Logistic regression with a latent binary variable and noisy labels

September 28, 2018

1 Model

Following [1], consider a set of N training data points $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_n, c_n) : 1 \le n \le N\}$ where $\mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^M$ denote M-dimensional real-valued explanatory data (e.g. gene expression levels) and $c_n \in \{0, 1 \dots K - 1\}$ denotes a categorical label with K possible values (e.g. clinician-assigned label incorporating some degree of uncertainty).

We aim to fit this with a two-stage model, first regressing the explanatory data \mathbf{x}_n to a latent binary variable representing the true underlying state $b_n \in \{0,1\}$ (e.g. disease state), then modeling clinical labeling as a categorical variable $c_n|b_n$ that is conditionally-independent of the explanatory data given the true underlying state

$$P(b = 1|\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \sigma(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x})$$

 $P(c = k|b = j, \mathbf{z}) = z_{j,k}$

where $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$ is the logistic function, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ are parameters for the logistic regression model, and \mathbf{z} are probability parameters for the label observation model.

We put a Laplace double-exponential (Lasso) prior on ${\bf u}$, and a flattish Dirichlet prior on each row of ${\bf z}$

$$P(\mathbf{u}) \propto \prod_{m=1}^{M} \exp(-|u^{(m)}|)$$
 $P(\mathbf{z}|\alpha) \propto \prod_{j \in \{0,1\}} \prod_{k=0}^{K-1} z_{j,k}^{\alpha_{j,k}}$

subject to the constraint $\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} z_{j,k} = 1 \ \forall \ j \in \{0,1\}$, i.e. the parameters $z_{j,k}$ for each value of j must lie on the (K-1)-dimensional simplex.

¹For identifiability of b, we need to break the symmetry of the Dirichlet prior slightly; e.g. by setting $\alpha_{b,c}=1$ for (b,c) pairs that "agree", and $\alpha_{b,c}=0$ for all other (b,c) pairs.

This is equivalent to Section 2.2 of [1], with the sum over j in equation (8) of that paper constrained to $j \in \{0, 1\}$ instead of $j \in \{0, 1 \dots K-1\}$. The paper derives a conjugate gradient optimization algorithm, and proves its convergence.

1.1 Quartile approach

An alternate model is to use the interpretation of logistic regression where a latent *continuous-valued* random variable (obtained by adding logistically-distributed noise to $\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}$) is used to obtain the labels (b, c), e.g. with c corresponding to the quartiles.

I haven't pursued this model, as the assumption that c corresponds to quartiles of the latent variable underlying logistic regression seems like a possible misfit to the situation of arbitrarily designated clinical labels (although, conceivably, my assumption that c is independent of \mathbf{x} given b is just as bad, or worse).

2 EM algorithm

How to use the training data D to fit the logistic model weights \mathbf{u} and label error probabilities \mathbf{z} ? One approach is to use the EM (Expectation Maximization) algorithm [2], treating the binary-valued latent variables $B = \{b_n\}$ as missing data, the dataset D = (X, C) as observed data (with inputs $X = \{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ and observed labels $C = \{c_n\}$), and $\theta = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{z})$ as the parameters to be fit by the algorithm.

The conjugate gradient parameter optimization approach derived by [1] may well be superior to the EM method. However I've outlined the EM approach here for reference.

The joint likelihood including observed and missing data is

$$\begin{split} P(B,C,\theta|X) &= P(\theta)P(B,C|\theta,X) \\ &= P(\mathbf{u})P(\mathbf{z}|\alpha)P(B|\mathbf{u},X)P(C|\mathbf{z},B) \\ &= P(\mathbf{u})P(\mathbf{z}|\alpha)\prod_{n=1}^{N}P(b_{n}|\mathbf{u},\mathbf{x}_{n})P(c_{n}|\mathbf{z},b_{n}) \end{split}$$

The marginal likelihood to be maximized, using observed data only, is

$$P(C, \theta|X) = \sum_{B} P(B, C, \theta|X)$$

$$= P(\mathbf{u})P(\mathbf{z}|\alpha) \prod_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j \in \{0,1\}} P(b_n = j|\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}_n) P(c_n|\mathbf{z}, b_n = j)$$

