems (DG) and Artificial Intelligence (AI). Due to the need of consistently
5 adapting and integrating new tools to the current grid, SG has become a major

Passado ou
presente? Eu
sugiro: These
tasks have
been carried
out by means
of ... ou
provided by ...

*Corresponding author. Address: Department of Electrical Engineering, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, 31270-010, Brazil. Tel + 55 31 35514407. Fax + 55 31 35514407.

Email address: vncoelho@ufmg.br, vncoelho@gmail.com (Vitor N. Coelho)

challenge for developed and developing nations in both research and utilization aspects [1]. Investing in SG infrastructure is a key enabler for public goods, such as decarbonisation and energy security [2]. SG are expected to play an important role in the resolution of many issues of current power grid systems [3]. The latter will be now composed of a mesh of networked Microgrid (MG) collaborating to deliver electricity to consumers and, eventually, assisting stand-alone systems [4].

Literature works have been demonstrating the technical and economical feasibility of greener generation technologies based on wind, solar, hydrogen and hydro power. Integrating these technologies has become a priority in MG [5], not only because of insertion of these Renewable Energy Resources (RER) but also because extra elements have been required [6]: sensor and metering network; network nodes with computation capabilities; switches or actuators that allow the grid setup to be changed and the capability of plug in or plug out new devices. Future MG may equip customers with distributed energy generation and storage systems that can change their overall demand behavior, promoting the development of several smart-microgrids. These tools will provide users the ability of taking profit of its generated energy as an important economic factor [7] or even aiding them to turn into stand-alone systems and self-sustainable users. Providing autonomous assistance in order to assist complex decision making tasks will be required by an increasing number of MG users.

Coordination and control of these new emerging grid components remains a great challenge [8]. Advanced networking, as well as ICT, have been motivating the integration of the conventional power grid in smarter ways [9], inspiring the use of distributed Multi-Agent Systems (MAS). Autonomous control of SG systems allows placing additional DGs without reengineering the whole system, and using it in the peer-to-peer model eliminates the requirement of a complex central controller and associated telecommunication facilities [10]. Logenthiran, Srinivasan & Wong underscored that MAS is one of the fastest growing domains in agent oriented technology which deals with autonomous decision modeling. Moreover, it has been showing to be crucial in SG operations [11]. MAS has spread to diverse SG applications in the field of power systems restoration, security and protection, control, monitoring, energy storage and maintenance scheduling, and electric power market simulation [12]. The need to integrate both fields of knowledge, MAS and SG, has increased extensively around the world in the recent years. Figure 1 shows the number of publications relating MAS and SG in the Scopus database, performed on April 9th, 2015.

In particular, the MAS paradigm can be adapted to model, control, manage or test the operation of MG. The latter had become a basic and fundamental infrastructure in the SG environment and have been receiving attention in recent literature works [5], being envisioned as a possible future energy system archetype [13]. As noticed by Jiayi, Chuanwen & Rong [14], MAS technology can be applied over it in order to assist different operational problems, such as: connecting small Distributed Energy Resources (DER) units, coordinating several local decisions; providing tools for MG in order to operate in a liberalized market of energy trade; promoting stability and high quality energy for its local




Figure 1: Number of papers involving MAS and SG

environment. With MAS, each control unit in a MG (e.g., DG, DS, or load controller) is designed as an agent [15], and its operation is determined by devices interaction through intelligent decision making process and collaborations of these agents.

MG systems aggregate many DER and loads together as an autonomous entity [16]. Furthermore, additional components added by consumers, or sometimes already presented and just integrated to the SG, impose new frontiers for MG control and management. For example, Plug-in Electric Vehicles (PEV) [17] are being integrated to the power grid (specially with the rise of smart charging parks, namely SmartPark [18]), imposing new grid constraints, requirements and goals, settled by its users. Coordination and integration of DER in MG systems have been the focuses of different researches and remain a complex task [19]. This integration may cause lack of efficient control and problems in stability, reliability, power quality and security over MG. Thus, as emphasized by Agrawal & Arvind [20], MAS seems to have appealing features meeting operation, control requirements and goals balance of the entities that integrate the MG systems. Figure 2 shows the number of publications linking MAS and MG.




Figure 2: Number of papers involving MAS and MG

This field has not been researched only by academics, patents have been

70 requested related to MAS applications [21, 22]. Goldsmith [21] registered a
 71 patent, deposited in the end of 2011, for a MG encompassing a geographic range
 72 of less than 300 square miles, comprising less than five thousand different power
 73 sources and less than one hundred thousand different loads. They described
 74 a computing architecture that facilitates autonomously controlling operations
 75 of a MG. Encompassing a computing device being a portion of a decentralized,
 76 distributed network, wherein computing devices in the decentralized, distributed
 77 network perform computations using MAS technologies.

78 As can be seen, many works in the literature adopt an agent based solution
 79 to implement intelligence communication and optimization over the emerging
 80 smart electric grids. However, Sanz, Rodrigues, Soler & Gallejo [23] pointed
 81 some misunderstandings in the way agent based systems are being applied to
 82 MG systems, such as: consideration of one instance of each agent type; agents
 83 without really decision capabilities; components distribution but only decen-
 84 tralization; agent interaction is a client-server one instead of peer-to-peer. On
 85 the other hand, new research fields involving MAS are evolving from SG ap-
 86 plications, Figure 3 shows a hybrid multi-agent control model, so-called HAM,
 87 proposed by Dou et al. [15].




Figure 3: Relations between hybrid multi-agent control model (HAM) and hierarchical control framework (HCF). [15]

88 Integrating these emerging hybrid tools in order to assist SG viability is the
 89 most important task tackled by the researchers. Our current work will discuss
 90 about what has been done in this field with a main focus on MAS state-of-the-art
 91 applications over MG. We will present some trends and prospectives envisioned
 92 by us and also extracted from the literature.

93 Section 2 describes applications related to MAS and coordination, control
 94 and management of SG components. Section 3 presents an overview of MAS
 95 and smart-microgrid systems. Decentralized approaches for MG operation and
 96 management are presented in Section 3.1. Section 3.2 focuses on MAS and MG
 97 in the context of promoting SG security and grid stability. The of state-of-the-
 98 art regarding to stand-alone MG managed by MAS is explored in Section 3.3.
 99 Energy storage systems are discussed in Section 3.4, demand control system are
 100 presented in Section 3.5, Section 3.6 reviews some applications related to Power
 101 Distribution System Reconfiguration (PDSR), focusing on service reconfigura-

tion problems. Section 4 introduces some future applications expected between MAS and the field of Smart-Microgrids. Finally, some conclusions are drawn in Section 5.

2. MAS and SG

The term Smart Grid has been more oriented to the entire electrical system including generation, transmission and distribution [24]. Regarding the distribution system, several efforts target the increase of manageability and efficiency by dividing the smart distribution grid into sub-systems. Figure 4 presents a future vision of a SG, adapted from European Commission report on SG [25].



