# **Functional Analysis**

Ikhan Choi

November 25, 2022

# **Contents**

Ι	Top	pological vector spaces	3		
1	Loc	ally convex spaces	4		
	1.1	Vector topologies	4		
	1.2	Seminorms and convex sets	4		
	1.3	Continuous linear functionals	4		
	1.4	Hahn-Banach theorem	4		
2	Bar	reled spaces	6		
	2.1	Uniform boundedness principle	6		
	2.2	Baire category theorem	6		
	2.3	Open mapping theorem	7		
3	Weak topologies				
	3.1	Dual spaces	9		
	3.2	Weak compactness	10		
	3.3	Weak density	10		
	3.4	Krein-Milman theorem	10		
	3.5	Polar topologies	10		
II	Ва	nnach spaces	12		
4	Fréc	chet, Banach, Hilbert spaces	13		
	4.1	Banach spaces	13		
	4.2	Hilbert spaces	13		
5	Bou	nded linear operators	14		
6	Con	npact operators	15		
	6.1	Finite-rank operators	15		
	6.2	Fredholm operators	15		
	6.3	Nuclear operators	15		
II	I S	pectral theory	17		
7	Nor	mal operators	18		
	7.1	Spectral theorem for compact normal operators	18		
			18		
	7.2	Spectral theorem for bounded normal operators	10		

8	Unbounded operators	20
9	Toeplitz operators	21
IV	Operator algebras	22
10	Banach algebras	23
	10.1 Spectra	23
	10.2 Ideals	24
	10.3 Holomorphic functional calculus	25
	10.4 Gelfand theory	25
11	C*-algebras	28
	11.1 C* identity	28
	11.2 Continuous functional calculus	28
	11.3 Positivitiy in C*-algebras	30
	11.4 Representations of $C^*$ -algebras	30
12	Von Neumann algebras	33
	12.1 Borel functional calculus	33
	12.2 Density theorems	33
	12.3 Predual	34
	12.4 Factors and traces	34

# Part I Topological vector spaces

# Locally convex spaces

## 1.1 Vector topologies

- 1.1 (Canonical uniformity and bornology).
- 1.2 (Metrizability). Birkhoff-Kakutani
- 1.3 (Boundedness of linear operators).

### 1.2 Seminorms and convex sets

1.4 (Seminorms).

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \{: p(i) < 1\}$$

Equivalent conditions on the continuity of seminorms

Proof.

boundedness by seminorms, normability

### 1.3 Continuous linear functionals

**1.5.** Let  $\overline{x^*} = (x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*) \in X^{*n}$ .  $\overline{x^*} : X \to \mathbb{F}^n$ . If  $x^* \in X^*$  vanishes on  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$ , then  $x^*$  is a linear combination of  $\{x_i^*\}$ .

### 1.4 Hahn-Banach theorem

**1.6** (Hahn-Banach theorem). Let X be a real vector space. Suppose V is a linear subspace of X and  $l:V\to\mathbb{R}$  is a linear functional dominated by a sublinear functional  $q:X\to\mathbb{R}$ , that is,  $l(v)\leq q(v)$  for all  $v\in V$ .

- (a) There is a linear functional  $\tilde{l}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  that extends l.
- (b) There is a linear functional  $\tilde{l}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  that extends l and is dominated by q if  $\dim X/V = 1$ .
- (c) There is a linear functional  $\tilde{l}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  that extends l and is dominated by q.

*Proof.* (a) It can be done by the Hamel basis.

(b) Let  $e \in X \setminus V$  so that every vector  $x \in X$  can be uniquely written as x = v + te with  $v \in V$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . For  $v_1, v_2 \in V$ ,

$$l(v_1) + l(v_2) = l(v_1 + v_2) \le q(v_1 + v_2) \le q(v_1 - e) + q(v_2 + e)$$

implies

$$l(v_1) - q(v_1 - e) \le -l(v_2) + q(v_2 + e).$$

Define a linear functional  $\tilde{l}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\tilde{l}(v) = v$  and

$$l(v) - q(v - e) \le \widetilde{l}(e) \le -l(v) + q(v + e)$$

for all  $v \in V$ . Since

$$\tilde{l}(v+te) = l(v) + t\tilde{l}(e) \le l(v) + t(-l(t^{-1}v) + q(t^{-1}v+e)) = q(v+te)$$

if  $t \ge 0$  and

$$\widetilde{l}(v+te) = l(v) + t\widetilde{l}(e) \le l(v) + t(l(-t^{-1}v) - q(-t^{-1}v - e)) = q(v+te)$$

if  $t \le 0$ , we have  $\tilde{l}(x) \in q(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(c) With X and q, Consider a partially ordered set

$$\{(\widetilde{V},\widetilde{l}) \mid V \leq \widetilde{V} \leq X, \ \widetilde{l} : \widetilde{V} \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is a linear extension of } l \text{ dominated by } q\}$$

such that  $(V_1, l_1) \prec (V_2, l_2)$  if and only if  $V_1 \leq V_2$  and  $l_2|_{V_1} = l_1$ . The nonemptyness and the chain condition is easily satisfied, so it has a maximal element  $(\widetilde{V}, \widetilde{l})$  by the Zorn lemma. By the part (b), we have  $\widetilde{V} = X$ .

1.7 (Complex linear functionals). Let X be a complex vector space. Consider a map

$$\{\mathbb{C}\text{-linear functionals on }X\} \quad \to \quad \{\mathbb{R}\text{-linear functionals on }X\}$$

$$l \qquad \qquad \mapsto \qquad \qquad \mathrm{Re}\,l.$$

Let p be a seminorm on X and l a complex linear functional on X.

- (a) The above map is bijective.
- (b)  $|l(x)| \le p(x)$  if and only if  $|\operatorname{Re} l(x)| \le p(x)$ .

*Proof.* (b) There is  $\lambda$  such that  $|\lambda| = 1$  and  $l(\lambda x) \ge 0$ . Then,

$$|l(x)| = |\lambda^{-1}l(\lambda x)| = l(\lambda x) = \operatorname{Re} l(\lambda x) \le p(\lambda x) = p(x).$$

1.8 (Applications of Hahn-Banach theorem).

### **Exercises**

1.9 (Topology of compact convergence).

5

# **Barreled spaces**

## 2.1 Uniform boundedness principle

- **2.1** (Barreled spaces). Let *X* be a topological vector space. A *barrel* is an absorbing, balanced, convex, and closed subset of *X*. A *barreled space* is a topological space in which every barrel is a neighborhood of zero.
- **2.2** (Uniform boundedness principle). Let *X* and *Y* be topological vector spaces. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of continuous linear operator from *X* to *Y*. Suppose  $\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} Tx$  is bounded for each  $x \in D$ , where  $D \subset X$ .
  - (a) If *D* is dense in *X*, then  $\bigcap_{T \in \mathcal{F}} T^{-1}\overline{U}$  is absorbing.
  - (b) If X is barreled, then  $\mathcal{F}$  is equicontinuous.

