

Partial Differential Equations

Ikhan Choi

December 13, 2021

Contents

I	Distributions and Sobolev Spaces	3
1	Distributions	4
1.1	Extension of linear operators	4
1.2	Convolutions	4
2	Sobolev spaces	5
2.1	Definition and examples	5
2.2	Extensions and restrictions	5
2.3	Sobolev embeddings	5
3	More on Sobolev spaces	8
3.1	Fractional Sobolev spaces	8
3.2	Fourier transform methods	8
3.3	Almost everywhere differentiability	8
3.4	Vector-valued functions	8
II	Elliptic equations	9
4	Existence	10
4.1	Lax-Milgram theorem	10
4.2	Fredholm alternative	10
4.3	Perron's method	10
5	Regularity	11
5.1	L^p theory	11
5.2	Schauder theory	13
5.3	Weyl's lemma	13

6		14
6.1	Maximum principle	14
6.2	Eigenvalue problems	14
III	Evolution equations	15
7	Parabolic equations	16
7.1	Galerkin approximation	16
8	Hyperbolic equations	17
9	Semigroup theory	18

Part I

Distributions and Sobolev Spaces

Chapter 1

Distributions

1.1 Extension of linear operators

Let $T : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ be a continuous linear operator. We can always define the adjoint $T^* : \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$. The most reasonable extension of T is $T : (T^*(\mathcal{D}))' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$. For $f \in (T^*(\mathcal{D}))'$, we can define $\langle T(f), \varphi \rangle := \langle f, T^*\varphi \rangle$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$.

Suppose $T : (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (T(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S})$ is proved to be continuous. If $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (T^*(\mathcal{D}))'$ and $(T(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ are embeddings, then the extension of T to the completion of $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T})$ agrees with $T : (T^*(\mathcal{D}))' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$.

1.2 Convolutions

For example, if Φ is locally integrable, then since $(T_\Phi)^* = T_{\tilde{\Phi}}$ and $\Phi * \varphi \in \mathcal{E} = C^\infty$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, the convolution operator $T_\Phi : \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ can be defined on the space of compactly supported distributions.

Problem: If $g * f$ is well-defined, is $f * g$ also well-defined? In other words, if $f \in (T_{\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{D}))'$ so that $g * f \in \mathcal{D}'$, then $g \in (T_{\tilde{f}}(\mathcal{D}))'$? Are they same?

$$\langle g, \tilde{f} * \varphi \rangle =$$

- 1.1. (a) If a test function φ satisfies $\langle 1, \varphi \rangle = 0$, then there is $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a test function ψ such that $\varphi = v \cdot \nabla \psi$.
- (b) If a distribution has zero derivative, then it is a constant.

Chapter 2

Sobolev spaces

2.1 Definition and examples

2.1 (Sobolev space is a Banach space).

2.2 (Difference quotient).

2.3 (Interior approximation).

2.4 (Myers-Serrin theorem).

2.2 Extensions and restrictions

2.5 (Lipschitz boundary).

2.6 (Extension theorem).

2.7 (Trace theorem).

2.8 (Vanishing at boundary). zero trace, whole domain

2.3 Sobolev embeddings

2.9 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality).

2.10 (Hölder spaces).

2.11 (Morrey inequality).

2.12 (Poincaré inequality). BMO

2.13 (Rellich-Kondrachov theorem). Let Ω be bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d with Lipschitz boundary. Let $1 \leq p < d$ and $1 \leq q < p^*$ where $p^* := \frac{dp}{d-p}$ denotes the Sobolev conjugate. Let $(u_n)_n$ be a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We may assume it is also bounded in $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the embedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and the extension theorem. Let η_ε be a standard mollifier.

- (a) There is a subsequence of $(\eta_\varepsilon * u_n)_n$ that is Cauchy in $L^q(\Omega)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.
- (b) $\sup_n \|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- (c) $\sup_n \|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- (d) There is a subsequence of $(u_n)_n$ that is Cauchy in $L^q(\Omega)$.
- (e) $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{l,q}(\Omega)$ is a compact embedding if

$$\frac{l}{d} - \frac{1}{q} < \frac{k}{d} - \frac{1}{p}.$$

Proof. (a) The sequence $(\eta_\varepsilon * u_n)_n$ is pointwise bounded from

$$\|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\eta_\varepsilon\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_\varepsilon 1,$$

and equicontinuous from

$$\|\nabla \eta_\varepsilon * u_n\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\nabla \eta_\varepsilon\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_\varepsilon 1.$$

By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, since $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact, there is a subsequence $(\eta_\varepsilon * u_{n_k})_k$ that is Cauchy in $C(\overline{\Omega})$, and hence in $L^q(\Omega)$.