Figure 4: Adaptation from the Future Network Vision of European Commission report on SG [25]

Different sub-systems will compose the future SG, as can be imagined through Figure 4. These sub-systems are called “Smart-Microgrids”, or just “Microgrids”, and consist of energy consumers and producers at a small scale, which are able to manage themselves, being self-sustainable or in stand-alone state. The environment depicted involve different components idealized for the future power grids, such as: Hydro power stations (medium and small); Low emission power plants; Solar power plant; Biomass; Wave energy generation (a brief view of these last five RER can be seen in Ellabban, Abu-Rub & Blaabjerg [26]); Offshore wind farms [27]; Residential photovoltaic generation; Batteries bank (Battery Energy Storage System [28], Compressed Air Energy Storage systems [29], Flywheels [30], Thermal Energy Storage [31], Pumped-storage hydroelectricity [32], Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage [33]); PEVs [34]; Distribution and management: Transformers, HVDC link, underground systems and power transmission, control and communication center and satellites. Small wind turbine on buildings rooftops [35] and Smart Parks [36] could be also envisioned for this future system.

The choice of RER by the future power grid is being expected, and this growth is also motivated because of the need of reducing environmental impacts, as emissions of greenhouse gases [37, 38]. The potential for RER is growing quick and it is expected that it will, in principle, exponentially exceed the world’s energy demand [26]. SG infrastructure should also provide new opportunities for the grid and its customers for information exchange regarding real-time electricity rates and demand profiles [39]. The massive insertion of these RER motivates the development of management systems able to integrate these DER to the SG.

Studies in the field of DER management usually request the inclusion of criterion such as fault tolerance and adaptability. Lagorse, Paire & Miraoui [40] reported that the designer of the components of these systems generally knows each agent response separately. Centralized management system focuses its attention solely on the overall reaction of the system. Thus, the use of a paradigm based on MAS has been showing to be reasonable.

Brown [41] emphasized bidirectional communication between devices as the most important characteristic for integrating new DER into the energy systems. From this communication process and standards (for example IEC61850, as can be seen in Figure 7, or ZigBee based protocols [42]), a process of decision making is taken by different SG components. In this sense, the convenience for new MAS applications using agent peer-to-peer interaction instead of client-server will face an open field in the next years. The migration to this new business model and the implementation of the SG has as its starting point the installation of Smart Meters (SM) [43], which improve access to electricity consumption information, and sensors in residences or commercial buildings. SM are a key enabler for communication between SG devices.

The IEEE Power and Energy Society addressed the existence of agent research in the rising SG through two reports. McArthur et al. [12] advocated the interest in investing in agent technology for Power Grids, concluding that a MAS could be used either as a way of building robust and flexible hardware/software systems or as a modeling approach. A second report done by McArthur et al. [44] emphasized techniques and tools that could allow engineers to use MAS. They also recognized the Java Agent Development Framework (JADE) [45], which is a FIPA (The Foundation for Intelligent Physical Agents) standard-based MAS framework supporting multi-agent development with facilities of agent management, as a main agent platform implementation (which is being extensively used in the literature [46, 47]). MAS guidelines implementations were discussed and claimed by Sanz, Rodrigues, Soler & Gallejo [23].

A common consensus is that intelligence over MAS can be implemented by the incorporation of known AI techniques, such as: Evolutionary Computing, Population based and trajectory search Metaheuristics, Neural networks, Multi/Many-Objective Optimization techniques, among others. MAS are used as distributed AI tools that, differently from classical AI, underpins its research on the possibility of learning from social phenomena [48]. They are often cited as the evolution of distributed control [49], where two or more physical or virtual (software) entities interact with each other, capable of tackling sub-problems

173 in order to reduce complexity of the main problem. MAS have been applied
 174 to regulate, coordinate and control SG, as will be presented throughout this
 175 work. The involved entities are namely agents. Some common properties found
 176 over these agents can be found in several MAS applications in the field of SG
 177 [50, 15, 49]. An interesting distributed cognitive agent modeling architecture
 178 was reported by Velik & Nicolay [51], even it was not applied into a MAS many
 179 characteristic applicable in distributed models can be extracted from their work.
 180 A flowchart can be seen reproduced in Figure 5, and some properties of MAS
 181 and its agents are highlighted below:

- 182 • Flexibility – the MAS structure allows advanced plug-and-play capabilities
 183 and adaptively adjust the control of MG according to actual conditions and
 184 targets. Agents are able to present self-adaptive behavior in accordance to
 185 the environment and act accordingly in order to accomplish their personal
 186 goals (mono or multi objective functions).
- 187 • Fault-tolerance – if one agent fails, the role system remains communicating
 188 and able to adapt its new states admitting previous established rules and
 189 behaviors. Thus, control of individual DGs is robust to disturbances and
 190 faults in the context of MG.
- 191 • Autonomy – the ability to operate in order to attend specific and individ-
 192 ual objective functions and also being guided by a global communitarian
 193 goal, without constant guidance from the user side.
- 194 • Responsiveness: collect environment information, data base (Figure 5)
 195 or real-time data acquisition, and completing a decision making process
 196 provide agents the ability to respond to changes.
- 197 • Pro-activeness – the ability to reason and initiate its own actions in order
 198 to meet its specific objectives, sometime guided by its own beliefs (i. e.,
 199 by processing information from deterministic or probabilistic forecasts [52,
 200 53, 54]).
- 201 • Social ability – the ability to bargain, collaborate, compete and exchange
 202 knowledge with other virtual or physical agents.
- 203 • Scalability – extend and expand the functions of a MAS based on SG
 204 users’ needs is feasible and suitable.

205 3. MAS and Smart-Microgrids

206 Making electricity grids smarter is a challenging, long-term, and ambitious
 207 process. There is a broad consensus of the necessity for smarter microgrids;
 208 yet, stakeholders also associated a range of risks and barriers such as lack of
 209 investment, disengaged consumers, complexity and data privacy with measures
 210 to make the grid smarter. They are implicit motivating the growth of MG,




Figure 5: Agent architecture. [50]

211 since stakeholders felt many smart energy system functions are more likely to be
 212 implemented in urban areas [55]. Rendering the situation even more complex,
 213 more people are expected to still keep moving to urban areas, especially in
 214 developing countries [56].

215 Complex smart-microgrid environments are been quoted for RER implemen-
 216 tation, such as green roofs [57]. Tabrizi, Whale, Lyons & Urmee [35] pointed
 217 out that installation of small wind turbines in rooftops is not only feasible but
 218 also suggested by architects and project developers. This choice is a potential
 219 innovative way for incorporating sustainable energy generation into building de-
 220 sign. Efforts in the context of improving wind energy production in urban areas
 221 were also pointed out by Ishugah, Li, Wang & Kiplagat [58], and also technical
 222 works aimed at improving its use in turbulent urban wind environment [59].
 223 Sarralde, Quinn, Wiesmann & Steemers[60] discussed solar energy and urban
 224 morphology. Scenarios for increasing the renewable energy potential of 4718
 225 neighborhoods in London were analyzed.

226 As can be seen, incorporation of RER into urban and everyday scenarios has
 227 been investigated. The task of making this decentralized MG smarter involve
 228 several challenges and sub-problems, some of them are being tackled by the use
 229 of MAS and will be discussed from now on.

