Biological Sciences Department

Rubric for Assessing MS Thesis Program (Thesis Defense)

Name of MS Candidate: Stacey Sekscienski

Name of Evaluator: Erik Noonburg

Field of Study: Ecological Modeling

Signature and Date: E.R. Noonburg

Category of Learning Outcomes and Assessment Goals	Score (1–5) where 5 is best
Scientific Merit of Study	4
Critical analysis of Literature in the Field	4
Hypothesis and extent to Which Objectives were accomplished	4
Scope and quality of data collected and its presentation	4
Intellectual merit of data interpretation and analysis	4
Potential for journal publication from the work	4
TOTAL SCORE	24
AVERAGE OVERALL SCORE	4
Criterion for Success is at least 80% MS at 3 or better	

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Biological Sciences Department

Rubric for Assessing MS Thesis Program (Thesis Defense)

Name of MS Candidate: Stacey Sekscienski

Name of Evaluator: Jon Moore

Field of Study: Herpetology

Signature and Date: [signature] 25 Oct 2012

Category of Learning Outcomes and Assessment Goals	Score (1–5) where 5 is best
Scientific Merit of Study	4
Critical analysis of Literature in the Field	4
Hypothesis and extent to Which Objectives were accomplished	4
Scope and quality of data collected and its presentation	4
Intellectual merit of data interpretation and analysis	4
Potential for journal publication from the work	4
TOTAL SCORE	24
AVERAGE OVERALL SCORE	4
Criterion for Success is at least 80% MS at 3 or better	

RECOMMENDATIONS:

Biological Sciences Department

Rubric for Assessing MS Thesis Program (Thesis Defense)

Name of MS Candidate: Stacy Sekscienski

Name of Evaluator: Dale Gawlik

Field of Study: Conservation & ecology

Signature and Date: Dale Gawlik 25-Oct-12

Category of Learning Outcomes and Assessment Goals	Score (1–5) where 5 is best
Scientific Merit of Study	3
Critical analysis of Literature in the Field	3
Hypothesis and extent to Which Objectives were accomplished	5
Scope and quality of data collected and its presentation	4
Intellectual merit of data interpretation and analysis	3
Potential for journal publication from the work	4
TOTAL SCORE	22
AVERAGE OVERALL SCORE	3.7
Criterion for Success is at least 80% MS at 3 or better	

RECOMMENDATIONS: