Fact 3.1 If X is pseudocompact and Y is compact, then  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact.

**Proof.** Let  $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ . As  $\{x\} \times Y$  is compact,  $f[\{x\} \times Y]$  is closed and bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$  for all  $x \in X$ . We can define  $g: X \to \mathbb{R}$  as

$$g(x) = \max\{f(x, y) : y \in Y\}.$$

Fix  $x_0 \in X$ , we will show that g is continuous at  $x_0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ .

By our definition of g, there exists some  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $g(x_0) = f(x_0, y_0)$ . Let  $r = f(x_0, y_0)$ . Now, define the sets  $U_y$ 's and  $V_y$ 's as follows:

For each  $y \in Y$ :

If  $f(x_0, y) \in (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ , we can get  $V_y \in \tau(Y)$  and  $U_y \in \tau(X)$  such that  $x_0 \in U_y, y_0 \in V_y$  and  $f[U_y \times V_y] \subseteq (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ . In particular, since  $f(x_0, y_0) \in (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ ,  $x_0 \in U_{y_0} \in \tau(X)$ ,  $y_0 \in V_{y_0} \in \tau(Y)$ , and  $f[U_{y_0} \times V_{y_0}] \subseteq (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ 

If  $f(x_0, y) \notin (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ , then since  $f(x_0, y) \leq \max\{f(x_0, y) : y \in Y\} = r$ , we must have  $f(x_0, y) \leq r - \epsilon$ . Hence, we can get  $V_y \in \tau(Y)$  and  $U_y \in \tau(X)$  such that  $x_0 \in U_y, y_0 \in V_y$ , and  $f[U_y \times V_y] \subseteq (-\infty, r)$ .

The family  $\{V_y:y\in Y\}$  as defined aboved is an open cover of Y. By compactness, there exists  $\{V_i:1\leq i\leq n\}\subseteq \{V_y:y\in Y\}$  such that  $\bigcup\{V_i:1\leq i\leq n\}=Y$ . Corresponding to  $\{V_i:1\leq i\leq n\}$ , we have the set  $\{U_i:1\leq i\leq n\}$ . Let  $U=\bigcap\{U_i:1\leq i\leq n\}\cap U_{y_0}$ , now U is an open set containing  $x_0$ .

Pick any  $x \in U$ .

On one hand, we have  $\max\{f(x,y) : y \in Y\} < r + \epsilon$  because:

$$\{f(x,y): y \in Y\} = \left\{f(x,y): y \in \bigcup \{V_i: 1 \le i \le n\}\right\}$$
$$= \bigcup \left\{f\left[\{x\} \times V_i\right]: 1 \le i \le n\right\}$$
$$\subseteq \bigcup \left\{f\left[U_i \times V_i\right]: 1 \le i \le n\right\}$$
$$\subseteq (-\infty, r + \epsilon).$$

One the other hand, we have  $\max\{f(x,y):y\in Y\}>r-\epsilon$  because:

$$\max\{f(x,y): y \in Y\} > f(x,y_0), \text{ and }$$

$$f(x,y_0) \in f\left[U \times \{y_0\}\right] \subseteq f\left[U_{y_0} \times \{y_0\}\right] \subseteq f\left[U_{y_0} \times V_{y_0}\right] \subseteq (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon).$$

We have now  $r - \epsilon < \max\{f(x,y) : y \in Y\} < r + \epsilon$  for all  $x \in U$ . Hence,  $g[U] \subseteq (r - \epsilon, r + \epsilon)$ , so g is continuous on X. As X is pseudocompact, g must be bounded. Therefore, f must be bounded as well. Thus,  $X \times Y$  is pseudocompact.

Fact 3.2 Let X be a pseudocompact space. Let  $\tau = |\beta X|^+$  and denote by  $T(\tau)$  the space of all ordinal numbers less than  $\tau$ . Then,  $X \times T(\tau)$  is pseudocompact, and also  $T(\tau)$  is pseudocompact.