230 3.1. Smart-microgrid operation and management

231 Effective energy management is a key to achieve vital efficiency benefits
 232 [61]. Sanz, Rodrigues, Soler & Gallejo [23] suggested a guidelines to be followed
 233 when designing an agent system to manage MG. An illustrative example using
 234 the agent technology IDAPS [62], Intelligent Distributed Autonomous Power
 235 Systems, to MG was adapted and evaluated trough an alternative design, called

INGENIAS IDAPS or I2DAPS. INGENIAS [63] is an Agent Oriented Methodology and it is supported by the INGENIAS Development Kit.

A pioneer research done by Dimeas & Hatziargyriou [64] proposed optimization tools for internal operation MG interacting with the energy market. Their approach took use of three distributed types of distributed controller, as exemplified in Figure 6, and modeled four kinds of agents: production agent, consumption agent, power system agent and a coordinating agent. Every DER was designed for optimizing its own objectives while taking into account the overall benefit through an auction algorithm, whereas production units could accept or decline a load offer.




Figure 6: MG control based on three decentralized controllers. [64].

A distributed agent based solution to energy management was presented for hybrid energy generation system in Jun, Junfeng, Jie & Ngan [65]. A MG composed of a train station, wind power plant and district was investigated in Kuznetsova, Li, Ruiz & Zio [66]. An optimization tool was applied to solve goal-directed actions planning of each agent, based on robust optimization concepts. Their framework showed to be able to improve system reliability and decreases power imbalances.

Other approaches focused on the MG management issue ensuring energy supply with high security and quality control, as recently done by Dou & Liu [15].

3.2. MG security and stability

Agrawal & Arvind [20] defined that MG controls should insure connectivity to the main grid or self-isolation. The transition between these two states should happen, in a rapid and seamless fashion. The role of switching a MG between island mode to grid-connected mode (vice-versa) is a challenge matter and its

feasibility was already evaluated through applications of related to hierarchical MAS [67, 68].

The problem of archiving a stable frequency spectrum in MG under islanded operation won particular attention recently [69]. Maintain a specific frequency in the islanded mode as an important requirement, the control of DGs' output and charge action of DSs are used in supply surplus conditions and load-shedding and discharge action of DSs are used in supply shortage conditions. Kim, Kinoshita, Lim & Kim [70] proposed a MAS for load-shedding, which is intentional reduction of electricity use, is a critical problem in islanded MG operation based on the MAS.

A multi-agent based protection framework was proposed to enhance the stability of smart grids in Rahman, Mahmud, Pota & Hossain [71]. In Rosa, Silva & Miranda [72], a MAS technology-based platform was considered as potential applications in management and simulation processes for power grids. Physical grid parameters and network constraints that can be abstracted to MG were considered by the last two mentioned works.

3.3. Stand-alone smart-microgrids

Stand-alone MGs have been considered as an efficient way being standardized for providing electricity in remote areas. Generally composed with RER and battery storage, this systems are playing an important role in solving power supply problems in remote areas such as islands [73].

In the example presented in Figure 7, Zhao, Xue, Zhang, Wang & Zhao [4] defined seven types of agents for a stand-alone PV-small hydro hybrid MG. In their proposal, a small-hydro generation plant is controlled by the Frequency regulation agent (FRA), diesel generators are controlled by the Dispatchable DG agent (DDA), the PV system is controlled by the Intermittent DG agent (IDA), the BESS is controlled by the Energy storage agent (ESA), and the controllable loads are controlled by the Demand management agent (DMA). Thus, individual agents were implemented according on their defined tasks (forecasting ability, frequency control, among other) and the characteristics of the systems/devices that they were designed for. Coordination agents Schedule agent (SA) and Operation agent (OA) were the central rule decision makers following a client-server procedure.

3.4. Energy storage

Energy storage have been widely analyzed for MG systems, a spread range of applications exist for Energy Storage Systems (ESS). Tan, Li and Wang [74] refer the following: power quality enhancement; assist microgrid in isolated operation; active distribution systems and PEVs' technologies. Its use has important benefits, improving dynamic stability, transient stability, voltage support and frequency regulation [28]. Furthermore, they can also be applied for minimizing global cost and environment impacts [75]. Current smart-microgrid scenarios may include different renewable energy resources and several types of storage units.




Figure 7: Real-time PXI-RTDS MAS simulation platform for a PV-small hydro hybrid microgrid [4].

A wide range of applications exist for Energy Storage Systems (ESS) and we are now able to take profit of MAS over it. Power dispatching problems [30] including ESS deals with communications of several different SG components, such as energy storage devices, DER and forecasting agents. PEVs are one of the most viable technologies to achieve the goals of energy saving and environmental protection before a breakthrough in battery technology and fuel cell technology [76], its penetration is expected to increase significantly in the next 20 years [17]. Bidirectional power flow between PEVs and the grid will become essential [77] and coordinate this new wave of plug-and-play vehicles is a claiming burden.

Hu, Saleem, You, Nordstrom, Lind & Ostergaard [78] applied multi-agent technology, designed with hierarchical architecture (coded with a co-simulation environment called JACK), for distribution grid congestion management considering the integration of electric vehicles. They developed a two level hierarchical control method for integrating PEVs into the grid. PEVs owners and a distribution system operator were the main agents of the test system, communication and agreements were facilitated by the introduction a two operator: fleet and the grid capacity market operators.

Ramachandran, Srivastava & Cartes [79] described a decentralized controller using MAS in an electricity market framework. Their goal was to decide optimal charging based hourly charging rate of each EV battery. A model with customer comfort zone in order to define demand response was considered.

Switch the operation modes of the storage units based on the MAS by using fuzzy-logic-rules, ensuring a secure and reliable energy supply, was proposed by Lagorse, Simoes & Miraoui [80]. The developed control scheme considered batteries state-of-charge limits and the size of charging/discharging currents. Motivated by this previous work, Yoo, Chung, Lee & Hong [81] improved it using a state machine able to respond to the changes in MG environments for controlling the output power of the DERs.

3.5. Demand control

Torriti [82] remarked that increased awareness regarding consumption should bring about conservation impacts and flatten peak demand. On the other hand,

335 Sorrel [83] pointed some challenges in reducing energy demand, due to the
 336 strong correlation between increased wealth and increased energy consumption.
 337 Goulden, Bedwell, Rennick-Egglestone, Rodden & Spence [84] discussed the concepts of "energy consumer" and "energy citizen", pointing out that we should
 338 recognize that SG users are actively engaged with energy, and it is critical to
 339 much of what is proposed by demand side management. They advocated the
 340 contrasting vision is of an active citizen who becomes a "manager", a potential
 341 MG prosumer.
 342

343 Karfopoulos et al. [49] demonstrated MG users satisfaction in household in
 344 Spain, by the use of ICT and operational tools for distributed demand management.
 345 Consumers were intended to participate in grid support without affecting
 346 their level of satisfaction. A integrated home energy management system enabling the provision of demand response services from residential customers was
 347 proposed, which was also able to operate under critical/emergency grid operational conditions.
 348
 349

350 Multi-agent reinforcement learning was used for coordination of consumer
 351 agents in a energy management tool proposed by Raju, Sankar & Milton [85].
 352 The consumer was modeled as an agent continuously interacting with the environment and learning how to take optimal actions. The main goal of the MAS
 353 was to achieve the long term objective of reducing total MG power consumption from grid.
 354
 355

356 Self-demand control building models are been investigated tackling different
 357 house components [86]. Smart and energy-efficient building are also the focus
 358 of some MAS applications [87], such as building heat distribution control [88].
 359 A multi-agent home automation system for power management was idealized
 360 by Abras, Pesty, and Ploix & Jacomino [89] and is an interesting guidelines for
 361 this kind of MAS application.

