

# Real Analysis

Ikhan Choi

May 3, 2024

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Measur theory</b>	<b>3</b>
1	Algebra of sets	4
1.1	Boolean algebras . . . . .	4
1.2	Measurable spaces . . . . .	4
2	Measures	5
2.1	Measure spaces . . . . .	5
2.2	Carathéodory extension . . . . .	5
2.3	Measures on Euclidean spaces . . . . .	8
2.4	Hausdorff measures . . . . .	9
3	Lebesgue integral	10
3.1	Measurable functions . . . . .	10
3.2	Convergence theorems . . . . .	14
3.3	Product measures . . . . .	15
3.4	Integrals on Euclidean spaces . . . . .	15
<b>II</b>	<b>Function spaces</b>	<b>17</b>
4	Lebesgue spaces	18
4.1	. . . . .	18
4.2	Convolutions . . . . .	18
4.3	Interpolations . . . . .	18
5	Topological measures	21
5.1	Borel measures . . . . .	21
5.2	Locally compact spaces . . . . .	21
5.3	Locally finite measures . . . . .	21
5.4	Continuous functions in $L^p$ spaces . . . . .	23
6	Dual spaces	24
6.1	Dual of Lebesgue spaces . . . . .	24
6.2	Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem . . . . .	24
6.2.1	. . . . .	27
6.3	Dual of continuous function spaces . . . . .	28

<b>III</b>	<b>Distribution theory</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Distributions</b>	<b>30</b>
7.1	Test functions . . . . .	30
7.2	Space of distributions . . . . .	30
7.3	Product distributions . . . . .	30
<b>8</b>	<b>Linear operators</b>	<b>31</b>
8.1	. . . . .	31
8.2	Kernels . . . . .	31
<b>9</b>	<b>Fourier transform</b>	<b>32</b>
9.1	Convolution . . . . .	32
9.2	Tempered distributions . . . . .	32
9.3	Compactly supported distributions . . . . .	32
<b>IV</b>	<b>Fundamental theorem of calculus</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>10</b>		<b>34</b>
10.1	Absolutely continuous functions . . . . .	34
10.2	Functions of bounded variation . . . . .	34
<b>11</b>	<b>Lebesgue differentiation theorem</b>	<b>35</b>
11.1	Hardy-Littlewood maximal function . . . . .	35

## **Part I**

# **Measur theory**

# Chapter 1

## Algebra of sets

### 1.1 Boolean algebras

**1.1 (Boolean algebras and lattices).** A *Boolean algebra* is a unital ring  $\mathcal{A}$  whose elements are all idempotent, i.e.  $a^2 = a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**1.2 (Complete Boolean algebras).**

### 1.2 Measurable spaces

**1.3 (Measurable spaces).** A *measurable space* or a *Borel space* is a set  $X$  together with a  $\sigma$ -complete subalgebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of the power set  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , which is called a  $\sigma$ -*algebra* on  $X$ . Each element of  $\mathcal{M}$  is called *measurable*. We often omit  $\mathcal{M}$  to simply write  $X$  when we refer to a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ , if there is no confusion.

An *enhanced measurable space* is a set  $X$  together with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $X$  and another  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$  on  $X$  such that  $E \cap \mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{N}$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}$ , called a  $\sigma$ -*ideal* of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\mathcal{N}$  is also an ideal of  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ , then the enhanced measurable space is called *complete*.

- (a) generated by a set.
- (b) countable and cocountable sets
- (c) Borel
- (d) Loomis-Sikorski representation

standard borel spaces descriptive set theory

# Chapter 2

## Measures

### 2.1 Measure spaces

$E, F \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ .

**2.1** (Measure spaces). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M})$  be a measurable space. A *measure* on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M})$  is a set function  $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty] : \emptyset \mapsto 0$  that is *countably additive* in the sense that

$$\mu\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i), \quad (E_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}.$$

Note that the countable additivity is equivalent to the continuity with respect to increasing sequences. Here the squared cup notation reads the disjoint union. A *measure space* is a triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is a measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M})$ . Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\Omega$ .

- (a)  $\mu$  is monotone: for  $E, F \in \mathcal{M}$  if  $E \subset F$  then  $\mu(E) \leq \mu(F)$ .
- (b)  $\mu$  is countably subadditive: for
- (c)  $\mu$  is continuous from below:
- (d)  $\mu$  is continuous from above:

**2.2** (Complete measure spaces). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space. A *negligible set* or a *null set* is a measurable set  $N$  satisfying  $\mu(N) = 0$ , and a *full set* is a measurable set whose complement is negligible.

A *complete measure* is a measure such that every subset of a null set is measurable.

For a predicate  $P$  of points  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we say  $P$  is true *almost everywhere* or *a.e.* on  $\Omega$  if there is a negligible set  $N \subset \Omega$  such that  $P(\omega)$  is true for all  $\omega \in \Omega \setminus N$ .

**2.3** ( $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, which means that there is a countable cover of measurable sets of finite measure. In most cases of mathematics, non- $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces are rarely discussed.

- (a) There is a finite measure  $\nu$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M})$  such that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are mutually absolutely continuous.
- (b)  $\mu$  is semi-finite and the corresponding  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean algebra is complete.

### 2.2 Carathéodory extension

**2.4** (Outer measures). Let  $\Omega$  be a set. An *outer measure* on  $\Omega$  is a set function  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty] : \emptyset \mapsto 0$  which is monotone and countably subadditive.

(i)  $\mu^*$  is *monotone*: we have

$$S_1 \subset S_2 \Rightarrow \mu^*(S_1) \leq \mu^*(S_2), \quad S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega),$$

(ii)  $\mu^*$  is *countably subadditive*: we have

$$\mu^*\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(S_i), \quad (S_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega).$$

Comparing the definition of measures, we can see the outer measures extend the domain to the power set, but loosen the countable additivity to monotone countable subadditivity.

(a) A set function  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty] : \emptyset \mapsto 0$  is an outer measure if and only if  $\mu^*$  is *monotonically countably subadditive*:

$$S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_i \Rightarrow \mu^*(S) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(S_i), \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega), (S_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega).$$

(b) For any  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ , let  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty] : \emptyset \mapsto 0$  be a set function. We can associate an outer measure  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by defining as

$$\mu^*(S) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(B_i) : S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}_0 \right\},$$

where we use the convention  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ .

*Proof.*

□

**2.5** (Carathéodory measurable sets). Let  $\mu^*$  be an outer measure on a set  $X$ . We want to construct a measure by restriction of  $\mu^*$  on a properly defined  $\sigma$ -algebra. A subset  $E \subset X$  is called *Carathéodory measurable* relative to  $\mu^*$  if

$$\mu^*(S) = \mu^*(S \cap E) + \mu^*(S \setminus E)$$

for every  $S \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  be the set of all Carathéodory measurable subsets relative to  $\mu^*$ .

(a)  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra and  $\mu^*$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

(b)  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\mu^*$  is countably additive on  $\mathcal{M}$ . That is,  $\mu := \mu^*|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is a measure.

(c) The measure  $\mu$  is complete.

*Proof.*

□

**2.6** (Carathéodory extension theorem). The Carathéodory extension is a construction method for a measure extending a given set function  $\mu_0$  on  $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  for a set  $\Omega$ . The idea is to restrict the outer measure  $\mu^*$  associated to  $\mu_0$  in order to obtain a measure  $\mu$ . We want to find a sufficient condition for  $\mu$  to be a measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{M}_0$ .

Let  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ , and let  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a set function with  $\mu_0(\emptyset) = 0$ . Let  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be the associated outer measure of  $\mu_0$ , and  $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  the measure defined by the restriction of  $\mu^*$  on Carathéodory measurable subsets.

