

## CHAPTER II

### Glicksberg's Theorem

**Fact 2.1** Let  $Y \in E(X)$  for a space  $X$ , let  $Z$  be a regular space, and let  $f : X \rightarrow Z$  be continuous. The following are equivalent:

1. There exists a continuous function  $F : Y \rightarrow Z$  such that  $F|_X = f$ .
2. For each  $y \in Y$ , the filter  $\mathcal{F}_y = \{A \subseteq Z : A \supseteq f[U] \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{O}^y\}$  converges (where  $\mathcal{O}^y = \{W \cap X : W \text{ is open in } Y \text{ and } y \in W\}$ ).

**Proof of 1  $\Rightarrow$  2:** Suppose  $F$  exists and  $y \in Y$ . We will show  $\mathcal{F}_y$  converges to  $F(y)$ . Let  $W$  be an open neighborhood of  $F(y)$  in  $Z$ . By continuity, there is an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  such that  $F[U] \subseteq W$ , where  $U$  is open in  $Y$ . Thus,  $f[U \cap X] = F[U \cap X] \subseteq W$  and  $f[U \cap X] \in \mathcal{F}_y$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_y$  converges to  $F(y)$ .

**Proof of 2  $\Rightarrow$  1:** Suppose for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_y$  converges to some point. As  $Z$  is regular and hence Hausdorff,  $\mathcal{F}_y$  converges to a unique point which we denote by  $F(y)$ . Thus, we have just defined a function  $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ .

If  $x \in X$  and  $W$  is an open neighborhood of  $f(x)$ , there is an open set  $U$  of  $X$  with  $x \in U$  and  $f[U] \subseteq W$ . If  $V$  is an open set in  $Y$  such that  $V \cap X = U$ , then  $f[V \cap X] \in \mathcal{F}_x$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_x$  converges to  $f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . That means  $F(x) = f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . I.e.  $F|_X = f$ .

To show  $F$  is continuous, let  $y \in Y$  and let  $W$  be an open neighborhood of  $F(y)$ . As  $Z$  is regular, there is an open subset  $V$  of  $Z$  such that  $F(y) \in V \subseteq \overline{V}^Z \subseteq W$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}_y$  converges to  $F(y)$ , there is an open set  $U$  of  $Y$  such that  $y \in U$  and  $f[U \cap X] \subseteq V$ .

Let  $p \in U$ . We will show that  $F(p) \in \overline{V}^Z$ . Let  $T$  be an open set of  $Z$  containing  $F(p)$ . From the definition of  $\mathcal{F}_p$ , there is an open set  $R \in \tau(Y)$  containing  $p$  such that  $R \subseteq U$  and  $f[R \cap X] \subseteq T$ . As  $X$  is dense in  $Y$ ,  $R \cap X \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $f[R \cap X] \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $f[U \cap X] \subseteq V$  and  $R \subseteq U$ , we have  $f[R \cap X] \subseteq V$ . Thus  $f[R \cap X] \subseteq T \cap V$ . As  $T \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , and  $T$  was an arbitrary open set containing  $F(p)$ ,  $F(p) \in \overline{V}^Z$ .

Since for every  $p \in U$ ,  $F(p) \in \overline{V}^Z \subseteq W$ , we conclude that  $F[U] \subseteq W$ . Thus  $F$  is continuous.

**Fact 2.2** Let  $Y \in E(X)$  for a space  $X$  and let  $Z$  be a regular space. Let  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  be such that for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $g|_{X \cup \{y\}}$  is continuous. Then  $g$  is continuous.

**Proof:** Let

$$O_1^y = \{W \cap X : W \text{ is open in } Y \text{ and } y \in W\}, \text{ and} \\ O_2^y = \{W \cap X : W \text{ is open in } X \cup \{y\} \text{ and } y \in W\}.$$

We have  $O_1^y = O_2^y$  because:

( $\subseteq$ ): Let  $W \cap X \in O_1^y$ .  $W$  is open in  $Y$  and  $y \in W$ . Since  $X \cup \{y\}$  is the subspace of  $Y$ ,  $W \cap (X \cup \{y\})$  is open in  $X \cup \{y\}$ . Also,  $y \in W \cap (X \cup \{y\})$ . So,  $W \cap X = (W \cap (X \cup \{y\})) \cap X \in O_2^y$ .