362 3.6. Service restoration

363 Prado et al. [90] mentioned the PDSR as a class of SG problems comprising
 364 service restoration, power loss reduction, and expansion planning, which are,
 365 nowadays, usually formulated as complex multi-objective and multi-constrained
 366 optimization problems. The service restoration problem due to faults has been
 367 tackled by several works in the literature. An example of fault detection and
 368 reconfiguration is presented in Figure 8.




Figure 8: Power grid service restoration. [90]

For obvious reasons, SG should be stable and converge in case of any fault or when it falls within the above mentioned problems. One of the first MAS applications in service restoration was performed in 2000 by Nagata, Watanabe, Ohno & Sasaki [91], consisting in a system with Bus Agents (BAGS) and Facilitator Agent (FAG). A BAG was developed to decide a sub-optimal target configuration after faults (interacting with other BAGs), while a FAG was acting as a manager for the decision process. Several other works in the literature proposed using the distributed MAS approaches to solve the distribution system service restoration problems [92, 93, 47].

Saraiva & Asada [94] reconfigured the grid topology in order to satisfy the operation constraints, according to the data processed by agents dispersed in the grid.

Recently, Yu, Von-Wun & Tsai [47] designed switch agents modeled as intelligent agents and organized as local power committees. Their approach captured the essence of Holonic Multi-Agent Systems (HMAS) [95], which provide self-adaptation and self-organization abilities able to assist management of large and complex systems, such as the case of service restoration in SG. The local committees were in charge of reaching a consensus among many proposed service restoration solutions after faults were detected and isolated, as exemplified in Figure 8.

4. Future MAS in the context of Smart-Microgrid

Mariani et al. [30] tackled the problem of optimal power dispatching in a smart-microgrid scenario seeking to minimize system total costs. Mohammadi, Soleymani & Mozafari [96] generate a similar scenario considering uncertainties over the forecasting of consumption and renewable energy generation. This field of smart power dispatching in MG should receive attention from the next years. There is a visible lack between state-of-the-art centralized optimization techniques compared to decentralized approaches. Models that deals with distributed agents might consider uncertainties over agents response or use robust optimization techniques [97].

MAS applications still have an important path in establishing communication between autonomous batteries, specially including PEVs. Consider constraints and desires regarding to particular batteries (individual agents) in order to obtain mutual consensus of energy storage remains a challenge problem. Communication between a main coordinator in order to consider and pondered the benefit of specific agents in improving grid stability should be discussed and explored in future works. HCF and HAM system (as described in Figure 3) could perform a mutual work in finding optimal schedules, letting the agents adapt and modify it in real-time.

Some approaches in the literature incorporated the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions as part of a multi-objective optimization problem [98, 75, 99]. An open field for MAS applications is related to ecological environment monitoring and energy generation [100]. The potential for SG in contributing to energy sustainability was already discussed by Hu, Li, Cao, Fang, He & Zhang [101].

413 Agents specialized in improving air quality, water drink-ability, growing of tress
414 and several other ecological indicators could can now be weighed on a mutual
415 consensus between MG users and grid managers.

416 New systems topology of MG including connections to neighbouring MG are
417 emerging and community energy planning has been considered by academics
418 [102]. The context of archiving mutual consensus between shared communitar-
419 ian energy in MG will required specific desires set by users and citizens of each
420 neighbor. Future generations of MAS should be prepared for a scenario with
421 more bargain and distinct objective functions between agents from the same
422 class systems (for example, the class of MG users with more marked differences
423 in their goals).

424 Test MG systems are still being explored and several characteristics can
425 still be sought for optimization and assisted by MAS. As emphasized by Lidula
426 & A.D. Rajapakse [10], generic simulation models, reflecting MG properties,
427 are still requested and would facilitate further researches focus on improving
428 transient stability performance, system protection, fault tolerance, novel control
429 strategies and standard guidelines designs for MG.

430 Prado et al. [90] highlighted that the performance obtained by metaheuris-
431 tics applied for service restoration in large-scale distribution systems is dramat-
432 ically affected by the data structures used to represent electrical topology of
433 the power grid system. In this sense, the need of decentralized approaches for
434 handling service restoration is another open field for researching. The idea of
435 decentralized power flow calculus could boost the applications of MAS based
436 tools over this class of problems. More elaborated agents strategies and organi-
437 zations need to be explored for resolving the system after multiple faults, solving
438 potentially subtle conflicts.

439 The grid does not become smarter alone, and yet adding sensors, network
440 nodes with computation capabilities, switches or actuators and capability of
441 plug-and-play devices does not make grid smart itself. As cited by Sanz, Ro-
442 drigues, Soler & Gallejo [23], new control algorithms apt to coexist or inte-
443 grate with standard power management mechanisms are required. Thus, efforts
444 should be devoted to carefully designing the new SM devices [103] with intrinsic
445 abilities presented in MAS.

446 Even residential loads are being disaggregated and handled with nonintru-
447 sive load monitoring [104], MAS applications in the autonomous control of res-
448 idential houses remains flawed. These smart decentralized tools would assist
449 householders with better energy consumption management and blaze the trail
450 for efficient autonomous green houses.

451 5. Conclusions

452 According to Ball [105]: “There are many arguments for and against the
453 use of autonomous-agents in ambient intelligence and intelligent environments.
454 Some researchers maintain that it is vital to restrict autonomy of agents so that
455 users have complete control over the system; whereas, many others maintain

456 that there is a greater benefit to be gained by employing autonomous-agents to
457 take some of the work load off the user and increase user convenience”.

458 Even the opinions and concerns of people regarding autonomy in SG systems,
459 specially in the context of Smart-Microgrids, can differ greatly from person to
460 person, we believe in the flowering of MAS applications for autonomous control
461 for the future power grids. Such autonomy managed by agents with its own
462 desires and rules, set by MG users and main grid coordinators/managers.

463 As verified along this paper, it was reported that from operation, manage-
464 ment, security and efficient use of resources, MAS have been studied and, in
465 general, presented feasible and reasonable performance allowing them to be im-
466 plemented in real-time MG systems.

467 Acknowledgment

468 The authors would like to thank Brazilian agency CAPES, CNPq (312276/2013-
469 3), FAPEMIG (PPM CEX 497-13) and FP7 CORDIS, “New Horizons for Multi
470 Criteria Decision Making”, for supporting the development of this work.

