Ivan Jacob Agaloos Pesigan

June 5, 2024

References

Aalen, O. O., Røysland, K., Gran, J. M., Kouyos, R., & Lange, T. (2016). Can we believe the DAGs? A comment on the relationship between causal DAGs and mechanisms. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, *25*(5), 2294–2314. https://doi.org/10.1177/0962280213520436

Aalen, O. O., Røysland, K., Gran, J. M., & Ledergerber, B. (2012). Causality, mediation and time: A dynamic viewpoint. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (Statistics in Society)*, *175*(4), 831–861. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-985X.2011.01030.x

Asparouhov, T., Hamaker, E. L., & Muthén, B. (2017). Dynamic structural equation models. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(3), 359–388. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1406803

Biesanz, J. C., Falk, C. F., & Savalei, V. (2010). Assessing mediational models: Testing and interval estimation for indirect effects. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *45*(4), 661–701. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2010.498292

Blanca, M. J., Arnau, J., Lopez-Montiel, D., Bono, R., & Bendayan, R. (2013). Skewness and kurtosis in real data samples. *Methodology*, *9*(2), 78–84. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-2241/a000057

Boettiger, C., & Eddelbuettel, D. (2017). An introduction to Rocker: Docker containers for R. *The R Journal*, *9*(2), 527. https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2017-065

Chen, G., Glen, D. R., Saad, Z. S., Hamilton, J. P., Thomason, M. E., Gotlib, I. H., & Cox, R. W. (2011). Vector autoregression, structural equation modeling, and their synthesis in neuroimaging data analysis. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, *41*(12), 1142–1155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.09.004

Chow, S.-M., Ho, M.-h. R., Hamaker, E. L., & Dolan, C. V. (2010). Equivalence and differences between structural equation modeling and state-space modeling techniques. *Structural Equa-*

tion Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 17(2), 303–332. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511003661553

Curran, P. J., & Bauer, D. J. (2011). The disaggregation of within-person and between-person effects in longitudinal models of change. Annual Review of Psychology, 62(1), 583–619. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100356

de Haan-Rietdijk, S., Voelkle, M. C., Keijsers, L., & Hamaker, E. L. (2017). Discrete- vs. continuous-time modeling of unequally spaced experience sampling method data. Frontiers in Psychology, 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01849

Deboeck, P. R., & Boulton, A. J. (2016). Integration of stochastic differential equations using structural equation modeling: A method to facilitate model fitting and pedagogy. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 23(6), 888–903. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2016.1218763

Deboeck, P. R., & Preacher, K. J. (2015). No need to be discrete: A method for continuous time mediation analysis. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 23(1), 61–75. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.973960

Demeshko, M., Washio, T., Kawahara, Y., & Pepyolyshev, Y. (2015). A novel continuous and structural VAR modeling approach and its application to reactor noise analysis. ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology, 7(2), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1145/2710025

Driver, C. C., Oud, J. H. L., & Voelkle, M. C. (2017). Continuous time structural equation modeling with R package ctsem. Journal of Statistical Software, 77(5). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v077.i05

Driver, C. C., & Voelkle, M. C. (2018). Hierarchical Bayesian continuous time dynamic modeling. Psychological Methods, 23(4), 774–799. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000168

Dudgeon, P. (2017). Some improvements in confidence intervals for standardized regression coefficients. Psychometrika, 82(4), 928–951. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-017-9563-z

Eddelbuettel, D., & Balamuta, J. J. (2017). Extending R with C++: A brief introduction to Rcpp. PeerJ Preprints, 3188v1(3). https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.3188v1

Eddelbuettel, D., & François, R. (2011). Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ integration. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *40*(8). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v040.i08

Eddelbuettel, D., & Sanderson, C. (2014). RcppArmadillo: Accelerating R with high-performance C++ linear algebra. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, *71*, 1054–1063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2013.02.005

Efron, B. (2012). Bayesian inference and the parametric bootstrap. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, *6*(4). https://doi.org/10.1214/12-aoas571

Enders, C. K., Fairchild, A. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2013). A Bayesian approach for estimating mediation effects with missing data. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *48*(3), 340–369. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2013.784862

Epskamp, S., Borsboom, D., & Fried, E. I. (2017). Estimating psychological networks and their accuracy: A tutorial paper. *Behavior Research Methods*, *50*(1), 195–212. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0862-1

Epskamp, S., Waldorp, L. J., M ottus, R., & Borsboom, D. (2018). The Gaussian graphical model in cross-sectional and time-series data. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *53*(4), 453–480. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2018.1454823

Fairchild, A. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2014). Using mediation and moderation analyses to enhance prevention research. In *Defining prevention science* (pp. 537–555). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-7424-2_23

Fritz, M. S., Taylor, A. B., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2012). Explanation of two anomalous results in statistical mediation analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *47*(1), 61–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2012.640596

Gates, K. M., Molenaar, P. C., Hillary, F. G., Ram, N., & Rovine, M. J. (2010). Automatic search for fMRI connectivity mapping: An alternative to Granger causality testing using formal equivalences among SEM path modeling, VAR, and unified SEM. *NeuroImage*, *50*(3), 1118–1125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.117

Gu, F., Preacher, K. J., & Ferrer, E. (2014). A state space modeling approach to mediation analysis. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, *39*(2), 117–143. https://doi.org/10.3102/1076998614524823

Hamaker, E. L., Ceulemans, E., Grasman, R. P. P. P., & Tuerlinckx, F. (2015). Modeling affect dynamics: State of the art and future challenges. *Emotion Review*, *7*(4), 316–322. https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073915590619

Hamaker, E. L., Kuiper, R. M., & Grasman, R. P. P. P. (2015). A critique of the cross-lagged panel model. *Psychological Methods*, *20*(1), 102–116. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0038889

Hayes, A. F., & Scharkow, M. (2013). The relative trustworthiness of inferential tests of the indirect effect in statistical mediation analysis. *Psychological Science*, *24*(10), 1918–1927. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613480187

Hecht, M., & Voelkle, M. C. (2019). Continuous-time modeling in prevention research: An illustration. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, *45*(1), 19–27. https://doi.org/10.1177/0165025419885026

Hesterberg, T. C. (2015). What teachers should know about the bootstrap: Resampling in the undergraduate statistics curriculum. *The American Statistician*, *69*(4), 371–386. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2015.1089789

Hingson, R., Zha, W., & Smyth, D. (2017). Magnitude and trends in heavy episodic drinking, alcohol-impaired driving, and alcohol-related mortality and overdose hospitalizations among emerging adults of college ages 18–24 in the United States, 1998–2014. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, *78*(4), 540–548. https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2017.78.540

Hunter, M. D. (2017). State space modeling in an open source, modular, structural equation modeling environment. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(2), 307–324. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1369354

Jensen, M. P., & Turk, D. C. (2014). Contributions of psychology to the understanding and treatment of people with chronic pain: Why it matters to ALL psychologists. *American Psychologist*, *69*(2), 105–118. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0035641

Jones, J. A., & Waller, N. G. (2013). Computing confidence intervals for standardized regression coefficients. *Psychological Methods*, *18*(4), 435–453. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033269

Jones, J. A., & Waller, N. G. (2015). The normal-theory and asymptotic distribution-free (ADF) covariance matrix of standardized regression coefficients: Theoretical extensions and finite sample behavior. *Psychometrika*, *80*(2), 365–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-013-9380-y

Kisbu-Sakarya, Y., MacKinnon, D. P., & Miočević, M. (2014). The distribution of the product explains normal theory mediation confidence interval estimation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *49*(3), 261–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2014.903162

Koopman, J., Howe, M., Hollenbeck, J. R., & Sin, H.-P. (2015). Small sample mediation testing: Misplaced confidence in bootstrapped confidence intervals. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *100*(1), 194–202. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036635

Kossakowski, J. J., Groot, P. C., Haslbeck, J. M. B., Borsboom, D., & Wichers, M. (2017). Data from 'Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression'. *Journal of Open Psychology Data*, *5*. https://doi.org/10.5334/jopd.29

Kuiper, R. M., & Ryan, O. (2018). Drawing conclusions from cross-lagged relationships: Re-considering the role of the time-interval. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(5), 809–823. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2018.1431046

Kuppens, P. (2015). It's about time: A special section on affect dynamics. *Emotion Review*, *7*(4), 297–300. https://doi.org/10.1177/1754073915590947

Kurtzer, G. M., Sochat, V., & Bauer, M. W. (2017). Singularity: Scientific containers for mobility of compute (A. Gursoy, Ed.). *PLOS ONE*, *12*(5), e0177459. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0177459