**Proof.** Let  $f: X \times T(\tau) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous.

By Claim 3.2.1 below, the ordinal space  $T(\tau)$  is pseudocompact.

By Claim 3.2.2, there exists  $\kappa_x < \tau$  such that f is constant on  $\{x\} \times [\kappa_x, \tau)$ . As  $cf(\tau) > |X|$ , there exists  $\kappa = \sup_{x \in X} \{\kappa_x : x \in X\}$ . Now,  $f[X \times [0, \kappa+1]]$  is bounded because  $X \times [0, \kappa+1]$  is pseudocompact by Fact 3.1.

For  $\alpha \geq \kappa$ ,  $f(x, \alpha) = f(x, \beta)$ . Thus,  $f[X \times [\kappa, \tau)] = f[X \times {\kappa}]$  which is bounded because X is pseudocompact.

The boundedness of  $f[X \times [0, \kappa+1]]$  and  $f[X \times [\kappa, \tau)]$  gives us that  $f[X \times T(\tau)]$  is bounded. Hence,  $X \times T(\tau)$  is pseudocompact.

Claim 3.2.1 The space  $T(\tau)$  is pseudocompact.

**Proof.** Let  $g: T(\tau) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function.

By way of contradiction, suppose that g is unbounded. We will define the subset  $\{\alpha_i, i < \omega\} \subseteq T(\tau)$  by induction:

Step 1. Since g is unbounded, we can find  $\alpha_1 \in T(\tau)$  such that  $g(\alpha_1) \geq 1$ .

Step N. Since  $[0,\alpha_{n-1}]$  is compact in  $T(\tau)$  and g is continuous,  $g[[0,\alpha_{n-1}]]$  must be bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . But since g is unbounded,  $g[(\alpha_{n-1},\tau)]$  must be unbounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ . So there exists  $\alpha_n \in (\alpha_{n-1},\tau)$  such that  $g(\alpha_n) \geq n$ .

Having defined  $\alpha_i \in T(\tau)$  for all  $i < \omega$ , let  $\beta = \sup\{\alpha_i : i < \omega\}$ . Such  $\beta$  exists in  $T(\tau)$  because  $cf(\tau) > \omega$ . As g is continuous, we have

$$g(\beta) = \lim_{i < \omega} g(\alpha_i)$$

This can't happen because the sequence  $\{g(\alpha_i): i<\omega\}$  diverges to infinity. Thus, g must be bounded.

Claim 3.2.2 Let  $g: T(\tau) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous. Then g is constant on  $[\kappa, \tau)$  for some  $\kappa \in T(\tau)$ .

**Proof.** By Claim 3.2.3 below,  $[\alpha, \tau)$  is countably compact for all  $\alpha \in T(\tau)$ . This is because if  $A = \{a_1, a_2, ...\}$  is a countably infinite subset of  $[\alpha, \tau)$ , then we can get a nondecreasing subsequence  $\{a'_1, a'_2, ...\}$  of A. Let  $\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} \{a'_1, a'_2, ...\}$ , which exists because  $cf(\tau) > \omega$ . So A has an accumulation point, namely  $\alpha$ . Thus  $[\alpha, \tau)$  must be countably compact.

Since g is continuous,  $g[[\alpha, \tau)]$  is countably compact. In metric spaces, countably compact is equivalent to compact because metric spaces are Lindelöff. Hence,  $g[[\alpha, \tau)]$  is compact for all  $\alpha < \tau$ . Thus, there exists  $p \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} g[\alpha, \tau)$ . To show that p is unique, suppose that there exists  $q \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} g[\alpha, \tau)$ .