(a)  $\mu^*$  extends  $\mu_0$  if  $\mu_0$  satisfies the monotone countable subadditivity: we have

$$A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \Rightarrow \mu_0(A) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(B_i), \quad A \in \mathcal{M}_0, (B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$$

- (b)  $\mu$  extends  $\mu_0$  if  $\mu_0$  satisfies the following property in addition: for  $B, A \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $(C_j)_{j=1}^\infty, (D_j)_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}_0$  such that

$$B \cap A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty C_j, \quad B \setminus A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty D_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^\infty (\mu_0(C_j) + \mu_0(D_j)) < \mu_0(B) + \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* (a) Fix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . Clearly  $\mu^*(A) \leq \mu_0(A)$ . For the opposite direction, we may assume  $\mu^*(A) < \infty$ . By the finiteness of  $\mu^*(A)$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $(B_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}_0$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_0(B_i) < \mu^*(A) + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore we have  $\mu_0(A) < \mu^*(A) + \varepsilon$  by the assumption, and we get  $\mu_0(A) \leq \mu^*(A)$  by limiting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

(b) Fix  $A \in \mathcal{A}_0$ . It is enough to check the inequality  $\mu^*(S \cap A) + \mu^*(S \setminus A) \leq \mu^*(S)$  for  $S \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  with  $\mu^*(S) < \infty$ . By the finiteness of  $\mu^*(S)$ , we have  $(B_i)_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$ . From the condition, we have  $B_i \cap A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty C_{i,j}$  and  $B_i \setminus A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty D_{i,j}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(S \cap A) + \mu^*(S \setminus A) &\leq \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty (B_i \cap A)\right) + \mu^*\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty (B_i \setminus A)\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j=1}^\infty (\mu_0(C_{i,j}) + \mu_0(D_{i,j})) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty (\mu_0(B_i) + 2^{-i} \varepsilon) \\ &< \mu^*(S) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $A$  is Carathéodory measurable relative to  $\mu^*$ , so the domain of  $\mu$  contains the domain of  $\mu_0$ . The values coincide by the part (a).  $\square$

**2.7 (Uniqueness of extension of measures).** The Carathéodory extension also provides a uniqueness result for measure extensions. Let  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty] : \emptyset \mapsto 0$  be a set function, where  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  for a set  $\Omega$ . We say  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if there is a cover  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}_0$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu_0(B_i) < \infty$  for each  $i$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Let  $\mu$  be a measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ , which extends  $\mu_0$ , given by the restriction of the outer measure  $\mu^*$  associated to  $\mu_0$ . Let  $\nu$  be another measure on  $\mathcal{M}$  which extends  $\mu_0$ . Let  $E \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}$ .

- (a)  $\nu(E) \leq \mu(E)$ .
- (b)  $\nu(E_i) = \mu(E_i)$  implies  $\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i\right)$ .
- (c)  $\nu(E) = \mu(E)$  for  $\mu(E) < \infty$ .
- (d)  $\nu(E) = \mu(E)$  for  $\mu(E) = \infty$ , if  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite

*Proof.* (a) We may assume  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . By the definition of the outer measure, there is  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{M}_0$  such that  $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$ . Also, whenever  $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i$  we have

$$\nu(E) \leq \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \nu(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_0(B_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(B_i),$$

hence  $\nu(E) \leq \mu(E)$ .

(b) In the light of the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$\mu(E_i \cup E_j) = \mu(E_i) + \mu(E_j) - \mu(E_i \cap E_j) \leq \nu(E_i) + \nu(E_j) - \nu(E_i \cap E_j) = \nu(E_i \cup E_j),$$



so that  $\mu(E_i \cup E_j) = \nu(E_i \cap E_j)$ . Applying it inductively, we have for every  $n$  that

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i\right) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i\right),$$

and by limiting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the continuity from below gives

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right).$$

(c) Because  $\mu(E) < \infty$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have a sequence  $(B_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$  such that  $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(B_i) < \mu(E) + \varepsilon.$$

Applying the part (b) Then, we have

$$\mu(E) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) = \nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \setminus E\right) + \nu(E)$$

and

$$\nu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \setminus E\right) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \setminus E\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i\right) - \mu(E) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(B_i) - \mu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho(B_i) - \mu(E) < \varepsilon,$$

we get  $\mu(E) < \nu(E) + \varepsilon$  and  $\mu(E) \leq \nu(E)$  by limiting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

(d) Let  $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$  be a cover of  $X$  such that  $\mu_0(B_i) < \infty$ . Define  $E_1 := B_1$  and  $E_n := B_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} B_i$  for  $n \geq 2$  so that  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a pairwise disjoint cover of  $X$  with

$$\mu(E \cap E_i) \leq \mu(E_i) \leq \mu(B_i) = \mu_0(B_i) < \infty$$

for each  $i$ , so we have by the part (c) that

$$\nu(E) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(E \cap E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E \cap E_i) = \mu(E). \quad \square$$

## 2.3 Measures on Euclidean spaces

Cantor set

**2.8** (Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra).

**2.9** (Distribution functions). (a) Let  $a < b \in \mathbb{R}_{\pm\infty}$ . There is one-to-one correspondence between right continuous non-decreasing functions  $F : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(a) = 0$ ,  $F(b) = 1$ , and the probability Borel measures on  $[a, b]$ .

(b)

*Proof.* We may assume  $a > -\infty$ . Suppose  $(a, b] \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i]$ . Using the right-continuity of  $F$ , for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $\varepsilon_i$  such that  $F(b_i + \varepsilon_i) - F(b_i) < \varepsilon 2^{-i}$  for each  $i$ . Then, by the Heine-Borel, there is  $n$  such that  $[a + \varepsilon, b] \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i + \varepsilon_i)$ , and we have

$$F(b) - F(a + \varepsilon) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (F(b_i + \varepsilon_i) - F(a_i)).$$

By limiting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have what we desired.  $\square$

**2.10** (Helly selection theorem).

**2.11** (Vitali set).

## 2.4 Hausdorff measures

Hausdorff measure, surface measure, Brunn-Minkowski inequality

### Exercises

**2.12** (Cardinalities). infinite  $\sigma$ -algebra is  $\geq \mathfrak{c}$ .

**2.13** (Semi-rings and semi-algebras). We will prove a simplified Carathéodory extension with respect to *semi-rings* and *semi-algebras*. Let  $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  such that  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . We say that  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is a semi-ring if it is closed under finite intersections, and each relative complement is a finite union of elements of  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . We say that  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is a semi-algebra

Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be a semi-ring of sets over  $X$ . Suppose a set function  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow [0, \infty] : \emptyset \mapsto 0$  satisfies

(i)  $\mu_0$  is *disjointly countably subadditive*: we have

$$\mu_0\left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(A_i)$$

for  $(A_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}_0$ ,

(ii)  $\mu_0$  is *finitely additive*: we have

$$\mu_0(A_1 \sqcup A_2) = \mu_0(A_1) + \mu_0(A_2)$$

for  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{M}_0$ .

A set function satisfying the above conditions are occasionally called a *pre-measure*.

(a)

(b)

**2.14** (Monotone class lemma). A collection  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is called a *monotone class* if it is closed under countable increasing unions and countable decreasing intersections.

Let  $H$  be a vector space closed under bounded monotone convergence. If  $\text{span}\{1_A : A \in \mathcal{M}\} \subset H$  then  $B^\infty(\sigma(\mathcal{M})) \subset H$ .

**2.15** (Steinhaus theorem). Let  $\lambda$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a Lebesgue measurable set with  $\lambda(E) > 0$ .

(a) For any  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there is an interval  $I = (a, b)$  such that  $\lambda(E \cap I) > \alpha\lambda(I)$ .

(b)  $E - E = \{x - y : x, y \in E\}$  contains an open interval containing zero.

