

# Notes - A GP model for shear fields

Karen Y. Ng

December 19, 2014

## Our Gaussian process “model” for the projected lensing potential

$$\psi \sim N(0, \Sigma(\vec{x}, \vec{y})) \quad (1)$$

which is a scalar field evaluated at the positions  $x_i = (\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2)_i$  or  $y_i = (\vec{y}_1, \vec{y}_2)_i$  where we have data points. As usual, first column,  $x_1$  or  $y_1$  is the first spatial dimension,  $x_2$  or  $y_2$  is the second one, the  $i$ -th row correspond to spatial coordinates of the  $i$ -th data point.

$\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are the same but we call them different names for denoting their location in the covariance matrix  
....

For inferring the convergence and shear, we need the 2nd spatial derivatives. The subscripts in these WL equations correspond to the spatial coordinates  $x_1, x_2$  NOT the observation numbers i.e.  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  observations

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\psi_{,ij}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi_{,11} + \psi_{,22}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi_{,11} - \psi_{,22}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi_{,12} + \psi_{,21}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

## Covariances of the required functions

Note that  $\psi, \kappa$  and  $\gamma$  are scalar fields. However, we are evaluating them at the locations of the data points  $(x_1, x_2)_i$ , therefore, when we are writing down the shorthand for the  $m, n$  subscripts below, we mean, we first

take the spatial derivatives of those scalar field(s) with respect to  $x_1$  or  $x_2$ , then evaluate them at the  $m$ -th or  $n$ -th position  $(x_1, x_2)_m$ . The spatial derivatives are represented as follows:

$$\psi_{,1} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}$$

etc. with a comma in the subscript.

Also note expectation and derivative are both linear operators, so we can exchange their positions (and try not to let mathematicians read this and shoot us)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}_{m,n}(\kappa(\vec{x}), \kappa(\vec{y})) &= \mathbb{E}[(\kappa - \mathbb{E}[\kappa])|_m (\kappa - \mathbb{E}[\kappa])|_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \psi - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \psi \right] \right] \Big|_m \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \psi - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \psi \right] \right] \Big|_n \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \Big|_m [\psi - \mathbb{E}[\psi]] \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \Big|_n [\psi - \mathbb{E}[\psi]] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \right) \Big|_m \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} \right) \Big|_n + \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \right) \Big|_m \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \Big|_n + \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \Big|_m \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} \right) \Big|_n + \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \Big|_m \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \Big|_n \right) \Sigma_{mn} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \Sigma_{mn} \end{aligned}$$

Now I have dropped the (m,n) subscripts and the following subscripts correspond to the spatial dimensions, the first two subscripts correspond to spatial derivatives w.r.t.  $x$  and evaluated for the  $m$ -th data points, the last two correspond to spatial derivatives w.r.t.  $y$  and evaluated for the  $n$ -th data points.

$$\text{Cov}(\kappa(\vec{x}), \kappa(\vec{y})) = \frac{1}{4} (\Sigma_{,1111} + \Sigma_{,1122} + \Sigma_{,2211} + \Sigma_{,2222}) \quad (2)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}_{mn}(\gamma_1(\vec{x}), \gamma_1(\vec{y})) &= \mathbb{E}[(\gamma_1 - \mathbb{E}[\gamma_1])|_m (\gamma_1 - \mathbb{E}[\gamma_1])|_n] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \psi - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \psi \right] \right] \Big|_m \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \psi - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \psi \right] \right] \Big|_n \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right) \Big|_i [\psi - \mathbb{E}[\psi]] \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \Big|_j [\psi - \mathbb{E}[\psi]] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2^2} \right) \Sigma_{mn} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Cov}(\gamma_1(\vec{x}), \gamma_1(\vec{y})) = \frac{1}{4} (\Sigma_{,1111} - \Sigma_{,1122} - \Sigma_{,2211} + \Sigma_{,2222}) \quad (3)$$