There exists some  $\alpha_0 \in [0,\tau)$  such that  $g(\alpha_0) = p$ . As  $q \in g[[\alpha_0 + 1,\tau)]$ , there exists  $\alpha_1 \in [\alpha_0 + 1,\tau)$  such that  $g(\alpha_1) = q$ . As  $p \in g[[\alpha_1 + 1,\tau)]$ , there exists  $\alpha_2 \in [\alpha_1 + 1,\tau)$  such that  $g(\alpha_2) = p$ . We continue this process by induction. We have now:

$$p = g(\alpha_0) = g(\alpha_2) = g(\alpha_4) = \cdots$$

$$q = g(\alpha_1) = g(\alpha_3) = g(\alpha_5) = \cdots$$

Let  $\beta = \sup\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\}$ , which exists because  $cf(\tau) > \omega$ . By continuity of  $g, g(\beta) = \lim_{n < \omega} g(\alpha_n)$ . Thus,

$$p = \lim_{n < \omega} g(\alpha_{2n}) = g(\beta) = \lim_{n < \omega} g(\alpha_{2n+1}) = q$$

So,  $\bigcap_{\alpha<\tau}g\left[[\alpha,\tau)\right]=\{p\}$ . For each  $n<\omega$ , we can find some  $\gamma_n\in T(\tau)$  such that  $g\left[[\gamma_n,\tau)\right]\subseteq (p-\frac{1}{n},p+\frac{1}{n})$ . Let  $\kappa=\sup_{n<\omega}\gamma_n$ . So, we have

$$g\left[\left[\kappa,\tau\right)\right]\subseteq\bigcap_{n<\omega}(p-\frac{1}{n},p+\frac{1}{n})=\{p\}.$$

**Claim 3.2.3** For every Hausdorff spaces X, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. The space X is countably compact.
- 2. For every decreasing sequence  $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots$  of non-empty closed subsets of X, the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  is non-empty.
- 3. Every countably infinite subset of X has an accumulation point.

## Proof.

**1⇒2:** Let  $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots$  be non-empty closed subsets of X. If  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \emptyset$ , then  $\{X \backslash F_i : 1 \leq i \leq \infty\}$  would be an countable open cover of X, so there is a finite subcover  $\{X \backslash F_i' : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq \{X \backslash F_i : 1 \leq i \leq \infty\}$  such that  $\bigcup \{X \backslash F_i' : 1 \leq i \leq n\} = X$ . Now, because the  $F_i$ 's are decreasing, without loss of generality,  $F_1' \supset F_2' \supset \cdots \supset F_n'$ . So,  $\bigcup \{X \backslash F_i' : 1 \leq i \leq n\} = X \backslash F_n'$ . Contradiction.

**2**⇒**1:** By way of contradiction, suppose that X is not countably compact. Let  $\{U_i \in \tau(X) : 1 \le i \le \infty\}$  be a countable cover of X that does not yield an finite subcover. For each  $1 \le n \le \infty$ , define  $F_n = X \setminus \bigcup \{U_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$ . For each n,  $F_n$  is non-empty because if it is, then  $\{U_i(X) : 1 \le i \le n\}$  would be a finite subcover, contradiction. Thus, we have  $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset \cdots$  and each  $F_n$  is a non-empty closed subset of X.

Now, by our assumption, the intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  is non-empty. So there exists some  $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ . So  $x \in F_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le \infty$ . That means  $x \notin U_i$  for all  $1 \le i \le \infty$ , contradicting that  $\{U_i : 1 \le i \le \infty\}$  is a cover of X.

**1⇒3:** By way of contradiction, suppose we have a countably infinite subset  $A = \{x_i \in X : 1 \le i \le \infty\}$  with no accumulation point in X. Then every point in A is an isolated point with respect to A. For each  $x_i \in A$ , let  $x_i \in U_{x_i} \in \tau(X)$  such that  $U_{x_i} \cap A = \{x_i\}$ . So  $\{X \setminus A\} \cup \{U_{x_i} \in \tau(X) : 1 \le i \le \infty\}$  is an countable open cover of X that yields no finite subcover, contradicting that X is countably compact.