( $\supseteq$ ): Let  $W \cap X \in O_2^y$ . Since  $W$  is open in  $X \cup \{y\}$ , there exists  $V \in \tau(Y)$  such that  $W = V \cap (X \cup \{y\})$ . Since  $y \in W$  and thus  $y \in V$ , we have  $V \cap X \in O_1^y$ . As  $W \cap X = V \cap X$ ,  $W \cap X \in O_1^y$ .

Since  $g|_{X \cup \{y\}}$  is continuous, then by **Fact 2.1**, the filter  $\{A \subseteq Z : A \supseteq g|_X[U] \text{ for some } U \in O_2^y\}$  converges to  $g(y)$ . Since  $O_1^y = O_2^y$ , we have  $\{A \subseteq Z : A \supseteq g|_X[U] \text{ for some } U \in O_1^y\}$  converging to  $g(y)$  as well. By the other direction of **Fact 2.1**,  $g$  is continuous.

**Fact 2.3** The following are equivalent for the dense subspace  $S$  of the Tychonoff space  $X$ :

1.  $S$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ .
2. If  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are disjoint zero-sets of  $S$ , then  $\overline{Z_1}^X \cap \overline{Z_2}^X = \emptyset$ .

**Proof of 1  $\Rightarrow$  2:** By the transitivity of  $C^*$ -embedding,  $S$  is  $C^*$ -embedded and dense in  $\beta X$ . Thus  $\beta S$  is equivalent to  $\beta X$ . Let  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are disjoint zero-sets of  $S$ . Since disjoint zero-sets in  $S$  have disjoint closures in  $\beta S \equiv_S \beta X$ ,  $\overline{Z_1}^{\beta X} \cap \overline{Z_2}^{\beta X} = \emptyset$ . Hence  $\overline{Z_1}^X \cap \overline{Z_2}^X = \emptyset$ .

**Proof of 2  $\Rightarrow$  1:** It suffices to show that  $S$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $S \cup \{p\}$  for each  $p \in X \setminus S$ . Because if it is true, then by **Fact 2.2**, for each  $f \in C^*(S)$  there exists  $F \in C(X)$  such that  $F|_S = f$ , and as  $F|_S \in C^*(S)$ , it follows that  $F \in C^*(X)$ . Thus  $S$  would be  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$ .

So it remains to be shown that for each  $p \in X \setminus S$ ,  $S$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $S \cup \{p\}$ . Let  $p \in X \setminus S$ , and let  $C(p)$  denote the collection of closed neighborhoods of  $p$  in  $S \cup \{p\}$ . If  $f \in C^*(S)$ , for each  $A \in C(p)$ , the  $\overline{f[A \cap X]}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a compact

nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, the set  $\left\{ \overline{f[A \cap S]}^{\mathbb{R}} : A \in C(p) \right\}$  has nonempty intersection property. Thus,  $\bigcap \left\{ \overline{f[A \cap S]}^{\mathbb{R}} : A \in C(p) \right\} \neq \emptyset$ . Note that if  $s \in \bigcap \left\{ \overline{f[A \cap S]}^{\mathbb{R}} : A \in C(p) \right\}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $p \in \overline{f^{\leftarrow}[[s - \epsilon, s + \epsilon]]}^{S \cup \{p\}}$ . This is because if  $A \in C(p)$ , then  $(s - \epsilon, s + \epsilon) \cap f[A \cap S] \neq \emptyset$  and so  $A \cap f^{\leftarrow}[(s - \epsilon, s + \epsilon)] \neq \emptyset$ .