Kwan, J. L. Y., & Chan, W. (2011). Comparing standardized coefficients in structural equation modeling: A model reparameterization approach. *Behavior Research Methods*, *43*(3), 730–745. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0088-6

Kwan, J. L. Y., & Chan, W. (2014). Comparing squared multiple correlation coefficients using structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *21*(2), 225–238. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.882673

Leffingwell, T. R., Cooney, N. J., Murphy, J. G., Luczak, S., Rosen, G., Dougherty, D. M., & Barnett, N. P. (2012). Continuous objective monitoring of alcohol use: Twenty-first century measurement using transdermal sensors. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *37*(1), 16–22. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-0277.2012.01869.x

Maxwell, S. E., Cole, D. A., & Mitchell, M. A. (2011). Bias in cross-sectional analyses of longitudinal mediation: Partial and complete mediation under an autoregressive model. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *46*(5), 816–841. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.606716

Merkel, D. (2014). Docker: Lightweight Linux containers for consistent development and deployment. *Linux Journal*, *2014*(239), 2. https://www.linuxjournal.com/content/docker-lightweight-linux-containers-consistent-development-and-deployment

Miocevic, M., Gonzalez, O., Valente, M. J., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2017). A tutorial in Bayesian potential outcomes mediation analysis. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(1), 121–136. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1342541

Molenaar, P. C. M. (2017). Equivalent dynamic models. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *52*(2), 242–258. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2016.1277681

Moneta, A., Chlaß, N., Entner, D., & Hoyer, P. (2011). Causal search in structural vector autoregressive models. *Journal of Machine Learning Research - Proceedings Track*, *12*, 95–114.

Neale, M. C., Hunter, M. D., Pritikin, J. N., Zahery, M., Brick, T. R., Kirkpatrick, R. M., Estabrook, R., Bates, T. C., Maes, H. H., & Boker, S. M. (2015). OpenMx 2.0: Extended structural equation and statistical modeling. *Psychometrika*, *81*(2), 535–549. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-014-9435-8

O'Laughlin, K. D., Martin, M. J., & Ferrer, E. (2018). Cross-sectional analysis of longitudinal mediation processes. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *53*(3), 375–402. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2018.1454822

Oravecz, Z., Tuerlinckx, F., & Vandekerckhove, J. (2011). A hierarchical latent stochastic differential equation model for affective dynamics. *Psychological Methods*, *16*(4), 468–490. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024375

O'Rourke, H. P., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2018). Reasons for testing mediation in the absence of an intervention effect: A research imperative in prevention and intervention research. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*, *79*(2), 171–181. https://doi.org/10.15288/jsad.2018.79.171

O'Rourke, H. P., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2019). The importance of mediation analysis in substance-use prevention. In *Advances in prevention science* (pp. 233–246). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00627-3_15

Ou, L., Hunter, M. D., & Chow, S.-M. (2019). What's for dynr: A package for linear and nonlinear dynamic modeling in R. *The R Journal*, *11*(1), 91. https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2019-012

Piasecki, T. M. (2019). Assessment of alcohol use in the natural environment. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*, *43*(4), 564–577. https://doi.org/10.1111/acer.13975

Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models: Quantitative strategies for communicating indirect effects. *Psychological Methods*, *16*(2), 93–115. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022658

Preacher, K. J., & Selig, J. P. (2012). Advantages of Monte Carlo confidence intervals for indirect effects. *Communication Methods and Measures*, *6*(2), 77–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2012.679848

Reichardt, C. S. (2011). Commentary: Are three waves of data sufficient for assessing mediation? *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *46*(5), 842–851. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.606740

Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *48*(2). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02

Sacks, J. J., Gonzales, K. R., Bouchery, E. E., Tomedi, L. E., & Brewer, R. D. (2015). 2010 national and state costs of excessive alcohol consumption. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, *49*(5), e73–e79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.05.031

Schermerhorn, A. C., Chow, S.-M., & Cummings, E. M. (2010). Developmental family processes and interparental conflict: Patterns of microlevel influences. *Developmental Psychology*, *46*(4), 869–885. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019662

Schouten, R. M., Lugtig, P., & Vink, G. (2018). Generating missing values for simulation purposes: A multivariate amputation procedure. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, *88*(15), 2909–2930. https://doi.org/10.1080/00949655.2018.1491577

Schultzberg, M., & Muthén, B. (2017). Number of subjects and time points needed for multilevel time-series analysis: A simulation study of dynamic structural equation modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *25*(4), 495–515. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1392862