**3⇒1:** By way of contradiction, suppose that  $\{U_i \in \tau(X) : 1 \leq i \leq \infty\}$  is a countable cover of X which does not yield an open subcover. Then, by the equivalence of **1** and **2**, there exists a decreasing sequence  $F_1 \supset F_2 \cdots$  of non-empty closed subsets of X such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \emptyset$ . We define the set  $A = \{x_1, x_2, ...\}$  such that  $x_i \in F_i$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \infty$ . If A is finite, then by pigeon-hole principle, there must be some  $x_j \in A$  such that  $x_j$  belongs to infinitely many  $F_i$ 's, and since  $F_i$ 's are decreasing,  $x'_j$  would have to be in all  $F_i$ 's. Contradicting  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \emptyset$ . Hence, A is an infinite set. By our assumption, A has an accumulation point. Let x be an accumulation point of A.

Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i = \emptyset$ , there exists an i such that  $x \notin F_i$ . Now,  $U = X \setminus F_i$  is an open set that contains x, and U does not contain any point of the set  $\{x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}...\} \subseteq F_i$ . Let  $V = \{x\} \cup (X \setminus \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_{i-1}\})$ . V is an open set that contains x. Hence, we have  $x \in (U \cap V) \in \tau(X)$ .

However,  $(U \cap V) \cap A = \{x\}$  by the way we defined U and V. Thus x is not an accumulation point of A, contradiction.

Fact 3.3 Let  $\tau$  be an uncountable regular cardinal. Let  $T(\tau)$  be the space of all ordinal numbers less than  $\tau$ . Let  $A_{\alpha}$  be closed, unbounded subset of  $T(\tau)$ . Let  $\gamma \in T(\tau)$ . Then,  $\bigcap \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\}$  is closed, unbounded and  $|\bigcap \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\}| = \tau$ .

## Proof.

We will construct the set  $\{p_{\alpha} : \alpha < \tau\}$  by transfinite induction.

## Step 1.

Pick any element  $a_{1,1} \in A_1$ , we can find some element  $a_{1,2} \in A_2$  such that  $a_{1,2} > a_{1,1}$  because  $A_2$  is unbounded. Then, by continuing this process, we can define  $a_{1,n}$  in the same way, for all  $n < \omega$ . For all  $\alpha < \gamma$ , If  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal, then since  $A_{\alpha}$  is unbounded, we can find some  $a_{1,\alpha} \in A_{\alpha}$  such that  $a_{1,\alpha} > a_{1,\alpha-1}$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then let  $\beta = \sup_{\kappa < \alpha} \{a_{1,\kappa}\}$ , which exists because  $\alpha < cf(\tau)$ . Now, since  $A_{\alpha}$  is unbounded, we can find some  $a_{1,\alpha} \in A_{\alpha}$  such that  $a_{1,\alpha} > \beta$ .

Thus, we have defined the set  $\{a_{1,\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\}$ . Let  $\beta_1 = \sup\{a_{1,\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\}$ , which exists because  $\gamma < cf(\tau)$ .

Step N. Let  $a_{n,1} \in A_1$  be such that  $a_{n,1} > \beta_{n-1}$ . Let  $a_{n,2} \in A_2$  be such that  $a_{n,2} > a_{n,1}$ . Now continuing the same way as in Step 1, we can define  $a_{n,\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha < \gamma$ . Let  $\beta_n = \sup\{a_{n,\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\}$ .

So, we have contructed the set  $\{a_{n,\alpha}: n < \omega, \alpha < \gamma\}$ .

For all  $\alpha < \gamma$ ,  $\lim_{n < \omega} a_{n,\alpha} \in A_{\alpha}$  because  $A_{\alpha}$  is closed. Moreover, if  $\alpha, \alpha' < \gamma$ , then  $\lim_{n < \omega} a_{n,\alpha} = \lim_{n < \omega} a_{n,\alpha'}$ . So if we define  $p_1 = \lim_{n < \omega} a_{n,\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha < \gamma$ , then  $p_1 \in \bigcap \{A_{\alpha} : \alpha < \gamma\}$ .

For all  $\alpha < \tau$ , if  $\alpha$  is an isolated ordinal, then we start from  $p_{\alpha-1} \in A_1$  in Step 1 again, and define  $p_{\alpha}$  the same way as we did for  $p_1$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then we let  $p_{\alpha} = \sup\{p_{\kappa} : \kappa < \alpha\}$ . This exists because  $\alpha < cf(\tau)$ .

