Homework 02

Ihsan Kahveci

2020-04-18

Questions

Q1

Table 1: Q1 one-sex closed population

| Age | Population (N_x) | Fertility Rate (\tilde{F}_x) | Survival Prob. (s_x) |
|-----|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 18000 | 0.0 | 0.65 |
| 2 | 17000 | 0.9 | 0.75 |
| 3+ | 14000 | 0.2 | 0.15 |

Q1.a

The crude birth rate (CBR) is defined as the number of births over the person-years lived in the period $[T_1, T_2]$. Since our period is a single year, we can calculate CBR as:

$$CBR = \sum \frac{N_x \tilde{F}_x}{N_x s_x}$$

where we sum over all age groups. The crude birth rate for this population in the next time period is then **0.682**.

Q1.b

The total fertility rate in the population in the period $[T_1, T_2]$ is defined as the sum of the age-specific fertility rates across all age groups, multiplied by the length of the age interval, n. With $T_2 - T_1 = n = 1$, the total fertility rate represents the single-year cohort total fertility rate:

$${\rm TFR}[T_1,T_1+1] = \sum{}_1F_x[T_1,T_1+1]$$

We can convert between \tilde{F}_x and $_1F_x$ using the equation

$$\tilde{F}_x = {}_1F_x \times \frac{1}{1+SRB} \times \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + s_{x-1} \frac{N_{x-1,t}}{N_{x,t}}\right) \times \left(1 - \frac{q_0}{2}\right)$$

where we assume SRB=1.05 and take $q_0=1-s_0$. After converting to age-specific fertility rates, we calculate a total fertility rate of 0+2.649+0.52= **3.17** for this population.

Q1.c

The Leslie matrix, L, for this population is defined as:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{F}_{A-3} & \tilde{F}_{A-2} & \tilde{F}_{A-1} \\ s_{A-3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_{A-2} & s_{A-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.9 & 0.2 \\ 0.65 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.75 & 0.15 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where (A-1)+ is the highest age group that can be reached in this population, 3+, s_x denotes the probability of survival to the next age group for age group x, and \tilde{F}_x is the expected number of female births to a woman age x, who survives to the next time interval.

Q1.d

We can project this population forward using the cohort-component method of population projection, which states that the age-specific populations one time period ahead (N_{t+1}) can be calculated from the matrix multiplication of the age-specific population in the current period (N_t) and the Leslie matrix (L) of the population. The population by age one period forward from our given initial population is then:

$$\begin{split} N_{t+1} &= LN_t \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.9 & 0.2 \\ 0.65 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.75 & 0.15 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 18000 \\ 17000 \\ 14000 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 18100 \\ 11700 \\ 14850 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Q1.e

This method can be extended to projecting age-specific population k periods ahead by raising the Leslie matrix to the kth power (L^k) . Our given population, projected 2 periods into the future is then:

$$\begin{split} N_{t+2} &= L^{10} N_t \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0.9 & 0.2 \\ 0.65 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.75 & 0.15 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} 18000 \\ 17000 \\ 14000 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0.585 & 0.15 & 0.03 \\ 0 & 0.585 & 0.13 \\ 0.488 & 0.112 & 0.022 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 18000 \\ 17000 \\ 14000 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 13500 \\ 11765 \\ 11002 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Q1.f

The crude birth rate for this population from time period 1 to time period 2 is **0.607**.

The total fertility rate between time periods 1&2 is **2.907** for this population.

Q1.g

From the theorem that N_t converges to $\lambda^t u$ as t approaches infinity, $log(\lambda)$ is the instantaneous rate of increase of the population. Here, λ is defined as the dominant right eigenvalue of the Leslie matrix, or for the equation:

$$Lv = \lambda v$$

it is the eigenvalue λ with the largest magnitude. For our calculated Leslie matrix, the instantaneous rate of increase is **-0.161**.

Q1.h

Again, from the the formula $\lambda^t u$, u is the *stable age distribution*, and is defined as the dominant right eigenvector of the Leslie matrix, which is the column vector v from the eigendecomposition of L corresponding to the eigenvalue λ with the largest magnitude.

For our calculated Leslie matrix, the stable age distribution is $\begin{bmatrix} 0.666 \\ 0.509 \\ 0.545 \end{bmatrix}$.