Schuurman, N. K., & Hamaker, E. L. (2019). Measurement error and person-specific reliability in multilevel autoregressive modeling. *Psychological Methods*, *24*(1), 70–91. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000188

Schuurman, N. K., Houtveen, J. H., & Hamaker, E. L. (2015). Incorporating measurement error in n = 1 psychological autoregressive modeling. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01038

Shrout, P. E. (2011). Commentary: Mediation analysis, causal process, and cross-sectional data. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *46*(5), 852–860. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2011.606718

Singer, H. (2012). SEM modeling with singular moment matrices part II: ML-estimation of sampled stochastic differential equations. *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, *36*(1), 22–43. https://doi.org/10.1080/0022250x.2010.532259

Smith, K. E., & Juarascio, A. (2019). From ecological momentary assessment (EMA) to ecological momentary intervention (EMI): Past and future directions for ambulatory assessment and interventions in eating disorders. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, *21*(7). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1046-8

Taylor, A. B., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2012). Four applications of permutation methods to testing a single-mediator model. *Behavior Research Methods*, *44*(3), 806–844. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0181-x

Tibshirani, R. (2011). Regression shrinkage and selection via the lasso: A retrospective. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, *73*(3), 273–282. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.00771.x

Tofighi, D., & Kelley, K. (2019). Indirect effects in sequential mediation models: Evaluating methods for hypothesis testing and confidence interval formation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *55*(2), 188–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2019.1618545

Tofighi, D., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2015). Monte Carlo confidence intervals for complex functions of indirect effects. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, *23*(2), 194–205. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2015.1057284

Usami, S., Murayama, K., & Hamaker, E. L. (2019). A unified framework of longitudinal models to examine reciprocal relations. *Psychological Methods*, *24*(5), 637–657. https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000210

van Buuren, S., & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2011). mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *45*(3). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v045.i03

Ver Hoef, J. M. (2012). Who invented the delta method? *The American Statistician*, *66*(2), 124–127. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2012.687494

Voelkle, M. C., & Oud, J. H. L. (2012). Continuous time modelling with individually varying time intervals for oscillating and non-oscillating processes. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, *66*(1), 103–126. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.2012.02043.x

Voelkle, M. C., Oud, J. H. L., Davidov, E., & Schmidt, P. (2012). An SEM approach to continuous time modeling of panel data: Relating authoritarianism and anomia. *Psychological Methods*, *17*(2), 176–192. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0027543

Vuorre, M., & Bolger, N. (2017). Within-subject mediation analysis for experimental data in cognitive psychology and neuroscience. *Behavior Research Methods*, *50*(5), 2125–2143. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0980-9

Wichers, M., Groot, P. C., Psychosystems, ESM Group, & EWS Group. (2016). Critical slowing down as a personalized early warning signal for depression. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, *85*(2), 114–116. https://doi.org/10.1159/000441458

Wu, W., & Jia, F. (2013). A new procedure to test mediation with missing data through nonparametric bootstrapping and multiple imputation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, *48*(5), 663–691. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2013.816235

Yuan, K.-H., & Chan, W. (2011). Biases and standard errors of standardized regression coefficients. *Psychometrika*, *76*(4), 670–690. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-011-9224-6

Yzerbyt, V., Muller, D., Batailler, C., & Judd, C. M. (2018). New recommendations for testing indirect effects in mediational models: The need to report and test component paths. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *115*(6), 929–943. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000132

Zhang, Z., & Wang, L. (2012). Methods for mediation analysis with missing data. *Psychometrika*, *78*(1), 154–184. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-012-9301-5

Zyphur, M. J., Allison, P. D., Tay, L., Voelkle, M. C., Preacher, K. J., Zhang, Z., Hamaker, E. L., Shamsollahi, A., Pierides, D. C., Koval, P., & Diener, E. (2019). From data to causes I: Building a general cross-lagged panel model (GCLM). *Organizational Research Methods*, *23*(4), 651–687. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428119847278

Zyphur, M. J., Voelkle, M. C., Tay, L., Allison, P. D., Preacher, K. J., Zhang, Z., Hamaker, E. L., Shamsollahi, A., Pierides, D. C., Koval, P., & Diener, E. (2019). From data to causes II: Comparing approaches to panel data analysis. *Organizational Research Methods*, *23*(4), 688–716. https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428119847280