471 References

- 472 [1] C. T. Tugcu, I. Ozturk, A. Aslan, Renewable and non-renewable energy con-
473 sumption and economic growth relationship revisited: Evidence from G7 coun-
474 tries, *Energy Economics* 34 (6) (2012) 1942 – 1950. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2012.08.021)
475 [10.1016/j.eneco.2012.08.021](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2012.08.021).
- 476 [2] S. Hall, T. J. Foxon, Values in the smart grid: The co-evolving political economy
477 of smart distribution, *Energy Policy* 74 (0) (2014) 600 – 609. doi:[http://dx.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.08.018)
478 [doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.08.018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.08.018).
- 479 [3] M. Fadaeenejad, A. Saberian, M. Fadaee, M. Radzi, H. Hizam, M. AbKadir,
480 The present and future of smart power grid in developing countries, *Renewable*
481 *and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 29 (0) (2014) 828 – 834. doi:[http://dx.doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.072)
482 [org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.072](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.072).
- 483 [4] B. Zhao, M. Xue, X. Zhang, C. Wang, J. Zhao, An MAS based energy manage-
484 ment system for a stand-alone microgrid at high altitude, *Applied Energy* 143 (0)
485 (2015) 251 – 261. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.01.016>.
- 486 [5] D. Olivares, A. Mehrizi-Sani, A. Etemadi, C. Canizares, R. Iravani, M. Kaz-
487 erani, A. Hajimiragha, O. Gomis-Bellmunt, M. Saeedifard, R. Palma-Behnke,
488 G. Jimenez-Estevez, N. Hatziargyriou, Trends in microgrid control, *Smart Grid,*
489 *IEEE Transactions on* 5 (4) (2014) 1905–1919. doi:[10.1109/TSG.2013.2295514](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2013.2295514).
- 490 [6] H. Farhangi, The path of the smart grid, *Power and Energy Magazine, IEEE*
491 8 (1) (2010) 18–28. doi:[10.1109/MPE.2009.934876](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/MPE.2009.934876).
- 492 [7] D. Xenias, C. J. Axon, L. Whitmarsh, P. M. Connor, N. Balta-Ozkan, A. Spence,
493 UK smart grid development: An expert assessment of the benefits, pitfalls and
494 functions, *Renewable Energy* 81 (0) (2015) 89 – 102. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.03.016)
495 [10.1016/j.renene.2015.03.016](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.03.016).

- [8] A. Rogers, S. D. Ramchurn, N. R. Jennings, Challenges for autonomous agents and multi-agent systems research, in: Twenty-Sixth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (AAAI-12), Toronto, CA, 2012, pp. 2166–2172.
- [9] C. Nguyen, A. Flueck, Agent based restoration with distributed energy storage support in smart grids, Smart Grid, IEEE Transactions on 3 (2) (2012) 1029–1038. doi:[10.1109/TSG.2012.2186833](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2012.2186833).
- [10] N. Lidula, A. Rajapakse, Microgrids research: A review of experimental microgrids and test systems, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 15 (1) (2011) 186 – 202. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2010.09.041>.
- [11] G. Merabet, M. Essaaidi, H. Talei, M. Abid, N. Khalil, M. Madkour, D. Benhaddou, Applications of multi-agent systems in smart grids: A survey, in: Multimedia Computing and Systems (ICMCS), 2014 International Conference on, 2014, pp. 1088–1094. doi:[10.1109/ICMCS.2014.6911384](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMCS.2014.6911384).
- [12] S. McArthur, E. Davidson, V. Catterson, A. Dimeas, N. Hatziaargyriou, F. Ponci, T. Funabashi, Multi-agent systems for power engineering applications – part i: Concepts, approaches, and technical challenges, Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on 22 (4) (2007) 1743–1752. doi:[10.1109/TPWRS.2007.908471](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2007.908471).
- [13] J. Jimeno, J. Anduaga, J. Oyarzabal, A. G. de Muro, [Architecture of a microgrid energy management system](#), European Transactions on Electrical Power 21 (2) (2011) 1142–1158. doi:[10.1002/etep.443](https://doi.org/10.1002/etep.443). URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/etep.443>
- [14] H. Jiayi, J. Chuanwen, X. Rong, A review on distributed energy resources and microgrid, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 12 (9) (2008) 2472 – 2483. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2007.06.004>.
- [15] C. xia Dou, B. Liu, Hierarchical management and control based on MAS for distribution grid via intelligent mode switching, International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems 54 (2014) 352 – 366. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.07.029>.
- [16] W.-D. Zheng, J.-D. Cai, A multi-agent system for distributed energy resources control in microgrid, in: Critical Infrastructure (CRIS), 2010 5th International Conference on, 2010, pp. 1–5. doi:[10.1109/CRIS.2010.5617485](https://doi.org/10.1109/CRIS.2010.5617485).
- [17] R. Romo, O. Micheloud, Power quality of actual grids with plug-in electric vehicles in presence of renewables and micro-grids, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 46 (0) (2015) 189 – 200. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.02.014>.
- [18] F. Mwasilu, J. J. Justo, E.-K. Kim, T. D. Do, J.-W. Jung, Electric vehicles and smart grid interaction: A review on vehicle to grid and renewable energy sources integration, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 34 (2014) 501 – 516. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.03.031>.
- [19] T. Logenthiran, D. Srinivasan, D. Wong, Multi-agent coordination for DER in microgrid, in: Sustainable Energy Technologies, 2008. ICSET 2008. IEEE International Conference on, 2008, pp. 77–82. doi:[10.1109/ICSET.2008.4746976](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICSET.2008.4746976).

- [20] M. Agrawal, A. Mittal, Overview of multi-agent approach for micro-grid energy management, *Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, IOSR-JEEE* 9 (2014) 01 – 07. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.03.031>.
- [21] S. Goldsmith, *Computing architecture for autonomous microgrids*, WO Patent App. PCT/US2011/062,717 (06 2012).
URL <http://google.com/patents/W02012078433A2?cl=en>
- [22] S. R. G, *Methods and systems for cyber-physical security modeling, simulation and architecture for the smart grid* (08 2013).
URL http://worldwide.espacenet.com/publicationDetails/biblio?FT=D&date=20130808&DB=&locale=en_EP&CC=WO&NR=2013115936A1&KC=A1&ND=1
- [23] J. J. Gomez-Sanz, S. Garcia-Rodriguez, N. Cuartero-Soler, L. Hernandez-Callejo, Reviewing microgrids from a multi-agent systems perspective, *Energies* 7 (5) (2014) 3355–3382. doi:[10.3390/en7053355](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en7053355).
- [24] J. Ekanayake, K. Liyanage, J. Wu, A. Yokoyama, N. Jenkins, *Smart grid: technology and applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- [25] E. Commission, *Smart grids – vision and strategy for europe’s electricity networks of the future*, Tech. Rep. EUR 22040, European Commission (2006).
- [26] O. Ellabban, H. Abu-Rub, F. Blaabjerg, Renewable energy resources: Current status, future prospects and their enabling technology, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 39 (0) (2014) 748 – 764. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.113>.
- [27] N. Ederer, Evaluating capital and operating cost efficiency of offshore wind farms: A DEA approach, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 42 (2015) 1034 – 1046. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.10.071>.
- [28] Y. Levron, J. Guerrero, Y. Beck, Optimal power flow in microgrids with energy storage, *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on* 28 (3) (2013) 3226–3234.
- [29] S. C. Manchester, L. G. Swan, D. Groulx, Regenerative air energy storage for remote wind-diesel micro-grid communities, *Applied Energy* 137 (0) (2015) 490 – 500. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.06.070>.
- [30] R. Rigo-Mariani, B. Sareni, X. Roboam, C. Turpin, Optimal power dispatching strategies in smart-microgrids with storage, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 40 (0) (2014) 649 – 658. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.138>.
- [31] G. Comodi, A. Giantomassi, M. Severini, S. Squartini, F. Ferracuti, A. Fonti, D. N. Cesarini, M. Morodo, F. Polonara, Multi-apartment residential microgrid with electrical and thermal storage devices: Experimental analysis and simulation of energy management strategies, *Applied Energy* 137 (0) (2015) 854 – 866. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.07.068>.
- [32] B. Zakeri, S. Syri, Electrical energy storage systems: A comparative life cycle cost analysis, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 42 (0) (2015) 569 – 596. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.10.011>.

- [33] P. Tinador, Superconducting magnetic energy storage; status and perspective, in: IEEE/CSC&ESAS European Superconductivity news forum, no. 3, 2008.
- [34] J. García-Villalobos, I. Zamora, J. S. Martín, F. Asensio, V. Aperribay, Plug-in electric vehicles in electric distribution networks: A review of smart charging approaches, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 38 (2014) 717 – 731. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.07.040>.
- [35] Rooftop wind monitoring campaigns for small wind turbine applications: Effect of sampling rate and averaging period.
- [36] G. Venayagamoorthy, P. Chakravarty, Optimal fuzzy logic based coordination controller for improved transient stability of a smart grid, in: *Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE)*, 2014 IEEE International Conference on, 2014, pp. 346–353. doi:[10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2014.6891824](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/FUZZ-IEEE.2014.6891824).
- [37] A. O. P. Jr, R. C. da Costa, C. do Vale Costa, J. de Moraes Marreco, E. L. L. Rovere, Perspectives for the expansion of new renewable energy sources in brazil, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 23 (0) (2013) 49 – 59. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.02.020>.
- [38] M. Welsch, M. Bazilian, M. Howells, D. Divan, D. Elzinga, G. Strbac, L. Jones, A. Keane, D. Gielen, V. M. Balijepalli, A. Brew-Hammond, K. Yumkella, Smart and just grids for sub-saharan africa: Exploring options, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 20 (0) (2013) 336 – 352. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.11.004>.
- [39] S. Kahrobaee, R. A. Rajabzadeh, L.-K. Soh, S. Asgarpour, Multiagent study of smart grid customers with neighborhood electricity trading, *Electric Power Systems Research* (2014) 123 – 132doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2014.02.013>.
- [40] J. Lagorse, D. Paire, A. Miraoui, A multi-agent system for energy management of distributed power sources, *Renewable Energy* 35 (1) (2010) 174 – 182. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.02.029>.
- [41] R. Brown, Impact of smart grid on distribution system design, in: *Power and Energy Society General Meeting - Conversion and Delivery of Electrical Energy in the 21st Century*, 2008 IEEE, 2008, pp. 1–4. doi:[10.1109/PES.2008.4596843](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/PES.2008.4596843).
- [42] N. Batista, R. Melício, J. Matias, J. C. ao, Photovoltaic and wind energy systems monitoring and building/home energy management using zigbee devices within a smart grid, *Energy* 49 (2013) 306 – 315. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2012.11.002>.
- [43] M. P. McHenry, Technical and governance considerations for advanced metering infrastructure/smart meters: Technology, security, uncertainty, costs, benefits, and risks, *Energy Policy* 59 (2013) 834 – 842. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.04.048>.
- [44] S. McArthur, E. Davidson, V. Catterson, A. Dimeas, N. Hatziaargyriou, F. Ponci, T. Funabashi, Multi-agent systems for power engineering applications 2014 – part ii: Technologies, standards, and tools for building multi-agent systems,

- 621 Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on 22 (4) (2007) 1753–1759. [doi:10.1109/TPWRS.2007.908472](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2007.908472).
622
- 623 [45] F. Bellifemine, A. Poggi, G. Rimassa, Jade: A fipa2000 compliant agent de-
624 velopment environment, in: Proceedings of the Fifth International Confer-
625 ence on Autonomous Agents, ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2001, pp. 216–217.
626 [doi:10.1145/375735.376120](https://doi.org/10.1145/375735.376120).
- 627 [46] K. Wu, H. Zhou, A multi-agent-based energy-coordination control system for
628 grid-connected large-scale wind – photovoltaic energy storage power-generation
629 units, Solar Energy 107 (2014) 245 – 259. [doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2014.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2014.05.012).
630
- 631 [47] W.-Y. Yu, V.-W. Soo, M.-S. Tsai, Power distribution system service restoration
632 bases on a committee-based intelligent agent architecture, Engineering Applica-
633 tions of Artificial Intelligence 41 (2015) 92 – 102. [doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2015.01.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2015.01.011).
634
- 635 [48] M. Wooldridge, An Introduction to MultiAgent Systems, 2nd Edition, John
636 Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- 637 [49] E. Karfopoulos, L. Tena, A. Torres, P. Salas, J. G. Jorda, A. Dimeas, N. Hatziar-
638 gyriou, A multi-agent system providing demand response services from residen-
639 tial consumers, Electric Power Systems Research 120 (0) (2015) 163 – 176, smart
640 Grids: World’s Actual Implementations. [doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2014.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2014.06.001).
641
- 642 [50] T. Logenthiran, D. Srinivasan, A. M. Khambadkone, Multi-agent system for en-
643 ergy resource scheduling of integrated microgrids in a distributed system, Elec-
644 tric Power Systems Research 81 (1) (2011) 138 – 148. [doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2010.07.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2010.07.019).
645
- 646 [51] R. Velik, P. Nicolay, A cognitive decision agent architecture for optimal energy
647 management of microgrids, Energy Conversion and Management 86 (2014) 831
648 – 847. [doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.06.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.06.047).
- 649 [52] T. Hong, J. Wilson, J. Xie, Long term probabilistic load forecasting and nor-
650 malization with hourly information, Smart Grid, IEEE Transactions on 5 (1)
651 (2014) 456–462.
- 652 [53] Y. Zhang, J. Wang, X. Wang, Review on probabilistic forecasting of wind power
653 generation, Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 32 (0) (2014) 255 – 270.
654 [doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.01.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.01.033).
- 655 [54] R. Weron, Electricity price forecasting: A review of the state-of-the-art with a
656 look into the future, International Journal of Forecasting 30 (4) (2014) 1030 –
657 1081.
- 658 [55] D. Xenias, C. J. Axon, L. Whitmarsh, P. M. Connor, N. Balta-Ozkan, A. Spence,
659 UK smart grid development: An expert assessment of the benefits, pitfalls and
660 functions, Renewable Energy 81 (0) (2015) 89 – 102. [doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.03.016).
661