# 2.2 Baire category theorem

- **2.3** (Baire spaces). A topological space is called a *Baire space* if the countable intersection of open dense subsets is always dense.
  - (a) If a topological vector space is Baire, then it is barreled.
  - (b) A Baire space is second category in itself.
  - (c) A topological group that is second category in itself is Baire.
- **2.4** (Absorbing sets). Let X be a topological vector space that is Baire. A subset  $U \subset X$  is said to be absorbing if for every  $x \in X$  there is a sufficiently large t > 0 such that  $x \in tU$ . Let  $U \subset X$ .
  - (a) If *U* is closed and absorbing, then *U* has non-empty interior.
  - (b) If U is closed and absorbing, then U U is a neighborhood of zero.
  - (c) If U is closed, convex, and absorbing, then U is a neighborhood of zero.
- **2.5** (Baire category theorem). The Baire category theorem proves many exmples of topological vector space are Baire, in particular barreled.
  - (a) A complete metric space is Baire.
  - (b) A locally compact Hausdorff space is Baire.

# 2.3 Open mapping theorem

- **2.6** (Open mapping theorem). Let X be a F-space and Y a barreled space. Suppose  $T: X \to Y$  is a continuous and surjective linear operator. Let B be an open neighborhood of zero in X.
  - (a)  $\overline{TB}$  is a neighborhood of zero.
  - (b) TB is a neighborhood of zero.
- *Proof.* (a) There is an open neighborhood U of zero such that  $U-U \subset B$ . The set  $\overline{TU}$  is a closed absorbing set because T is surjective. Since Y is barreled,  $\overline{TU}$  has a non-empty interior in Y. Thus,  $\overline{TB} \supset \overline{TU} \overline{TU}$  is a neighborhood of zero.
- (b) Since X is metrizable, we have a sequence of open neighborhoods  $B_n := \{x : d(x,0) < 2^{-n}\}$ , where the topology of X is induced from a metric d. We claim  $\overline{TB_1} \subset TB_0$ . Take  $y_1 \in \overline{TB_1}$ .

If  $y_n \in \overline{TB_n}$ , then since  $\overline{TB_{n+1}}$  are neighborhoods of zero, we have

$$TB_n \cap (y_n + \overline{TB_{n+1}})) \neq \emptyset.$$

So we can inductively construct sequences  $x_n \in B_n$  and  $y_n \in \overline{TB_n}$  for  $n \ge 2$  such that

$$x_n \in B_n \cap T^{-1}(y_n + \overline{TB_{n+1}})$$

and

$$y_{n+1} := Tx_n - y_n.$$

Then,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  converges to  $x \in B_0$ . Therefore,

$$Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Tx_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y_{n+1} - y_n) = y_1.$$

### **Exercises**

- **2.7.** Let  $(T_n)$  be a sequence in B(X,Y). If  $T_n$  coverges strongly then  $||T_n||$  is bounded by the uniform boundedness principle.
- **2.8.** There is a closed absorbing set in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$  that is not a neighborhood of zero;

$$\overline{B}(0,1)\setminus\bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty}B(i^{-1}e_i,i^{-2})$$

is a counterexample.

- **2.9.** There is no metric d on C([0,1]) such that  $d(f_n,f) \to 0$  if and only if  $f_n \to f$  pointwise as  $n \to \infty$  for every sequence  $f_n$ . Note that this problem is slightly different to the non-metrizability of the topology of pointwise convergence.
- **2.10.** We show that there is no projection from  $\ell^{\infty}$  onto  $c_0$ .
- **2.11** (Schur property).  $\ell^1$
- **2.12.** Let  $\varphi: L^{\infty}([0,1]) \to \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N})$  be an isometric isomorphism. Suppose  $\varphi$  is realised as a sequence of bounded linear functionals on  $L^{\infty}$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\varphi^*(\ell^1) \subset L^1$  where  $\ell^1$  and  $L^1$  are considered as closed linear subspaces of  $(\ell^{\infty})^*$  and  $(L^{\infty})^*$  respectively.

- (b) Show that  $\varphi^*$  is indeed an isometric isomorphism, and deduce  $\varphi$  cannot be realised as bounded linear functionals on  $L^{\infty}$ .
- **2.13** (Daugavet property). (a) The real Banach space C([0,1]) satisfies the Daugavet property.

*Proof.* Let T be a finite rank operator on C([0,1]), and  $e_i$  be a basis of im T. Then, for some measures  $\mu_i$ ,

$$Tf(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{1} f \, d\mu_i e_i(t).$$

Let  $M := \max ||e_i||$ .

Take  $f_0$  such that  $\|f_0\|=1$  and  $\|Tf_0\|>\|T\|-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Reversing the sign of  $f_0$  if necessary, take an open interval  $\Delta$  such that  $Tf_0(t)\geq \|T\|-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $|\mu_i|(\Delta)\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4nM}$  for all i. Define  $f_1$  such that  $f_0=f_1$  on  $\Delta^c$ ,  $f_1(t_0)=1$  for some  $t_0\in\Delta$ , and  $\|f_1\|=1$ . Then,  $\|Tf_1-Tf_0\|\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  shows  $Tf_1\geq \|T\|-\varepsilon$  on  $\Delta$ . Therefore,

$$||1+T|| \ge ||f_1+Tf_1|| \ge f_1(t_0) + Tf_1(t_0) \le 1 + ||T|| - \varepsilon.$$

### **Problems**

**2.14.** Let T be an invertible linear operator on a normed space. Then,  $T^{-2} + ||T||^{-2}$  is injective if it is surjective.

# Weak topologies

# 3.1 Dual spaces

- 3.1 (Bidual).
- **3.2.** Let X be a locally convex space. The *weak topology* is the topology w on X defined by the family of seminorms  $\{x \mapsto |\langle x, \xi \rangle|\}_{\xi \in X^*}$ . The *weak\* topology* is the topology  $w^*$  on  $X^*$  defined by the family of seminorms  $\{\xi \mapsto |\langle x, \xi \rangle|\}_{x \in X}$ . Let  $J: X \to X^{**}$  be the canonical embedding.
  - (a) (X, w) and  $(X^*, w^*)$  are locally convex.
  - (b)  $(X, w)^* = X^*$ .
  - (c)  $(X^*, w^*)^* = X$ . Every locally convex space is a dual of a locally convex space.

*Proof.* (a) The Hahn-Banach theorem implies the Hausdorffness.

