### **Biological Sciences Department**

# Rubric for Assessing MS Thesis Program (Thesis Defense)

Name of MS Candidat	e: Morgai	1 Youn	<b>Q</b>
Name of Evaluator:	Mike 5	Salmon	J
Field of Study:	Biology	(animal	behavior)
Signature and Date:	Michael	Salver	<u> </u>

Category of Learning Outcomes and Assessment Goals	Score (1-5) where 5 is best
Scientific Merit of Study	5
Critical analysis of Literature in the Field	5
Hypothesis and extent to Which Objectives were accomplished	5
Scope and quality of data collected and its presentation	5
Intellectual merit of data interpretation and analysis	5
Potential for journal publication from the work	5
TOTAL SCORE	30
AVERAGE OVERALL SCORE	5
Criterion for Success is at least 80% MS at 3 or better	

RECOMMENDATIONS:		

#### **Biological Sciences Department**

## Rubric for Assessing MS Thesis Program (Thesis Defense)

Name of MS Candidate: Morgan Young	
Name of Evaluator: Tamara Frank	
Field of Study: Visual Physiology	
Signature and Date: Symoux Frank	11/30/11

Category of Learning Outcomes and Assessment Goals	Score (1-5) where 5 is best
Scientific Merit of Study	5
Critical analysis of Literature in the Field	4
Hypothesis and extent to Which Objectives were accomplished	5
Scope and quality of data collected and its presentation	5
Intellectual merit of data interpretation and analysis	5
Potential for journal publication from the work	5
TOTAL SCORE	29
AVERAGE OVERALL SCORE	4.83
Criterion for Success is at least 80% MS at 3 or better	

RECOMMENDATIONS: publish this work

### **Biological Sciences Department**

## Rubric for Assessing MS Thesis Program (Thesis Defense)

Name of MS Candidate: Morgan Sm.+h
Name of Evaluator: JEANETTE WYNEKEN
Field of Study: BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES VISUAL BIOLOGY
Signature and Date: Genette Wyneken 30 Nov 2011
$\mathcal{O}$

Category of Learning Outcomes and Assessment Goals	Score (1-5) where 5 is best	
Scientific Merit of Study	5	
Critical analysis of Literature in the Field	5	
Hypothesis and extent to Which Objectives were accomplished	4	
Scope and quality of data collected and its presentation	5	
Intellectual merit of data interpretation and analysis	5	
Potential for journal publication from the work	5	
TOTAL SCORE	29	
AVERAGE OVERALL SCORE	4.83	
Criterion for Success is at least 80% MS at 3 or better		

RECOMMENDATIONS:	Clarify	the form	
- publish the	is		 