- [56] U. Al-mulali, H. G. Fereidouni, J. Y. Lee, C. N. B. C. Sab, Exploring the relationship between urbanization, energy consumption, and CO2 emission in MENA countries, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 23 (2013) 107 – 112. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.02.041>.
- [57] O. Saadatian, K. Sopian, E. Salleh, C. Lim, S. Riffat, E. Saadatian, A. Toudeshki, M. Sulaiman, A review of energy aspects of green roofs, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 23 (2013) 155 – 168. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.02.022>.
- [58] T. Ishugah, Y. Li, R. Wang, J. Kiplagat, Advances in wind energy resource exploitation in urban environment: A review, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 37 (2014) 613 – 626. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.05.053>.
- [59] F. Toja-Silva, A. Colmenar-Santos, M. Castro-Gil, Urban wind energy exploitation systems: Behaviour under multidirectional flow conditions – opportunities and challenges, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 24 (2013) 364 – 378. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.03.052>.
- [60] J. J. Sarraalde, D. J. Quinn, D. Wiesmann, K. Steemers, Solar energy and urban morphology: Scenarios for increasing the renewable energy potential of neighbourhoods in london, *Renewable Energy* 73 (2015) 10 – 17, sustainable Development in Building and Environment (SuDBE) 2013. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2014.06.028>.
- [61] J. Peas Lopes, C. Moreira, A. Madureira, Defining control strategies for microgrids islanded operation, *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on* 21 (2) (2006) 916–924. doi:[10.1109/TPWRS.2006.873018](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2006.873018).
- [62] M. Pipattanasomporn, H. Feroze, S. Rahman, Multi-agent systems in a distributed smart grid: Design and implementation, in: *Power Systems Conference and Exposition, 2009. PSCE '09. IEEE/PES, 2009*, pp. 1–8. doi:[10.1109/PSCE.2009.4840087](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/PSCE.2009.4840087).
- [63] J. Pavón, J. Gómez-Sanz, Agent oriented software engineering with ingenias, in: *Multi-Agent Systems and Applications III*, Vol. 2691 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2003, pp. 394–403. doi:[10.1007/3-540-45023-8_38](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45023-8_38).
- [64] A. Dimeas, N. Hatziaargyriou, Operation of a multiagent system for microgrid control, *Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on* 20 (3) (2005) 1447–1455. doi:[10.1109/TPWRS.2005.852060](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2005.852060).
- [65] Z. Jun, L. Junfeng, W. Jie, H. Ngan, A multi-agent solution to energy management in hybrid renewable energy generation system, *Renewable Energy* 36 (5) (2011) 1352 – 1363. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2010.11.032>.
- [66] E. Kuznetsova, Y.-F. Li, C. Ruiz, E. Zio, An integrated framework of agent-based modelling and robust optimization for microgrid energy management, *Applied Energy* 129 (0) (2014) 70 – 88. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.04.024>.

- [67] Z. Xiao, T. Li, M. Huang, J. Shi, J. Yang, J. Yu, W. Wu, Hierarchical mas based control strategy for microgrid, *Energies* 3 (9) (2010) 1622–1638. doi:[10.3390/en3091622](https://doi.org/10.3390/en3091622).
- [68] X. Dou, X. Quan, Z. Wu, M. Hu, K. Yang, J. Yuan, M. Wang, Hybrid multi-agent control in microgrids: Framework, models and implementations based on IEC 61850, *Energies* 8 (1) (2014) 31–58. doi:[10.3390/en8010031](https://doi.org/10.3390/en8010031).
- [69] W. Liu, W. Gu, B. Zhao, A novel multi-agent based control approach for frequency stabilization of islanded microgrids, in: *Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Europe (ISGT EUROPE)*, 2013 4th IEEE/PES, 2013, pp. 1–5. doi:[10.1109/ISGTEurope.2013.6695328](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISGTEurope.2013.6695328).
- [70] H.-M. Kim, T. Kinoshita, Y. Lim, T.-H. Kim, A bankruptcy problem approach to load-shedding in multiagent-based microgrid operation, *Sensors* 10 (10) (2010) 8888–8898. doi:[10.3390/s101008888](https://doi.org/10.3390/s101008888).
- [71] M. Rahman, M. Mahmud, H. Pota, M. Hossain, A multi-agent approach for enhancing transient stability of smart grids, *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* 67 (0) (2015) 488 – 500. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.12.038>.
- [72] M. A. da Rosa, A. M. L. da Silva, V. Miranda, Multi-agent systems applied to reliability assessment of power systems, *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* 42 (1) (2012) 367 – 374. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2012.03.048>.
- [73] B. Zhao, X. Zhang, J. Chen, C. Wang, L. Guo, Operation optimization of standalone microgrids considering lifetime characteristics of battery energy storage system, *Sustainable Energy, IEEE Transactions on* 4 (4) (2013) 934–943. doi:[10.1109/TSTE.2013.2248400](https://doi.org/10.1109/TSTE.2013.2248400).
- [74] X. Tan, Q. Li, H. Wang, Advances and trends of energy storage technology in microgrid, *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems* 44 (1) (2013) 179 – 191. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2012.07.015>.
- [75] C. Colson, M. Nehrir, C. Wang, Ant colony optimization for microgrid multi-objective power management, in: *Power Systems Conference and Exposition, 2009. PSCE'09. IEEE/PES*, 2009, pp. 1–7.
- [76] P. Zhang, F. Yan, C. Du, A comprehensive analysis of energy management strategies for hybrid electric vehicles based on bibliometrics, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 48 (0) (2015) 88 – 104. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.03.093>.
- [77] Intelligent unit commitment with vehicle-to-grid – a cost-emission optimization, *Journal of Power Sources* 195 (3) (2010) 898 – 911.
- [78] J. Hu, A. Saleem, S. You, L. Nordstrom, M. Lind, J. Ostergaard, A multi-agent system for distribution grid congestion management with electric vehicles, *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 38 (0) (2015) 45 – 58. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2014.10.017>.

