

PrologPF: Adding functions to Prolog

IAN LEWIS*

University of Cambridge
ijl20@cam.ac.uk

March 21, 2022

Abstract

PrologPF is a language that combines the logic programming of Prolog with the functional reduction of the language ML. The syntax has been pragmatically designed to provide a consistent combined style that would be familiar to both Prolog and ML programmers. Declared functions can be called naturally from within Prolog clauses, i.e. a function reference can appear as the functor of a compound term resulting in functional reduction of that term. Prolog relations can be called with one-solution semantics as the condition in functional if-then-else statements. Unification is used consistently for argument matching in both relations and functions, and unifications from the functional if-then-else condition are propagated into the then and else statements. Higher-order functional programming and lazy reduction of functions are supported. The implementation is designed as an extension of Prolog, and has been shown to support all of the ML programming exercises in an undergraduate CS course. The PrologPF approach to blending functional and logic programming combines the declarative nature of both paradigms while replacing deterministic relations with declarative function definitions and reducing the need for Prolog's extra-logical cut.

I. INTRODUCTION

Prolog code tends to be a mix of relations and functions although the limited syntax requires the functions to be expressed using the relational head-normal form.

For example, a factorial function in Prolog will typically be written

```
fact(0,1).
fact(N,F) :-
    N > 0,
    M is N - 1,
    fact(M,FM),
    F is N * FM.
```

Note this relation is deterministic and requires the first argument to be instantiated to a number without those details being clearly expressed. More problematically, the implementation of functions as relations encourages the use of *cut*, as in

```
fact(0,1) :- !.
```

*A thank you or further information

```
fact(N,F) :-
    M is N - 1,
    fact(M,FM),
    F is N * FM.
```

The extra-logical behaviour of *cut* destroys the declarative nature of Prolog code and in this case silently introduces non-terminating behaviour when the first argument is a negative number.

II. METHOD

In PrologPF, the factorial function can be defined as below.

```
fun fact(1) = 1;
    fact(N) = N * fact(N-1).
```

This style of the function definition has familiarity to both Prolog's alternate head terms for typical relation declarations and the alternate argument matching cases in Standard ML.

As PrologPF has special treatment for if-then-else in function definitions, the factorial function can equally be written using this style.

```

fun fact(N) = if (N=1)
    then 1
    else N * fact(N-1).
    
```

Function references can occur naturally in place of Prolog terms, for example

```

% fact_list(+L,?FL) succeeds if FL is
% a list of factorials from numbers L.
fact_list([], []).
fact_list([H|T], [fact(H)|FT])
    :- fact_list(T, FT).
    
```

In PrologPF, the operator @ is used to express functional application, i.e.

```
fact(5)
```

where fact has been declared as a function using the fun operator, is equivalent to

```
fact @ [5]
```

III. METHODS

Maecenas sed ultricies felis. Sed imperdiet dictum arcu a egestas.

- Donec dolor arcu, rutrum id molestie in, viverra sed diam
- Curabitur feugiat
- turpis sed auctor facilisis
- arcu eros accumsan lorem, at posuere mi diam sit amet tortor
- Fusce fermentum, mi sit amet euismod rutrum
- sem lorem molestie diam, iaculis aliquet sapien tortor non nisi
- Pellentesque bibendum pretium aliquet

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo.

Table 1: Example table

| Name | | |
|------------|-----------|-------|
| First name | Last Name | Grade |
| John | Doe | 7.5 |
| Richard | Miles | 2 |

Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Text requiring further explanation¹.

IV. RESULTS

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

$$e = mc^2 \quad (1)$$

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut,

¹Example footnote

ultrices vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

V. DISCUSSION

i. Subsection One

A statement requiring citation [Figueredo and Wolf, 2009]. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultrices vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

ii. Subsection Two

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultrices vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet,

consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

REFERENCES

[Figueredo and Wolf, 2009] Figueredo, A. J. and Wolf, P. S. A. (2009). Assortative pairing and life history strategy - a cross-cultural study. *Human Nature*, 20:317–330.