*Proof.* (a) We may assume  $\lambda(E) < \infty$ . Since  $\lambda$  is outer measure and  $\lambda(E) \neq 0$ , we have an open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lambda(U) < \alpha^{-1}\lambda(E)$ . Because  $U$  is a countable disjoint union of open intervals  $U = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i, b_i)$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda((a_i, b_i)) = \lambda(U) < \alpha^{-1}\lambda(E) = \alpha^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(E \cap (a_i, b_i)).$$

Therefore, there is  $i$  such that  $\alpha\lambda((a_i, b_i)) < \lambda(E \cap (a_i, b_i))$ . □

**2.16** (Measures from volume forms).

### Problems

- \*1. Every Lebesgue measurable set in  $\mathbb{R}$  of positive measure contains an arbitrarily long arithmetic progression.

## Chapter 3

# Lebesgue integral

### 3.1 Measurable functions

simple function approximations, convergence in measure

**3.1** (Measurability of pointwise limits). Conversely, every measurable extended real-valued function is a pointwise limit of simple functions.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x)$ .

□

**3.2** (Almost everywhere convergence). Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $f_n : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be measurable functions. The set of convergence of the sequence  $f_n$  is defined as the set

$$\{x \in X : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = f(x)\},$$

and the set of divergence is defined as its complement. We say  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  *almost everywhere* with respect to  $\mu$  if the set of divergence is a null set in  $\mu$ . We simply write

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ a.e.}$$

if  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  almost everywhere, and we frequently omit the measure  $\mu$  if it has no confusion.

(a) If  $\mu$  is complete and, if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e., then  $f$  is measurable.

**3.3** (Borel-Cantelli lemma). Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $f_n : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a sequence of measurable functions. Note that the set of divergence is given by

$$\bigcup_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigcap_{N \geq 0} \bigcup_{n > N} \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

Each measurable set of the form

$$\{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

is sometimes called the *tail event*, coined in probability theory.

(a)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\mu(\{x : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

(b)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. if and only if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\mu(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

(c)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(\{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* (b) The set of divergence of the sequence  $f_n$  is given by

$$\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x : |f_i(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m}\} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (X \setminus E_n^m).$$

(c) Since

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{x : |f_i(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(\{x : |f_i(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) < \infty,$$

we have by the continuity from above that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) &= \mu\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x : |f_i(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}\right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x : |f_i(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}\right) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \mu(\{x : |f_i(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**3.4 (Convergence in measure).** Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and let  $f_n : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a sequence of measurable functions. We say  $f_n$  converges to a measurable function  $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  *in measure* if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

- (a) If  $f_n$  is a non-decreasing sequence, then  $f_n$  converges locally in measure.
- (b) If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  locally in measure, then  $f_n$  has a subsequence convergent to  $f$  a.e.
- (c) If every subsequence of  $f_n$  has a further subsequence convergent to  $f$  a.e., then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  locally in measure.

*Proof.* (b) Since for each positive integer  $k$  we have  $\mu(\{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $n_k$  such that

$$\mu(\{x : |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}) < \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

By the Borel-Cantelli lemma, we get

$$\mu(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{x : |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\}) = 0.$$

Then, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{x : |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} &= \bigcap_{k=\lceil \varepsilon^{-1} \rceil}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} \{x : |f_{n_j}(x) - f(x)| \geq \varepsilon\} \\ &\subset \bigcap_{k=\lceil \varepsilon^{-1} \rceil}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty} \{x : |f_{n_j}(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\} \\ &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{x : |f_{n_k}(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{k}\} \end{aligned}$$

implies the limit superior of the tail events is a null set, hence  $f_{n_k} \rightarrow f$  a.e.

(c) □

**3.5 (Egorov theorem).** Egorov's theorem informally states that an almost everywhere convergent functional sequence is “almost” uniformly convergent. Through this famous theorem, we introduce a convenient “ $\varepsilon/2^m$ ” argument”, occasionally used throughout measure theory to construct a measurable set having a special property.

Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a finite measure space and let  $f_n : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be a sequence of measurable functions such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. For each positive integer  $m$ , which indexes the tolerance  $1/m$ , consider an increasing sequence of measurable subsets

$$E_n^m := \bigcap_{i=n}^{\infty} \{x : |f_i(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{m}\}.$$

- (a)  $E_n^m$  converges to a full set for each  $m$ .
- (b) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a measurable  $K \subset X$  such that  $\mu(X \setminus K) < \varepsilon$  and for each  $m$  there is finite  $n$  satisfying  $K \subset E_n^m$ .
- (c) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a measurable  $K \subset X$  such that  $\mu(X \setminus K) < \varepsilon$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $K$ .

*Proof.* (a) Recall that the a.e. convergence  $f_n \rightarrow f$  means that for every fixed  $m$  the intersection

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (X \setminus E_n^m) = \limsup_n \{x : |f_n(x) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{m}\}$$

is a null set. Since  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , it is equivalent to  $E_n^m$  converges to a full set for each  $m$  by the continuity from above.

(b) For each  $m$ , we can find  $n_m$  such that

$$\mu(X \setminus E_{n_m}^m) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m}.$$

If we define

$$K := \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E_{n_m}^m,$$

then it satisfies the second conclusion, and also have

$$\mu(X \setminus K) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} (X \setminus E_{n_m}^m)\right) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu(X \setminus E_{n_m}^m) < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^m} = \varepsilon.$$

(c) Fix  $m > 0$ . Since  $n \geq n_m$  implies  $K \subset E_{n_m}^m \subset E_n^m$ , we have

$$n \geq n_m \Rightarrow \sup_{x \in K} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{m}. \quad \square$$

*Proof.* We may assume  $(\Omega, \mu)$  is a probability space. Suppose  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere on  $\Omega$ . If we let  $E_{\varepsilon, n} := \bigcap_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \{\omega : |f_i(\omega) - f(\omega)| < \varepsilon\}$ , then the almost everywhere convergence means that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we have  $\mu(E_{\varepsilon, n}) \uparrow 1$ . Let  $\mu(E_m) > 1 - \varepsilon 2^{-m}$ .  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a commutative unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $a_n$  be a sequence in  $A^+$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma(A, \text{span } PS(A))$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For each pure state  $\omega_0$ , there is  $n$  such that whenever  $i > n$  we have  $|\omega_0(a_i)| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\omega_0$  is pure, the induced state on  $C(\sigma(a_i))$  is also pure, for  $\chi \in C_c([0, \infty))$  such that  $1_{[0, \varepsilon]} \leq \chi \leq 1_{[0, 2\varepsilon]}$ , we have  $\omega_0(\chi(a_i)) = 1$  for every  $i > n$ .

Define  $p_{\varepsilon, n} := \bigwedge_{i=n+1}^{\infty} 1_{[0, \varepsilon)}(a_i)$  in  $A^{**}$  and  $p_{\varepsilon, n} \uparrow p_\varepsilon$ . Then,

$$1 = \omega_0(f_i(a_i)) \leq \omega_0^{**}(1_{[0, \varepsilon)}(a_i)) \leq 1, \quad i > n$$

implies  $\omega_0(p_{\varepsilon, n}) = 1$ , so  $\omega_0(p_\varepsilon) = 1$ . Since pure states cannot separate points of  $A^{**}$ , we need more to show  $p_\varepsilon = 1$ .

(I did not check if it works also in non-commutative cases, but purity seems to be required.)

## 3.2 Convergence theorems

**3.6** (Lebesgue integral of non-negative functions). Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a measurable function. The *Lebesgue integral* of  $f$  is defined by

$$\int f \, d\mu := \sup \left\{ \int s \, d\mu : 0 \leq s \leq f, s \text{ simple} \right\}$$

**3.7** (Monotone convergence theorem). Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $(f_n)$  be a non-decreasing sequence of measurable functions  $X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ .

(a)  $E \mapsto \int_E f \, d\mu$  is a measure.

(b)  $\int \sup_n f_n \, d\mu = \sup_n \int f_n \, d\mu$ .

*Proof.* (a) The map  $E \mapsto \int_E f \, d\mu$  is a measure if  $f$  is simple, from the linearity of the integral for simple functions. For  $E_n \uparrow E$ , we want to show the continuity from below,  $\int_{E_n} f \rightarrow \int_E f$ . Take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We introduce a continuous bijection  $\beta : [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1] : t \mapsto t/(1+t)$  to avoid dividing the cases for infinity. By the definition of the Lebesgue integral, we have a simple function  $s$  such that  $0 \leq s \leq f$  and

$$\beta\left(\int_E f\right) - \beta\left(\int_E s\right) < \varepsilon,$$

whether or not  $\int_E f$  diverges. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\left(\int_E f\right) - \beta\left(\int_{E_n} f\right) &= [\beta\left(\int_E f\right) - \beta\left(\int_E s\right)] + [\beta\left(\int_E s\right) - \beta\left(\int_{E_n} s\right)] + [\beta\left(\int_{E_n} s\right) - \beta\left(\int_{E_n} f\right)] \\ &< \varepsilon + [\beta\left(\int_E s\right) - \beta\left(\int_{E_n} s\right)] + 0 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We are done by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

(b) For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  let  $E_n := \{x : f(x) < (1 + \varepsilon)f_n(x)\}$ , which converges to a full set because  $f_n \rightarrow f$  a.e. Since  $f$  is a measure, we can choose  $N$  such that

$$\beta\left(\int_E f\right) - \beta\left(\int_{E_N} f\right) < \varepsilon.$$

With this  $N$ , we have

$$\beta\left(\int_{E_N} f\right) \leq \beta((1 + \varepsilon)\int_{E_N} f_n) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\beta\left(\int_{E_N} f_n\right) \leq \beta\left(\int_{E_N} f_n\right) + \varepsilon, \quad n > N.$$

Then, we have for  $n > N$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\left(\int_E f\right) - \beta\left(\int_E f_n\right) &= [\beta\left(\int_E f\right) - \beta\left(\int_{E_N} f\right)] + [\beta\left(\int_{E_N} f\right) - \beta\left(\int_{E_N} f_n\right)] + [\beta\left(\int_{E_N} f_n\right) - \beta\left(\int_E f_n\right)] \\ &< \varepsilon + \varepsilon + 0, \end{aligned}$$

so we are done by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

**3.8** (Corollaries of monotone convergence theorem). Fatou's lemma, linearity of the integral,  $f \geq 0$  and  $\int f = 0$  imply  $f = 0$  a.e.

**3.9** (Lebesgue integral of complex-valued functions).

**3.10** (Bounded convergence theorem). Semifinite measures

Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of measurable functions such that  $\sup_n \sup_x |f_n(x)| \leq 1$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  locally in measure.

(a)

$$\sup_{g \leq f} \int g \, d\mu = \int f \, d\mu$$

where  $g$  runs through bounded measurable functions.

(b)

### 3.3 Product measures

3.11 (Fubini-Tonelli theorem). Lebesgue measure on Euclidean spaces

Lipschitz and differentiable transformations

### 3.4 Integrals on Euclidean spaces

#### Exercises

3.12 (Cauchy's functional equation). Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function. Cauchy's functional equation refers to the equation  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ , satisfied for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose  $f$  satisfies the Cauchy functional equation. We ask if  $f$  is linear, that is  $f(x) = ax$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $a := f(1)$ .

- (a)  $f(x) = ax$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , but there is a nonlinear solution of Cauchy's functional equation.
- (b) If  $f$  is continuous at a point, then  $f$  is linear.
- (c) If  $f$  is Lebesgue measurable, then  $f$  is linear.

3.13 (Pointwise approximation by simple functions). Let  $(X, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $X$  a metric space with Borel measurable structure. By a *simple function* we mean a measurable function  $s : X \rightarrow X$  of finite image.

- (a) For each open set  $U \subset X$  there is a sequence of open sets  $U_i$  such that  $U = \bigcup_i U_i$  and  $\overline{U_i} \subset U$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be any function.
- (b) If  $f$  is the pointwise limit of a sequence of measurable functions, then  $f$  is measurable.
- (c) If  $f$  is measurable, then  $f$  is the pointwise limit of a sequence of simple functions, if  $X$  is separable.
- \* (d) The pointwise limit of a net of simple functions may not be measurable.

*Proof.* (b) Suppose a sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of measurable functions converges pointwisely to a function  $f$ . For fixed open  $U \subset X$  we claim

$$f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{-1}(U_i).$$

If it is true, then  $f^{-1}(U)$  is the countable set operation of measurable sets  $f_n^{-1}(U_i)$ . Let  $U_i$  be the sequence associated to  $U$  taken by the part (a).

( $\subset$ ) If  $\omega \in f^{-1}(U)$ , then for some  $i$  we have  $f(\omega) \in U_i$ , so  $f_n(\omega)$  is eventually in  $U_i$ , thus we have  $\omega \in \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{-1}(U_i)$ .

( $\supset$ ) If  $\omega \in \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n^{-1}(U_i)$  for some  $i$ , then  $f_n(\omega)$  is eventually in  $U_i$ , so  $f(\omega) \in \overline{U_i} \subset U$ , thus we have  $\omega \in f^{-1}(U)$ .

(c) Suppose there is a increasing sequence of finite tagged partitions  $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{B}$  satisfying the following property: for each open-neighborhood pair  $(x, U)$  there is  $n$  and  $i$  such that  $P_{n,i} \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $x \in P_{n,i} \subset U$ . We denote the tags by  $t_{n,i} \in P_{n,i}$  for each  $P_{n,i} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Define

$$s_n(\omega) := t_{n,i} \quad \text{for } f(\omega) \in P_{n,i}.$$

To show  $s_n(\omega) \rightarrow f(\omega)$ , fix an open  $f(\omega) \in U \subset X$ . Then, there is  $n_0$  such that there is a sequence  $(P_{n,i_n})_{n=n_0}^{\infty}$  satisfying  $P_{n,i_n} \in \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $f(\omega) \in P_{n,i_n} \subset U$ . Then, for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we have for  $f(\omega) \in P_{n,i_n}$  that  $s_n(\omega) = t_{n,i_n} \in P_{n,i_n} \subset U$ .

The existence of such sequence of partitions...

Another approach: mimicking Pettis measurability theorem. □



**3.14** (Convergence of one-parameter family).

If  $\|f_n\|_{L^2([0,1])} \leq C$  and  $f_n \rightarrow f$  almost everywhere, then  $f_n \rightarrow f$  weakly.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 n^3 x^2 (1-x)^n dx = 2 \neq 0 = \int_0^1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^3 x^2 (1-x)^n dx.$$
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty n^2 e^{-nx} dx = \infty \neq 0 = \int_0^\infty \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^2 e^{-nx} dx.$$

## **Part II**

# **Function spaces**

## Chapter 4

# Lebesgue spaces

### 4.1

4.1 (Hölder inequality).

*Proof.*

$$\int f g \leq C^p \int \frac{|f|^p}{p} + \frac{1}{C^q} \int \frac{|g|^q}{q}$$

Take  $C$  such that

$$C^p \int \frac{|f|^p}{p} = \frac{1}{C^q} \int \frac{|g|^q}{q}.$$

Then,

$$C^p \int \frac{|f|^p}{p} + \frac{1}{C^q} \int \frac{|g|^q}{q} = 2p^{-\frac{1}{p}} q^{-\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int |g|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Note that we can show that  $1 \leq 2p^{-\frac{1}{p}} q^{-\frac{1}{q}} \leq 2$  and the minimum is attained only if  $p = q = 2$ , so this method does not provide the sharpest constant.  $\square$

### 4.2 Convolutions

4.2 (Convolution?).