- [79] B. Ramachandran, S. K. Srivastava, D. A. Cartes, Intelligent power management in micro grids with EV penetration, *Expert Systems with Applications* 40 (16) (2013) 6631 – 6640. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2013.06.007>.
- [80] J. Lagorse, M. Simoes, A. Miraoui, A multiagent fuzzy-logic-based energy management of hybrid systems, *Industry Applications, IEEE Transactions on* 45 (6) (2009) 2123–2129. doi:[10.1109/TIA.2009.2031786](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2009.2031786).
- [81] C.-H. Yoo, I.-Y. Chung, H.-J. Lee, S.-S. Hong, Intelligent control of battery energy storage for multi-agent based microgrid energy management, *Energies* 6 (10) (2013) 4956–4979. doi:[10.3390/en6104956](http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/en6104956).
- [82] J. Torriti, People or machines? assessing the impacts of smart meters and load controllers in italian office spaces, *Energy for Sustainable Development* 20 (2014) 86 – 91. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.esd.2014.01.006>.
- [83] S. Sorrell, Reducing energy demand: A review of issues, challenges and approaches, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 47 (0) (2015) 74 – 82. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.03.002>.
- [84] M. Goulden, B. Bedwell, S. Rennick-Egglestone, T. Rodden, A. Spence, Smart grids, smart users? the role of the user in demand side management, *Energy Research & Social Science* 2 (2014) 21 – 29. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2014.04.008>.
- [85] L. Raju, S. Sankar, R. Milton, Distributed optimization of solar micro-grid using multi agent reinforcement learning, *Procedia Computer Science* 46 (2015) 231 – 239. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.02.016>.
- [86] D. Zhang, N. Shah, L. G. Papageorgiou, Efficient energy consumption and operation management in a smart building with microgrid, *Energy Conversion and Management* 74 (2013) 209 – 222. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2013.04.038>.
- [87] S. Smitha, F. Chacko, Intelligent energy management in smart and sustainable buildings with multi-agent control system, in: *Automation, Computing, Communication, Control and Compressed Sensing (iMac4s)*, 2013 International Multi-Conference on, 2013, pp. 190–195. doi:[10.1109/iMac4s.2013.6526406](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/iMac4s.2013.6526406).
- [88] M. Mokhtar, M. Stables, X. Liu, J. Howe, Intelligent multi-agent system for building heat distribution control with combined gas boilers and ground source heat pump, *Energy and Buildings* 62 (0) (2013) 615 – 626. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2013.03.045>.
- [89] S. Abras, S. Pesty, S. Ploix, M. Jacomino, An anticipation mechanism for power management in a smart home using multi-agent systems, in: *Information and Communication Technologies: From Theory to Applications*, 2008. ICTTA 2008. 3rd International Conference on, 2008, pp. 1–6. doi:[10.1109/ICTTA.2008.4530305](http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICTTA.2008.4530305).
- [90] R. S. Prado, R. C. P. Silva, O. M. Neto, F. G. G. aes, D. S. Sanches, J. ao Bosco A. London Jr., A. C. Delbem, Differential evolution using ancestor tree for service restoration in power distribution systems, *Applied Soft Computing* 23 (2014) 498 – 508. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2014.06.005>.

- 788 [91] T. Nagata, H. Watanabe, M. Ohno, H. Sasaki, A multi-agent approach to power
789 system restoration, in: Power System Technology, 2000. Proceedings. PowerCon
790 2000. International Conference on, Vol. 3, 2000, pp. 1551–1556 vol.3. doi:
791 [10.1109/ICPST.2000.898202](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPST.2000.898202).
- 792 [92] J. Solanki, S. Khushalani, N. Schulz, A multi-agent solution to distribution
793 systems restoration, Power Systems, IEEE Transactions on 22 (3) (2007) 1026–
794 1034. doi:[10.1109/TPWRS.2007.901280](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPWRS.2007.901280).
- 795 [93] Y.-T. Pan, M.-S. Tsai, Development a bdi-based intelligent agent architecture
796 for distribution systems restoration planning, in: Intelligent System Applications
797 to Power Systems, 2009. ISAP '09. 15th International Conference on, 2009, pp.
798 1–6. doi:[10.1109/ISAP.2009.5352961](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISAP.2009.5352961).
- 799 [94] F. O. Saraiva, E. N. Asada, Sistema multiagente aplicado ao gerenciamento
800 topológico de sistema elétricos de distribuição inteligentes, in: Anais do XIX
801 Congresso Brasileiro de Automática – CBA 2012., 2012, pp. 1490–1497.
- 802 [95] P. Leitao, P. Valckenaers, E. Adam, Self-adaptation for robustness and cooper-
803 ation in holonic multi-agent systems, in: A. Hameurlain, J. Küng, R. Wagner
804 (Eds.), Transactions on Large-Scale Data- and Knowledge-Centered Systems I,
805 Vol. 5740 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer Berlin Heidelberg,
806 2009, pp. 267–288. doi:[10.1007/978-3-642-03722-1_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-03722-1_11).
- 807 [96] S. Mohammadi, S. Soleymani, B. Mozafari, Scenario-based stochastic operation
808 management of microgrid including wind, photovoltaic, micro-turbine, fuel cell
809 and energy storage devices, International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy
810 Systems 54 (0) (2014) 525 – 535. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.08.004)
811 [2013.08.004](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.08.004).
- 812 [97] E. Kuznetsova, C. Ruiz, Y.-F. Li, E. Zio, Analysis of robust optimization
813 for decentralized microgrid energy management under uncertainty, Interna-
814 tional Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems 64 (2015) 815 – 832.
815 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.07.064>.
- 816 [98] E. Alvarez, A. Lopez, J. Gómez-Aleixandre, N. de Abajo, On-line minimiza-
817 tion of running costs, greenhouse gas emissions and the impact of distributed
818 generation using microgrids on the electrical system, in: Sustainable Alter-
819 native Energy (SAE), 2009 IEEE PES/IAS Conference on, 2009, pp. 1–10.
820 doi:[10.1109/SAE.2009.5534847](https://doi.org/10.1109/SAE.2009.5534847).
- 821 [99] H. Kanchev, D. Lu, B. Francois, V. Lazarov, Smart monitoring of a microgrid
822 including gas turbines and a dispatched pv-based active generator for energy
823 management and emissions reduction, in: Innovative Smart Grid Technologies
824 Conference Europe (ISGT Europe), 2010 IEEE PES, 2010, pp. 1–8. doi:[10.](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISGTEUROPE.2010.5638875)
825 [1109/ISGTEUROPE.2010.5638875](https://doi.org/10.1109/ISGTEUROPE.2010.5638875).
- 826 [100] F. Bousquet, C. L. Page, Multi-agent simulations and ecosystem management:
827 a review, Ecological Modelling 176 (3-4) (2004) 313 – 332. doi:[http://dx.doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.01.011)
828 [org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.01.011](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2004.01.011).

- 829 [101] Z. Hu, C. Li, Y. Cao, B. Fang, L. He, M. Zhang, How smart grid contributes
830 to energy sustainability, *Energy Procedia* 61 (2014) 858 – 861, international
831 Conference on Applied Energy, ICAE2014. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.982)
832 [j.egypro.2014.11.982](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.982).
- 833 [102] Z. Huang, H. Yu, Z. Peng, M. Zhao, Methods and tools for community energy
834 planning: A review, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 42 (0) (2015)
835 1335 – 1348. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.11.042>.
- 836 [103] G. Pepermans, Valuing smart meters, *Energy Economics* 45 (2014) 280 – 294.
837 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2014.07.011>.
- 838 [104] K. X. Perez, W. J. Cole, J. D. Rhodes, A. Ondeck, M. Webber, M. Baldea,
839 T. F. Edgar, Nonintrusive disaggregation of residential air-conditioning loads
840 from sub-hourly smart meter data, *Energy and Buildings* 81 (2014) 316 – 325.
841 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.06.031>.
- 842 [105] M. Ball, V. Callaghan, A study of agent autonomy in intelligent environments,
843 *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Smart Environments* 1 (0) (2012) 1 – 38.