At the (i+1)'th iteration, the parameters found by the EM algorithm are

given by maximizing the expected log-likelihood

$$\begin{split} \theta^{(i+1)} &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \mathcal{E}\left(\theta || \theta^{(i)}\right) \\ \mathcal{E}\left(\theta || \theta^{(i)}\right) &= \sum_{B} P(B | \theta^{(i)}, X, C) \log P(B, C, \theta | X) \\ &= \log P(\mathbf{u}) + \log P(\mathbf{z} | \alpha) + \sum_{B} P(B | \theta^{(i)}, X, C) \left[\log P(B | \mathbf{u}, X) + \log P(C | \mathbf{z}, B) \right] \\ &= \log P(\mathbf{u}) + \log P(\mathbf{z} | \alpha) \\ &+ \sum_{n} \sum_{j \in \{0, 1\}} P(b_n = j | \theta^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}_n, c_n) \left[\log P(b_n = j | \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x}_n) + \log P(c_n | \mathbf{z}, b_n = j) \right] \\ &= \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}} + \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}} \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}} &= \log P(\mathbf{u}) + \sum_{n} \left[\left(1 - \beta_n^{(i)} \right) \log \left(1 - \sigma \left(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_n \right) \right) + \beta_n^{(i)} \log \sigma \left(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_n \right) \right] \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}} &= \log P(\mathbf{z} | \alpha) + \sum_{n} \left[\left(1 - \beta_n^{(i)} \right) \log z_{0, c_n} + \beta_n^{(i)} \log z_{1, c_n} \right] \\ \beta_n^{(i)} &= P(b_n = 1 | \theta^{(i)}, \mathbf{x}_n, c_n) \\ P(b_n = 1 | \theta, \mathbf{x}_n, c_n) &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{P(c_n, b_n = 0 | \theta, \mathbf{x}_n)}{P(c_n, b_n = 1 | \theta, \mathbf{x}_n)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_n)) z_{0, c_n}}{\sigma(\mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{x}_n) z_{1, c_n}}} \end{split}$$

The maximization of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}$ w.r.t. \mathbf{u} is a weighted, Lasso-penalized logistic regression (the weights being the $\beta_n^{(i)}$). A suggested implementation of this maximization is outlined in Section 2.1.

Collecting coefficients of log $z_{j,k}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}}$, we find

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}} = \sum_{j,k} \gamma_{j,k} \log z_{j,k}$$

$$\gamma_{0,k} = \alpha_{0,k} + \sum_{n: c_n = k} \left(1 - \beta_n^{(i)} \right)$$

$$\gamma_{1,k} = \alpha_{1,k} + \sum_{n: c_n = k} \beta_n^{(i)}$$

To maximize $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}}$ subject to the constraint that $\sum_{k=0}^{K-1} z_{j,k} = 1$ for each $j \in \{0,1\}$, we introduce Lagrange multipliers λ_j and maximize the following instead

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{z}; \lambda_0, \lambda_1) = \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}} + \sum_{j} \lambda_j \left(1 - \sum_{k} z_{j,k} \right)$$

The maximum occurs where $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dz_{j,k}}=0$ and $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\lambda_j}=0$, which leads to

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{z_{b,c}} \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}} = \frac{\gamma_{b,c}}{\sum_{k} \gamma_{b,k}} \tag{1}$$

2.1 Implementation of weighted logistic regression in R

The maximization of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}}$ w.r.t. \mathbf{u} can be performed using R's glmnet() function (generalized linear model regression with Lasso prior) with family = binomial(link = "logit") (logistic regression is equivalent to binomial-family GLM regression with the "logit" link function).

R's GLM-fitter allows weighting of the training examples; that is, an augmented dataset $D' = \{(\mathbf{x}'_n, b'_n, f'_n) : 1 \leq n \leq N'\}$ where f'_n is a weight (by default 1), loosely equivalent (when integer-valued) to the number of times datapoint (\mathbf{x}_n, b_n) was observed, or its frequency.

To implement the M-step in the (i+1)'th iteration of EM, we construct a weighted pseudo-dataset D' containing N'=2N weighted training examples (that is, two for every example in the original unweighted dataset D). The first N are labeled as negatives, the remaining N as positives; the weights are set using $\beta_n^{(i)}$, the posterior probability inferences from the previous step of EM. Specifically, for $1 \le n \le N$

$$\mathbf{x}'_{n} = \mathbf{x}_{n}$$
 $\mathbf{x}'_{N+n} = \mathbf{x}_{n}$
 $b'_{n} = 0$
 $b'_{N+n} = 1$
 $f'_{n} = 1 - \beta_{n}^{(i)}$
 $f'_{N+n} = \beta_{n}^{(i)}$

The weights can be supplied to the R code using using the weights argument to glmnet().

The weighted logistic regression fit can then be worked into an R program that implements EM. Pseudocode for this algorithm is as follows

- Set $\theta^{(1)}$ to some "sensible" initial values
- For $i \in \{1, 2, 3 \dots\}$ do:
 - Calculate $\beta_n^{(i)}$ using current $\theta^{(i)} = (\mathbf{u}^{(i)}, \mathbf{z}^{(i)})$
 - Set $\mathbf{z}^{(i+1)} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{z}}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{z}})$ using Equation (1)
 - Set $\mathbf{u}^{(i+1)} \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{u}})$ using glmnet() with weights
- Loop over i continues while $\log \frac{P(C, \theta^{(i+1)}|X)}{P(C, \theta^{(i)}|X)} > \epsilon$ for some EM convergence threshold ϵ

References

[1] Jakramate Bootkrajang and Ata Kabán. Label-noise robust logistic regression and its applications. In *Proceedings of the 2012 European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases - Volume Part I*, ECML PKDD'12, pages 143–158, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012. Springer-Verlag.

[2] A. P. Dempster, N. M. Laird, and D. B. Rubin. Maximum likelihood from incomplete data via the em algorithm. *JOURNAL OF THE ROYAL STATISTICAL SOCIETY, SERIES B*, 39(1):1–38, 1977.