# Introduction to Programming

Week – 6, Lecture – 2
Pointers in C – Part 2

SAURABH SRIVASTAVA

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

IIT KANPUR

Pointers are a special type of variables, which store the address of other variables...

• ... in essence, "pointing" to them

Pointers are a special type of variables, which store the address of other variables...

• ... in essence, "pointing" to them

A pointer variable have an associated data type – the type of variables, it can point to

Pointers are a special type of variables, which store the address of other variables...

• ... in essence, "pointing" to them

A pointer variable have an associated data type – the type of variables, it can point to

We can assign the address of a compatible variable to a pointer variable using the & operator

```
• ... e.g. ptr = &var;
```

Pointers are a special type of variables, which store the address of other variables...

• ... in essence, "pointing" to them

A pointer variable have an associated data type – the type of variables, it can point to

We can assign the address of a compatible variable to a pointer variable using the & operator

```
• ... e.g. ptr = &var;
```

Similarly, to access the value stored at the address stored in a pointer variable, \* can be used

```
...e.g. *ptr = value;
```

Pointers are a special type of variables, which store the address of other variables...

• ... in essence, "pointing" to them

A pointer variable have an associated data type – the type of variables, it can point to

We can assign the address of a compatible variable to a pointer variable using the & operator

```
• ... e.g. ptr = &var;
```

Similarly, to access the value stored at the address stored in a pointer variable, \* can be used

```
• ... e.g. *ptr = value;
```

We can add or subtract integers, to and from a pointer variable...

- ... which advances or backtracks the stored address in the pointer, in fixed denominations...
- ... which is equal to the size of the associated data type for the pointer variable

Remember that arrays are a collection of variables, residing contiguously in the memory

... you can access the ith element of the array, using the expression arr[i]

Remember that arrays are a collection of variables, residing contiguously in the memory

... you can access the ith element of the array, using the expression arr[i]

However, whenever you write arr[i], the compiler converts it into \* (arr + i)

Remember that arrays are a collection of variables, residing contiguously in the memory

... you can access the i<sup>th</sup> element of the array, using the expression arr[i]

However, whenever you write arr[i], the compiler converts it into \* (arr + i)

You may have guessed it by now – here, arr is nothing but a pointer ...

... which points to the starting address of the allocated space

Remember that arrays are a collection of variables, residing contiguously in the memory

... you can access the i<sup>th</sup> element of the array, using the expression arr[i]

However, whenever you write arr[i], the compiler converts it into \* (arr + i)

You may have guessed it by now – here, arr is nothing but a pointer ...

- ... which points to the starting address of the allocated space
- Therefore, for a given array, you can always interchange arr[i] with \* (arr + i)

Remember that arrays are a collection of variables, residing contiguously in the memory

... you can access the i<sup>th</sup> element of the array, using the expression arr[i]

However, whenever you write arr[i], the compiler converts it into \* (arr + i)

You may have guessed it by now – here, arr is nothing but a pointer ...

- ... which points to the starting address of the allocated space
- Therefore, for a given array, you can always interchange arr[i] with \* (arr + i)

Also, arr is just an alias for &arr[0]...

- ... thus, if p is a compatible pointer variable, the statements p = &arr[0] is equivalent to p = arr
- Also, it is perfectly legal, in this case, to write p[i] in place of arr[i]

Remember that arrays are a collection of variables, residing contiguously in the memory

... you can access the i<sup>th</sup> element of the array, using the expression arr[i]

However, whenever you write arr[i], the compiler converts it into \* (arr + i)

You may have guessed it by now – here, arr is nothing but a pointer ...

- ... which points to the starting address of the allocated space
- Therefore, for a given array, you can always interchange arr[i] with \* (arr + i)

Also, arr is just an alias for &arr[0]...

- ... thus, if p is a compatible pointer variable, the statements p = &arr[0] is equivalent to p = arr
- Also, it is perfectly legal, in this case, to write p[i] in place of arr[i]
- However, while writing p++ is perfectly fine, arr++ is not a valid statement...
- ... basically, while the array name is definitely a pointer, it is *not a variable*, but a constant

```
#include<stdio.h>
int main()

char hello[] = "Hello World !!";
    char *hptr = hello; // points to the starting address of hello
    for(;*hptr != '\0'; hptr++)
        printf("%c", *hptr);
    printf("\n");
    return 0;
}
```