4.3 (Approximate identity?).

4.4 (Continuity of translation?).

### 4.3 Interpolations

Lorentz spaces Weak  $L^p$  spaces

**Definition 4.3.1.** Let  $f$  be a measurable function on a measure space  $(X, \mu)$ . The *distribution function*  $\lambda_f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is defined as:

$$\lambda_f(\alpha) := \mu(\{x : |f(x)| > \alpha\}) = \mu(|f| > \alpha).$$

Do not use  $\mu(\{x : |f(x)| \geq \alpha\})$ . The strict inequality implies the *lower semi-continuity* of  $\lambda_f$ .

For  $p > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^p}^p &= \int |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \\
&= \int \int_0^{|f(x)|} p\alpha^{p-1} d\alpha d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_{|f(x)| > \alpha} p\alpha^{p-1} d\mu(x) d\alpha \\
&= p \int_0^\infty \left[ \alpha \cdot \mu(|f| > \alpha)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^p \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.3.2.**

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,q}}^q := p \int_0^\infty \left[ \alpha \cdot \mu(|f| > \alpha)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^q \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}.$$

Also,

$$\|f\|_{L^{p,\infty}} := \sup_{0 < \alpha < \infty} \left[ \alpha \cdot \mu(|f| > \alpha)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right].$$

**Theorem 4.3.3.** For  $p \geq 1$  we have  $\|f\|_{p,\infty} \leq \|f\|_p$ .

*Proof.* By the Chebyshev inequality,

$$\sup_{0 < \alpha < \infty} [\alpha^p \cdot \mu(|f| > \alpha)] \leq \int_0^\infty p\alpha^{p-1} \cdot \mu(|f| > \alpha) d\alpha = \|f\|_{L^p}^p.$$

□

**4.5 (Marcinkiewicz interpolation).** Let  $X$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and  $Y$  be a measure space. Let

$$1 < p_0 < p < p_1 < \infty.$$

If a sublinear operator  $T : L^{p_0}(X) + L^{p_1}(X) \rightarrow M(Y)$  has two weak-type estimates

$$\|T\|_{L^{p_0}(X) \rightarrow L^{p_0,\infty}(Y)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \|T\|_{L^{p_1}(X) \rightarrow L^{p_1,\infty}(Y)} < \infty,$$

then it has a strong-type estimate

$$\|T\|_{L^p(X) \rightarrow L^p(Y)} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f \in L^p(X)$  and denote  $f_h = \chi_{|f| > \alpha} f$  and  $f_l = \chi_{|f| \leq \alpha} f$ . It is easy to show  $f_h \in L^{p_0}$  and  $f_l \in L^{p_1}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Tf\|_{L^p(Y)}^p &\sim \int \alpha^p \cdot \mu(|Tf| > \alpha) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \\
&\lesssim \int \alpha^p \cdot \mu(|Tf_h| > \alpha) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} + \int \alpha^p \cdot \mu(|Tf_l| > \alpha) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \\
&\leq \int \alpha^p \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{p_0}} \|Tf_h\|_{L^{p_0,\infty}}^{p_0} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} + \int \alpha^p \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha^{p_1}} \|Tf_l\|_{L^{p_1,\infty}}^{p_1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \\
&\lesssim \int \alpha^{p-p_0} \|f_h\|_{L^{p_0}}^{p_0} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} + \int \alpha^{p-p_1} \|f_l\|_{L^{p_1}}^{p_1} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \\
&\sim \|f\|_p^p.
\end{aligned}$$

by (1) Fubini, (2) Sublinearity, (3) Chebyshev, (4) Boundedness, (5) Fubini.

□

**4.6** (Hadamard's three line lemma). Let  $f$  be a bounded holomorphic function on vertical unit strip  $\{z : 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$  which is continuously extended to the boundary. Then, for  $0 < \theta < 1$  we have

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty(\operatorname{Re}=\theta)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\operatorname{Re}=0)}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\operatorname{Re}=1)}^\theta.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $n$  and define

$$g_n(z) := \frac{f(z)}{\|f\|_{L^\infty(\operatorname{Re}=0)}^{1-z} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\operatorname{Re}=1)}^z} e^{-\frac{z(1-z)}{n}}.$$

Then,

$$|g_n(z)| \leq e^{-\frac{(\operatorname{Im} z)^2}{n}}$$

for  $z$  in the strip. By the maximum principle,

$$|f(z)| \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\operatorname{Re}=0)}^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{L^\infty(\operatorname{Re}=1)}^\theta e^{\frac{y^2}{n}}.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we are done. □

**4.7** (Riesz-Thorin interpolation). Let  $X, Y$  be  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces. Let

$$\frac{1}{p_\theta} = (1-\theta) \frac{1}{p_0} + \theta \frac{1}{p_1}, \quad \frac{1}{q_\theta} = (1-\theta) \frac{1}{q_0} + \theta \frac{1}{q_1}.$$

Then,

$$\|T\|_{p_\theta \rightarrow q_\theta} \leq \|T\|_{p_0 \rightarrow q_0}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{p_1 \rightarrow q_1}^\theta.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\|T\|_{p_\theta \rightarrow q_\theta} = \sup_f \frac{\|Tf\|_{q_\theta}}{\|f\|_{p_\theta}} = \sup_{f, g} \frac{|\langle Tf, g \rangle|}{\|f\|_{p_\theta} \|g\|_{q'_\theta}}.$$

Consider a holomorphic function

$$z \mapsto \langle Tf_z, g_z \rangle = \int \overline{g_z(y)} Tf_z(y) dy,$$

where  $f_z$  and  $g_z$  are defined as

$$f_z = |f|^{\frac{p_\theta}{p_0}(1-z) + \frac{p_\theta}{p_1}z} \frac{f}{|f|}$$

so that we have  $f_\theta = f$  and

$$\|f\|_{p_\theta}^{p_\theta} = \|f_z\|_{p_x}^{p_x}$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} z = x$ .

Then,

$$|\langle Tf_z, g_z \rangle| \leq \|T\|_{p_0 \rightarrow q_0} \|f_z\|_{p_0} \|g_z\|_{q'_0} = \|T\|_{p_0 \rightarrow q_0} \|f\|_{p_\theta}^{p_\theta/p_0} \|g\|_{q'_\theta}^{q'_\theta/q'_0}$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$ , and

$$|\langle Tf_z, g_z \rangle| \leq \|T\|_{p_1 \rightarrow q_1} \|f_z\|_{p_1} \|g_z\|_{q'_1} = \|T\|_{p_1 \rightarrow q_1} \|f\|_{p_\theta}^{p_\theta/p_1} \|g\|_{q'_\theta}^{q'_\theta/q'_1}$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$ . By Hadamard's three line lemma, we have

$$|\langle Tf_z, g_z \rangle| \leq \|T\|_{p_0 \rightarrow q_0}^{1-\theta} \|T\|_{p_1 \rightarrow q_1}^\theta \|f\|_{p_\theta} \|g\|_{q'_\theta}$$

for  $\operatorname{Re} z = \theta$ . Putting  $z = \theta$  in the last inequality, we get the desired result. □

# Chapter 5

## Topological measures

### 5.1 Borel measures

### 5.2 Locally compact spaces

5.1 (One-point compactification).

### 5.3 Locally finite measures

5.2 (Regular Borel measures on locally compact metric spaces). sss

- (a)  $C_c(X)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mu)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .
- (b) If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is compact  $K \subset X$  and continuous  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f|_K = g|_K$  and  $\mu(X \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ .

5.3 (Tightness and inner regularity). (a)

5.4 (Regular Borel measures on metric spaces). Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on a metric space  $X$ . We say  $\mu$  is *outer regular* if

$$\mu(E) = \inf\{\mu(U) : E \subset U, U \text{ open}\},$$

and say  $\mu$  is *inner regular* if

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(F) : F \subset E, F \text{ closed}\},$$

for every Borel subset  $E \subset X$ . If  $\mu$  is both outer and inner regular, we say  $\mu$  is *regular*.

- (a) Let  $E$  be  $\sigma$ -finite. Then,  $E$  is  $\mu$ -regular if and only if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there are open  $U$  and closed  $F$  such that  $F \subset E \subset U$  and  $\mu(U \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .
- (b) If  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then the set of  $\mu$ -regular subsets is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. (may be extended?)
- (c) Every closed set is  $G_\delta$ .
- (d) Every finite Borel measure on  $X$  is regular.

*Proof.*

□

5.5 (Luzin's theorem). Let  $\mu$  be a regular Borel measure on a metric space  $X$ . Let  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Borel measurable function. Two proofs: direct and Egoroff.

- (a) If  $E \subset X$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, then there is a continuous  $g$  blabla

- (b) If  $f$  vanishes outside a  $\sigma$ -finite set, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a closed set  $F \subset X$  such that  $f|_F : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and  $\mu(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .
- (c) If  $f$  vanishes outside a  $\sigma$ -finite set, then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a closed set  $F \subset X$  and continuous  $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f|_F = g|_F$  and  $\mu(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .
- (d) If  $f$  is further bounded, then  $g$  also can be taken to be bounded.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and suppose  $E \subset X$  is measurable with  $\mu(E) < \infty$ . Since  $E$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, we have open  $U$  and closed  $F$  such that  $F \subset E \subset U$  and  $\mu(U \setminus F) < \varepsilon/2$ . By the Urysohn lemma, there is a continuous function  $g : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $g|_{U^c} = 0$  and  $g|_F = 1$ . Then,

$$\int |1_E - g| d\mu = \int_{U \setminus F} |1_E - g| d\mu \leq 2\mu(U \setminus F) < \varepsilon.$$

(b) Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is second countable, we have a base  $(V_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, for each  $n$  we can take open  $U_n$  and closed  $F_n$  such that

$$F_n \subset f^{-1}(V_n) \subset U_n$$

and  $\mu(U_n \setminus F_n) < \varepsilon/2^n$ . Define  $F := (\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (U_n \setminus F_n))^c$  so that  $\mu(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon$  and  $F$  is closed. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} U_n \cap F &= U_n \cap ((U_n^c \cup F_n) \cap F) \\ &= (U_n \cap (U_n^c \cup F_n)) \cap F \\ &= (\emptyset \cup (U_n \cap F_n)) \cap F \\ &\subset F_n \cap F \end{aligned}$$

proves  $f^{-1}(V_n)$  is open in  $F$  for every  $n$ , hence the continuity of  $f|_F$ . (In fact, we require that  $X$  to be just a topological space.)

(b') We can alternatively use the part (a) and the Egoroff theorem. By the part (a), we can construct a sequence  $(f_n)$  of continuous functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1$ . By taking a subsequence, we may assume  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise. Assuming  $\mu$  is finite, by the Egorov theorem, there is a measurable  $A \subset X$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $A$  and  $\mu(X \setminus A) < \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $\mu$  is inner regular, we have closed  $F \subset A$  such that  $\mu(A \setminus F) < \varepsilon/2$ , so that we have  $\mu(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ . Then,  $f$  is continuous on  $A$ , and of course on  $F$ .

□

**Proposition 5.3.1.** *A  $\sigma$ -finite Radon measure is regular.*

*Proof.* First we approximate Borel sets of finite measure, with compact sets. Let  $E$  be a Borel set with  $\mu(E) < \infty$  and  $U$  be an open set containing  $E$ . By outer regularity, there is an open set  $V \supset U - E$  such that

$$\mu(V) < \mu(U - E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

By inner regularity, there is a compact set  $K \subset U$  such that

$$\mu(K) > \mu(U) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then, we have a compact set  $K - V \subset K - (U - E) \subset E$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(K - V) &\geq \mu(K) - \mu(V) \\ &> \left( \mu(U) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) - \left( \mu(U - E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) \\ &\geq \mu(E) - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that a Radon measure is inner regular on Borel sets of finite measures.

Suppose  $E$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite Borel set so that  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$  with  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$ . We may assume  $E_n$  are pairwise disjoint. Let  $K_n$  be a compact subset of  $E_n$  such that

$$\mu(K_n) > \mu(E_n) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n},$$

and define  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \subset E$ . Then,

$$\mu(K) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(K_n) > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \mu(E_n) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^n} \right) = \mu(E) - \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, a Radon measure is inner regular on all  $\sigma$ -finite Borel sets. □

## 5.4 Continuous functions in $L^p$ spaces

Approximate identity density



# Chapter 6

## Dual spaces

### 6.1 Dual of Lebesgue spaces

Radon-Nikodym theorem

An integrable function as a measure

$\sigma$ -finite measures

### 6.2 Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem

locally finite tight measure.

**6.1** (Radon measures). (a) A  $\sigma$ -finite Radon measure is regular.

(b) If every open subset of  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, then a locally finite Borel measure is Radon.

(c)  $C_c(X)$  is dense in  $L^p(\mu)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

**6.2** (Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem for  $C_0(X)$ ). Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. We want to establish the following one-to-one correspondence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\text{finite Radon measures on } X\} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \{\text{positive linear functionals on } C_0(X)\} \\ \mu & \mapsto & (f \mapsto \int f d\mu). \end{array}$$

Let  $I$  a positive linear functional on  $C_0(X)$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all open subsets of  $X$  and  $\mu_0 : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  a set function defined such that

$$\mu_0(U) := \sup\{I(f) : f \in C_c(U, [0, 1])\}, \quad U \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Let  $\mu^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be the associated outer measure defined by

$$\mu^*(S) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_0(U_i) : S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i, U_i \in \mathcal{T} \right\}, \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(X),$$

and let  $\mu := \mu^*|_{\mathcal{A}}$  be the restriction, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Carathéodory measurable subsets relative to  $\mu^*$ .

(a)  $\mu^*$  extends  $\mu_0$ .

(b)  $\mu$  extends  $\mu_0$ .

(c)  $\mu$  is a finite Radon measure.

(d) The correspondence is surjective.

(e) The correspondence is injective.

*Proof.* (a) It suffices to show that  $\mu_0$  satisfies monotonically countably subadditive. For an open set  $U$  and a countable open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $U$  we claim that  $\rho(U) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \rho(U_i)$ .

Take any  $f \in C_c(U, [0, 1])$  and find a finite subcover  $\{U_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^n$  of  $\{U_i\}$  together with a partition of unity  $\{\chi_{i_k}\}$  subordinate to the open cover  $\{U_{i_k} \cap \text{supp } f\}_k$ . Now we have  $f \chi_{i_k} \in C_c(U_{i_k}, [0, 1])$  for each  $k$ , because then  $I$  is linear so that it preserves finite sum, we have

$$I(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n I(f \chi_{i_k}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_0(U_{i_k}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_0(U_i).$$

Since  $f$  is arbitrary, we are done.

(b) We claim  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{A}$ . It suffices to show  $\mu^*(E \cap U) + \mu^*(E \setminus U) \leq \mu^*(E)$  for any measurable  $E$  and open  $U$ . Take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since we may assume  $\mu^*(E) < \infty$ , there is a countable open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of  $E$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_0(U_i) < \mu^*(E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Take  $f_i \in C_c(U_i \cap U, [0, 1])$  such that

$$\mu_0(U_i \cap U) < I(f_i) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i},$$

and take  $g_i \in C_c(U_i \setminus \text{supp } f_i, [0, 1])$  such that

$$\mu_0(U_i \setminus \text{supp } f_i) < I(g_i) + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.$$

Then, since  $f_i + g_i \in C_c(U_i, [0, 1])$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(E \cap U) + \mu^*(E \setminus U) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_0(U_i \cap U) + \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_0(U_i \setminus U) \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^\infty I(f_i + g_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &< \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu_0(U_i) + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \\ &\leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Limiting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get the desired inequality.