We've finished contruction of the set  $\{p_{\alpha} : \alpha < \tau\} \subseteq T(\tau)$ . From the way we contructed it, this set is closed, unbounded and its cardinality is  $\tau$ .

Fact 3.4 Let X be a Tychonoff space and  $|X| > \aleph_0$ . Let  $\tau = |\beta X|^+$ . Then,  $T(\tau)$  can be condensed onto  $T(\tau+1)$ . Moreover, for any space  $X, X \times T(\tau)$  condenses onto  $X \times T(\tau+1)$ .

**Proof.** Define  $g: T(\tau) \to T(\tau+1)$  by  $g(0) = \tau$  and  $g(\alpha) = \alpha - 1$  for all  $\alpha < \omega$ . Now, g is one-to-one and onto. Note that g is continuous at  $\omega$  because if  $(\beta, \omega]$  is an open set containing  $g(\omega)$ , then  $(\beta+1, \omega]$  is an open set such that  $g[(\beta+1, \omega)] \subseteq (\beta, \omega]$ , and g is continuous on all  $\alpha < \omega$  because  $\{\alpha\} \in T(\tau)$ ;

finally, g is continuous on all  $\alpha > \omega$  because  $g|_{(\omega,\tau)}$  is the identity function. Thus,  $T(\tau)$  can be condensed onto  $T(\tau+1)$ .

Moreover, define  $h: X \times T(\tau) \to X \times T(\tau+1)$  by  $h(x,\alpha) = (x,g(\alpha))$ . Since g is one-to-one, onto, and continuous, then, h must also be one-to-one, onto, and continuous.

**Fact 3.5** Let Z be a Tychonoff space. Let A be a closed subset of Z, B be compact subset of  $\beta Z$ . The set  $A \cup B$  is not compact in  $\beta Z$ . Then, there exists a system  $D = \{D_{\alpha}\}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- 1. For each  $\alpha$ , the set  $D_{\alpha}$  is non-empty and closed in A.
- 2. For  $\alpha > \beta, D_{\alpha} \subseteq D_{\beta}$  and if  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal number, then  $D_{\beta} = \bigcap \{D_{\alpha} : \alpha < \beta\}.$
- 3.  $\bigcap \{D_{\alpha}\} = \emptyset$ .
- 4.  $\overline{D_1}^{\beta Z} \cap B = \emptyset$ .

## Proof.

Since  $A \cup B$  is not compact, there is an open cover  $C \subset \tau(\beta Z)$  of  $A \cup B$  that has no finite subcover. Since B is compact and C covers B, there is a finite subcover  $\{C_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \subseteq C$  such that  $B \subseteq \bigcup \{C_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ .

Let  $E = A \setminus \bigcup \{C_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . E is closed in A. E is nonempty because if it is, then that means  $\{C_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  covers A as well as B, contradiction. Furthermore, E is not compact. If E is compact, we can get a finite subcover  $\{C_i' : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  from C. Then,  $\{C_i' : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \cup \{C_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  is a finite subcover that covers  $A \cup B$ , contradiction.

As E is not compact, we can find an open cover  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \tau(\beta Z)$  such that no finite subcover of  $\mathcal{F}$  covers E. without loss of generality, we can assume that  $|\mathcal{F}| = L(E)$ , the Lindeloff number of E. We can well-order  $\mathcal{F}$ , so  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_{\alpha} : \alpha < L(E)\}$ . Define  $D_{\alpha} = E \setminus \bigcup \{F_{\gamma} : \gamma < \alpha\}$  for each  $\alpha < L(E)$ . We shall verify that D satisfies all four condictions:

- 1. For each  $\alpha$ , the set  $D_{\alpha}$  is non-empty and closed in A. Proof- Each  $D_{\alpha}$  is non-empty because if  $D_{\alpha} = \emptyset$  for some  $\alpha$ , then  $E \setminus \bigcup \{F_{\gamma} : \gamma < \alpha\} = \text{and so } E \subseteq \{F_{\gamma} : \gamma < \alpha\}$ . However, since  $\alpha < L(E)$ , we have a contradiction. So  $D_{\alpha}$  is nonempty. Moreover,  $D_{\alpha}$  is closed in A because it is closed in E, and E is closed in A.
- 2. For  $\alpha > \beta$ ,  $D_{\alpha} \subseteq D_{\beta}$  and if  $\beta < L(E)$  is a limit ordinal number, then  $D_{\beta} = \bigcap \{D_{\alpha} : \alpha < \beta\}.$

Proof- By the way we defined the  $D_{\alpha}'s$ ,  $D_{\alpha}\subseteq D_{\beta}$  if  $\alpha>\beta$ . If  $\beta$  a limit ordinal number, and if  $D_{\beta}\neq\bigcap\{D_{\alpha}:\alpha<\beta\}$ , then we replace  $D_{\beta}$  with the set  $\bigcap\{D_{\alpha}:\alpha<\beta\}$ , which is nonempty and closed in A. So now,  $D_{\beta}=\bigcap\{D_{\alpha}:\alpha<\beta\}$ .

- 3.  $\bigcap \{D_{\alpha}\} = \emptyset$ . Proof- This is true because  $\bigcap \{D_{\alpha}\} = E \setminus \bigcup \{F_{\gamma} : \gamma < L(E)\} = \emptyset$ .
- $4. \ \overline{E}^{\beta Z} \cap B = \emptyset.$  Since  $\overline{E}^{\beta Z} \cap B = \emptyset$ , and  $D_1 \subseteq E$ , then  $\overline{D_1}^{\beta Z} \cap B = \emptyset$ .

Fact 3.6 Let X be a Tychonoff space. If  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  are subsets of X such that  $\overline{B_1}^{\beta X} \cap \overline{B_2}^{\beta X} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are not completely separated in X.

**Proof.** Suppose  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are completely separated in X. Then there exists a continuous function function  $f:X\to [0,1]$  such that  $f\left[B_1\right]\subseteq \{0\}$  and  $f\left[B_2\right]\subseteq \{1\}$ . Let  $\bar{f}:\beta X\to [0,1]$  be the extension of f. The sets  $\bar{f}^{-1}(0)$  and  $\bar{f}^{-1}(1)$  are closed in  $\beta X$  such that  $\overline{B_1}^{\beta X}\subseteq \bar{f}(0)$  and  $\overline{B_2}^{\beta X}\subseteq \bar{f}^{-1}(1)$ . Since  $\bar{f}^{-1}(0)\cap \bar{f}^{-1}=\emptyset$ , we have  $\overline{B_1}^{\beta X}\cap \overline{B_2}^{\beta X}=\emptyset$ , contradiction.

Fact 3.7 Let X be a Tychonoff space. If X is locally compact, then X can be condensed onto a compact space.

**Proof.** Let  $X \cup \{\infty\}$  be the one-point compactification of X. Pick any  $x_0 \in X$ . Let K be the space  $X \cup \{\infty\}$  with the point  $\infty$  identified with  $x_0$ . In K, the open sets containing  $x_0$  is of the form  $U_{x_0} \cup V_{\infty}$ , where  $U_{x_0}$  is any open set containing  $x_0$  in X, and  $V_{\infty}$  is any open set containing  $\infty$  in  $X \times \{\infty\}$ . For  $x \in K \setminus \{x_0\}$ , the open sets containing x in K are same as the open sets containing x in X.

K is compact with the topology we've just defined. Let  $f: X \times K$  be the identity map. So, f is one-to-one and onto. Let  $U_{x_0} \cup V_{\infty}$  be an open set containing  $f(x_0) = x_0$ , then  $U_{x_0}$  is an open set in X such that  $f[U_{x_0}] = U_{x_0} \subset U_{x_0} \cup V_{\infty}$ . So f is continuous on  $x_0$ , as well as on other points of X. Hence, X can be condensed onto K.