Choose  $r \in \bigcap \{f[A \cap S] : A \in C(p)\}$  and define  $F : S \cup \{p\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$F|_S = f \text{ and } F(p) = r.$$

Since  $f$  is continuous,  $F$  is continuous at each point of  $S$ . We must show that  $F$  is continuous at  $p$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. We claim that there exists  $A_0 \in C(p)$  such that  $f[A_0 \cap S] \subseteq (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ . For if this were not the case, then  $\overline{f[A \cap S]}^{\mathbb{R}} \setminus (r - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}, r + \frac{3\epsilon}{4})$  is a nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  for each  $A \in C(p)$ . As  $\left\{ \overline{f[A \cap S]}^{\mathbb{R}} \setminus (r - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}, r + \frac{3\epsilon}{4}) : A \in C(p) \right\}$  has the finite intersection property, there exists  $s \in \bigcap \left\{ \overline{f[A \cap S]}^{\mathbb{R}} \setminus (r - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}, r + \frac{3\epsilon}{4}) : A \in C(p) \right\}$ . As noted in the previous paragraph, it follows that

$$p \in \overline{f^{\leftarrow} \left[ s - \frac{\epsilon}{4}, s + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right]}^{S \cup \{p\}}.$$

On the other hand, since  $r \in \bigcap \{f[A \cap S] : A \in C(p)\}$ , we have

$$p \in \overline{f^{\leftarrow} \left[ r - \frac{\epsilon}{4}, r + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right]}^{S \cup \{p\}}.$$

As  $f^{\leftarrow} \left[ s - \frac{\epsilon}{4}, s + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right]$  and  $f^{\leftarrow} \left[ r - \frac{\epsilon}{4}, r + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \right]$  are disjoint zero-sets of  $S$ , this is a contradiction to our hypothesis that if  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are disjoint zero-sets of  $S$ , then  $\overline{Z_1}^X \cap \overline{Z_2}^X = \emptyset$ .

Thus, there exists  $A_0 \in C(p)$  such that  $f[A_0 \cap S] \subseteq (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ . Thus  $F[A_0] \subseteq (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$  and  $F$  is continuous at  $p$ . As  $f$  was arbitrarily chosen from  $C^*(S)$ , it follows that  $S$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $S \cup \{p\}$ .

**Fact 2.4** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Tychonoff spaces, and  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  be the projection map. If  $\pi_X$  is  $z$ -closed,  $Z$  is a zero-set in  $X \times Y$ , and  $(x, p) \in \overline{Z}^{X \times \beta Y}$ , then  $(x, p) \in \overline{Z \cap (\{x\} \times Y)}^{X \times \beta Y}$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $(x, p) \notin \overline{Z \cap (\{x\} \times Y)}^{X \times \beta Y}$ . Since  $X \times \beta Y$  is Tychonoff, there exists a continuous function  $f : X \times \beta Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f \left[ \overline{Z \cap (\{x\} \times Y)}^{X \times \beta Y} \right] \subseteq \{1\}$  and  $f[U] \subseteq \{0\}$ , where  $U$  is some neighborhood of  $(x, p)$ .

Let  $Z_f = f^{-1}(0)$ . So  $(x, p) \in \text{int}(Z_f)$ . We have  $(x, p) \in \overline{Z \cap Z_f}^{X \times \beta Y}$ , and so

$$x \in \pi_X \left[ \overline{Z \cap Z_f}^{X \times \beta Y} \right] \subseteq \overline{\pi_X [Z \cap Z_f]}^X.$$

On the other hand, since  $\overline{Z \cap (\{x\} \times Y)}^{X \times \beta Y} \cap Z_f = \emptyset$ , we have  $Z \cap (\{x\} \times Y) \cap Z_f = \emptyset$ . Now, if  $x \in \pi_X [Z \cap Z_f]$ , then  $(x, y) \in Z \cap Z_f \neq \emptyset$  for some  $y \in Y$ . Hence  $Z \cap Z_f \cap (\{x\} \times Y) \neq \emptyset$ , contradiction. So,  $x \notin \pi_X [Z \cap Z_f]$ .

Now,

$$x \in \overline{\pi_X [Z \cap Z_f]}^X \setminus \pi_X [Z \cap Z_f].$$

As  $Z \cap Z_f$  is a zero-set in  $X \times Y$ , by our hypothesis,  $\pi_X [Z \cap Z_f]$  is closed in  $X$ . Then,  $\overline{\pi_X [Z \cap Z_f]}^X \setminus \pi_X [Z \cap Z_f] = \emptyset$ , contradiction.

**Fact 2.5** Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. If  $X$  is pseudocompact, then every locally finite family of non-empty open subsets of  $X$  is finite.

