

GROTHENDIECK SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

IDO KARSHON

1. CONSTRUCTION

Lemma 1.1. *Let $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence in an abelian category with enough injectives, and let I_A°, I_C° be injective resolutions of A, C respectively. Then there is an injective resolution I_B° of B that fits into a short exact sequence of injective resolutions $0 \rightarrow I_A^\circ \rightarrow I_B^\circ \rightarrow I_C^\circ \rightarrow 0$, extending the original exact sequence. Further, each I_B^i can be taken to be the direct sum $I_A^i \oplus I_C^i$, such that the exact sequences $0 \rightarrow I_A^\circ \rightarrow I_B^\circ \rightarrow I_C^\circ \rightarrow 0$ are given by the natural inclusion and projection maps.*

Remark 1.2. *The boundary maps on I_B° will not in general be the direct sum of those on I_A° and I_C° .*

Proof. Let $I_B^i = I_A^i \oplus I_C^i$ (as individual objects, not yet as a complex). The proof goes by inductively filling the boundary maps ∂_B^i in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots \\
& & & & & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & I_A^2 & \longrightarrow & I_B^2 & \longrightarrow & I_C^2 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \partial_A^2 \uparrow & & \partial_B^2 \uparrow & & \partial_C^2 \uparrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & I_A^1 & \longrightarrow & I_B^1 & \longrightarrow & I_C^1 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \partial_A^1 \uparrow & & \partial_B^1 \uparrow & & \partial_C^1 \uparrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & I_A^0 & \longrightarrow & I_B^0 & \longrightarrow & I_C^0 & \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \partial_A^0 \uparrow & & \partial_B^0 \uparrow & & \partial_C^0 \uparrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

such that the diagram commutes, and the middle column is exact.

For the induction base, we need to define an injective function $\partial_B^0 : B \rightarrow I_A^0 \oplus I_C^0$ compatible with the maps around it. Since I_A^0 is injective, there is a map $\alpha : B \rightarrow I_A^0$ such that $\alpha \circ f = \partial_A^0$. Define $\partial_B^0(b) = (\alpha(b), \partial_C^0(g(b)))$. This is an injection, and makes the lower two squares commute.

The induction step is very similar. Suppose we have defined ∂_B^j for $j \leq i$. We need to define $\partial_B^{i+1} : I_A^i \oplus I_C^i \rightarrow I_A^{i+1} \oplus I_C^{i+1}$. By the induction hypothesis there is an injection $I_A^i / \text{im}(\partial_A^{i-1}) \rightarrow I_B^i / \text{im}(\partial_B^{i-1})$. Therefore, the map $I_A^i / \text{im}(\partial_A^{i-1}) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_B^i} I_A^{i+1}$ extends to a map $I_B^i / \text{im}(\partial_B^{i-1}) \rightarrow I_A^{i+1}$. We can then define $\partial_B^{i+1} : I_B^i \rightarrow I_A^{i+1} \oplus I_C^{i+1}$ as the direct sum of the composition

$$I_B^i \rightarrow I_B^i / \text{im}(\partial_B^{i-1}) \rightarrow I_A^{i+1}$$

with the composition

$$I_B^i \rightarrow I_C^i \xrightarrow{\partial_C^{i+1}} I_C^{i+1}.$$

This can be checked to satisfy all the required properties. \square

Remark 1.3. *The resolution of C does not need to be injective for this argument to work.*

Let C° be a complex in an abelian category \mathcal{C} . A double complex I_C^{ij} such that each column $I_C^{i\circ}$ is an injective resolution of C^i , in a manner compatible with the boundary maps in C° , is called an injective resolution of the complex C° .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
 & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & & & \\
 C^2 & \longrightarrow & I_C^{2,0} & \longrightarrow & I_C^{2,1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & & & \\
 C^1 & \longrightarrow & I_C^{1,0} & \longrightarrow & I_C^{1,1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & & & \\
 C^0 & \longrightarrow & I_C^{0,0} & \longrightarrow & I_C^{0,1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots
 \end{array}$$

Lemma 1.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category with enough injectives, and let C° be a complex in \mathcal{C} . Denote $H^i = H^i(C^\circ)$ and let $H^i \rightarrow I_H^{i\circ}$ be injective resolutions for each H^i . Then there exists an injective resolution I_C^{ij} of C° such that for each fixed j , the complex $I_C^{i\circ j}$ is the direct sum of a split exact complex and the trivial complex $I_H^{i\circ j}$ with vanishing boundary maps.*

Proof. Denote the cocycle and coboundary subgroups of C^i by Z^i and B^i respectively. Let $B^i \rightarrow I_B^{i\circ}$ be injective resolutions of all the coboundary subgroups. Applying Lemma 1.1 for the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B^i \rightarrow Z^i \rightarrow H^i \rightarrow 0$, we find that there is an injective resolution $Z^i \rightarrow I_Z^{i\circ}$ such that $I_Z^{ij} \cong I_B^{ij} \oplus I_H^{ij}$ for all i, j , in a manner compatible with the maps $B^i \rightarrow Z^i \rightarrow H^i$. Applying Lemma 1.1 again for $0 \rightarrow Z^i \rightarrow C^i \rightarrow B^{i+1} \rightarrow 0$, we find that there is an injective resolution $C^i \rightarrow I_C^{i\circ}$ such that $I_C^{ij} \cong I_Z^{ij} \oplus I_B^{i+1,j}$ for all i, j , in a manner compatible with the maps $Z^i \rightarrow C^i \rightarrow B^{i+1}$. Since the map $C^i \rightarrow C^{i+1}$ is equal to the composition

