## Partial Differential Equations

Ikhan Choi

August 13, 2022

## **Contents**

Ι	Sol	bolev spaces	3	
1	Dist	tribution theory  Space of test functions	<b>4</b> 4	
	1.2	Space of distributions	4	
	1.3	Well-posedness	4	
2	Sob	olev inequalities	5	
	2.1	Approximations	5	
	2.2	Extensions and restrictions	5	
	2.3	Sobolev embeddings	5	
3	Gen	neralizations of Sobolev spaces	7	
	3.1	Fractional Sobolev spaces	7	
	3.2	Fourier transform methods	7	
	3.3	Almost everywhere differentiability	7	
	3.4	Vector-valued functions	7	
II	E11	liptic equations	8	
4		monic functions	<b>9</b> 9	
	4.1	Mean value property	9	
	4.2	Potential theory	9	
	4.3	Weyl's lemma	9	
5	Exis	stence theory	10	
	5.1	Variational methods	10	
	5.2	Lax-Milgram theorem	10	
	5.3	Fredholm alternative	10	
	5.4	Perron's method	10	
	5.5	Eigenvalue problems	10	
6	Ellipic regularity theory			
	6.1	$L^p$ theory	11	
	6.2	Schauder theory	13	
	6.3	De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory	13	
	6.4	Viscosity solutions	13	

III	[ E	volution equations	14	
7		abolic equations	15	
		Galerkin approximation		
	7.2	Semigroup theory	15	
8	Нур	perbolic equations	16	
9	Loca	al and global existence	17	
	9.1	Local existence	17	
	9.2	Global existence	17	
	9.3	Weak convergence	17	
IV Nonlinear equations				
10			19	
11	11 Hamilton-Jacobi equations			
12	2 Conservation laws			

# Part I Sobolev spaces

## Distribution theory

#### 1.1 Space of test functions

- **1.1.** (a) If a test function  $\varphi$  satisfies  $\langle 1, \varphi \rangle = 0$ , then there is  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and a test function  $\psi$  such that  $\varphi = v \cdot \nabla \psi$ .
  - (b) If a distribution has zero derivative, then it is a constant.
- 1.2 (Weak\* convergence).

#### 1.2 Space of distributions

1.3 (Rigged Hilbert space).

#### 1.3 Well-posedness

**1.4** (Extension of linear operators). Let  $T: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$  be a continuous linear operator. We can always define the adjoint  $T^*: \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}'' \to \mathcal{D}'$ . The most reasonable extension of T is  $T: (T^*(\mathcal{D}))' \to \mathcal{D}'$ . For  $f \in (T^*(\mathcal{D}))'$ , we can define  $\langle T(f), \varphi \rangle := \langle f, T^* \varphi \rangle$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Suppose  $T: (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}) \to (T(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S})$  is proved to be continuous. If  $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}) \to (T^*(\mathcal{D}))'$  and  $(T(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{D}'$  are embeddings, then the extension of T to the completion of  $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T})$  agrees with  $T: (T^*(\mathcal{D}))' \to \mathcal{D}'$ .

For example, if  $\Phi$  is locally integrable, then since  $(T_{\Phi})^* = T_{\widetilde{\Phi}}$  and  $\Phi * \varphi \in \mathcal{E} = C^{\infty}$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ , the convolution operator  $T_{\Phi} : \mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{D}'$  can be defined on the space of compactly supported distributions.

If g\*f is well-defined, is f\*g also well-defined? In other words, if  $f \in (T_{\widetilde{g}}(\mathcal{D}))'$  so that  $g*f \in \mathcal{D}'$ , then  $g \in (T_{\widetilde{f}}(\mathcal{D}))'$ ? Are they same?

$$\langle g, \widetilde{f} * \varphi \rangle =$$

#### **Exercises**

**1.5.** \* Describe the range of the operator  $T: \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  defined by  $Tf = \Phi * f$  for  $d \ge 3$ , where  $\Phi$  is the fundamental solution of Laplace's equation.

## Sobolev inequalities

#### 2.1 Approximations

- 2.1 (Completeness of Sobolev norms).
- 2.2 (Difference quotient).
- 2.3 (Interior approximation).
- 2.4 (Myers-Serrin theorem).

#### 2.2 Extensions and restrictions

- 2.5 (Lipschitz boundary).
- 2.6 (Extension theorem).
- 2.7 (Trace theorem).
- 2.8 (Vanishing at boundary). zero trace, whole domain

#### 2.3 Sobolev embeddings

- 2.9 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality).
- 2.10 (Hölder spaces).
- **2.11** (Morrey inequality).
- 2.12 (Poincaré inequality). BMO
- **2.13** (Rellich-Kondrachov theorem). Let  $\Omega$  be bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with Lipschitz boundary. Let  $1 \leq p < d$  and  $1 \leq q < p^*$  where  $p^* := \frac{dp}{d-p}$  denotes the Sobolev conjugate. Let  $(u_n)_n$  be a bounded sequence in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . We may assume it is also bounded in  $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by the embedding  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  and the extension theorem. Let  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  be a standard mollifier.
  - (a) There is a subsequence of  $(\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n)_n$  that is Cauchy in  $L^q(\Omega)$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
  - (b)  $\sup_n \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$
  - (c)  $\sup_n \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$

- (d) There is a subsequence of  $(u_n)_n$  that is Cauchy in  $L^q(\Omega)$ .
- (e)  $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \to W^{l,q}(\Omega)$  is a compact embedding if

$$\frac{l}{d} - \frac{1}{q} < \frac{k}{d} - \frac{1}{p}.$$

*Proof.* (a) The sequence  $(\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n)_n$  is pointwise bounded from

$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\eta_{\varepsilon}\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{\varepsilon} 1,$$

and equicontinuous from

$$\|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon}\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{\varepsilon} 1.$$

By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, since  $\overline{\Omega}$  is compact, there is a subsequence  $(\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_{n_k})_k$  that is Cauchy in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$ , and hence in  $L^q(\Omega)$ .

(b) Write

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n(x) - u_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \int \eta \left( \frac{x - y}{\varepsilon} \right) (u_n(y) - u_n(x)) \, dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) (u_n(x - \varepsilon y) - u_n(x)) \, dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (u_n(x - t\varepsilon y)) \, dt \, dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 (-\varepsilon y) \cdot \nabla u_n(x - t\varepsilon y) \, dt \, dy. \end{split}$$

Then, since  $|y| \ge 1$  if  $\eta(y) > 0$ ,

$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \varepsilon \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 \int |\nabla u_n(x - t\varepsilon y)| \, dx \, dt \, dy = \varepsilon \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

(c) The interpolation

$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \le \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}$$

for  $q=\frac{\theta}{1}+\frac{1-\theta}{p}$  with  $0<\theta\leq 1$  and the Gagliardo-Nireberg-Sobolev inequality

$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim 1$$

give the  $L^q$  version of the part (b),

$$\sup_{n} \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0$$

as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

(d) By the part (c), for any  $\delta > 0$ , there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{n}\|\eta_{\varepsilon}*u_{n}-u_{n}\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}<\frac{\delta}{2},$$

so for a subsequence  $(\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_{n_k})_k$  that is Cauchy in  $L^q(\Omega)$ , we have

$$\|u_{n_k}-u_{n_{k'}}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}\leq \|\eta_\varepsilon*u_{n_k}-\eta_\varepsilon*u_{n_{k'}}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}+\delta,$$

and by the diagonal argument reducing  $\delta$  to zero, we can construct the desired subsequence.

(e)

## **Generalizations of Sobolev spaces**

- 3.1 Fractional Sobolev spaces
- 3.2 Fourier transform methods
- 3.3 Almost everywhere differentiability

Lipschitz, Rademacher

3.4 Vector-valued functions

# Part II Elliptic equations

## **Harmonic functions**

### 4.1 Mean value property

mean value property maximum principle Harnack inequality potential estimate Hölder estimate

- 4.2 Potential theory
- 4.3 Weyl's lemma

## **Existence theory**

#### 5.1 Variational methods

#### 5.2 Lax-Milgram theorem

**5.1** (Poisson equation). Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(x) = f(x) &, \text{ in } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 &, \text{ on } x \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Define a bilinear form B on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$B(u,v) := \int \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx.$$

- (a) If  $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  satisfy  $B(u, \varphi) = \langle f, \varphi \rangle$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ , then  $-\Delta u = f$ .
- (b) *B* is another inner product equivalent to  $\langle -, \rangle_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$ .
- (c) For  $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ , there is  $u \in H_0^{-1}(\Omega)$  such that  $-\Delta u = f$ .