(c) We will only show  $(X^*, w^*)^* \subset X$ . If  $u \in (X^*, w^*)^*$ , then there are  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$  such that

$$|\langle u, \xi \rangle| \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\langle x_i, \xi \rangle|$$

for all  $\xi \in X^*$ . If we let  $\ker \vec{x} := \bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker x_i$ , then it is a closed subspace of  $X^*$  such that  $\ker \vec{x} \subset \ker u$ , so we have  $u \in \operatorname{span} \vec{x} \subset X$ .

3.3. closure and weak closure of convex subsets

Proof. Hahn-Banach

3.4 (Polar).

boundedness, incompleteness

- **3.5** (Weak convergence by dense set). Let X be a Banach space,  $D^*$  a subset of  $X^*$ , and  $\overline{D^*}$  the norm closure of  $D^*$ . For example, if X has a predual  $X_* \subset X^*$  and  $D^*$  is dense in  $X_*$ , then  $\sigma(X, \overline{D^*})$  is the weak\* topology.
  - (a) There is a squence  $x_n \in X$  converges to zero in  $\sigma(X, D^*)$  but not in  $\sigma(X, \overline{D^*})$ .
  - (b) A bounded sequence  $x_n \in X$  converges to zero in  $\sigma(X, \overline{D^*})$  if in  $\sigma(X, D^*)$ .

*Proof.* (b) Let  $\xi \in \overline{D^*}$  and choose  $\eta \in D^*$  such that  $\|\xi - \eta\| < \varepsilon$ . Then,

$$|\langle x_n, \xi \rangle| \le ||x_n|| ||\xi - \eta|| + |\langle x_n, \eta \rangle| \lesssim \varepsilon + |\langle x_n, \eta \rangle| \to \varepsilon.$$

## 3.2 Weak compactness

- 3.6 (Banach-Alaoglu theorem).
- 3.7 (Eberlein-Šmulian theorem).
- 3.8 (James' theorem).

## 3.3 Weak density

Bishop-Phelps theorem

**3.9** (Goldstine's theorem). Let X be a Banach space and  $J:X\to X^{**}$  the canonical embedding. Our claim is that  $\overline{B}$  is weak\*-dense in  $\overline{B}_{X^{**}}$ . Let  $x_0^{**}\in X^{**}$  with  $\|x_0^{**}\|\leq 1$ , and let

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \{ x^{**} \in X^{**} : |\langle x^{**} - x_0^{**}, x_i^* \rangle| < \varepsilon \}$$

be an open weak\*-neighborhood of zero in  $X^{**}$  with  $||x_i^*|| \le 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let

$$S := \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \{ x \in X : \langle x, x_i^* \rangle = \langle x_0^{**}, x_i^* \rangle \}.$$

- (a) S is not empty.
- (b)  $S \cap (1 + \varepsilon)\overline{B}_X$  is not empty for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- (c)  $\overline{B}_X$  is weak\*-dense in  $\overline{B}_{X^{**}}$

Proof. (a)

(b) From the part (a), we have  $x \in S$ . Suppose S does not intersect  $(1 + \varepsilon)\overline{B}_X$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is  $y^* \in X^*$  such that

$$y^*|_{S-x} = 0$$
,  $\langle x, y^* \rangle > 1 + \varepsilon$ , and  $||y^*|| = 1$ .

Since  $S - x = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker x_i^*$ , the linear functional  $y^*$  is a linear combination of  $x_1^*, \dots, x_m^*$ , so we have

$$1 + \varepsilon < \langle x, y^* \rangle = \langle x_0^{**}, y^* \rangle \le ||x_0^{**}|| ||y^*|| \le 1.$$

(c) Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon \max_{1 \le i \le m} \|x_i^*\| < 1$ . By the part (b), there is  $y \in X$  such that  $\|y\| \le 1 + \varepsilon$  and  $\langle y, x_i^* \rangle = \langle x^{**}, x_i^* \rangle$ . If we let  $x := (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} y$ , then  $x \in \overline{B}_X$  so that

$$|\langle x - x_0^{**}, x_i^* \rangle| = |\langle x - y, x_i^* \rangle| = |\langle \varepsilon x, x_i^* \rangle| \le \varepsilon ||x|| ||x_i^*|| < \varepsilon$$

for all i.

### 3.4 Krein-Milman theorem

Choquet theory

# 3.5 Polar topologies

Mackey-Arens

# **Exercises**

3.10 (James' space). not reflexive but isometrically isomorphic to bidual

**3.11** (Predual correspondence). Let X be a Banach space. Let

$$\{(Y, \varphi) \mid \varphi : X \to Y^* \text{ is an isometric isorphism}\}$$

and

$$\{Z \leq X^* \mid \overline{B_X} \text{ is compact Hausdorff in } (X, \sigma(X, Z))\}.$$

$$(Y,\varphi) \mapsto \operatorname{im} \varphi^*|_{J(Y)}$$

(a) The map is well-defined.

(b) The map is surjective. (by Goldstein)

(c) The map is injective up to isomorphism for Y.

**3.12.** Let *X* be a closed subspace of a Banach space *Y* and

$$i: X \to Y$$

the inclusion. Suppose X and Y have preduals  $X_*$  and  $Y_*$  respectively. Let

$$j:=i^*|_{Y_*}:Y_*\to Z\subset X^*,$$

where  $Z := i^*(Y_*)^-$ . Then we can show

$$j^*: Z^* \subset X^{**} \to Y$$

coincides with i on  $X \cap Z^*$ . From the existence of  $X_*$  we have  $X^{**} \to X$ , which is restricted to define a map  $k: Z^* \to X$ .

$$X \xrightarrow{i} Y$$

$$X^{**} \longrightarrow Z^{*}$$

We can show k is an isomorphism so that we have

$$X_* \cong Y_*/Y_* \cap \ker(i^*).$$

3.13 (Mazur's lemma).

# Part II Banach spaces

# Fréchet, Banach, Hilbert spaces

# 4.1 Banach spaces

dual is Banach. Basis problem, Mazur' duck.

## 4.2 Hilbert spaces

Projections. Reducing subspaces. Hilbert space classification by cardinal. Riesz representation theorem.

- **4.1.** (a) A Banach space *X* is isometrically isomorphic to a Hilbert space if there is a bounded linear projection on every closed subspace of *X*.
- **4.2** (Riesz representation theorem). Let H be a Hilbert space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ , which is either  $\mathbb{R}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . We use the bilinear form  $\langle -, \rangle : X \times X^* \to \mathbb{F}$  of canonical duality. *Dirac* notation  $\langle -|- \rangle$  for the inner product of a complex Hilbert spaces such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y | x \rangle$ . The Riesz representation theorem states that a continuous linear functional on a Hilbert space is represented by the inner product with a vector.
  - (a) For each  $x^* \in H^*$ , there is a unique  $x \in H$  such that  $\langle y, x^* \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$  for every  $y \in H$ .
  - (b)  $H \to H^* : x \mapsto \langle -, x \rangle$  is a natural linear and anti-linear isomorphism if  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , respectively.

