

# Differential Topology

Ikhan Choi

April 21, 2025

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>De Rham theory</b>	<b>3</b>
1	De Rham theory	4
1.1	De Rham theorem . . . . .	4
2	Hodge theory	5
<b>II</b>	<b>Cobordism</b>	<b>6</b>
3	Morse theory	7
3.1	Morse functions . . . . .	7
3.2	Pseudo-gradients . . . . .	8
4		10
5		11
<b>III</b>	<b>Topological quantum field theory</b>	<b>12</b>
6	Chern-Simons theory	13
6.1	Chern-Weil theory . . . . .	16
6.2	Chern-Simons invariants . . . . .	17
6.3	Differential cohomology . . . . .	17
7		18
8		19
<b>IV</b>	<b>Index theory</b>	<b>20</b>
9		21
10		22
11		23
<b>V</b>	<b>Symplectic geometry</b>	<b>24</b>
12		25

13

26

14

27

## **Part I**

# **De Rham theory**

# Chapter 1

## De Rham theory

### 1.1 De Rham theorem

## Chapter 2

# Hodge theory

elliptic operators

**Part II**

**Cobordism**

## Chapter 3

# Morse theory

### 3.1 Morse functions

**Definition 3.1.1.** Let  $M$  be a manifold. A *Morse function* is a smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that all critical points are nondegenerate.

**Proposition 3.1.2.** Let  $M$  be an embedded submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For almost every point  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , the function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto \|x - p\|^2$  is Morse.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$  makes  $f$  be not Morse so that it possesses a degenerate critical point. Note that the notation  $x$  can denote not only a point variable on  $M$  but also the embedding map  $M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $N \subset M \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be the normal bundle of the tangent bundle  $TM$  and define a map  $\varphi : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\varphi(x, y) = x + y$ . We claim that the point  $(x, p - x)$  is contained in  $N$  and  $\varphi$  is critical at this point if  $f$  is degenerate at  $x$ .

The differential of  $f$  is

$$df_x(v) = 2(x - p) \cdot dx(v) = 2(x - p) \cdot v,$$

so  $x$  is critical point if and only if  $x - p$  is proportional to  $T_x M$ .

Let  $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^m$  be orthonormal coordinates for  $M$  and let  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{n-m}$  be an orthonormal frame field of  $N$ . Define coordinate functions  $\{x^i, y^j\}$  on the manifold  $N$  by

$$x^i(x, y) := x^i(x), \quad \text{and} \quad y^j(x, y) := y \cdot e_j(x).$$

Then,

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^m}, \frac{\partial y}{\partial y^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial y}{\partial y^{n-m}} \right\}$$

always form an orthonormal basis on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

Since

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial x^i} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y^j} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial y^j},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^k} &= \delta_{ik} - y \cdot \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial x^i \partial x^k}, & \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial y^l} &= -y \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^i \partial y^l}, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y^j} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^k} &= 0, & \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y^j} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial y^l} &= \delta_{jl}. \end{aligned}$$



To represent  $d\varphi(\partial_{x^1}, \dots, \partial_{y^{n-m}})$  with matrix, we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^i} \\ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y^j} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial x^k} & \frac{\partial y}{\partial y^l} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} - y \cdot \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial x^i \partial x^k} & -y \cdot \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^i \partial y^l} \\ 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} = 2 \left( \text{id} + (x - p) \cdot \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \right)$$

deduces that  $d\varphi$  is not surjective at  $(x, p - x)$ . Therefore, by the Sard theorem, set of such  $p$  has measure zero.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.1.3.** *Let  $M$  be a manifold. The set of Morse functions is dense in  $C^\infty(M)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a smooth function on  $M$ . Embed  $M$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  such that  $x \mapsto (x_2, \dots, x_d)$ . Then,  $x \mapsto (f(x), x_2, \dots, x_d)$  gives an embedding into  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Define a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  and the sequence of functions

$$f_n(x) := \frac{\|x + ne_1 + \varepsilon_n\|^2 - n^2}{2n}$$

is Morse, where  $\{e_i\}$  denotes the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . This can be done by the previous proposition. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) &= \frac{(f(x) + n + \varepsilon_n \cdot e_1)^2 + \dots + (x_n + \varepsilon_n \cdot e_d)^2 - n^2}{2n} \\ &= f(x) + \frac{\|x + \varepsilon_n\|^2}{2n} + \varepsilon_n \cdot e_1 \end{aligned}$$