(c) Since  $\mu$  is a countably additive and  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under union, we can rewrite

$$\mu^*(S) = \inf\{\mu_0(U) : S \subset U \in \mathcal{T}\}, \quad S \in \mathcal{P}(X),$$

hence  $\mu$  is outer regular. Here now we claim for  $f \in C_c(X, [0, 1])$  and  $0 < a < 1$  that

$$a\mu(f^{-1}((a, 1])) \leq I(f) \leq \mu(\text{supp } f).$$

If it is true, then the right inequality implies the inner regularity, and the left inequality together with the Urysohn lemma implies the local finiteness.

The right inequality directly follows from the definition of  $\mu_0$  and the outer regularity

$$I(f) \leq \inf\{\mu_0(U) : \text{supp } f \subset U \in \mathcal{T}\} = \mu(\text{supp } f).$$

For the left, if  $h \in C_c(f^{-1}((a, 1]), [0, 1])$ , then the inequality  $ah \leq f$  implies

$$a\mu(f^{-1}((a, 1])) = a\mu_0(f^{-1}((a, 1])) \leq aI(h) \leq I(f).$$

(d) We will show  $I(f) = \int f d\mu$  for  $f \in C_c(X)$ . Since  $C_c(X)$  is the linear span of  $C_c(X, [0, 1])$ , we may assume  $f \in C_c(X, [0, 1])$ . For a fixed positive integer  $n$  and for each index  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $K_i := f^{-1}([i/n, 1])$  and define

$$f_i(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } x \in K_i, \\ f(x) - \frac{i-1}{n} & \text{if } x \in K_{i-1} \setminus K_i, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in X \setminus K_{i-1}, \end{cases}$$

where  $K_0 := \text{supp } f$ . Note that  $f_i \in C_c(X, [0, n^{-1}])$  and  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we have  $\mu(K_i) < \infty$  because  $K_i$  is compact subsets contained in a locally compact Hausdorff space  $U := f^{-1}((0, 1])$ . By the previous claim and the property of integral, we have

$$\frac{\mu(K_i)}{n} \leq I(f_i), \quad \frac{\mu(K_i)}{n} \leq \int f_i d\mu, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

and

$$I(f_i) \leq \frac{\mu(K_{i-1})}{n}, \quad \int f_i d\mu \leq \frac{\mu(K_{i-1})}{n}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

Then, using the above inequalities and  $\mu(K_n) \geq 0$ , we have

$$I(f) \leq I(f_1) + \int f d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int f d\mu \leq \int f_1 d\mu + I(f).$$

Note that  $f_1 = \min\{f, n^{-1}\}$  is a sequence of functions indexed by  $n$ . By the monotone convergence theorem,  $\int f_1 d\mu \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We now show  $I(f_1)$  converges to zero. If we let  $U := f^{-1}((0, 1])$ , then  $U$  is locally compact and  $f_1 \in C_0(U) \subset C_c(X)$ , and since a positive linear functional on  $C_0(U)$  is bounded, we have  $I(f_1) \leq n^{-1}\|I\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . ( $\mu(K_0)$  is possibly infinite if  $X$  is not locally compact so that  $\mu$  is not locally finite.)

(e) Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be finite Radon measures on  $X$  such that

$$\int g d\mu = \int g d\nu$$

for all  $g \in C(X)$ . Let  $E$  be any measurable set. Since  $\mu + \nu$  is a finite Radon measure, and by the Luzin theorem, we have a closed set  $F$  and  $g \in C(X)$  with  $0 \leq g \leq 1$  such that  $1_E|_F = g|_F$  and  $(\mu + \nu)(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon/2$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu(E) - \nu(E)| &= \left| \int 1_E d\mu - \int 1_E d\nu \right| \\ &\leq \int_{X \setminus F} |1_E - g| d\mu + \int_{X \setminus F} |g - 1_E| d\nu \\ &\leq 2\mu(X \setminus F) + 2\nu(X \setminus F) < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By limiting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\mu(E) = \nu(E)$ . □

### 6.3 (Dual of continuous function spaces).

## Fremlin

A measure  $\mu$  is called *inner regular* if for every measurable  $E$  we have

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(F) : F \subset E, F \text{ closed}\},$$

and called *tight* if for every measurable  $E$  we have

$$\mu(E) = \sup\{\mu(K) : K \subset E, K \text{ compact}\}.$$

Note that the inner regularity by Folland or Rudin, outer regular for Borel and inner regular for open, is in fact the tightness, the inner regularity with respect to compact sets.

On a Tychonoff space  $X$ ,  $\text{Prob}(X)$  is defined as the set of tight Borel probability measures so that there is an embedding  $\text{Prob}(X) \rightarrow \text{Prob}(\beta X)$  defined as the pushforward.

We can try to define a *Radon measure* on a Hausdorff space  $X$  as a locally finite tight Borel measure. Then, how to deal with regularity on Polish spaces?

### 6.2.1

A *quasi-Radon measure* on a Hausdorff space is a measure which is complete, locally determined,  $\tau$ -additive, inner regular with respect to closed sets, and effectively locally finite. A *Radon measure* on a Hausdorff space is a measure which is complete, locally determined, locally finite, and tight. By the completeness condition, it is not Borel in general.

- 415A A quasi-Radon measure is strictly localizable.
- 416C For a locally finite quasi-Radon measure  $\mu$ ,  $\mu$  is Radon iff
- 416F A Borel measure on a Hausdorff space has a Radon extension if and only if it is locally finite and tight, and in this case the extension is unique.
- 416G A locally finite quasi-Radon measure is Radon.

Riesz-Markov-Kakutani 436J and 436K

*Proof.* First we can show  $I$  is smooth (I think it is equivalent to normality). Since  $X$  is locally compact, it is the coarsest topology for which  $C_c$  is continuous, i.e. Baire=Borel. Also,  $C_c$  is truncated Riesz subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^X$ . So 436H implies there is a quasi-Radon measure  $\mu$  such that  $I(f) = \int f d\mu$  for  $f \in C_c$ , which is clearly locally finite. By 416G,  $\mu$  is Radon.  $\square$

- A Radon measure is tight.
- A  $\sigma$ -finite Folland-Radon measure on a locally compact Hausdorff space is tight. Moreover, Folland-Radon and Fremlin-Radon coincides on  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact Hausdorff spaces.
- A locally finite Borel measure on a locally compact Hausdorff and second countable space is tight.
- A locally compact Hausdorff and second countable space is Polish.
- A tight measure on a topological space is always inner regular with respect to closed sets, and the converse is true on where???