### **Exercises**

# **Bounded linear operators**

- **5.1** (Bounded belowness in Banach spaces). Let  $T \in B(X, Y)$  for Banach spaces X and Y. The following statements are equivalent:
  - (a) T is bounded below.
  - (b) *T* is injective and has closed range.
  - (c) *T* is a topological isomorphism onto its image.
- **5.2** (Bounded belowness in Hilbert spaces). Let  $T \in B(H,K)$  for Hilbert spaces H and K. The following statements are equivalent:
  - (a) T is bounded below.
  - (b) *T* is left invertible.
  - (c)  $T^*$  is right invertible.
  - (d)  $T^*T$  is invertible.
- **5.3** (Injectivity and surjectivity of adjoint). Let  $T \in B(X, Y)$  for Banach spaces X and Y.
  - (a)  $T^*$  is injective if and only if T has dense range.
  - (b)  $T^*$  is surjective if and only if T is bounded below.
- **5.4** (Normal operators). For  $T \in B(H)$ , we have an obvious fact  $(\operatorname{im} T)^{\perp} = \ker T^*$ . Suppose T is normal.
  - (a)  $\ker T = \ker T^*$ .
  - (b) *T* is bounded below if and only if *T* is invertible.
  - (c) If *T* is surjective, then *T* is invertible.
- **5.5** (Invariant and Reducing subsapces). Let *K* be a closed subspace of *H*.
  - (a) K is reducing for T if and only if K is invariant for T and  $T^*$ .
  - (b) K is reducing for T if and only if TP = PT, where P is the orthogonal projection on K.

# **Compact operators**

K(X,Y) is closed in B(X,Y). K(X) is an ideal of B(X). adjoint is  $K(X,Y) \to K(Y^*,X^*)$ . integral operators are compact. riesz operator, quasi-nilpotent operator.

## 6.1 Finite-rank operators

## **6.2** Fredholm operators

- **6.1.** A bounded linear operator  $T: X \to Y$  between Banach spaces is called a *Fredholm* operator if its kernel is finite dimensional and its range is finite codimensional.
  - (a) A Fredholm operator *T* has closed range.

*Proof.* (a) Let C be a finite dimensional subsapce of Y such that  $\operatorname{im} T \oplus C = Y$ . Let  $\widetilde{T}: X/\ker T \to Y$  be the induced operator of T. Define  $S: (X/\ker T) \oplus C \to Y$  such that  $S(x + \ker T, c) := \widetilde{T}(x + \ker T) + c$ . Then, S is an topological isomorphism between Banach spaces by the open mapping theorem, so  $S(X/\ker T \oplus \{0\}) = \operatorname{im} \widetilde{T} = \operatorname{im} T$  is closed.

- **6.2** (Atkinson's theorem). An operator  $T \in B(X, Y)$  is Fredholm if and only if there is  $S \in B(Y, X)$  such that TS I and ST I is finite rank.
- **6.3** (Fredholm index). locally constant, in particular, continuous. composition makes the addition of indices.

## 6.3 Nuclear operators

tensor products

#### **Exercises**

- **6.4** (Completely continuous operators). On reflexive spaces, completely continuous operators are same with compact operators.
- **6.5** (Dunford-Pettis property). A Banach space X is said to have the *Dunford-Pettis property* if all weakly compact operators  $T: X \to Y$  to any Banach space Y is completely continuous.
  - (a) X has the Dunford-Pettis property if and only if for every sequences  $x_n \in X$  and  $x_n^* \in X^*$  that converge to x and  $x^*$  weakly we have  $x_n^*(x_n) \to x^*(x)$ .

- (b)  $C(\Omega)$  for a compact Hausdorff space  $\Omega$  has the Dunford-Pettis property.
- (c)  $L^1(\Omega)$  for a probability space  $\Omega$  has the Dunford-Pettis property.
- (d) Infinite dimensional reflexive Banach space does not have the Dunfor-Pettis property.

### **Problems**

1. If  $T \in B(L^2([0,1]))$  is a compact operator, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a constant  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  such that

$$||Tf||_{L^2} \le \varepsilon ||f||_{L^2} + C_{\varepsilon} ||f||_{L^1}.$$

*Proof.* 1. Suppose there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that we have sequence  $f_n \in L^2$  satisfying  $||f_n||_2 = 1$  and

$$||Tf_n||_2 > \varepsilon + n||f_n||_1.$$

By the compactness of T, there is a subsequence  $Tf_{n_k}$  converges to  $g \neq 0$  in  $L^2$ . Then,  $||f_{n_k}||_1 \to 0$  implies  $f_{n_k} \to 0$  weakly in  $L^2$ , hence also for  $Tf_{n_k}$ . It means g = 0, which contradicts to the assumption.  $\square$ 

# Part III Spectral theory

# **Normal operators**

## 7.1 Spectral theorem for compact normal operators

There is an orthonormal basis  $E \subset H$  such that

$$T = \sum_{e \in E} \lambda_e |e\rangle \langle e|.$$

# 7.2 Spectral theorem for bounded normal operators

**7.1** (Spectral measure). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M})$  be a measurable space and H a Hilbert space. A *projection valued measure* on  $\Omega$  for H is a map  $E : \mathcal{M} \to B(H)$  such that

- (i) E(A) is an orthogonal projection with  $E(\emptyset) = 0$ ,
- (ii) the set function  $E_{\xi,\eta}: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{C}: A \mapsto \langle E(A)\xi, \eta \rangle$  is a complex measure on  $\Omega$  for each  $\xi, \eta \in H$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. A *spectral measure* is a projection valued measure E on the Borel measurable space  $\Omega$  such that  $E_{\xi,\eta}$  is regular.

- (a) The condition (ii) is equivalent to the countable additivity:  $E(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(A_i)$  in the strong operator topology of B(H) for  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$ .
- (b)  $E(A \cap B) = E(A)E(B)$  for  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$ .

**7.2.** Let  $T \in B(H)$  be a normal operator. Then, there exists a spectral measure E on  $\sigma(T)$  for H such that

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda \, dE(\lambda).$$

This spectral measure E is also called the *resolution of the identity*.

# 7.3 Operator topologies

**7.3** (Compact left multiplications and SOT). Let  $T_n$  be a sequence of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space that converges in SOT. For compact K,  $T_nK$  converges in norm, but  $KT_n$  generally does not unless T is self-adjoint.

