

Laboratory Assignment 1

You can use “random” library. Please do not use any other libraries. All unspecified library lines will be suppressed and if your code fails, your submission will be graded “0” points



Problem 1 – Generate an array of random numbers [1 pts]

Write a function that takes an integer n as an input and returns a one dimensional list with random integer entries in $[0:1000]$. You can use random library.

- Function parameter names do not matter, but the function should expect 1 parameter.
- Function won't be tested for exceptional cases.
- input :
 - $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in [1:10^4]$
- output : $x = \{ x : x \text{ is a list and } x[i] \in [0:1000] \}$

```
>>> r1 = problem1(3)
>>> print(r1)
[960, 53, 573]
```

Problem 2 – Generate a matrix of random numbers [1 pts]

Write a function that takes two integers n, m as inputs and returns a list of lists with random integer entries in $[0:1000]$. You can use random library.

- Function parameter names do not matter, but the function should expect 2 parameters.
- First parameter should determine the rows and second parameter should determine the columns of the matrix.
- Function won't be tested for exceptional cases.
- inputs :
 - $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{n, m\} \in [1:10^4]$
- output : $x = \{ x : x \text{ is a list and } x[i, j] \in [0:1000] \}$

```
>>> r1 = problem2(3,2)
>>> print(r1)
[[153, 693], [411, 483], [71, 99]]
```

Problem 3 – Straightforward 1D peak finder [3 pts]

Write a function that takes a list and an integer as inputs and finds a peak using the following algorithm, then returns the peak value and location as a tuple and returns the indices of the tested elements.

- Function parameter names do not matter, but the function should expect 2 parameters.

- First parameter should consists of a list with random entries, second parameter determines the starting index.
- Default value of the second parameter should be half of the length of the input list.
- **Be careful about the order of the steps!** Outputs will be evaluated also considering the steps of the algorithm.
- inputs :
 - $r1 = \{ r1 : r1 \text{ is a list} \}$
 - $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in [0:\text{len}(r1)-1]$, and default value of $n = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1)/2)$
- outputs:
 - $x = \{ x : x \text{ is a tuple, } x[0]: \text{peak value, } x[1]: \text{peak index} \}$
 - $y = \{ y : y \text{ is a list and holds the tested indices of } r1 \}$

Peak Problem:

For a given index i ,

- $r1[i]$ is a peak if $r1[i] \geq r1[i+1]$ and $r1[i] \geq r1[i-1]$.
- If the index is $i=0$ then, $r1[0]$ is a peak if $r1[i] \geq r1[i+1]$.
- If the index is $i=\text{len}(r1)-1$ then, $r1[i]$ is a peak if $r1[i] \geq r1[i-1]$.

Algorithm:

Step 0 : Start with index n . If n is not specified, then update $n = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1)/2)$.

Step 1 : Check $r1[n]$. If $r1[n]$ is a peak, if true then return, found a peak!

Step 2 : Else

Step 2.1 : If $r1[n] \geq r1[n+1]$ or $n=\text{len}(r1)-1$; update $n=n-1$ and repeat Steps 1 and 2.

Step 2.2 : Else; update $n=n+1$ and repeat Steps 1 and 2.

Step 3 : Peak could not be found! (Is this possible?)

```
>>> r1 = [250, 853, 376, 897, 704, 468, 281, 937, 396, 390]
>>> valloc, loclist=problem3(r1)
>>> print(valloc)
(897, 3)
>>> print(loclist)
[5, 4, 3]
```

```
>>> r1 = [921, 343, 634, 507, 937, 613, 263, 866, 49, 780]
>>> valloc, loclist=problem3(r1,1)
>>> print(valloc)
(634, 2)
>>> print(loclist)
[1, 2]
```

```
>>> r1 = [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]
>>> valloc, loclist=problem3(r1,0)
>>> print(valloc)
(9, 9)
>>> print(loclist)
[0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]
```

Problem 4 – Divide and conquer based 1D peak finder [5 pts]

Write a function that takes a list and an integer as inputs and finds a peak using the divide and conquer approach, then returns the peak value and location as a tuple and returns the indices of the tested elements.

- Function parameter names do not matter, but the function should expect 2 parameters.
- First parameter should consist of a list with random entries, second parameter determines the starting index.
- Default value of the second parameter should be half of the length of the input list.
- **Peak Problem** definition is same as in **Problem 3**.
- **Be careful about the order of the steps!** Outputs will be evaluated also considering the steps of the algorithm.
- If you decide to follow a recursive coding style, then do not forget to update indices to the global ones, then return them.
- inputs :
 - $r1 = \{ r1 : r1 \text{ is a list} \}$
 - $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in [0:\text{len}(r1)-1]$, and default value of $n = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1)/2)$
- outputs:
 - $x = \{ x : x \text{ is a tuple, } x[0]: \text{peak value, } x[1]: \text{peak index} \}$
 - $y = \{ y : y \text{ is a list and holds the tested indices of } r1 \}$

Algorithm:

Step 0 : Start with index n . If n is not specified, then update $n = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1)/2)$.

Step 1 : Check $r1[n]$. If $r1[n]$ is a peak, if true then return, found a peak!

Step 2 : Else

Step 2.1 : If $r1[n] < r1[n-1]$ and $n \neq 0$; repeat Steps 1 and 2 for $r1[0:n-1]$ and update $n = \text{round}((n-1)/2)$.

Step 2.2 : If $r1[n] < r1[n+1]$ and $n \neq \text{len}(r1)-1$; repeat Steps 1 and 2 for $r1[n+1:]$ and update $n = \text{round}((n+\text{len}(r1))/2)$.

Step 3: Peak could not be found! (Is this possible?)

```
>>> r1 = [293, 170, 207, 323, 963, 445, 351, 921, 165, 759]
```

```
>>> valloc, loclist = problem4(r1)
```

```
>>> print(valloc)
```

```
(963, 4)
```

```
>>> print(loclist)
```

```
[5, 2, 4]
```

```
>>> r1 = [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]
```

```
>>> valloc, loclist = problem4(r1)
```

```
>>> print(valloc)
```

```
(9, 9)
```

```
>>> print(loclist)
```

```
[5, 8, 9]
```

```
>>> r1 = [0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16]
```

```
>>> valloc, loclist = problem4(r1)
```

```
>>> print(valloc)
```

```
(16, 16)
>>> print(loclist)
[8, 12, 14, 16]
```

Problem 5 – Straightforward 2D peak finder [4 pts]

Write a function that takes a list and two integers as inputs and finds a peak using the following algorithm, then returns the peak value and location as a tuple and returns the indices (as list) of the tested elements.

- Function parameter names do not matter, but the function should expect 3 parameters.
- First parameter should consist of a list of lists with random entries, second and third parameters determine the starting indices.
- Default value of the second and third parameters should be half of the length of rows and columns of the input list, respectively.
- **Be careful about the order of the steps!** Outputs will be evaluated also considering the steps of the algorithm.
- For the updates give priority to $[i-1, j]$, then $[i, j-1]$, then $[i+1, j]$, and then $[i, j+1]$.
- Similarly, for the boundary elements keep this priority, if possible:
 - if $i=0$, $j=0$, and $r1[0,0]$ is not a peak; update i , if $r1[i+1, j] \geq r1[i, j]$, if not, update j .
- inputs :
 - $r1 = \{ r1 : r1 \text{ is a list of lists} \}$
 - $\{n, m\} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in [0:\text{len}(r1)-1]$, $m \in [0:\text{len}(r1[0])-1]$, and default values of $n = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1)/2)$ and $m = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1[0])/2)$
- outputs:
 - $x = \{ x : x \text{ is a tuple, } x[0]: \text{peak value, } x[1]: \text{peak indices } [i, j] \}$
 - $y = \{ y : y \text{ is a list and holds the tested indices of } r1 \}$

Peak Problem for 2D:

For a given index i, j ,

- $r1[i, j]$ is a peak if $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i+1, j]$, $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i, j+1]$, $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i-1, j]$, and $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i, j-1]$.
- Exceptional conditions should be satisfied row and column wise as in 1D peak finding problem. For example,
 - if $i=0$ then, $r1[0, j]$ is a peak if $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i+1, j]$, $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i, j+1]$, and $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i, j-1]$ or
 - if $i=0$ and $j=0$, then, $r1[0, 0]$ is a peak if $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i+1, j]$ and $r1[i, j] \geq r1[i, j+1]$

Algorithm:

- Step 0 : Start with index n, m . If n and m are not specified, then update $n = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1)/2)$ and $m = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1[0])/2)$.
- Step 1 : Check $r1[n, m]$. If $r1[n, m]$ is a peak, if true then return, found a peak!
- Step 2 : Else
- Step 2.1 : If $r1[n-1, m] \geq r1[n, m]$ and $n \neq 0$; update $n = n-1$ and repeat Steps 1 and 2.

Step 2.2 : If $r1[n, m-1] \geq r1[n, m]$ and $m \neq 0$; update $m=m-1$ and repeat Steps 1 and 2.

Step 2.3 : If $r1[n+1, m] \geq r1[n, m]$ and $n \neq \text{len}(r1)-1$; update $n=n+1$ and repeat Steps 1 and 2.

Step 2.4 : If $r1[n, m+1] \geq r1[n, m]$ and $m \neq \text{len}(r1[0])-1$; update $m=m+1$ and repeat Steps 1 and 2.

Step 3 : Peak could not be found! (Is this possible?)

```
>>> r1 = [[485, 972, 829], [522, 32, 696], [651, 965, 476], [389, 724, 185]]
>>> valloc, loclist = problem5(r1)
>>> print(valloc)
(972, [0, 1])
>>> print(loclist)
[[2, 2], [1, 2], [0, 2], [0, 1]]

>>> r1 = [[861, 93, 242, 477, 324], [936, 198, 177, 914, 944], [315, 211, 479, 717, 348], [544, 712, 667, 967, 119], [816, 920, 155, 419, 57]]
>>> valloc, loclist = problem5(r1, 0, 0)
>>> print(valloc)
(936, [1, 0])
>>> print(loclist)
[[0, 0], [1, 0]]

>>> valloc, loclist = problem5(r1, 4, 4)
>>> print(valloc)
(944, [1, 4])
>>> print(loclist)
[[4, 4], [3, 4], [2, 4], [1, 4]]
```

Problem 6 – Divide and conquer based 2D peak finder [6 pts]

Write a function that takes a list and two integers as inputs and finds a peak using the divide and conquer approach, then returns the peak value and location as a tuple and returns the indices (as list) of the tested elements.

- Function parameter names do not matter, but the function should expect 3 parameters.
- First parameter should consist of a list of lists with random entries, second and third parameters determine the starting indices.
- Default value of the second and third parameters should be half of the length of rows and columns of the input list, respectively.
- **Peak Problem** definition is same as in **Problem 5**.
- **Be careful about the order of the steps!** Outputs will be evaluated also considering the steps of the algorithm.
- If you decide to follow a **recursive** coding style, then **do not forget to update** then return the global indices.
- Tested elements for finding the global maximum of a column should **not included** to the list of indices of the tested elements, only the indices of the global maximum should be **included**.

- inputs :
 - $r1 = \{ r1 : r1 \text{ is a list of lists} \}$
 - $\{n,m\} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in [0:\text{len}(r1)-1]$, $m \in [0:\text{len}(r1[0])-1]$, and default values of $n = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1)/2)$ and $m = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1[0])/2)$
- outputs:
 - $x = \{ x : x \text{ is a tuple, } x[0]: \text{peak value, } x[1]: \text{peak indices } [i,j] \}$
 - $y = \{ y : y \text{ is a list and holds the tested indices of } r1 \}$

Algorithm:

Step 0 : Start with index n, m . If n and m are not specified, then update $n = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1)/2)$ and $m = \text{round}(\text{len}(r1[0])/2)$.

Step 1 : Check $r1[n, m]$. If $r1[n, m]$ is a peak, if true then return, found a peak!

Step 2 : Else

Step 2.1 : Find the global maximum on column m and update n .

Step 2.2 : Check $r1[n, m]$. If $r1[n, m]$ is a peak, if true then return.

Step 2.3 : Else

Step 2.3.1 : If $r1[n, m-1] > r1[n, m]$ and $m \neq 0$; repeat Step 2 for $r1[:, 0:m-1]$ and update $m = \text{round}((m-1)/2)$.

Step 2.3.2 : If $r1[n, m+1] > r1[n, m]$ and $m \neq \text{len}(r1[0])-1$; repeat Step 2 for $r1[:, m+1:]$ and update $m = \text{round}((m+\text{len}(r1[0]))/2)$.

Step 3 : Peak could not be found! (Is this possible?)

```
>>> r1 = [[718, 239, 177, 770], [777, 663, 630, 300], [595, 726, 6, 73], [253,
105, 879, 863], [189, 862, 277, 682]]
>>> valloc, loclist = problem6(r1)
>>> print(valloc)
(879, [3, 2])
>>> print(loclist)
[[2, 2], [3, 2]]
```

```
>>> r1 = [[399, 922, 327, 971], [767, 854, 256, 106], [339, 25, 74, 183], [613,
746, 126, 114], [558, 368, 880, 822]]
>>> valloc, loclist = problem6(r1, 0, 0)
>>> print(valloc)
(880, [4, 2])
>>> print(loclist)
[[0, 0], [1, 0], [1, 2], [4, 2]]
```

Explanation: Starts with the 399 at $[0, 0]$, finds the global maximum at column $m=0$, at $n=1$, i.e. 767 at $[1, 0]$. Then updates $m = \text{round}((m+\text{len}(r1[0]))/2) = \text{round}((0+4)/2) = 2$, since $m=0$. Repeats the procedure for 256 at $[1, 2]$ and finds the global maximum at column $m=2$, at $n=4$, i.e. 880 at $[4, 2]$. Checks the peak conditions and voilà!