

## The other Tamano's Theorem and Glicksberg's Theorem.

Friday, 4-27-'07

**Fact 1** Let  $X, Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. If  $\pi_X$  is  $z$ -closed, then  $X \times Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X \times \beta Y$ .

**Fact 2** Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space. If  $X$  is pseudocompact, then every locally finite family of non-empty open subsets of  $X$  is finite.

**Tamano's Theorem.** Let  $X, Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. If  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact, then the projection map  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ , is  $z$ -closed.

**Proof.** Let  $Z$  be a zero-set in  $X \times Y$ . Suppose that  $\pi_X[Z]$  is not closed in  $X$ . Let  $p \in \overline{\pi_X[Z]}^X \setminus \pi_X[Z]$ .

Since  $Z$  is a zero-set in  $X \times Y$ ,  $Z = f^{-1}(0)$  for some  $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$ . Define  $h : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(x, y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f(p, y)}$ . So,  $h[\{p\} \times Y] \subseteq \{1\}$  and  $Z = h^{-1}(0)$ .

We will show that there are open sets  $U_n, V_n$  in  $X$ , and  $W_n$  in  $Y$  for  $n < \omega$  such that for  $m < \omega$ , the following hold:

1.  $p \in U_m$
2.  $(V_m \times W_m) \cap Z \neq \emptyset$
3.  $h[V_m \times W_m] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{3})$
4.  $h[U_m \times W_m] \subseteq (\frac{2}{3}, 1]$
5.  $U_{m+1} \cup V_{m+1} \subseteq U_m$

First, pick  $(x_1, y_1) \in Z$  and open sets  $U_1, V_1 \in \tau(X)$  and  $W_1 \in \tau(Y)$  such that  $p \in U_1, x_1 \in V_1, y_1 \in W_1$ , and  $h[V_1 \times W_1] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{3})$  and  $h[U_1 \times W_1] \subseteq (\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ . This can be done because  $h$  is continuous,  $h(x_1, y_1) = 0$ , and  $h(p, y_1) = 1$ .

Now,  $U_1 \cap \pi_X[Z] \neq \emptyset$  because  $x_1 \in U_1 \in \tau(X)$ , and  $x_1 \in \overline{\pi_X[Z]}^X$ . So there is some  $(x_2, y_2) \in Z$  such that  $x_2 \in U_1$ . Find open neighborhoods  $U_2$  of  $p$ ,  $V_2$  of  $x_2$ , and  $W_2$  of  $y_2$  such that  $h[V_2 \times W_2] \subseteq [0, \frac{1}{3})$ ,  $h[U_2 \times W_2] \subseteq (\frac{2}{3}, 1]$ , and  $U_2 \cup V_2 \subseteq U_1$ . Continue by induction.

The family  $D = \{V_n \times W_n : n < \omega\}$  is pairwise disjoint because the  $V_n$ 's are pairwise disjoint by our construction. If  $D$  is locally finite, then by **Fact 2**,  $D$  is finite. But  $D$  is infinite by our definition, so  $D$  cannot be locally finite. Then, there exists  $(q, r) \in X \times Y$  with the property that for every neighborhood  $R \times T$  of  $(q, r)$ ,  $A = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : (V_n \times W_n) \cap (R \times T) \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite.

On one hand, we have  $(q, r) \in \overline{\bigcup \{V_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{X \times Y}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h(q, r) &\in h \left[ \overline{\bigcup \{V_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{X \times Y} \right] \\ &\subseteq \overline{h \left[ \bigcup \{V_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \right]}^{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \overline{\left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right)}^{\mathbb{R}} = \left[0, \frac{1}{3}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if  $n$  and  $n+k$  in  $A$  where  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $V_{n+k} \subseteq U_{n+k-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_n$  by the way we constructed  $V_n$ 's and  $U_n$ 's. Since  $(R \times T) \cap (V_{n+k} \times W_{n+k}) \neq \emptyset$ ,  $(R \times T) \cap (U_n \times W_n) \neq \emptyset$  as well.

So,  $(q, r) \in \overline{\bigcup \{U_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{X \times Y}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} h(q, r) &\in h \left[ \overline{\bigcup \{U_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}}^{X \times Y} \right] \\ &\subseteq \overline{h \left[ \bigcup \{U_m \times W_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \right]}^{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \overline{\left(\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]}^{\mathbb{R}} = \left[\frac{1}{3}, 1\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, so  $\pi_X[Z]$  must be closed in  $X$ .

**Glicksberg's Theorem:** Let  $X \times Y$  be Tychonoff spaces. If  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact, then  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$ .

**Proof.** By **Tamano's Theorem**, the projection map  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$  is  $z$ -closed. By **Fact 1**,  $X \times Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X \times \beta Y$ . Since  $X$  is pseudocompact and  $\beta Y$  is compact,  $X \times \beta Y$  is pseudocompact. Using **Tamano's Theorem**, **Fact 1** again, and by symmetry,  $X \times \beta Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ . By the transitivity of  $C^*$ -embedding,  $X \times Y$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X \times \beta Y$ . I.e.,  $\beta(X \times Y) = \beta X \times \beta Y$ .