- **7.4.** Let f be a linear functional on B(H) for a Hilbert space H. Then, TFAE:
  - (a) f is WOT-continuous,

(b) f is sor-continuous,

(c) 
$$f(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle Tx_i, y_i \rangle$$
 for some  $x_i, y_i$ .

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is the only nontrivial implication. By the definition of SOT, there exists  $v \in \mathcal{H}^n$  such that

$$|f(T)| \le ||T^{\oplus n}v||.$$

The functional  $f: \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$  factors through  $\mathcal{H}^n$  such that

$$A \to \nu \mathcal{H}^n \to \mathbb{C}$$
.

# **Unbounded operators**

Kato-Rellich theorem

# **Toeplitz operators**

# Part IV Operator algebras

# Banach algebras

# 10.1 Spectra

10.1 (Banach algebras).

**10.2** (Inverses in Banach algebras). Let A be a unital Banach algebra.

- (a) If ||a|| < 1, then 1 a is invertible. So  $\mathcal{A}^{\times}$  is open.
- (b)  $A^{\times} \to A^{\times} : a \mapsto a^{-1}$  is differentiable.

**10.3** (Spectrum and resolvent). Let a be an element of a unital Banach algebra A. The *spectrum* of a in A is defined to be the set

$$\sigma_{A}(a) := \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - a \text{ is not invertible in } A \},$$

and the *resolvent* of a in A is defined to be its complement  $\rho_A(a) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_A(a)$ . We can now define the *resolvent map*  $R : \rho_A(a) \to A$  such that

$$R(\lambda) = R(\lambda; a) := (\lambda - a)^{-1}$$
.

If A is clear in its context, we omit it to just write  $\sigma(a)$  and  $\rho(a)$ .

- (a)  $\sigma(a)$  is compact.
- (b)  $\sigma(a)$  is non-empty.
- (c) If A is a division ring, then  $A \cong \mathbb{C}$ . This result is called the *Gelfand-Mazur theorem*.

*Proof.* (b) Suppose the spectrum  $\sigma(a) = \emptyset$  so that  $(\lambda - a)^{-1}$  exists for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $a \neq 0$ . Since the resolvent map  $R : \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{A}$  is continuous and we have for  $|\lambda| > 2||a||$  that

$$\|(\lambda - a)^{-1}\| = \|\lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda^{-1}a)^{-1}\| = \left\|\lambda^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\lambda^{-1}a)^k\right\| < (2\|a\|)^{-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{-k} = \|a\|^{-1},$$

the function R is bounded. Also, for every  $l \in \mathcal{A}^*$  we have that the function  $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} : \lambda \mapsto \langle R(\lambda), l \rangle$  is holomorphic since  $a \mapsto a^{-1}$  is differentiable. Therefore, by the Liouville theorem, the bounded entire function  $\lambda \mapsto \langle R(\lambda), l \rangle$  is constant for all  $l \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . Because  $\mathcal{A}^*$  separates points of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the function R is constant, which implies  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and contradicts to  $\sigma(a) = \emptyset$ .

$$\Box$$

**10.4** (Spectral radius). Let a be an element of a unital Banach algebra A. The *spectral radius* of a in A is defined to be

$$r(a) := \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(a)} |\lambda|.$$

- (a)  $r(a) \le \inf_{n \ge 1} ||a^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (b)  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \le r(a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* (a) Since  $(\lambda - a)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}(1 - \lambda^{-1}a)^{-1}$  exists if  $|\lambda| > ||a||$ , we have  $r(a) \le ||a||$  for all  $a \in A$ . For every  $\lambda \in \sigma(a)$  and every integer  $n \ge 1$  we have

$$|\lambda|^n = |\lambda^n| \le r(a^n) \le ||a^n||,$$

and it proves  $r(a) \le \inf_{n>1} ||a^n||^{\frac{1}{n}}$ .

(b) On the domain  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| > r(a)\}$ , on which  $R(\lambda)$  is well-defined, we have a holomorphic function  $\lambda \mapsto \langle R(\lambda), l \rangle$  for each  $l \in \mathcal{A}^*$ . By comparing to the same function but on a smaller domain  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| > ||a||\}$ , we can determine the coefficients of the Laurent series of  $\langle R(\lambda), l \rangle$  at infinity as

$$\langle R(\lambda), l \rangle = \left\langle \lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^{-1} a)^k, l \right\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle a^k, l \rangle \lambda^{-k-1}$$

for each  $l \in A^*$ .

It implies for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\lambda| > r(a)$  that the sequence  $(a^k \lambda^{-k-1})_k$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  is weakly bounded, hence is normly bounded by the uniform boundedness principle. Let  $||a^n|| \leq C_{\lambda} |\lambda^{n+1}|$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} C_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{n}} |\lambda^{n+1}|^{\frac{1}{n}} = |\lambda|$$

for all  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| > r(a)$ , so we are done.

- **10.5** (Spectrum in closed subalgebras). For fixed element, smaller the ambient algebra, less "holes" in the spectrum. Let  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a closed subalgebra containing  $1_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{B}$  may be unital even for  $1_{\mathcal{A}} \notin \mathcal{B}$ .
  - (a)  $\mathcal{B}^{\times}$  is clopen in  $\mathcal{A}^{\times} \cap \mathcal{B}$ .

#### 10.2 Ideals

- **10.6** (Ideals). (a) If *I* is a left ideal, then A/I is a left A-module.
- **10.7** (Modular left ideals). A left ideal I is called *modular* if there is  $e \in A$  such that  $a ae \in I$  for all  $a \in A$ . The element e is called a *right modular unit* for I.
  - (a) I is modular if and only if A/I is unital(?).
  - (b) A proper modular left ideal is contained in a maximal left ideal.
  - (c) I is a maximal modular left ideal if and only if I is a modular maximal left ideal.
  - (d) There is a non-modular maximal ideal in the disk algebra.
- **10.8** (Closed ideals). (a) closure of proper left ideal is proper left.
  - (b) maximal modular left ideal is closed.
- **10.9** (Unitization). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra. Recall that we always assume algebras are associative. Consider an embedding  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ :  $a \mapsto L_a$ , where  $L_a(b) = ab$ . Define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} := \{ L_a + \lambda \operatorname{id}_{B(A)} : a \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \}.$$

Note that this construction is available even for unital A.

(a) If  $\mathcal{A}$  is normed, then  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$  is a normed algebra such that there is an isometric embedding  $\mathcal{A} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

- (b) If A is Banach, then  $\widetilde{A}$  is a Banach algebra.
- (c)  $A \oplus \mathbb{C}$  is topologically isomorphic to  $\widetilde{A}$  as normed spaces.