And,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}_{mn}(\gamma_2(\vec{x}), \gamma_2(\vec{y})) &= \mathbb{E}[(\gamma_2 - \mathbb{E}[\gamma_2])|_m (\gamma_2 - \mathbb{E}[\gamma_2])|_n] \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} \right) \psi - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} \right) \psi \right] \right] \Big|_m \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2 \partial y_1} \right) \psi - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2 \partial y_1} \right) \psi \right] \right] \Big|_n \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Cov}_{mn}(\gamma_2(\vec{x}), \gamma_2(\vec{y})) = \frac{1}{4} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} \right) \Big|_m [\psi - \mathbb{E}[\psi]] \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_2 \partial y_1} \right) \Big|_n [\psi - \mathbb{E}[\psi]] \right]$$

$$\text{Cov}(\gamma_2(\vec{x}), \gamma_2(\vec{y})) = \frac{1}{4} (\Sigma_{,1212} + \Sigma_{,1221} + \Sigma_{,2112} + \Sigma_{,2121}) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Cov}(\kappa(\vec{x}), \gamma_1(\vec{y})) = \frac{1}{4} (\Sigma_{,1111} + \Sigma_{,2211} - \Sigma_{,1122} - \Sigma_{,2222}) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{Cov}(\kappa(\vec{x}), \gamma_2(\vec{y})) = \frac{1}{4} (\Sigma_{,1112} + \Sigma_{,2212} + \Sigma_{,1121} + \Sigma_{,2221}) \quad (6)$$

$$\text{Cov}(\gamma_1(\vec{x}), \gamma_2(\vec{y})) = \frac{1}{4} (\Sigma_{,1112} + \Sigma_{,1121} - \Sigma_{,2212} - \Sigma_{,2221}) \quad (7)$$

## The squared exponential covariance function

$$\Sigma(r^2; \lambda, \rho) = \lambda^{-1} \exp \left( -\frac{\beta}{2} r^2 \right) \quad (8)$$

where  $\beta = -1/4 \ln \rho$ , and  $0 < \rho < 1$ , note  $\Sigma$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix and the covariance functions of the derivatives should have the same dimension.

## The metric D

$$r^2 = (\vec{x} - \vec{y})^T D (\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \quad (9)$$

Since we are working in projected (2D) space, D is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix. More explicitly, I will use i,j,h,k as subscripts for the spatial dimensions and m, n for the observation number in the GP model:

$$\begin{aligned}
r_{mn}^2 &= (x_{m1} - y_{n1}, x_{m2} - y_{n2}) \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} & D_{12} \\ D_{21} & D_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_{m1} - y_{n1} \\ x_{m2} - y_{n2} \end{pmatrix} \\
\Sigma_{mn} &= \lambda^{-1} \exp \left( -\frac{\beta}{2} r_{mn}^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

An example of  $r^2$  with an Euclidean metric for a pair of data points,  $\vec{x}_i$  and  $\vec{y}_j$  would be:

$$r_{mn}^2 = D_{11}(x_{m1} - y_{n1})^2 + D_{22}(x_{m2} - y_{n2})^2 \quad (10)$$

assuming diagonal metric.

In the following derivations, it is NOT important to keep the  $m, n$  subscripts. We are taking the derivatives w.r.t to the spatial dimensions, so I will drop the  $m, n$  subscripts. But keep in mind each forth derivative of  $\Sigma$  with the indices written out should be a scalar, each is an element in the big  $m \times n \Sigma_{,x_i x_j y_h y_k}$  matrix.

## Summary: basic derivatives of components, assuming diagonal D

Derivation of the derivatives for a non-symmetric / non-diagonal D would give more terms ...

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial r^2}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\partial(x_q - y_q)D_{qr}(x_r - y_r)}{\partial x_i} \\ &= \delta_{iq}D_{qr}(x_r - y_r) + (x_q - y_q)\delta_{iq}D_{qr} \\ &= 2D_{ii}(x_i - y_i) \\ &= 2[D(\vec{x} - \vec{y})]_i \end{aligned}$$

The third line in the above derivation is only true for diagonal D or else there are other summation terms.

$$r_{,x_i} = 2[D(\vec{x} - \vec{y})]_i \equiv 2X_i \quad (11)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial r^2}{\partial y_h} &= \frac{\partial(x_q - y_q)D_{qr}(x_r - y_r)}{\partial y_h} \\ &= -\delta_{iq}D_{qr}(x_r - y_r) - (x_q - y_q)\delta_{iq}D_{qr} \\ &= -2[D(\vec{x} - \vec{y})]_h \end{aligned}$$

$$r_{,y_h} = -2[D(\vec{x} - \vec{y})]_h \equiv -2X_h \quad (12)$$

where  $i = 1, 2$

## Second derivatives of $r^2$

$$r_{,x_i x_j}^2 = 2\delta_{ij}D_{ij} \quad (13)$$

$$r_{,y_h y_k}^2 = 2\delta_{hk}D_{hk} \quad (14)$$

$$r_{,x_i y_h}^2 = -2\delta_{ih}D_{ih} \quad (15)$$

$$X_i = [D(\vec{x} - \vec{y})]_i \quad (16)$$

$$X_{i,x_j} = D\delta_{ij} \quad (17)$$

$$X_{i,y_h} = -D\delta_{ih} \quad (18)$$

derivatives of the kernel

$$\Sigma = \lambda^{-1}k$$

$$k = \exp\left(\frac{-\beta}{2}r^2\right) \quad (19)$$

$$k_{,x_i} = \frac{-\beta}{2}kr_{,x_i}^2 = -\beta kX_i \quad (20)$$

$$k_{,y_h} = \beta kX_h \quad (21)$$

$$k_{,x_i x_j} = \frac{-\beta}{2}(k_{,x_j}r_{,x_i}^2 + kr_{,x_i x_j}^2) \quad (22)$$

$$k_{,x_i x_j y_h} = \frac{-\beta}{2}(k_{,x_j y_h}r_{,x_i}^2 + k_{,x_j}r_{,x_i y_h}^2 + k_{,y_h}r_{,x_i x_j}^2) \quad (23)$$

$$k_{,x_i x_j y_h y_k} = \frac{-\beta}{2}(k_{,x_j y_h y_k}r_{,x_i}^2 + k_{,x_j y_h}r_{,x_i y_k}^2 + k_{,x_j y_k}r_{,x_i y_h}^2 + k_{,y_h y_k}r_{,x_i x_j}^2) \quad (24)$$

second derivatives

just work on terms that are parts of the 4th kernel derivative

$$\begin{aligned} k_{,x_i x_j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(-\beta kX_i) \\ &= -\beta(k_{,x_j}X_i + kX_{i,x_j}) \\ &= -\beta(-\beta kX_jX_i + k\delta_{ij}D_{ij}) \\ &= (\beta^2 X_jX_i - \beta\delta_{ij}D_{ij})k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{,x_i y_h} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_h}(-\beta kX_i) \\ &= -\beta(k_{,y_h}X_i + kX_{i,y_h}) \\ &= -\beta(\beta kX_hX_i - k\delta_{ih}D_{ih}) \\ &= -(\beta^2 X_hX_i - \beta\delta_{ih}D_{ih})k \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{,y_h y_k} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_h}(\beta kX_h) \\ &= \beta(k_{,y_k}X_h + kX_{h,y_k}) \\ &= \beta(\beta kX_kX_h - k\delta_{hk}D_{hk}) \\ &= (\beta^2 X_hX_k - \beta\delta_{hk}D_{hk})k \end{aligned}$$

$$k_{,x_i x_j} = (\beta^2 X_jX_i - \beta\delta_{ij}D_{ij})k \quad (25)$$

$$k_{,x_i y_h} = -(\beta^2 X_hX_i - \beta\delta_{ih}D_{ih})k \quad (26)$$

$$k_{,y_h y_k} = (\beta^2 X_hX_k - \beta\delta_{hk}D_{hk})k \quad (27)$$

### Term 1 of the 4th derivative in eqn (20)

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{,x_j y_h y_k} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} k_{,x_j y_h} \\
&= -\frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} (\beta^2 X_h X_j - \beta \delta_{jh} D_{jh}) k \\
&= (\beta^2 D_{hk} \delta_{hk} X_j + \beta^2 X_h D_{jk} \delta_{jk}) k - (\beta^2 X_h X_j - \beta D_{jh} \delta_{jh}) \beta X_k k
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{,x_j y_h y_k} r_{,x_i}^2 &= 2[\beta^2 X_j D_{hk} \delta_{hk} + \beta^2 X_h D_{jk} \delta_{jk} + \beta^2 X_k D_{jh} \delta_{jh} - \beta^3 X_h X_j X_k] X_i k \\
&= \boxed{2\beta^2 [X_j X_i D_{hk} \delta_{hk} + X_h X_i D_{jk} \delta_{jk} + X_k X_i D_{jh} \delta_{jh}] k - 2\beta^3 X_h X_j X_k X_i k}
\end{aligned}$$