Definitions

- A measurable algebra is called *localizable* if the essential union exists even for uncountable family of measurable sets.
- A *localizable measure* is a semi-finite measure on a localizable measurable algebra.
- A *strictly localizable measure* or *decomposable measure* is a measure which admits a partition  $\{F_i\}$  of  $X$ , called the decomposition, such that  $F_i$  are finite measurable and  $E \cap F_i \in \Sigma$  for all  $F_i$  implies  $E \in \Sigma$  and  $\mu(E) = \sum_{i \in J} \mu(E \cap F_i)$ .
- A *locally determined measure* is a semi-finite measure such that  $E \cap F \in \Sigma$  for any  $F \in \Sigma$  of finite measure implies  $E \in \Sigma$ . (I think it is more natural to say a enhanced measurable space is locally determined by a semi-finite measure)

Locally finite measures

- A  $\sigma$ -finite measure is strictly localizable.
- A strictly localizable measure is localizable and locally determined.
- A tight measure on a topological space is  $\tau$ -additive.
- A locally finite measure on a topological space is finite on compact sets.
- A locally finite measure on a Lindelöf space is  $\sigma$ -finite.
- A locally finite and tight measure is effectively locally finite.
- A effectively locally finite (non-negligible set has an open set of finite measure whose intersection with it is non-negligible) measure on a topological space is semi-finite.
- 

## 6.3 Dual of continuous function spaces

signed measure Hahn, Jordan decomposition

## **Part III**

# **Distribution theory**

## Chapter 7

# Distributions

### 7.1 Test functions

7.1 (Test functions). Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open subset. Let  $K$  be a compact subset of  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$  be the space of all smooth functions whose support is contained in  $K$ , endowed with a locally convex topology given by semi-norms  $f \mapsto \|\partial^\alpha f\|$ . Then,  $\mathcal{D}_K(\Omega)$  is a Fréchet space.

### 7.2 Space of distributions

### 7.3 Product distributions

## Chapter 8

# Linear operators

### 8.1

8.1 (Extension by double adjoint).

8.2 (Translation?).

8.3 (Schwartz product). Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . Then, the multiplication by  $\overline{\varphi}$  defines a bounded linear operator  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ . By taking adjoint, it is extended to  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ . The bilinear map  $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \times \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  is called the *Schwartz product*.

### 8.2 Kernels

8.4 (Schur test).

8.5 (Young's inequality of integral operators).



## Chapter 9

# Fourier transform

### 9.1 Convolution

9.1 (Approximation of identity). Fejér, Poisson, box?

9.2 (Summability methods).

### 9.2 Tempered distributions

### 9.3 Compactly supported distributions

## **Part IV**

# **Fundamental theorem of calculus**

# Chapter 10

## 10.1 Absolutely continuous functions

The space of weakly differentiable functions with respect to all variables  $= W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}$ .

**10.1** (Product rule for weakly differentiable functions). We want to show that if  $u$ ,  $v$ , and  $uv$  are weakly differentiable with respect to  $x_i$ , then  $\partial_{x_i}(uv) = \partial_{x_i}u v + u \partial_{x_i}v$ .

(a) If  $u$  is weakly differentiable with respect to  $x_i$  and  $v \in C^1$ , then  $\partial_{x_i}(uv) = \partial_{x_i}u v + u \partial_{x_i}v$ .

**10.2** (Interchange of differentiation and integration). Let  $f : X_x \times X_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\partial_{x_i}f$  is well-defined. Suppose  $f$  and  $\partial_{x_i}f$  are locally integrable in  $x$  and integrable  $y$ .

Then,

$$\partial_{x_i} \int f(x, y) dy = \int \partial_{x_i} f(x, y) dy.$$

Do not think the Schwarz theorem as the condition for partial differentiation to commute. We should understand like this: if  $F$  is  $C^2$  then the *classical* partial differentiation commute, and if  $F$  is not  $C^2$  then the *classical* partial derivatives of order two or more are *meaningless* because it is not compatible with the generalized concept of differentiation.

(a)  $f$  is  $\text{Lip}_{\text{loc}}$  iff  $f'$  is  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty$

(b)  $f$  is  $\text{AC}_{\text{loc}}$  iff  $f'$  is  $L_{\text{loc}}^1$

(a)  $f$  is  $\text{Lip}$  iff  $f'$  is  $L^\infty$

(b)  $f$  is  $\text{AC}$  iff  $f'$  is  $L^1$

(c)  $f$  is  $\text{BV}$  iff  $f'$  is a finite regular Borel measure

**10.3** (Absolute continuous measures).

**10.4** (Absolute continuous functions).

## 10.2 Functions of bounded variation

# Chapter 11

## Lebesgue differentiation theorem

### 11.1 Hardy-Littlewood maximal function

Let  $T_m$  be a net of linear operators. It seems to have two possible definitions of maximal functions:

$$T^*f := \sup_m |T_m f|$$

and

$$T^*f := \sup_{m, \varepsilon: |\varepsilon(x)|=1} |T_m(\varepsilon f)|.$$

**11.1 (Hardy-Littlewood maximal function).** The Hardy-Littlewood maximal function is just the maximal function defined with the approximate identity by the box kernel.

**11.2 (Weak type estimate).**

$$\|Mf\|_{1,\infty} \leq 3^d \|f\|_{L^1(X)}.$$

(a) Proof by covering lemma.

*Proof.* (a) By the inner regularity of  $\mu$ , there is a compact subset  $K$  of  $\{|Mf| > \lambda\}$  such that

$$\mu(K) > \mu(\{|Mf| > \lambda\}) - \varepsilon.$$

For every  $x \in K$ , since  $|Mf(x)| > \lambda$ , we can choose an open ball  $B_x$  such that

$$\frac{1}{\mu(B_x)} \int_{B_x} |f| > \lambda$$

if and only if

$$\mu(B_x) < \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{B_x} |f|.$$

With these balls, extract a finite open cover  $\{B_i\}_i$  of  $K$ . Since the diameter of elements in this cover is clearly bounded, so the Vitali covering lemma can be applied to obtain a disjoint subcollection  $\{B_k\}_k$  such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_i B_i \subset \bigcup_k 3B_k.$$

Therefore,

$$\mu(K) \leq \sum_k 3^d \mu(B_k) \leq \frac{3^d}{\lambda} \sum_k \int_{B_k} |f| \leq \frac{3^d}{\lambda} \|f\|_1.$$

The disjointness is important in the last inequality which shows the constant does not depend on the number of  $B_k$ 's. □

**11.3** (Radially bounded approximate identity). If an approximate identity  $K_n$  is radially bounded, then its maximal function is dominated by the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function:

$$\sup_n |K_n * f(x)| \lesssim Mf(x)$$

for every  $n$  and  $x$ , hence has a weak type estimate.

**11.4** (Almost everywhere convergence of operators). Suppose  $T_m$  is a sequence of linear operators such that the maximal function  $T^*f$  is dominated by  $Mf$ . If  $f \in L^1(X)$  and  $T_m g \rightarrow g$  pointwise for  $g \in C(X)$ , then  $T_m f \rightarrow f$  a.e.

*Proof.* Take  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $g \in C(X)$  such that  $\|f - g\|_{L^1(X)} < \varepsilon$ . Since  $T_m g(x) \rightarrow g(x)$  pointwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu(\{x : \limsup_m |T_m f(x) - f(x)| > \lambda\}) \\ & \leq \mu(\{x : \limsup_m |T_m f(x) - T_m g(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) + \mu(\{x : |g(x) - f(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) \\ & \leq \mu(\{x : M(f - g)(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}) + \frac{2}{\lambda} \|f - g\|_{L^1(X)} \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\lambda} \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\lambda > 0$ . Limiting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\mu(\{x : \limsup_m |T_m f(x) - f(x)| > \lambda\}) = 0$$

for every  $\lambda > 0$ , hence the continuity from below implies

$$\mu(\{x : \limsup_m |T_m f(x) - f(x)| > 0\}) = 0.$$

□

**Definition 11.1.1.**

$$f^*(x) := \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B |f(y) - f(x)| dy.$$

**Theorem 11.1.2** (Lebesgue differentiation).  $f^* = 0$  a.e.

*Proof.* Note that  $f^* \leq Mf + |f|$  implies

$$\|f^*\|_{1,\infty} \leq \|Mf\|_{1,\infty} + \|f\|_{1,\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

Note that  $g^* = 0$  for  $g \in C_c$ . Approximate using  $f^* = (f - g)^*$ .

□

## Exercises

**11.5** (Doubling measure).