Ivan Jacob Agaloos Pesigan

September 2, 2023

References

- Asparouhov, T., Hamaker, E. L., & Muthén, B. (2017). Dynamic structural equation models. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 25(3), 359–388. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1406803
- Biesanz, J. C., Falk, C. F., & Savalei, V. (2010). Assessing mediational models: Testing and interval estimation for indirect effects. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 45(4), 661–701. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2010.498292
- Blanca, M., Arnau, J., López-Montiel, D., Bono, R., & Bendayan, R. (2013). Skewness and kurtosis in real data samples. *Methodology*, 9(2), 78–84. https://doi.org/10.1027/1614-2241/a000057
- Boettiger, C., & Eddelbuettel, D. (2017). An introduction to Rocker: Docker containers for R. *The R Journal*, 9(2), 527. https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2017-065
- Chen, G., Glen, D. R., Saad, Z. S., Hamilton, J. P., Thomason, M. E., Gotlib, I. H., & Cox, R. W. (2011). Vector autoregression, structural equation modeling, and their synthesis in neuroimaging data analysis. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 41(12), 1142–1155. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2011.09.004
- Chow, S.-M., Ho, M.-h. R., Hamaker, E. L., & Dolan, C. V. (2010). Equivalence and differences between structural equation modeling and state-space modeling techniques. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 17(2), 303–332. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511003661553
- Curran, P. J., & Bauer, D. J. (2011). The disaggregation of within-person and between-person effects in longitudinal models of change. Annual Review of Psychology, 62(1), 583–619. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.093008.100356

- Deboeck, P. R., & Preacher, K. J. (2015). No need to be discrete: A method for continuous time mediation analysis. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 23(1), 61– 75. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.973960
- Dudgeon, P. (2017). Some improvements in confidence intervals for standardized regression coefficients. *Psychometrika*, 82(4), 928–951. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-017-9563-z
- Eddelbuettel, D., & Balamuta, J. J. (2017). Extending R with C++: A brief introduction to Rcpp.

 PeerJ Preprints, 3188v1(3). https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.3188v1
- Eddelbuettel, D., & François, R. (2011). Rcpp: Seamless R and C++ integration. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 40(8). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v040.i08
- Eddelbuettel, D., & Sanderson, C. (2014). RcppArmadillo: Accelerating R with high-performance C++ linear algebra. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 71, 1054–1063. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2013.02.005
- Efron, B. (2012). Bayesian inference and the parametric bootstrap. The Annals of Applied Statistics, 6(4). https://doi.org/10.1214/12-aoas571
- Epskamp, S., Waldorp, L. J., M ottus, R., & Borsboom, D. (2018). The Gaussian graphical model in cross-sectional and time-series data. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 53(4), 453–480. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2018.1454823
- Fritz, M. S., Taylor, A. B., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2012). Explanation of two anomalous results in statistical mediation analysis. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 47(1), 61–87. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2012.640596
- Gates, K. M., Molenaar, P. C., Hillary, F. G., Ram, N., & Rovine, M. J. (2010). Automatic search for fMRI connectivity mapping: An alternative to Granger causality testing using formal equivalences among SEM path modeling, VAR, and unified SEM. *NeuroImage*, 50(3), 1118–1125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.117
- Hayes, A. F., & Scharkow, M. (2013). The relative trustworthiness of inferential tests of the indirect effect in statistical mediation analysis. *Psychological Science*, 24(10), 1918–1927. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797613480187