*Proof.* (a) The space of bounded operators B(A) is a norm algebra. Then,  $\widetilde{A}$  is a norm \*-algebra with induced norm

$$||L_a + \lambda \operatorname{id}_{B(\mathcal{A})}|| = \sup_{b \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{||ab + \lambda b||}{||b||}$$

Then,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a normed \*-subalgebra of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$  because the norm and involution of  $\mathcal{A}$  agree with  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ .

(b) Suppose  $(x_n, \lambda_n)$  is Cauchy in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is complete so that it is closed in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ , we can induce a norm on the quotient  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{A}$  so that the canonical projection is (uniformly) continuous so that  $\lambda_n$  is Cauchy. Also, the inequality  $||x|| \leq ||(x,\lambda)|| + |\lambda||$  shows that  $x_n$  is Cauchy in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Since a finite dimensional normed space is always Banach and  $\mathcal{A}$  is Banach,  $\lambda_n$  and  $x_n$  converge. Finally, the inequality  $\|(x,\lambda)\| \leq \|x\| + |\lambda|$  implies that  $(x_n,\lambda_n)$  converges.

(c) Check the topology on  $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  in detail...

unitization, homomorphisms, category(direct sum, product, etc.)  $B(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is simple, but B(X) is not simple.

# 10.3 Holomorphic functional calculus

**10.10.** Let a be an element of a unital Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let f be a holomorphic function on a neighborhood U of  $\sigma(a)$ . Let C be a positively oriented smooth simple closed curve in U enclosing  $\sigma(a)$ . Define  $f(a) \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$  as the Dunford integral

$$\langle f(a), l \rangle := \int_C f(\lambda) \langle R(\lambda), l \rangle d\lambda$$

for all  $l \in A^*$ .

Let  $\operatorname{Hol}(\sigma(a))$  be the space of all holomorphic functions on a neighborhood of  $\sigma(a)$  endowed with the topology of compact convergence. Note that  $\operatorname{Hol}(\sigma(a))$  is not Banach. We define the *holomorphic functional calculus* by

$$\operatorname{Hol}(\sigma(a)) \to \mathcal{A} : f \mapsto f(a).$$

It is also called the Riesz or the Riesz-Dunford functional calculus.

- (a)  $f(a) \in A$ , i.e. f(a) is given by the Pettis integral.
- (b) f(a) is independent of the choice of C.
- (c) The functional calculus is an injective algebra homomorphism.
- (d) The functional calculus is continuous.
- (e) power series, 1 to 1,  $\lambda$  to a.

spectral mapping

# 10.4 Gelfand theory

Banach algebra of single generator semisimplicity and symmetricity

**10.11** (Spectrum of a Banach algebra). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a commutative Banach algebra. A *character* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-zero algebra homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  the set of all characters of  $\mathcal{A}$ . We will show that all characters are bounded. Then, endow with the weak\* topology on  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  from the inclusion  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}^*$ . We call this space as the *spectrum* of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ .

- (a)  $\|\varphi\| = 1$ .
- (b) If A is unital, then  $\sigma(A)$  is compact and Hausdorff.
- (c) Even if A is non-unital,  $\sigma(A)$  is locally compact and Hausdorff.
- **10.12** (Gelfand transform). Let A be a commutative Banach algebra.

$$\Gamma: \mathcal{A} \to C_0(\sigma(\mathcal{A})).$$

- (a)  $\Gamma(A)$  separates points.
- (b)  $\Gamma$  has closed range if
- (c)  $\Gamma$  is injective if
- (d)  $\Gamma$  is isometric if r(a) = ||a|| for all  $a \in A$ .

### **Exercises**

- **10.13** (Basic properties of spectrum). Let A be a unital algebra.
  - (a)  $\sigma(ab) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(ba) \setminus \{0\}$ .
  - (b) If  $\sigma(a)$  is non-empty, then  $\sigma(p(a)) = p(\sigma(a))$ .

*Proof.* (a) Intuitively, the inverse of 1-ab is  $c=1+ab+abab+\cdots$ . Then,  $1+bca=1+ba+baba+\cdots$  is the inverse of 1-ba.

$$C_b(\Omega) \ell^{\infty}(S) L^{\infty}(\Omega) B_b(\Omega) A(\mathbb{D}) B(X)$$

- **10.14.** In  $C(\mathbb{R})$ , the modular ideals correspond to compact sets.
- **10.15** (Disk algebra). (a) Every continuous homomorphism is an evaluation.
- 10.16 (Polynomial convexity). (See Conway)
- **10.17** (Inclusion relation on spectra). (a)  $\sigma(a+b) \subset \sigma(a) + \sigma(b)$  and  $\sigma(ab) \subset \sigma(a)\sigma(b)$  for unital cases.
  - (b)  $\sigma(a^{-1}) = \sigma(a)^{-1}$  for unital cases.
  - (c)  $r(a)^n = r(a^n)$ .
- 10.18 (Spectral radius function). (a) upper semi-continuous
- **10.19** (Vector-valued complex function theory). Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb C$  and X a Banach space. For a vector-valued function  $f:\Omega\to X$ , we say f is *differentiable* if the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_0} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} (f(\lambda) - f(\lambda_0))$$

exists in *X* for every  $\lambda \in \Omega$ , and weakly differentiable if the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_0} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} \langle f(\lambda) - f(\lambda_0), x^* \rangle$$

exists in  $\mathbb C$  for each  $x^* \in X^*$  and every  $\lambda \in \Omega$ . Then, the followings are all equivalent.

- (a) *f* is differentiable.
- (b) *f* is weakly differentiable.

(c) For each  $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$ , there is a sequence  $(x_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$  such that we have the power series expansion

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k x_k,$$

where the series on the right hand side converges absolutely and uniformly on any closed ball in  $\Omega$  centered at  $\lambda_0$ .

10.20 (Exponential of an operator).

# C\*-algebras

# 11.1 C\* identity

- **11.1** (Involutive Banach algebras). Banach \*-algebra:  $||a^*|| = ||a||$ .
- **11.2** ( $C^*$  identity). A normed \*-algebra A is called a  $C^*$ -algebra if
  - (a) A is Banach,
  - (b) A satisfies the C\*-identity:  $||x^*x|| = ||x||^2$ .
- 11.3 (Unitization of C\*-algebras).

$$(L_a + \lambda \operatorname{id}_{B(A)})^* = L_{a^*} + \overline{\lambda} \operatorname{id}_{B(A)}.$$

*Proof.* The C\*-identity easily follows from the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x,\lambda)\|^2 &= \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|xy + \lambda y\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|(xy + \lambda y)^* (xy + \lambda y)\| \\ &= \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|y^* ((x^*x + \lambda x^* + \overline{\lambda}x)y + |\lambda|^2 y)\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|(x^*x + \lambda x^* + \overline{\lambda}x)y + |\lambda|^2 y\| \\ &= \|(x,\lambda)^* (x,\lambda)\|. \end{aligned}$$

- 11.4 (\*-homomorphisms). (a) determined by self-adjoint elements
  - (b) norm-decreasing
  - (c)

## 11.2 Continuous functional calculus

- **11.5** (Gelfand-Naimark representation for C\*-algebras). For a commutative unital C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , consider the Gelfand transform  $\Gamma: \mathcal{A} \to C(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ .
  - (a)  $\Gamma$  is a \*-homomorphism.
  - (b)  $\Gamma$  is an isometry.
  - (c)  $\Gamma$  is a \*-isomorphism.