This allows us to move through the elements of hello, using hptr

```
#include<stdio.h>
int main()

char hello[] = "Hello World !!";
    char *hptr = hello; // points to the starting address of hello
    for(;*hptr != '\0'; hptr++)
        printf("%c", *hptr);
    printf("\n");
    return 0;
}
```

```
This is equivalent to writing:
char *hptr = &hello[0]; or
char *hptr; hptr = &hello[0];
```

```
#include<stdio.h>
int main()
{
      char hello[] = "Hello World !!";
      char *hptr = hello; // points to the starting address of hello
      for(;*hptr != '\0'; hptr++)
            printf("%c", *hptr);
      printf("\n");
      return 0;
}
```

The pointer arithmetic becomes handy here !!

```
saurabh@saurabh-VirtualBox:~/C/examples/Week 6$ gcc HelloPointers.c
saurabh@saurabh-VirtualBox:~/C/examples/Week 6$ ./a.out
Hello World !!
saurabh@saurabh-VirtualBox:~/C/examples/Week 6$
```

```
saurabh@saurabh-VirtualBox:~/C/examples/Week 6$ gcc HelloPointers.c
saurabh@saurabh-VirtualBox:~/C/examples/Week 6$ ./a.out
Hello World !!
saurabh@saurabh-VirtualBox:~/C/examples/Week 6$
```

... and this is our "Hello World !!" message, printed with the help of pointers!!

We haven't discussed a single reason to use pointers as yet

- After all, everything that we did with pointers, we could do that by other means anyhow
- So what is the need of pointers then? We'll now see one good reason for using them...

We haven't discussed a single reason to use pointers as yet

- After all, everything that we did with pointers, we could do that by other means anyhow
- So what is the need of pointers then? We'll now see one good reason for using them...

Remember that we discussed that the declared capacity of an array has to be a constant

- ... something like int arr[10]; here, 10 is the constant
- We cannot, however, declare an array like int arr[n]; where n is a variable

We haven't discussed a single reason to use pointers as yet

- After all, everything that we did with pointers, we could do that by other means anyhow
- So what is the need of pointers then? We'll now see one good reason for using them...

Remember that we discussed that the declared capacity of an array has to be a constant

- ... something like int arr[10]; here, 10 is the constant
- We cannot, however, declare an array like int arr[n]; where n is a variable

The malloc() function allocates an arbitrary amount of memory, and returns a pointer to it

- ... e.g., if we require an int array of size n, we can allocate sizeof (int) \* n bytes of space in memory
- We can then use the returned pointer, similar to an array

We haven't discussed a single reason to use pointers as yet

- After all, everything that we did with pointers, we could do that by other means anyhow
- So what is the need of pointers then? We'll now see one good reason for using them...

Remember that we discussed that the declared capacity of an array has to be a constant

- ... something like int arr[10]; here, 10 is the constant
- We cannot, however, declare an array like int arr[n]; where n is a variable

The malloc() function allocates an arbitrary amount of memory, and returns a pointer to it

- ... e.g., if we require an int array of size n, we can allocate sizeof (int) \* n bytes of space in memory
- We can then use the returned pointer, similar to an array

There is a drawback of using malloc() though – the allocated memory must be "freed" explicitly

• ... unlike spaces for regular variables, which are freed "automatically" when the control leaves their block

We haven't discussed a single reason to use pointers as yet

- After all, everything that we did with pointers, we could do that by other means anyhow
- So what is the need of pointers then? We'll now see one good reason for using them...

Remember that we discussed that the declared capacity of an array has to be a constant

- ... something like int arr[10]; here, 10 is the constant
- We cannot, however, declare an array like int arr[n]; where n is a variable

The malloc() function allocates an arbitrary amount of memory, and returns a pointer to it

- ... e.g., if we require an int array of size n, we can allocate sizeof (int) \* n bytes of space in memory
- We can then use the returned pointer, similar to an array

There is a drawback of using malloc() though – the allocated memory must be "freed" explicitly

- ... unlike spaces for regular variables, which are freed "automatically" when the control leaves their block
- To free this memory, you must pass the returned pointer to the free () function, when you are done

```
#include<stdio.h>
#include<stdlib.h>
#include<ctype.h>
#define MAX CHARS 21
int main()
        char word[MAX CHARS];
        int characters count = 0, i = 0;
        char *characters = NULL;
       printf("Enter a word (up to 20 characters):\n");
        fgets(word, MAX CHARS, stdin);
       // Find out the number of characters
       while(word[i++] != '\n')
                characters count++;
        characters = (char^*)malloc(sizeof(char) * (characters count+1));
        for(i = 0; i < characters count; i++)
                characters[i] = toupper(word[i]);
        characters[characters count] = word[characters count] = '\0';
        printf("%s, when converted to uppercase, is %s\n", word, characters);
        free(characters);
        return 0;
```

```
char *characters = NULL;
```