### Term 2 of the 4th derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{,x_j y_h} r_{,x_i y_k}^2 &= -(\beta^2 X_h X_j - \beta D_{jh} \delta_{jh}) (-2D_{ik} \delta_{ik} k) \\
&= \boxed{(2\beta^2 X_h X_j D_{ik} \delta_{ik} - 2\beta D_{jh} D_{ik} \delta_{jh} \delta_{ik}) k}
\end{aligned}$$

### Term 3 of the 4th derivative

This is completely analogous to term 2 except the subscripts are slightly different

$$k_{,x_j y_k} r_{,x_i y_h}^2 = \boxed{(2\beta^2 X_k X_j D_{ih} \delta_{ih} - 2\beta D_{jk} D_{ih} \delta_{jk} \delta_{ih}) k}$$

### Term 4 of the 4th derivative

$$\begin{aligned}
k_{,y_h y_k} r_{,x_i x_j}^2 &= (\beta^2 X_k X_h - \beta D_{hk} \delta_{hk}) k 2D_{ij} \delta_{ij} \\
&= \boxed{(2\beta^2 X_k X_h D_{ij} \delta_{ij} - 2\beta D_{ij} D_{hk} \delta_{hk} \delta_{ij}) k}
\end{aligned}$$

### Collect terms of $\Sigma_{,x_i x_j y_h y_k}$ by plugging them in eqn 20

All the relevant terms are boxed above,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{,x_i x_j y_h y_k} &= (\beta^4 X_h X_j X_k X_i - \beta^3 (X_j X_i D_{hk} \delta_{hk} + 5\text{perm.}) + \beta^2 (D_{jh} D_{ik} \delta_{jh} \delta_{ik} + 2\text{perm.})) \Sigma \quad (28) \\
&= \Gamma \Sigma \quad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that when we evaluate the terms in the parenthesis, they come out to be a  $n \times n$  matrix, and we should multiply those terms to  $\Sigma$  using a [Schur product](#)

Each spatial derivative result in an extra factor of inverse length in terms of the units. Therefore, the covariance function of the 4th spatial derivative has units of (inverse length)<sup>4</sup> ... which seems like there could be factors of some constants missing that should cancel out the units.

## Gradient function for optimizing hyperparameters

Gradient function can be thought of

$$g(r^2) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \Sigma_{,hijk} \quad (30)$$

$$= \Gamma \frac{\partial \Sigma}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r^2} \Sigma \quad (31)$$

$$= -\frac{\beta}{2} \Gamma \Sigma \quad (32)$$

This is due to equation (11) showing how

$$\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial r^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r^2} \frac{\partial r^2}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

Or

$$\frac{\partial X_i \dots X_j}{\partial r^2} = 0.$$

## Conditional distribution to learn from $\gamma_1$ or $\kappa$

Our entire covariance matrix with second derivatives give:

$$\Sigma_{,hijk} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa\kappa & \kappa\gamma_1 & \kappa\gamma_2 \\ \gamma_1\kappa & \gamma_1\gamma_1 & \gamma_1\gamma_2 \\ \gamma_2\kappa & \gamma_2\gamma_1 & \gamma_2\gamma_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (33)$$

with a data vector:

$$\vec{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{x}_\kappa \\ \vec{x}_{\gamma_1} \\ \vec{x}_{\gamma_2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (34)$$

$$N(\mu_s, \Sigma_s) = N(\mu_{\kappa\kappa}, \Sigma_{\kappa\kappa} | \vec{d}_{\gamma_1}, \vec{d}_\kappa) \quad (35)$$

$$\Sigma_s = \Sigma_{\kappa\kappa} - \Sigma_{\kappa\gamma_1} \Sigma_{\gamma_1\gamma_1}^{-1} \Sigma_{\gamma_1\kappa} \quad (36)$$

## notes

- $\gamma_2$ , unlike  $\kappa$  and  $\gamma_1$  does not have any pair of repeated indices, e.g. 1122, nor 2211 nor 1111 etc., so for small angular separation, only  $\kappa$  and  $\gamma_1$  has increased covariances on the diagonal compared to  $\psi_s$  ## Thoughts on implementation
- The metric object should incorporate the  $\delta_{ij}$  condition for diagonal D, which will kill a lot of terms (sorry for being pedantic about including  $\delta$  since I don't want myself to forget about it)

## Comparison between parametrization of George and our parametrization

### Test 1:

Let's check that our general expression of the 4th derivative of  $\Sigma$  is correct by working out an example