Tamano's Theorem.(1960) Let X be a Tychonoff space. Then  $X \times \beta X$  is normal iff X is paracompact.

(one direction was known)

- 1. The product of a paracompact space with a compact Hausdorff space is paracompact.
- 2. Every paracompact space is normal.

Tamano proved that if  $X \times \beta X$  is normal, then X is paracompact.

Buzjakova's Theorem.(1997) A pseudocompact space X condenses onto a compact space if and only if the space  $X \times T(|\beta X|^+ + 1)$  condenses onto a normal space.

Notice these two theorems are similar because Buzjakova's Theorem can be interpreted as a condensation version of the Tamano's Theorem for the pseudocompact case.

In the proof of Buzjakova's Theorem, we use a corollary of Glicksberg's Theorem in many places.

Glicksberg's Theorem.(1959) Let  $X \times Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. If  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact, then  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$ .

- 1. Let X,Y be Tychonoff spaces. If  $X\times Y$  is pseudocompact, then the projection map  $\pi_X:X\times Y\to X$ , is z-closed.
- 2. Let X,Y be Tychonoff spaces. If  $\pi_X$  is z-closed, then  $X\times Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X\times\beta Y$ .
- 3. Let Y be an extension of the space X. If X is pseudocompact, so is Y Corollary of Glicksberg's Theorem.
- $\beta(X \times T(|\beta X|^+ + 1)) = \beta X \times T(|\beta X|^+ + 1)$
- $\beta(X \times T(|\beta X|^+)) = \beta X \times T(|\beta X|^+ + 1)$

Some important facts in the proof of Buzjakova's Theorem:

1. Let  $\tau$  be an uncountable regular cardinal. Let  $T(\tau)$  be the space of all ordinal numbers less than  $\tau$ . Let  $A_{\alpha}$  be a closed, unbounded subset of  $T(\tau)$ . Let  $\gamma \in T(\tau)$ . Then,  $\bigcap \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\}$  is closed, unbounded and  $|\bigcap \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\}| = \tau$ .

- 2. Let  $g: T(\tau) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then g is constant on  $[\kappa, \tau)$  for some  $\kappa \in T(\tau)$ .
- 3. Let X be a pseudocompact Tychonoff space. Let  $\tau = |\beta X|^+$  and denote by  $T(\tau)$  the space of all ordinal numbers less than  $\tau$ . Then,  $X \times T(\tau)$  is pseudocompact. (PICTURE WILL BE DRAWN)
- 4. Let X be a Tychonoff space. If  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  are subsets of X such that  $\overline{B_1}^{\beta X} \cap \overline{B_2}^{\beta X} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are not completely separated in X.

In the proof, we have two cases. In the harder case, we have that the set  $f[X \times {\lambda}] \subseteq Z$  is not compact.

So there exists decreasing chain of non-empty closed sets  $\{D_{\alpha} : \alpha < l\}$  of  $f[X \times \{\lambda\}]$  such that  $\bigcap \{D_{\alpha} : \alpha < l\} = \emptyset$ 

Since f is one-to-one, there exists  $A_{\alpha} \subseteq X$  such that  $f[A_{\alpha} \times \{\alpha\}] = D_{\alpha}$ .

Now Define  $B_1, B_2$ .

$$B_1 = \bigcup \{A_{\alpha} \times \{\gamma_{\alpha}\}\}\$$

$$B_2 = A_1 \times \{\gamma_{\gamma}\}\$$

(PICTURE WILL BE DRAWN)

Let 
$$x \in \bigcap \left\{ \overline{A_{\alpha}}^{\beta X} \right\}$$
.  

$$(x, \gamma_{\gamma}) \in \overline{A_{1}}^{\beta X} \times \left\{ \gamma_{\gamma} \right\} = \overline{A_{1} \times \left\{ \gamma_{\gamma} \right\}}^{\beta X \times T(|\beta X|^{+} + 1)} = \overline{B_{2}}^{\beta (X \times T(|\beta X|^{+}))}.$$

$$(x,\gamma_{\gamma}) \in U \times (\gamma,\gamma_{\gamma}] \in \tau(\beta(X \times T(|\beta X|^{+} + 1)) = \tau\left(\beta(X \times T(|\beta X|^{+}))\right).$$
 Let  $\gamma_{\beta} \in (\gamma,\gamma_{\gamma}]$ . Thus,  $U \times (\gamma,\gamma_{\gamma}] \cap A_{\beta} \times \{\gamma_{\gamma}\} \neq \emptyset$ . So  $U \times (\gamma,\gamma_{\gamma}] \cap B_{1} \neq \emptyset$ . So,  $(x,\gamma_{\gamma}) \in \overline{B_{1}}^{\beta(X \times T(|\beta X|^{+}))}$ .

By fact 4 above,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are not completely separated in  $X \times T(|\beta X|^+)$ . A contradiction, because the sets  $f[B_1]$  and  $f[B_2]$  and closed and disjoint in Z. Since Z is normal, by Urysohn's Lemma, there exists a continuous function  $g: Z \to [0,1]$  such that  $g[f[B_1]] \subseteq \{0\}$  and  $g[f[B_2]] \subseteq \{1\}$ . Let  $h=g \circ f$ , and this functions separates  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ .