

## COURSE FEEDBACK 2 SEMESTER 2021-2022

Course : COL331      Slot : E      Total Student in course: 175

### PRACTICAL COMPONENT

	Design of Experiments		Evaluation		Organization of Lab and conducting of Experiments		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7
No Opinion(0.00)	17	17	19	20	16	17	17
Poor(1.00)	13	14	26	25	21	16	22
Average(2.00)	25	30	29	27	24	24	28
Good(3.00)	32	26	22	23	28	32	27
Very Good(4.00)	15	17	9	9	13	14	12
Excellent(5.00)	26	24	23	24	26	25	22
Rating	3.14	2.99	3.07	2.86	2.76	2.81	3.06

#### Design of Experiments

Q1: To what extent did the experiments provide new insights and /or encourage you to think creatively?

Q2: Were adequate background material / instructions provided for carrying out the experiments?

#### Evaluation

Q3: Was the feedback on your lab performance prompt?

Q4: Was the feedback on your laboratory work useful?

#### Organization of Lab and conducting of Experiments

Q5: To what extent was analysis of observations/ results encouraged?

Q6: To what extent was systematic execution of lab work emphasized?

Q7: To what extent was the teacher involved in the practical work?

**Question : Any Suggestions or comments about the course**

- 1 .
- 2 -
- 3 .
- 4 ..
- 5 Actual lab sessions should be conducted.
- 6 Atleast give credits to MIT for copying their Homework problems.
- 7 cd
- 8 Distribute into 2 courses
- 9 Good
- 10 i think the lab was overall good and is helpful practically.
- 11 jkasnk
- 12 JKJKPOL
- 13 Labs could be better ..
- 14 Maybe dont try and fail to plagiarize MIT next time. The profs there actually know what they are doing.
- 15 more weightage should have been given to lab than class participation
- 16 N/A
- 17 NA
- 18 nil
- 19 No
- 20 No comments
- 21 No Opinion
- 22 No suggestions
- 23 no.

- 24 None
- 25 None.
- 26 Nope
- 27 Nothing
- 28 Nothing .
- 29 Nothing in particular
- 30 Nothing.
- 31 Please consider above points.
- 32 reachable
- 33 The lab part is good and does not need any modifications.
- 34 Useless hoh labs
- 35 very good course
- 36 weightage should be more.
- 37 yes

**Question : How effective was the help provided by the teaching assistants?**

- 1 -
- 2 .
- 3 ..
- 4 a
- 5 Average .
- 6 bad
- 7 barely
- 8 Could have been more clear at times
- 9 Decent
- 10 Did not even reply
- 11 Everything.
- 12 EXCELLENT

- 13 Good
- 14 Got no help from TAs other than piazza
- 15 great
- 16 Helpful.
- 17 janskj
- 18 Less
- 19 Minimal help was provided from TAs due to online sem
- 20 much
- 21 NA
- 22 nil
- 23 NMM,
- 24 No
- 25 no comments
- 26 No help
- 27 No help or whatsoever was ever given except answers to basic setup questions on Piazza.
- 28 No Opinion
- 29 No opinion.
- 30 none
- 31 None at all
- 32 Not helpful.
- 33 not much
- 34 not much help was needed
- 35 Not really useful.
- 36 not very helpful
- 37 Nothing
- 38 Ok.
- 39 Okayish

- 40 Quite
- 41 Sometime took days to reply so not that effective
- 42 Somewhat
- 43 Sufficient
- 44 The TA's were very helpful in the class as well as after the class.
- 45 There were TAs?
- 46 They helped us well
- 47 They were not involved.
- 48 They were very helpful.
- 49 Useless TAs. These guys have no clue.
- 50 Very
- 51 Very Effective
- 52 Very good
- 53 Very helpful
- 54 Very much helpful
- 55 worst . they didn't held any sessions.
- 56 Yes
- 57 Zero , No help at all

**Question : Were the facilities/equipments adequate for the experiments?**

- 1 -
- 2 .
- 3 ..
- 4 bad
- 5 d
- 6 Everything
- 7 Everything.
- 8 fine

- 9 Good
- 10 Great
- 11 I think the concepts were discussed in the class before giving the hoh labs.
- 12 kjasndk
- 13 mostly
- 14 NA
- 15 nil
- 16 NJKL;
- 17 No
- 18 no comments
- 19 No everything was remote and limiting & cumbersome for setting up
- 20 No Opinion
- 21 none
- 22 Not applicable
- 23 Not at all. I suffered major problems due to non-compatible laptop.
- 24 Not enough
- 25 Nothing
- 26 Nothing was required
- 27 poor
- 28 What facilities
- 29 yea
- 30 yes
- 31 Yes they were
- 32 yes, but I faced a lot of issues setting up because I did not have ubuntu
- 33 Yes.