$$C^i \rightarrow B^{i+1} \rightarrow Z^{i+1} \rightarrow C^{i+1}$$

it follows that $I_C^{ij} \cong I_B^{ij} \oplus I_H^{ij} \oplus I_B^{i+1,j}$, and that the maps $I_C^{ij} \rightarrow I_C^{i+1,j+1}$ defined by identity on $I_B^{i+1,j} \rightarrow I_B^{i+1,j+1}$ and zero on the other components induce a double complex I_C^{ij} . It is then clear that the row complex $I_C^{i\circ j}$ is the direct sum of the split exact sequence $I_B^{i\circ j} \oplus I_B^{i+1,j}$ with the trivial complex $I_H^{i\circ j}$ with vanishing boundary maps. \square

Given left-exact functors of abelian groups $\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{E}$, with the additional assumption that F takes injective objects of \mathcal{C} to G -acyclic objects of \mathcal{D} , the Grothendieck spectral sequence for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ has the terms $G^j(F^i(X))$ in its second page and converges to $(G \circ F)^{i+j}(X)$. We write this as $G^j(F^i(X)) \Rightarrow (G \circ F)^{i+j}(X)$. The formal statement is as follows.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}$ be abelian categories with enough injectives, and let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $G : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be left exact functors, such that F takes injective objects of \mathcal{C} to G -acyclic objects of \mathcal{D} . Let X be an object of \mathcal{C} with injective resolution $X \rightarrow I_X^\circ$. Let J^{ij} be an injective resolution of the complex $F(I_X^\circ)$ in \mathcal{D} arising from the construction in Lemma 1.4. Then the double complex $G(J^{ij})$ induces a spectral sequence whose second page i, j th term has the form $G^j(F^i(X))$ and which converges to $(G \circ F)^{i+j}(X)$.*

Proof. Consider the double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \cdots & & \cdots & & \cdots & \cdots \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 G(J^{2,0}) & \longrightarrow & G(J^{2,1}) & \longrightarrow & G(J^{2,2}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 G(J^{1,0}) & \longrightarrow & G(J^{1,1}) & \longrightarrow & G(J^{1,2}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \\
 G(J^{0,0}) & \longrightarrow & G(J^{0,1}) & \longrightarrow & G(J^{0,2}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots .
 \end{array}$$

Deriving through the horizontal maps, we get the terms $G^j(F(I_X^i))$. However, these vanish for $j \neq 0$ by the assumption on F . Therefore we are left with a single row of $G(F(I_X^i))$. When we derive it through the vertical maps, we get the single term $(G \circ F)^i(X)$ on the i th diagonal, and the spectral sequence terminates.

On the other hand, when we derive through the vertical maps first, we obtain the terms $G(I_H^{ij})$ by the assumption on the columns of the injective resolution of $F(I_X^o)$; here I_H^{io} is an injective resolution for $H^i(F(I_X^o)) \cong F^i(X)$. Next, when deriving through the horizontal maps, we obtain the terms $G^j(F^i(X))$. This finishes the proof. \square

2. EXAMPLES

2.1. The Čech Cohomology spectral sequence. Let X be a topological space. Let $PSh(X)$ and $Sh(X)$ denote the categories of presheaves and sheaves on X . Let \mathfrak{U} be an open cover of X . Recall that the Čech cohomologies $H^*(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{F})$ are functors $PSh(X) \rightarrow \text{Ab}$, defined as the cohomologies of the Čech complex $\prod_{U \in \mathfrak{U}} \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{U, V \in \mathfrak{U}} \mathcal{F}(U \cap V) \rightarrow \dots$. Consider the composition

$$Sh(X) \xrightarrow{F} Psh(X) \xrightarrow{G} \text{Ab}$$

where F is the forgetful functor and $G = H^0(\mathfrak{U}, -)$.

For a sheaf \mathcal{F} , we have that $F^i(\mathcal{F})$ is the presheaf $\mathcal{H}^i(X, \mathcal{F})$ defined by

$$U \mapsto H^i(U, \mathcal{F}|_U)$$

while $(G \circ F)^i(\mathcal{F})$ is the sheaf cohomology $H^i(X, \mathcal{F})$. Also, for a presheaf \mathcal{P} , we have $G^i(\mathcal{P}) = H^i(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{P})$.

We therefore obtain a Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$H^j(\mathfrak{U}, \mathcal{H}^i(X, \mathcal{F})) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

If the restriction of \mathcal{F} to finite intersections of \mathfrak{U} is acyclic, it follows that Čech cohomology is equal to standard sheaf cohomology.

2.2. The Group Cohomology Spectral Sequence. Let G be a finite group and let $N \triangleleft G$ be a normal subgroup. Consider the composition of functors

$$\text{Mod}_G \xrightarrow{(-)^N} \text{Mod}_{G/N} \xrightarrow{(-)^{G/N}} \text{Ab}.$$

Since the composition has derived functors $H^i(G, -)$, the functor $(-)^N$ has derived functors $H^i(N, -)$ (where those cohomologies are regarded as G/N -modules), and the functor $(-)^{G/N}$ has derived functors $H^i(G/N, -)$, we obtain a Grothendieck spectral sequence

$$H^j(G/N, H^i(N, M)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(G, M).$$