- Hesterberg, T. C. (2015). What teachers should know about the bootstrap: Resampling in the undergraduate statistics curriculum. *The American Statistician*, 69(4), 371–386. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2015.1089789
- Hunter, M. D. (2017). State space modeling in an open source, modular, structural equation modeling environment. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 25(2), 307–324. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2017.1369354
- Jones, J. A., & Waller, N. G. (2013). Computing confidence intervals for standardized regression coefficients. *Psychological Methods*, 18(4), 435–453. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0033269
- Jones, J. A., & Waller, N. G. (2015). The normal-theory and asymptotic distribution-free (ADF) covariance matrix of standardized regression coefficients: Theoretical extensions and finite sample behavior. *Psychometrika*, 80(2), 365–378. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-013-9380-y
- Kisbu-Sakarya, Y., MacKinnon, D. P., & Miočević, M. (2014). The distribution of the product explains normal theory mediation confidence interval estimation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 49(3), 261–268. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2014.903162
- Koopman, J., Howe, M., Hollenbeck, J. R., & Sin, H.-P. (2015). Small sample mediation testing: Misplaced confidence in bootstrapped confidence intervals. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(1), 194–202. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036635
- Kurtzer, G. M., Sochat, V., & Bauer, M. W. (2017). Singularity: Scientific containers for mobility of compute (A. Gursoy, Ed.). PLOS ONE, 12(5), e0177459. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0177459
- Kwan, J. L. Y., & Chan, W. (2011). Comparing standardized coefficients in structural equation modeling: A model reparameterization approach. Behavior Research Methods, 43(3), 730– 745. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0088-6
- Kwan, J. L. Y., & Chan, W. (2014). Comparing squared multiple correlation coefficients using structural equation modeling. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 21(2), 225–238. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2014.882673

- Merkel, D. (2014). Docker: Lightweight Linux containers for consistent development and deployment. Linux Journal, 2014 (239), 2. https://www.linuxjournal.com/content/docker-lightweight-linux-containers-consistent-development-and-deployment
- Neale, M. C., Hunter, M. D., Pritikin, J. N., Zahery, M., Brick, T. R., Kirkpatrick, R. M., Estabrook, R., Bates, T. C., Maes, H. H., & Boker, S. M. (2015). OpenMx 2.0: Extended structural equation and statistical modeling. *Psychometrika*, 81(2), 535–549. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-014-9435-8
- Ou, L., Hunter, M. D., & Chow, S.-M. (2019). What's for dynr: A package for linear and nonlinear dynamic modeling in R. The R Journal, 11(1), 91. https://doi.org/10.32614/rj-2019-012
- Preacher, K. J., & Selig, J. P. (2012). Advantages of monte carlo confidence intervals for indirect effects. Communication Methods and Measures, 6(2), 77–98. https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2012.679848
- Rosseel, Y. (2012). lavaan: An R package for structural equation modeling. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48(2). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v048.i02
- Schouten, R. M., Lugtig, P., & Vink, G. (2018). Generating missing values for simulation purposes:

 A multivariate amputation procedure. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*,

 88(15), 2909–2930. https://doi.org/10.1080/00949655.2018.1491577
- Taylor, A. B., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2012). Four applications of permutation methods to testing a single-mediator model. Behavior Research Methods, 44 (3), 806–844. https://doi.org/10. 3758/s13428-011-0181-x
- Tofighi, D., & Kelley, K. (2019). Indirect effects in sequential mediation models: Evaluating methods for hypothesis testing and confidence interval formation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 55(2), 188–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2019.1618545
- Tofighi, D., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2015). Monte Carlo confidence intervals for complex functions of indirect effects. Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 23(2), 194–205. https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2015.1057284
- van Buuren, S., & Groothuis-Oudshoorn, K. (2011). mice: Multivariate imputation by chained equations in R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 45(3). https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v045.i03

- Wu, W., & Jia, F. (2013). A new procedure to test mediation with missing data through nonparametric bootstrapping and multiple imputation. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 48(5), 663–691. https://doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2013.816235
- Yuan, K.-H., & Chan, W. (2011). Biases and standard errors of standardized regression coefficients.

 *Psychometrika, 76(4), 670–690. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-011-9224-6
- Yzerbyt, V., Muller, D., Batailler, C., & Judd, C. M. (2018). New recommendations for testing indirect effects in mediational models: The need to report and test component paths. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 115(6), 929–943. https://doi.org/10.1037/pspa0000132
- Zhang, Z., & Wang, L. (2012). Methods for mediation analysis with missing data. Psychometrika, 78(1), 154-184. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-012-9301-5