**Question :** What did you dislike the most about this course?

2 .

3 ..

4 answers,related to other?

5 audit policy

6 class participation marks

7 Class participation was included in grading. This means we had to watch lectures separately, thus giving effectively 6 hours per week for a 3 credit component

8 Copy-pasted labs with poorly explained code and inadequate documentation. Even the code in the Intel Manual was wrong. Not even remotely related to anything taught in  
9 class, and typically significantly ahead of the lectures,  
d

10 Evaluation incorrect

11 Everything

12 Felt like we were just trying to get the code running by guesswork without complete understanding, especially in Lab 2.4. It could have been explained to all for the sake of  
13 understanding.  
Good

14 Got a bit heavy sometimes.

15 hard coding

16 Heavy load

17 I just dont know why there was an class participation of 20 marks i mean up-to 10 marks it was fine but 20 was a little over.

18 JHKL;

19 Labs were mostly self-do. Also nothing feedback was provided.

20 lengthy

21 Most of the part in the subject is theory

22 NA

23 nasdj

24 nil

25 No

26 No clarification and explanation session was held for assignments

27 no comments

28 No feedback on mistakes

- 29 no help from TA in assignments
- 30 no introduction to some topics
- 31 No Opinion
- 32 No proper feedback on scores
- 33 None
- 34 NONE.
- 35 nothing
- 36 nothing as such in assignments
- 37 Nothing in particular
- 38 Nothing specific
- 39 Nothing.
- 40 The feedback wasn't detailed enough for us to understand our mistakes and work on them.
- 41 The HOH labs were not even remotely related to what we were taught in class
- 42 The labs were provided without background and were long.
- 43 there are no explanations for any labs
- 44 There was barely any help from TAs over lab issues
- 45 There was no involvement from the teacher or the TAs. Even demos were not taken and everything was autograded.
- 46 There were no assignment demos held, and the marks were just announced without telling why marks were deducted. People with lesser functionality got full, while those with better functionality had their marks deducted.
- 47 Too much work for too less marks
- 48 Vast and not rewarding enough in terms of marks
- 49 weightage was very less with respect to work done

**Question : What did you like most about this course?**

- 1 -
- 2 ,smjndk
- 3 .
- 4 ..
- 5 All labs, lectures, and HW were amazing



- 6 All things
- 7 Assignment was interesting
- 8 Buiding an OS of our own
- 9 content
- 10 da
- 11 Enjoyable content
- 12 Everything
- 13 Everything.
- 14 Experiments taught me the content in more depth.
- 15 fine
- 16 Good
- 17 good assignments
- 18 good assignments and HoH labs
- 19 Good course
- 20 good labs but getting idea takes so much time
- 21 hands on
- 22 Hands on experience building an OS
- 23 Hands-on experience
- 24 Helped me learn a lot of new things
- 25 Homeworks and labs allowed us to revisit important concepts and think more deeply.
- 26 Implementing OS was good
- 27 Interesting topics were included.
- 28 KJLL;';
- 29 Lab
- 30 Lab Assignments
- 31 Labs and homeworks had interesting questions.
- 32 Labs were interesting sometimes

- 33 Labs were systematic.
- 34 less ambiguity in descriptions
- 35 Logical thinking for HohLabs.
- 36 N/A
- 37 NA
- 38 new learning about implementing OS.
- 39 new topics
- 40 nil
- 41 NO
- 42 No Opinion
- 43 None
- 44 Nothing
- 45 Nothing special
- 46 Provided a better understanding
- 47 Teaching
- 48 The assignments were mostly interesting
- 49 The hoh lab was a good learning project based lab and it clears most of the concepts.
- 50 The HOH-Labs were very nice and needed creative thinking from our side.
- 51 The labs.
- 52 The purpose and the intensiveness of the labs
- 53 The topics were very interesting
- 54 This course is most interesting while solving questions related to scheduling
- 55 very important
- 56 Very interesting assignments, to understand the theory better