(b) Write

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\varepsilon * u_n(x) - u_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \int \eta\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right) (u_n(y) - u_n(x)) dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) (u_n(x - \varepsilon y) - u_n(x)) dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (u_n(x - t\varepsilon y)) dt dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 (-\varepsilon y) \cdot \nabla u_n(x - t\varepsilon y) dt dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $|y| \geq 1$ if $\eta(y) > 0$,

$$\|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \varepsilon \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 \int |\nabla u_n(x - t\varepsilon y)| dx dt dy = \varepsilon \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

(c) The interpolation

$$\|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^\theta \|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}$$

for $q = \frac{\theta}{1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p}$ with $0 < \theta \leq 1$ and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality

$$\|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim 1$$

give the L^q version of the part (b),

$$\sup_n \|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

(d) By the part (c), for any $\delta > 0$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup_n \|\eta_\varepsilon * u_n - u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} < \frac{\delta}{2},$$

so for a subsequence $(\eta_\varepsilon * u_{n_k})_k$ that is Cauchy in $L^q(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|u_{n_k} - u_{n_{k'}}\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq \|\eta_\varepsilon * u_{n_k} - \eta_\varepsilon * u_{n_{k'}}\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \delta,$$

and by the diagonal argument reducing δ to zero, we can construct the desired subsequence.

(e)

□

Chapter 3

More on Sobolev spaces

3.1 Fractional Sobolev spaces

3.2 Fourier transform methods

3.3 Almost everywhere differentiability

Lipschitz, Rademacher

3.4 Vector-valued functions

Part II

Elliptic equations

Chapter 4

Existence

4.1 Lax-Milgram theorem

4.2 Fredholm alternative

4.3 Perron's method

Chapter 5

Regularity

5.1 L^p theory

5.1 (Interior regularity in H^2). Let Ω be bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d and $L : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ a uniformly elliptic operator given by

$$Lu := -\partial_j(a^{ij}\partial_i u) + b^i\partial_i u + cu$$

for $a^{ij} \in C^1(\Omega)$, $b^i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, and $c \in L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Fix an open subset $U \Subset \Omega$ and $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ a cutoff function such that $\zeta = 1$ in U . Let $\varphi := -\partial_k^{-h}(\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$ and sufficiently small $h > 0$.

(a) We have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(U)} \lesssim \|Lu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that $Lu, u \in L^2(\Omega)$

(b) We have

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

(c) We have

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \|Lu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that $Lu \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

(d) We have

$$\|u\|_{H^2(U)} \lesssim \|Lu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that $Lu, u \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. (a) Since $\zeta^2 u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \zeta^2 |\nabla u|^2 &\lesssim \int a^{ij} \zeta^2 \partial_i u \partial_j u \\
&= \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j (\zeta^2 u) - \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j (\zeta^2) u \\
&= \int (Lu - b^i \partial_i u - cu) \zeta^2 u - \int a^{ij} \partial_i u 2\zeta \partial_j \zeta u \\
&\lesssim \int (|Lu| |u| + |u| \zeta |\nabla u| + |u|^2 + |u| \zeta |\nabla u|) \\
&\lesssim \int (|Lu|^2 + |u|^2) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\nabla u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking small $\varepsilon > 0$, we are done.

(b) Write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi &= - \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \partial_k^{-h} (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u) \\
&= \int \partial_k^h (a^{ij} \partial_i u) \partial_j (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u) \\
&= \int \partial_k^h a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j (\zeta^2) \partial_k^h u + \int \partial_k^h a^{ij} \partial_i u \zeta^2 \partial_j \partial_k^h u \\
&\quad + \int a^{ij} \partial_k^h \partial_i u \partial_j (\zeta^2) \partial_k^h u + \int a^{ij} \partial_k^h \partial_i u \zeta^2 \partial_j \partial_k^h u.
\end{aligned}$$

The last term out of the four terms controls the difference quotient $|\partial_k^h \nabla u|$ as

$$\int a^{ij} \partial_k^h \partial_i u \zeta^2 \partial_j \partial_k^h u \gtrsim \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2,$$

and the absolute values of other three terms are estimated up to constant by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int \zeta |\nabla u| |\partial_k^h u| + \int \zeta^2 |\nabla u| |\partial_k^h \nabla u| + \int \zeta |\partial_k^h \nabla u| |\partial_k^h u| \\
&\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int \zeta^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\partial_k^h u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \\
&\lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2,$$

and taking small $\varepsilon > 0$, we are done.

(c) Note that

$$\int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi = \int (Lu - b^i \partial_i u - cu) \varphi$$

since $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Because

$$\int (Lu - b^i \partial_i u - cu) \varphi \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int (|Lu|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) + \varepsilon \int |\varphi|^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\varphi|^2 &= \int |\partial_k^{-h} (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)|^2 \\ &\lesssim \int |\nabla (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)|^2 \\ &\lesssim \int |\partial_k^h u|^2 + \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \\ &\lesssim \int |\nabla u|^2 + \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\int (Lu - b^i \partial_i u - cu) \varphi \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int (|Lu|^2 + |u|^2) + \left(\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2.$$

Taking small $\varepsilon > 0$, we are done. \square

5.2 Schauder theory

5.3 Weyl's lemma

Chapter 6

6.1 Maximum principle

6.2 Eigenvalue problems

Part III

Evolution equations

Chapter 7

Parabolic equations

7.1 Galerkin approximation

Chapter 8

Hyperbolic equations

Chapter 9

Semigroup theory