Running head: TITLE 1

Assignment Statistics 6

Gustavo Villca Ponce¹ & Ernst-August Doelle^{1,2}

- ¹ Wilhelm-Wundt-University
- ² Konstanz Business School

Author Note

- Add complete departmental affiliations for each author here. Each new line herein must be indented, like this line.
- Enter author note here.

5

- Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Gustavo Villca Ponce,
- o Postal address. E-mail: my@email.com

11 Abstract

Enter abstract here. Each new line herein must be indented, like this line.

13 Keywords: Blood and tears

Word count: X

Assignment Statistics 6

##introducion

15

35

This is a statistical report, based on (add citation) for the class of *Statistics VI*(Seminar on statistical analyses of psychological research data) [P0Q01a]. As required by the guidelines of this project, this report will consist of three main parts, in which we will try to 1)Check the reproducibility status of the published results, 2)Check the robustness status of the confirmatory analyses and 3) Check the pre-registration status of the published results by comparing the pre-registered protocol to the published paper.

23 Reproducibility

To begin the replication portion of this report, we start by Exploratory analysis. 24 exploring the possibility of a monotonic relationship between digital screen-time and mental 25 well-being as described by Przybylski and Weinstein (2017), We achieve this my making use of the Besyan Information Criterion (BIC) and comparing the simple linear models of all 27 variables concerning digital screen-time with their quadratic counterparts. From these results (add here) we can suggest that a simple linear regression model would fit beter at least the variables of "time using computer" and "time using smartphone". However, this is consistent with what is reported by Przybylski and Weinstein (2017), the authors claim that although a monotonic relationship could possibly be fitted onto the data, it would be very unsuitable, this can be confirmed by observing the plotted data presented by the researchers (see, 33 Przybylski & Weinstein (2017), figure 1) 34

Confirmatory analysis. \$\$\$\$

Following the steps described by the authors we start the exploratory data analysis by creating quadratic models of all four types of digital activities consisting of both linear and of non-linear components, next we extracted all the important value (SD, P-values, $\beta 1$, Confidence intervals and Cohen's d) out of the models and created two tables , the first table contains the outcome of the models without taking into account the control variables

described in the paper, namely gender ,ethnicity and Socio Economical Status. The second

42 table contains the outcomes of the models with the control variables (See tables below)

	b	SE	CI(2.5%)	CI(97.5%)	р	d
Watch Weekday Linear	0.99	0.10	0.79	1.20	0.00	0.06
Watch Weekday Quadratic	-0.14	0.01	-0.16	-0.12	0.00	0.09
Watch Weekend Linear	1.55	0.10	1.36	1.74	0.00	0.10
Watch Weekend Quadratic	-0.17	0.01	-0.18	-0.15	0.00	0.13
Play Weekday Linear	3.71	0.12	3.47	3.95	0.00	0.20
Play Weekday Quadratic	-0.34	0.01	-0.37	-0.32	0.00	0.18
Play Weekend Linear	3.20	0.09	3.03	3.38	0.00	0.22
Play Weekend Quadratic	-0.27	0.01	-0.28	-0.25	0.00	0.20
Computer Weekday Linear	1.32	0.10	1.11	1.52	0.00	0.08
Computer Weekday Quadratic	-0.17	0.01	-0.19	-0.15	0.00	0.11
Computer Weekend Linear	1.60	0.09	1.42	1.78	0.00	0.11
Computer Weekend Quadratic	-0.18	0.01	-0.19	-0.16	0.00	0.14
Smatphone Weekday Linear	-0.56	0.09	-0.73	-0.40	0.00	0.04
Smatphone Weekday Quadratic	-0.01	0.01	-0.03	0.00	0.11	0.01
Smatphone Weekend Linear	0.46	0.08	0.29	0.62	0.00	0.03
Smatphone Weekend Quadratic	-0.10	0.01	-0.11	-0.08	0.00	0.08

43

	b	SE	CI(2.5%)	CI(97.5%)	р	d
Watch Weekday Linear	0.99	0.10	0.79	1.20	0.00	0.06
Watch Weekday Quadratic	-0.14	0.01	-0.16	-0.12	0.00	0.09
Watch Weekend Linear	1.55	0.10	1.36	1.74	0.00	0.10
Watch Weekend Quadratic	-0.17	0.01	-0.18	-0.15	0.00	0.13
Play Weekday Linear	3.71	0.12	3.47	3.95	0.00	0.20
Play Weekday Quadratic	-0.34	0.01	-0.37	-0.32	0.00	0.18
Play Weekend Linear	3.20	0.09	3.03	3.38	0.00	0.22
Play Weekend Quadratic	-0.27	0.01	-0.28	-0.25	0.00	0.20
Computer Weekday Linear	1.32	0.10	1.11	1.52	0.00	0.08
Computer Weekday Quadratic	-0.17	0.01	-0.19	-0.15	0.00	0.11
Computer Weekend Linear	1.60	0.09	1.42	1.78	0.00	0.11
Computer Weekend Quadratic	-0.18	0.01	-0.19	-0.16	0.00	0.14
Smatphone Weekday Linear	-0.56	0.09	-0.73	-0.40	0.00	0.04
Smatphone Weekday Quadratic	-0.01	0.01	-0.03	0.00	0.11	0.01
Smatphone Weekend Linear	0.46	0.08	0.29	0.62	0.00	0.03
Smatphone Weekend Quadratic	-0.10	0.01	-0.11	-0.08	0.00	0.08
1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				. 11 . 1		

###Reproducibility analysis Although we were able to extract all the important

44

statistics out of the raw data without to many issues, notice that some of our values are

⁴⁷ different from those reported in Przybylski and Weinstein (2017). More specifically, we can

see differences in

49 References