**Proof.** By way of contradiction, suppose that there exists a locally finite family  $\mathcal{F} = \{U_i \in \tau(X) : U_i \neq \emptyset, 1 \leq i < \infty\}$  which is infinite. Since each  $U_i$  is non-empty, choose a point  $x_i \in U_i$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $X$  is a Tychonoff space, there exists continuous functions  $f_i : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_i(x_i) = 1$  and  $f_i[X \setminus U_i] \subseteq \{0\}$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Define the function

$$f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ as } f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(x)|.$$

To show that  $f$  is continuous, pick  $x_0 \in X$  and an open set  $V$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing  $f(x_0)$ . We can assume that  $V = (f(x_0) - \frac{1}{m}, f(x_0) + \frac{1}{m})$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is locally finite, there exists an open set  $U_0 \in \tau(X)$  containing  $x_0$  such that  $U_0$  meets  $\mathcal{F}$  only finitely many times. So we have  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{N}$  such that  $U_0 \cap U_{a_i} \neq \emptyset$  for  $i \in [n]$ .

Define  $\delta : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be  $\delta(S) = \sup(S) - \inf(S)$ . For each  $i \in [n]$ , since  $f_{a_i}$  is continuous, there exists  $W_i \in \tau(X)$  such that  $x_0 \in W_i$  and  $\delta(f_i[W_i]) < \frac{1}{mn}$ .

Let  $W = W_1 \cap W_2 \cap \cdots \cap W_n$ . Then  $\delta(f_i[W]) < \frac{1}{mn}$  for each  $i \in [n]$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(f[W]) &= \sup_{x \in W} (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(x)|) - \inf_{x \in W} (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_i(x)|) \\ &= \sup_{x \in W} (\sum_{a_i: i \in [n]} |f_{a_i}(x)|) - \inf_{x \in W} (\sum_{a_i: i \in [n]} |f_{a_i}(x)|) \\ &= \sum_{a_i: i \in [n]} \left( \sup_{x \in W} (|f_{a_i}(x)|) - \inf_{x \in W} (|f_{a_i}(x)|) \right) < n \frac{1}{mn} = \frac{1}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

As  $x_0 \in W \in \tau(X)$  and  $f[W] \subset (f(x_0) - \frac{1}{m}, f(x_0) + \frac{1}{m}) = V$ ,  $f$  is a continuous function. However, since  $f(x_i) \geq i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f$  is not bounded. This contradicts the pseudocompactness of  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.6** Let  $X, Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. If  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact, then the projection map  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ , is  $z$ -closed.

**Proof.** Let  $Z$  be a zero-set in  $X \times Y$ . Suppose that  $\pi_X[Z]$  is not closed in  $X$ . Let  $p \in \overline{\pi_X[Z]}^X \setminus \pi_X[Z]$ .

Since  $Z$  is a zero-set in  $X \times Y$ ,  $Z = f^{\leftarrow}(0)$  for some  $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$ . Define  $h : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f(p, y)}$ . So,  $h[\{p\} \times Y] \subseteq \{1\}$  and  $Z = h^{\leftarrow}(0)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that the range of  $h$  is  $[0, 1]$ .

We will show that there are open sets  $U_n, V_n$  in  $X$ , and  $W_n$  in  $Y$  for  $n < \omega$  such that for  $m < \omega$ , the following hold:

1.  $p \in U_m$
2.  $(V_m \times W_m) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$
3.  $h[V_m \times W_m] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{3}]$
4.  $h[U_m \times W_m] \subseteq (\frac{2}{3}, 1]$
5.  $U_{m+1} \cup V_{m+1} \subseteq U_m$

First, pick  $(x_1, y_1) \in Z$  and open sets  $U_1, V_1 \in \tau(X)$  and  $W_1 \in \tau(Y)$  such that  $p \in U_1, x_1 \in V_1, y_1 \in W_1$ , and  $h[V_1 \times W_1] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{3}]$  and  $h[U_1 \times W_1] \subseteq (\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ . This can be done because  $h$  is continuous,  $h(x_1, y_1) = 0$ , and  $h(p, y_1) = 1$ .