To create a one-dimensional char array dynamically, we need a pointer variable of type char\*

```
char *characters = NULL;
```

To create a one-dimensional char array dynamically, we need a pointer variable of type char\*

NULL is a special constant that can be used with pointer variables to signify that this pointer variable does not point to any location

```
characters = (char*)malloc(sizeof(char) * (characters count+1));
for(i = 0; i < characters count; i++)
```

The malloc() function takes a single argument – the number of bytes required

```
characters = (char*)malloc(sizeof(char) * (characters count+1));
for(i = 0; i < characters count; i++)
```

The malloc() function takes a single argument – the number of bytes required

It is a good practice to use sizeof(), instead of putting absolute numbers like 1, 4 or 8

```
characters = (char*)malloc(sizeof(char) * (characters count+1));
for(i = 0; i < characters count; i++)</pre>
```

The malloc() function takes a single argument – the number of bytes required

It is a good practice to use sizeof(), instead of putting absolute numbers like 1, 4 or 8

malloc() just returns a block of space

- you should typecast the returned

pointer before using it in your code

```
for(i = 0; i < characters count; i++)</pre>
        characters[i] = toupper(word[i]);
characters[characters count] = word[characters count] = '\0';
```

Once allocated, we can use the pointer variable in the same fashion, as we use an array

```
free(characters);
```

At the end, we should free the memory that we allocated, by calling the free() function, and passing It the pointer to the allocated block

Remember the discussion on post increment and pre increment operators?

We saw that pre increment operator has right to left associativity

Remember the discussion on post increment and pre increment operators?

- We saw that pre increment operator has right to left associativity
- The \* and & operators are also right to left associative

Remember the discussion on post increment and pre increment operators?

- We saw that pre increment operator has right to left associativity
- The \* and & operators are also right to left associative

Also, the pre increment operator has the same precedence as \*

• ... while the post increment operator has a higher precedence then both

Remember the discussion on post increment and pre increment operators?

- We saw that pre increment operator has right to left associativity
- The \* and & operators are also right to left associative

Also, the pre increment operator has the same precedence as \*

• ... while the post increment operator has a higher precedence then both

In a nutshell,

• The expression ++\*ptr and \*ptr++ are not the same

Remember the discussion on post increment and pre increment operators?

- We saw that pre increment operator has right to left associativity
- The \* and & operators are also right to left associative

Also, the pre increment operator has the same precedence as \*

• ... while the post increment operator has a higher precedence then both

#### In a nutshell,

- The expression ++\*ptr and \*ptr++ are not the same
- ++\*ptr is evaluated as ++ (\*ptr), i.e. "increment the value, stored at the address pointed by ptr"

Remember the discussion on post increment and pre increment operators?

- We saw that pre increment operator has right to left associativity
- The \* and & operators are also right to left associative

Also, the pre increment operator has the same precedence as \*

• ... while the post increment operator has a higher precedence then both

#### In a nutshell,

- The expression ++\*ptr and \*ptr++ are not the same
- ++\*ptr is evaluated as ++ (\*ptr), i.e. "increment the value, stored at the address pointed by ptr"
- \*ptr++ is evaluated as \* (ptr++), i.e. "add one to ptr and get the value at the previously pointed address"

Remember the discussion on post increment and pre increment operators?

- We saw that pre increment operator has right to left associativity
- The \* and & operators are also right to left associative

Also, the pre increment operator has the same precedence as \*

• ... while the post increment operator has a higher precedence then both

#### In a nutshell,

- The expression ++\*ptr and \*ptr++ are not the same
- ++\*ptr is evaluated as ++ (\*ptr), i.e. "increment the value, stored at the address pointed by ptr"
- \*ptr++ is evaluated as \* (ptr++), i.e. "add one to ptr and get the value at the previously pointed address"

A reminder – all operators with the same precedence, also have the same associativity

#### Homework!!

There are two more library functions, which which are used for dynamic memory allocation...

```
o calloc(), and
```

- alloca()
- Find out how they are different from malloc()

Find out the other C operators, which have right to left associativity

This link has a table:
 <a href="https://www.tutorialspoint.com/cprogramming/c operators precedence.htm">https://www.tutorialspoint.com/cprogramming/c operators precedence.htm</a>