Q1.i

The reproductive value vector (v) is a vector of expected the number of future offspring of an individual for each age group. A theorem states that v is the dominant left eigenvector of the Leslie matrix for the population. The left dominant eigenvector of a matrix A is equivalent to the right dominant eigenvector of the transpose of matrix, A^{\top} . So, in the formula:

$$L^\top u = \kappa u$$

the dominant eigenvector u represents the reproductive values. For our Leslie matrix, the reproductive value matrix is then $\begin{bmatrix} -0.598 \\ -0.783 \\ -0.171 \end{bmatrix}.$

Appendix

```
# Load libraries
library(tidyverse, quietly = TRUE)
library(wpp2019)
# Helper functions
write_matex <- function(x, digits = 3) {</pre>
  # From: https://stackoverflow.com/a/54088015/8866058
  x <- round(x, digits = digits)</pre>
  mat_string <- apply(x, 1, function(y) paste(y, collapse = "&"))</pre>
  paste("\\begin{bmatrix}", paste0(mat_string, collapse = "\\\\"), "\\end{bmatrix}")
"%^%" <- function(A, n) {
 if (n == 1) {
    Α
 } else {
    A %*% (A %^% (n - 1))
}
make_leslie_matrix <- function(f, s) {</pre>
  if (length(f) != length(s)) {
    stop("f and s must be the same length")
  n_size <- length(f)</pre>
  l_mat <- matrix(0, nrow = n_size, ncol = n_size)</pre>
  l mat[1, ] <- f</pre>
  diag(l_mat[-1, ]) <- s[1:(n_size - 1)]
  l_mat[n_size, n_size] <- s[n_size]</pre>
  1_mat
}
# Question 1 -----
pop_table <- tibble(</pre>
 age = c("1", "2", "3+"),
 pop = c(18, 17, 14) * 1000,
 fr = c(0, .9, .2),
 surv = c(.65, .75, .15)
knitr::kable(
 pop_table,
```

```
booktabs = TRUE,
  caption = "Q1 one-sex closed population",
  col.names = c(
   "Age",
   "Population ($N_x$)",
   "Fertility Rate ($\\tilde{F}_x$)",
   "Survival Prob. ($s_x$)"
 ),
 eval = FALSE
# Question 1a -----
CBR <- pop_table %>%
 mutate(
   births = pop * fr,
   person_years = pop * surv
  ) %>%
  summarise(cbr = sum(births) / sum(person_years))
# Question 1b -----
f_tilde_2_asfr <- function(F_tilde, srb, Sxm1, Nxm1, Nx, q0) {</pre>
 F_{tilde} * (1 + srb) * 2/(1 + Sxm1 * (Nxm1/Nx)) / (1 - q0/2)
}
pop_asfr_1 <- 0</pre>
pop_asfr_2 <- f_tilde_2_asfr(.9, 1.05, .65, 18000, 17000, 1-.65)</pre>
pop_asfr_3 <- f_tilde_2_asfr(.2, 1.05, .75, 17000, 14000, 1-.65)
pop_asfr <- c(pop_asfr_1, pop_asfr_2, pop_asfr_3)</pre>
TFR <- sum(pop_asfr)</pre>
tfr_eqn <- paste0(round(pop_asfr, 3), collapse = " + ")</pre>
# Question 1c -----
pop_leslie <- make_leslie_matrix(pop_table$fr, pop_table$surv)</pre>
# Question 1d ------
pop_t0 <- matrix(pop_table$pop)</pre>
pop_t1 <- pop_leslie %*% pop_t0</pre>
# Question 1e -----
pop_t2 <- (pop_leslie %^% 2) %*% pop_t0</pre>
```

```
# Question 1f -----
pop_t2 <- (pop_leslie %^% 2) %*% pop_t1</pre>
CBR_t2 <- pop_table %>%
 mutate(
  pop = as.vector(pop_t2),
  births = pop * fr,
   person_years = pop * surv
 ) %>%
 summarise(cbr = sum(births) / sum(person_years))
pop_asfr_1_t2 <- 0</pre>
pop_asfr_2_t2 <- f_tilde_2_asfr(.9, 1.05, .65, pop_t2[1], pop_t2[2], 1-.65)
pop_asfr_3_t2 <- f_tilde_2_asfr(.2, 1.05, .75, pop_t2[2], pop_t2[3], 1-.65)
pop_asfr_t2 <- c(pop_asfr_1_t2, pop_asfr_2_t2, pop_asfr_3_t2)</pre>
TFR_t2 <- sum(pop_asfr_t2)</pre>
# Question 1g -----
pop_right_eigen <- eigen(pop_leslie)</pre>
dominant_right_index <- which.max(abs(pop_right_eigen$values))</pre>
pop_iroi <- log(pop_right_eigen$values[dominant_right_index])</pre>
# Question 1h ------
pop_sad <- matrix(pop_right_eigen$vectors[, dominant_right_index])</pre>
# Question 1i -----
pop_left_eigen <- eigen(t(pop_leslie))</pre>
dominant_left_index <- which.max(abs(pop_left_eigen$values))</pre>
pop_repv <- matrix(pop_left_eigen$vectors[, dominant_left_index])</pre>
```