Proof. (a)

(b) Note that we have

$$\|\Gamma a\| = \sup_{\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})} |\Gamma a(\varphi)| = \sup_{\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})} |\varphi(a)| = r(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . If we assume a is self-adjoint, then since  $||a||^2 = ||a^*a|| = ||a^2||$ , the spectral radius coincides with the norm by the Beurling formula for spectral radius in Banach algebras:

$$\|\Gamma a\| = r(a) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|a^{2^n}\|^{1/2^n} = \|a\|.$$

Hence we have for all  $a \in A$  that

$$||a||^2 = ||a^*a|| = ||\Gamma(a^*a)|| = ||(\Gamma a)^*\Gamma a|| = ||\Gamma a||^2.$$

- (c) By the part (a) and (b), the image  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$  is a closed unital \*-subalgebra of  $C(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ , and it separates points by definition. Then,  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$  is dense in  $C(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$  by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, which implies  $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) = C(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ .
- **11.6** (Finitely generated C\*-algebras). joint spectrum.
- **11.7** (Continuous functional calculus). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a C\*-algebra, and  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  a normal element. Then, we have an isometric \*-homomorphism

$$C(\sigma(a)) \to \mathcal{A}$$

defined by the inverse of the Gelfand transform, which we call the continuous functional calculus.

- (a) id  $\mapsto a$ .
- (b) (f+g)(a) = f(a) + g(a) and (fg)(a).
- (c)  $(f \circ g)(a) = f(g(a))$ .
- **11.8** (Normal elements). Let a be an element of a unital C\*-algebra A. We say a is *normal*, *unitary*, and *self-adjoint* if  $a^*a = aa^*$ ,  $a^*a = aa^* = e$ , and  $a^* = a$  respectively. For normality and self-adjointness, the definitions can be extended to non-unital C\*-algebras.
  - (a) If *a* is normal, then *a* is unitary if and only if  $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{T}$ .
  - (b) If *a* is normal, then *a* is self-adjoint if and only if  $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. (a)

(b) We may assume A is unital. By the holomorphic functional calculus, we have

$$e^{ia} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ia)^n}{n!} \in \mathcal{A},$$

and the inverse of  $e^{ia}$  is  $e^{-ia}$ . Since the involution  $^*: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$  is continuous, we can check  $e^{ia}$  is unitary by

$$(e^{ia})^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-ia)^n}{n!} = e^{-ia}.$$

For every  $\varphi \in \sigma(A)$ , then by the part (a) the equality

$$e^{-\text{Im }\varphi(a)} = |e^{i\varphi(a)}| = |\varphi(e^{ia})| = 1$$

proves  $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ , hence  $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

## 11.3 Positivitiy in C\*-algebras

- **11.9** (Positive elements). Let a, b be elements of a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say a is *positive* and write  $a \geq 0$  if it is normal and  $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . If we define a relation  $a \leq b$  as  $b-a \geq 0$ , then we can see that it is a partial order on  $\mathcal{A}$ .
  - (a)  $a \ge 0$  if and only if  $||\lambda a|| \le \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \ge ||a||$ .
  - (b) If  $a \ge 0$  and  $\sigma(b) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , then  $\sigma(a+b) \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .
  - (c) If  $a^*a \le 0$ , then a = 0.
  - (d)  $a \ge 0$  if and only if  $a = b^*b$  for some  $b \in A$ .

Proof. □

- 11.10 (Absolute value of an operator).
- **11.11** (Operator monotonicity). (a) If  $0 \le a \le b$ , then  $a^{-1} \ge b^{-1}$ .
  - (b) If  $a \le b$ , then  $cac^* \le cbc^*$ .
- 11.12 (Positive linear functionals).
- 11.13 (Injective \*-homomorphism).
- **11.14** (Approximate identity). separable?
- 11.15 (Hereditary C\*-algebras).

# 11.4 Representations of C\*-algebras

- **11.16** (Representation of C\*-algebras). A *representation* of a C\*-algebra is a \*-homomorphism  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to B(H)$  for a Hilbert space H.
- **11.17** (Non-degenerate representations). Let  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to B(H)$  be a representation of a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say  $\pi$  is *non-degenerate* if  $\pi(\mathcal{A})H$  is dense in H.
  - (a)  $\pi$  is non-degenerate.
  - (b) For each  $\xi \in H$  there is  $a \in A$  such that  $\pi(a)\xi \neq 0$ .
  - (c)  $\pi(e_{\alpha}) \rightarrow id_H$  strongly for every approximate identity  $e_{\alpha}$  of A.
- **11.18** (Cyclic representations). Let  $\pi: A \to B(H)$  be a representation of a C\*-algebra A.

(a)

- **11.19** (Irreducible representations). Let  $\pi: \mathcal{A} \to B(H)$  be a representation of a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We say  $\pi$  is irreducible if there is no proper closed subspace  $K \subset H$  such that  $\pi(a)K \subset K$ .
  - (a)  $\pi$  is irreducible.
  - (b)  $\pi(A)' = \mathbb{C} \operatorname{id}_H$ .
  - (c)  $\pi(A)$  is strongly dense in B(H).
  - (d) Every non-zero vector is cyclic.
- **11.20** (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal representation). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a C\*-algebra, and  $\rho$  be a state on  $\mathcal{A}$ . The *left kernel* of  $\rho$  is defined to be

$$L_{\rho} := \{ a \in \mathcal{A} : \rho(a^*a) = 0 \}.$$

- (a)  $L_{\rho}$  is a left ideal of A.
- (b)  $\langle a+L,b+L\rangle:=\rho(b^*a)$  is an inner product on  $\mathcal{A}/L_{\rho}$ .
- (c) There is a unique representation  $\pi_{\rho}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(H_{\rho})$  such that  $\pi_{\rho}(a)(b+L) := ab+L$  for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .
- (d)  $\pi_{\rho}: \mathcal{A} \to B(H_{\rho})$  is a cyclic representation.
- 11.21 (Kadison transitivity theorem).
- 11.22 (Left ideals).
- 11.23 (Primitive ideals).
- 11.24 (Hull-kernel topology).

### **Exercises**

**11.25.** Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a hereditary C\*-subalgebra of a C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}^+$ . If for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $b \in \mathcal{B}^+$  such that  $a - \varepsilon \leq b$ , then  $a \in \mathcal{B}^+$ .

*Proof.* To catch the idea, suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is abelian. We want to approximate a by the elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  in norm. To do this, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we want to construct  $b' \in \mathcal{B}^+$  such that  $a - \varepsilon \leq b' \leq a + \varepsilon$  using b. Taking  $b' = \min\{a, b\}$  is impossible in non-abelian case, but we can put  $b' = \frac{a}{b+\varepsilon}b$ . For a simpler proof,  $b' = (\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}})^2$  is a better choice.

Define

$$b' := \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} a \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

Then,

$$\|\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{a} \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}}\|^2 = \|\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} a \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}}\| \le \varepsilon$$

implies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} b' = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sqrt{a} \cdot \sqrt{a} \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} = \sqrt{a} \cdot \sqrt{a} = a.$$

**11.26** (Operator monotone square). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a C\*-algebra in which the square function is operator monotone, that is,  $0 \le a \le b$  implies  $a^2 \le b^2$  for any positive elements a and b in  $\mathcal{A}$ . We are going to show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is necessarily commutative. Let a and b denote arbitrary positive elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- (a) Show that  $ab + ba \ge 0$ .
- (b) Let ab = c + id where c and d are self adjoints. Show that  $d^2 \le c^2$ .
- (c) Suppose  $\lambda > 0$  satisfies  $\lambda d^2 \le c^2$ . Show that  $c^2 d^2 + d^2 c^2 2\lambda d^4 \ge 0$ .
- (d) Show that  $\lambda(cd+dc)^2 \leq (c^2-d^2)^2$ .
- (e) Show that  $\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 2\lambda 1} \cdot d^2 \le c^2$  and deduce d = 0.
- (f) Extend the result for general exponent: A is commitative if  $f(x) = x^{\beta}$  is operator monotone for  $\beta > 1$ .

**11.27** (States on unitization). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$  be a C\*-algebra and its unitization respectively. Let  $\widetilde{\rho} = \rho \oplus \lambda$  be a bounded linear functional on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ , where  $\rho \in \mathcal{A}^*$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$ .

- (a)  $\tilde{\rho}$  is positive if and only if  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq \rho \leq \lambda$ .
- (b)  $\tilde{\rho}$  is a state if and only if  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\rho$  is positive with  $\|\rho\| \le 1$ .

- (c)  $\tilde{\rho}$  is a pure state if and only if  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\rho$  is either a pure state or zero.
- **11.28** (Representations of  $C_0(\Omega)$ ). Let  $A = C_0(\Omega)$  and  $\mu$  be a state on A, a regular Borel probability measure on  $\Omega$ .
  - (a) The left kernel of  $\mu$  is  $L_{\mu}=\{f\in\mathcal{A}:f|_{\mathrm{supp}\,\mu}=0\}.$
  - (b) The quotient is  $\mathcal{A}/L_{\mu} \cong C(\operatorname{supp} \mu)$  so that  $H_{\mu} = L^2(\operatorname{supp} \mu, \mu)$ .
  - (c) The canonical cyclic vector is the unity function.
- **11.29** (Representations of K(H)).
- 11.30 (Approximate eigenvectors).

### **Problems**

\*1. A C\*-algebra is commutative if and only if a function  $f(x) = x(1+x)^{-1}$  is operator subadditive.

# Von Neumann algebras

### 12.1 Borel functional calculus

- **12.1** (Von Neumann algebras). A C\*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *von Neumann algebra* if there is a isometric \*-homomorphism  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(H)$  for a Hilbert space H whose image is closed in the weak operator topology.
- **12.2** (Vigier theorem). Increasing bounded net is convergent in strong operator topology. The boundedness is important because we have to construct a bounded sesquilinear form using the monotone convergence in  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- **12.3** (Borel functional calculus). Let A be a von Neumann algebra.

$$B^{\infty}(\sigma(a)) \to \mathcal{A}.$$

- (a) The Borel functional calculus is in general not injective.
- (b) If we endow the topology of pointwise convergence on  $B^{\infty}(\sigma(a))$  and the strong operator topology on A, then the Borel functional calculus is continuous.
- (c) not isometric, even if it is injective.
- (d) Every von Neumann algebra is the closed span of projections.
- **12.4.** (b) By the bounded convergence theorem.
- (d) This is because  $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{C}$  is compact so that it is separable and metrizable; every bounded measurable function is a pointwise limit of simple functions.

## 12.2 Density theorems

- **12.5** (Bicommutant theorem). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non-degenerate C\*-subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}(H)$ .
  - (a) A' and A'' are weakly closed.
  - (b) For  $a \in \mathcal{A}''$  and  $\xi \in H$ , there is a sequence  $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $a_n(\xi) \to a(\xi)$ .
  - (c) For  $a \in \mathcal{A}''$  and  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in \mathcal{H}$ , there is a sequence  $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $a_n(\xi_i) \to a(\xi_i)$  for all i.
  - (d) A is von Neumann algebra if and only if A = A''.
- *Proof.* (b) Let  $K := \overline{A\xi}$  be the cyclic subspace of  $\xi$  in H and p its orthogonal projection. We claim  $a\xi \in K$ . For every  $b \in A$ , we have  $bK \subset K$  because the multiplication by b is continuous on H, and  $b^*K \subset K$  because A is self-adjoint. It means that K reduces all  $b \in A$ , and then bp = pb implies ap = pa,

so K also reduces a. Therefore,  $aK \subset K$  proves  $a\xi = \lim_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} a\xi \in K$ , where  $e_{\alpha}$  is an approximate identity of A.

(e) Since 
$$\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{WOT}}$$
 is closed convex,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{SOT}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{WOT}}$ . Also,  $\mathcal{A}''$  is weakly closed,  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{WOT}} \subset \mathcal{A}''$ .

12.6 (Kaplansky density theorem).

# 12.3 Predual

### 12.4 Factors and traces

Every trace of factor is faithful

**12.7.** Normal states is a state in which the monotone convergence theorem holds. Precisely, a state  $\rho$  is *normal* if a monotone net  $a_{\alpha}$  strongly converges to a then  $\rho(a_{\alpha}) \rightarrow \rho(a)$ .

# **Exercises**

**12.8** (Extremally disconnected space).  $\sigma(B^{\infty}(\Omega))$  is extremally disconnected.

resolution of identity normal operator theories: multiplicity, invariant subspaces  $L^{\infty}$  representation