#### 5.3 Fredholm alternative

#### 5.4 Perron's method

### 5.5 Eigenvalue problems

## Ellipic regularity theory

#### 6.1 $L^p$ theory

**6.1** (Interior regularity in  $H^2$ ). Let  $\Omega$  be bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $L: \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  a uniformly elliptic operator given by

$$Lu := -\partial_i(a^{ij}\partial_i u) + b^i\partial_i u + cu$$

for  $a^{ij} \in C^1(\Omega)$ ,  $b^i \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , and  $c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ .

Fix an open subset  $U \in \Omega$  and  $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$  a cutoff function such that  $\zeta = 1$  in U. Let  $\varphi := -\partial_k^{-h}(\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, d$  and sufficiently small h > 0.

(a) We have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(U)} \lesssim \|Lu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that  $Lu, u \in L^2(\Omega)$ 

(b) We have

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

(c) We have

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \|Lu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that  $Lu \in L^2(\Omega)$  and  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

(d) We have

$$||u||_{H^2(U)} \lesssim ||Lu||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that  $Lu, u \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Since  $\zeta^2 u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$\int \zeta^{2} |\nabla u|^{2} \lesssim \int a^{ij} \zeta^{2} \partial_{i} u \partial_{j} u$$

$$= \int a^{ij} \partial_{i} u \partial_{j} (\zeta^{2} u) - \int a^{ij} \partial_{i} u \partial_{j} (\zeta^{2}) u$$

$$= \int (Lu - b^{i} \partial_{i} u - cu) \zeta^{2} u - \int a^{ij} \partial_{i} u 2\zeta \partial_{j} \zeta u$$

$$\lesssim \int (|Lu u| + |u \zeta \nabla u| + |u|^{2} + |u \zeta \nabla u|)$$

$$\lesssim \int (|Lu|^{2} + |u|^{2}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |u|^{2} + \varepsilon \int \zeta^{2} |\nabla u|^{2}.$$

Taking small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we are done.

(b) Write

$$\begin{split} \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi &= - \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \partial_k^{-h} (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u) \\ &= \int \partial_k^h (a^{ij} \partial_i u) \, \partial_j (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u) \\ &= \int \partial_k^h a^{ij} \, \partial_i u \, \partial_j (\zeta^2) \, \partial_k^h u + \int \partial_k^h a^{ij} \, \partial_i u \, \zeta^2 \, \partial_j \partial_k^h u \\ &+ \int a^{ij} \, \partial_k^h \partial_i u \, \partial_j (\zeta^2) \, \partial_k^h u + \int a^{ij} \, \partial_k^h \partial_i u \, \zeta^2 \, \partial_j \partial_k^h u. \end{split}$$

The last term out of the four terms controls the difference quotient  $|\partial_k^h \nabla u|$  as

$$\int a^{ij} \, \partial_k^h \partial_i u \, \zeta^2 \, \partial_j \partial_k^h u \gtrsim \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2,$$

and the absolute values of other three terms are estimated up to constant by

$$\begin{split} \int \zeta |\nabla u| |\partial_k^h u| + \int \zeta^2 |\nabla u| |\partial_k^h \nabla u| + \int \zeta |\partial_k^h \nabla u| |\partial_k^h u| \\ \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int \zeta^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\partial_k^h u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \\ \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2,$$

and taking small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we are done.

(c) Note that

$$\int a^{ij}\partial_i u\partial_j \varphi = \int (Lu - b^i\partial_i u - cu)\varphi$$

since  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Because

$$\int (Lu - b^i \partial_i u - cu) \varphi \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int (|Lu|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) + \varepsilon \int |\varphi|^2$$

and

$$\int |\varphi|^2 = \int |\partial_k^{-h} (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)|^2$$

$$\lesssim \int |\nabla (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)|^2$$

$$\lesssim \int |\partial_k^h u|^2 + \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2$$

$$\lesssim \int |\nabla u|^2 + \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\int (Lu-b^i\partial_i u-cu)\varphi\lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int (|Lu|^2+|u|^2)+\left(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\int |\nabla u|^2+\varepsilon\int \zeta^2|\partial_k^h\nabla u|^2.$$

Taking small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we are done.

- 6.2 Schauder theory
- 6.3 De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory
- 6.4 Viscosity solutions

# Part III Evolution equations

# **Parabolic equations**

- 7.1 Galerkin approximation
- 7.2 Semigroup theory

# **Hyperbolic equations**

## Local and global existence

#### 9.1 Local existence

contraction mapping

#### 9.2 Global existence

a priori estimates gronwall inequality

### 9.3 Weak convergence

# Part IV Nonlinear equations

# Hamilton-Jacobi equations

optimal control viscosity solution

## **Conservation laws**

shocks NS