

	key	annotation
Bauer-Preacher-Gil-2006	Bauer-Preacher-Gil-2006	NULL
Cheung-2009a	Cheung-2009a	NULL
Cheung-2009b	Cheung-2009b	NULL
CribariNeto-Souza-Vasconcellos-2007	CribariNeto-Souza-Vasconcellos-2007	NULL
Graham-Olchowski-Gilreath-2007	Graham-Olchowski-Gilreath-2007	NULL
MacKinnon-Lockwood-Williams-2004	MacKinnon-Lockwood-Williams-2004	mediation, mediation-bootstrap, n
Yuan-Bentler-2000	Yuan-Bentler-2000	NULL

References

- Bauer, D. J., Preacher, K. J., & Gil, K. M. (2006). Conceptualizing and testing random indirect effects and moderated mediation in multilevel models: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods*, *11*(2), 142–163. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989x.11.2.142>
- Cheung, M. W.-L. (2009a). Comparison of methods for constructing confidence intervals of standardized indirect effects. *Behavior Research Methods*, *41*(2), 425–438. <https://doi.org/10.3758/brm.41.2.425>
- Cheung, M. W.-L. (2009b). Constructing approximate confidence intervals for parameters with structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *16*(2), 267–294. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705510902751291>
- Cribari-Neto, F., Souza, T. C., & Vasconcellos, K. L. P. (2007). Inference under heteroskedasticity and leveraged data. *Communications in Statistics - Theory and Methods*, *36*(10), 1877–1888. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03610920601126589>
- Graham, J. W., Olchowski, A. E., & Gilreath, T. D. (2007). How many imputations are really needed? some practical clarifications of multiple imputation theory. *Prevention Science*, *8*(3), 206–213. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-007-0070-9>

- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., & Williams, J. (2004). Confidence limits for the indirect effect: Distribution of the product and resampling methods. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *39*(1), 99–128. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr3901_4
- Yuan, K.-H., & Bentler, P. M. (2000). Three likelihood-based methods for mean and covariance structure analysis with nonnormal missing data. *Sociological Methodology*, *30*(1), 165–200. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0081-1750.00078>