Solving Recurrence Relations

So what does T(n) = T(n-1) + nlook like anyway?

Kurt Schmidt Drexel University

Recurrence Relations

- Can easily describe the runtime of recursive algorithms
- Can then be expressed in a closed form (not defined in terms of itself)

Consider the linear search:

Eg. 1 - Linear Search

- Recursively
- Look at an element (constant work, c),
 then search the remaining elements...



- T(n) = T(n-1) + c
- "The cost of searching n elements is the cost of looking at 1 element, plus the cost of searching n-1 elements"

Caveat:

- You need to convince yourself (and others) that the single step, examining an element, *is* done in constant time.
- Can I get to the ith element in constant time, either directly, or from the (i-1)th element?
- Look at the code

Methods of Solving Recurrence Relations

- Substitution (we'll work on this one in this lecture)
- Accounting method
- Draw the recursion tree, think about it
- The Master Theorem*
- Guess at an upper bound, prove it

^{*} See Cormen, Leiserson, & Rivest, Introduction to Algorithms

We'll "unwind" a few of these

$$T(n) = T(n-1) + c$$
 (1)
But, $T(n-1) = T(n-2) + c$, from above

Substituting back in:

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + c + c$$

Gathering like terms

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + 2c(2)$$

Keep going:

$$T(n) = T(n-2) + 2c$$
 $T(n-2) = T(n-3) + c$
 $T(n) = T(n-3) + c + 2c$
 $T(n) = T(n-3) + 3c$ (3)

One more:

$$T(n) = T(n-4) + 4c$$
 (4)

Looking for Patterns

- Note, the intermediate results are enumerated
- We need to pull out patterns, to write a general expression for the kth unwinding
 - This requires practise. It is a little bit art. The brain learns patterns, over time. Practise.
- Be careful while simplifying after substitution

Eg. 1 – list of intermediates

Result at i th unwinding	i
T(n) = T(n-1) + 1c	1
T(n) = T(n-2) + 2c	2
T(n) = T(n-3) + 3c	3
T(n) = T(n-4) + 4c	4

An expression for the kth unwinding:

$$T(n) = T(n-k) + kc$$

- We have 2 variables, k and n, but we have a relation
- T(d) is constant (can be determined) for some constant d (we know the algorithm)
- Choose any convenient # to stop.

- Let's decide to stop at T(0). When the list to search is empty, you're done...
- 0 is convenient, in this example...

Let
$$n-k = 0 => n=k$$

Now, substitute n in everywhere for k:

$$T(n) = T(n-n) + nc$$

$$T(n) = T(0) + nc = nc + c_0 = O(n)$$

$$(T(0) \text{ is some constant, } c_0)$$

Binary Search

- Algorithm "check middle, then search lower ½ or upper ½"
- T(n) = T(n/2) + c
 where c is some constant, the cost of checking the middle...
- Can we really find the middle in constant time? (Make sure.)

Let's do some quick substitutions:

$$T(n) = T(n/2) + c (1)$$
but $T(n/2) = T(n/4) + c$, so
$$T(n) = T(n/4) + c + c$$

$$T(n) = T(n/4) + 2c(2)$$

$$T(n/4) = T(n/8) + c$$

$$T(n) = T(n/8) + c + 2c$$

$$T(n) = T(n/8) + 3c(3)$$

Result at i th unwinding	i
T(n) = T(n/2) + c	1
T(n) = T(n/4) + 2c	2
T(n) = T(n/8) + 3c	3
T(n) = T(n/16) + 4c	4

- We need to write an expression for the kth unwinding (in n & k)
 - Must find patterns, changes, as i=1, 2, ..., k
 - This can be the hard part
 - Do not get discouraged! Try something else...
 - We'll re-write those equations...
- We will then need to relate n and k

Result at i th unwinding			i
T(n)	= T(n/2) + c	=T(n/2 ¹) + 1c	1
T(n)	= T(n/4) + 2c	=T(n/2 ²) + 2c	2
T(n)	= T(n/8) + 3c	$=T(n/2^3) + 3c$	3
T(n)	= T(n/16) + 4c	=T(n/2 ⁴) + 4c	4

After k unwindings:

$$T(n) = T(n/2^k) + kc$$

- Need a convenient place to stop unwinding – need to relate k & n
- Let's pick T(0) = c₀ So,
 n/2^k = 0 =>
 n=0

Hmm. Easy, but not real useful...

- Okay, let's consider $T(1) = c_0$
- So, let:

$$n/2^{k} = 1 =>$$
 $n = 2^{k} =>$
 $k = log_{2}n = lg n$

Substituting back in (getting rid of k):

$$T(n) = T(1) + c lg(n)$$

= $c lg(n) + c_0$
= $O(lg(n))$