Now,  $U_1 \cap \pi_X[Z] \neq \emptyset$  because  $x_1 \in U_1 \in \tau(X)$ , and  $x_1 \in \overline{\pi_X[Z]}^X$ . So there is some  $(x_2, y_2) \in Z$  such that  $x_2 \in U_1$ . Find open neighborhoods  $U_2$  of  $p, V_2$

of  $x_2$ , and  $W_2$  of  $y_2$  such that  $h[V_2 \times W_2] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{3}]$ ,  $h[U_2 \times W_2] \subseteq (\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ , and  $U_2 \cup V_2 \subseteq U_1$ . Continue by induction.

The family  $D = \{V_n \times W_n : n < \omega\}$  is pairwise disjoint because the  $V_n$ 's are pairwise disjoint by our construction. If  $D$  is locally finite, then by **Fact 2.5**,  $D$  is finite. But  $D$  is infinite by our definition, so  $D$  cannot be locally finite. Then, there exists  $(q, r) \in X \times Y$  with the property that for every neighborhood  $R \times T$  of  $(q, r)$ ,  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (V_n \times W_n) \cap (R \times T) \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite.

On one hand, we have  $(q, r) \in \overline{\bigcup \{V_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{X \times Y}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h(q, r) &\in h \left[ \overline{\bigcup \{V_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{X \times Y} \right] \\ &\subseteq h \left[ \overline{\bigcup \{V_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\mathbb{R}} \right] \subseteq \overline{[0, \frac{1}{3})}^{\mathbb{R}} = [0, \frac{1}{3}]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $n$  and  $n+k$  in  $A$  where  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $V_{n+k} \subseteq U_{n+k-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_n$  by the way we constructed  $V_n$ 's and  $U_n$ 's. Since  $(R \times T) \cap (V_{n+k} \times W_{n+k}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $(R \times T) \cap (U_n \times W_n) \neq \emptyset$  as well.

So,  $(q, r) \in \overline{\bigcup \{U_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{X \times Y}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h(q, r) &\in h \left[ \overline{\bigcup \{U_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{X \times Y} \right] \\ &\subseteq h \left[ \overline{\bigcup \{U_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{\mathbb{R}} \right] \subseteq \overline{(\frac{2}{3}, 1]}^{\mathbb{R}} = [\frac{1}{3}, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, so  $\pi_X[Z]$  must be closed in  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.7** Let  $X, Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. If  $\pi_X$  is  $z$ -closed, then  $X \times Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X \times \beta Y$ .

**Proof:** By **Fact 2.3**, it suffices to show that if  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  are disjoint zero-sets of  $X \times Y$ , then  $\overline{Z_1}^{X \times \beta Y} \cap \overline{Z_2}^{X \times \beta Y} = \emptyset$ .

Assume there is some point  $(x, p) \in \overline{Z_1}^{X \times \beta Y} \cap \overline{Z_2}^{X \times \beta Y}$ , where  $x \in Y$  and  $p \in \beta Y \setminus Y$ . By **Fact 2.4**,

$$(x, p) \in \overline{Z_1 \cap (\{x\} \times Y)}^{\{x\} \times \beta Y} \cap \overline{Z_2 \cap (\{x\} \times Y)}^{\{x\} \times \beta Y}.$$

Now,  $Z_1 \cap (\{x\} \times Y)$  and  $Z_2 \cap (\{x\} \times Y)$  are disjoint open sets in  $\{x\} \times Y$ . Since  $\{x\} \times Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\{x\} \times \beta Y$ , then, by the other direction of **Fact 2.3**,

$$\overline{Z_1 \cap (\{x\} \times Y)}^{\{x\} \times \beta Y} \cap \overline{Z_2 \cap (\{x\} \times Y)}^{\{x\} \times \beta Y} = \emptyset$$

Contradiction, so  $\overline{Z_1}^{X \times \beta Y} \cap \overline{Z_2}^{X \times \beta Y} = \emptyset$ .

**Glicksberg's Theorem:** Let  $X \times Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. If  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact, then  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$ .

**Proof.** By **Lemma 2.6**, the projection map  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  is  $z$ -closed. By **Lemma 2.7**,  $X \times Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X \times \beta Y$ . Since  $X$  is pseudocompact and  $\beta Y$  is compact, by **Fact 3.1** of Chapter III,  $X \times \beta Y$  is pseudocompact. Using **Lemma 2.6**, **Lemma 2.7** again, and by symmetry,  $X \times \beta Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ . By the transitivity of  $C^*$ -embedding,  $X \times Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ . I.e.,  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$ .