proves that  $\|f_n - f\|_{C^k(K)} \rightarrow 0$  on every compact  $K \subset M$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.4** (Morse lemma). *Let  $p$  be a nondegenerate critical point of a Morse function  $f$  on a manifold  $M$ . Then, there exists a local chart  $(U, \varphi)$  of  $p$  such that*

$$f \circ \varphi^{-1}(x_1, \dots, x_m) = f(p) - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i^2$$

for some  $k$ . This chart is called Morse chart.

*Proof.*  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.5.** *The critical points of a Morse function are isolated. In particular, on a compact manifold are finitely many critical points of a Morse function.*

## 3.2 Pseudo-gradients

**Definition 3.2.1.** Let  $f$  be a Morse function on a manifold  $M$ . A *pseudo-gradient* adapted to  $f$  is a vector field  $X$  such that

- (a)  $df(X) < 0$  at all noncritical points,
- (b) there is a Morse chart at critical points in which  $X = \text{grad } f$ , where the metric is induced from the chart.

**Proposition 3.2.2.** *A pseudo-gradient always exists for any Morse functions.*

*Proof.* Cover the manifold with charts such that every critical point is contained in a unique chart, which is Morse. For each chart  $(U, \varphi)$ , we can define a vector field on  $U$  by

$$X := -d\varphi^{-1}(\text{grad}(f \circ \varphi^{-1})),$$

using the standard metric on  $\varphi(U)$ . Then, we have

$$df(X) = -\langle \text{grad}(f \circ \varphi^{-1}), \text{grad}(f \circ \varphi^{-1}) \rangle \leq 0,$$

where the equality holds only at critical points. With a partition of unity, the vector fields are combined and easily checked to be pseudogradient.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.3.** Let  $p$  be a critical point of a Morse function  $f$  on a manifold  $M$ . Denote  $\varphi^s : M \rightarrow M$  by the flow of a pseudo-gradient. A *stable manifold* is defined as

$$W^s(p) := \{ x \in M : \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^s(x) = p \},$$

and an *unstable manifold* is defined as

$$W^u(p) := \{ x \in M : \lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \varphi^s(x) = p \}.$$

**Proposition 3.2.4.** *The stable manifolds and unstable manifolds are manifolds. Further, they are diffeomorphic open disks. Moreover, the index of  $p$  is equal to*

$$\dim W^u(p) = \text{codim } W^s(p)$$

.

## Chapter 4

## Chapter 5

## **Part III**

# **Topological quantum field theory**

## Chapter 6

# Chern-Simons theory

**6.1 (Lie algebra-valued forms).** Let  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  be a smooth principal  $G$ -bundle for a compact Lie group  $G$ . The three vector bundles  $P \times \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $TP$ ,  $T^*P$  over  $P$  and their section spaces

$$\Gamma(P \times \mathfrak{g}) = \Omega^0(P, \mathfrak{g}), \quad \Gamma(TP) = \mathfrak{X}(P), \quad \Gamma(T^*P) = \Omega^1(P)$$

admit smooth right  $G$ -actions  $\text{ad}^{-1}$ ,  $dR$ ,  $(dR^*)^{-1}$  respectively. The actions are not equivariant in the sense that they trivially act on the base space  $P$ . The right  $G$ -action on  $\Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$  is given in the identification  $\Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g}) := \Omega^0(P, \mathfrak{g}) \otimes_P \Omega^1(P)$  with the tensor product bundle as  $\text{ad}^{-1} \otimes (dR^*)^{-1}$ .

$$((dR_h^*)^{-1}(dR_g^*)^{-1}\omega)(X) = \omega(dR_{g^{-1}}dR_{h^{-1}}X) = \omega(d(R_{(gh)^{-1}})X) = ((dR_{gh}^*)^{-1}\omega)(X)$$

notation: if  $F$  is a vector space, then

$$\Omega^k(M, F) := \Gamma(M \times F) \otimes_M \Omega^k(M),$$

and if  $E$  is a vector bundle over  $M$ , then

$$\Omega^k(M, E) := \Gamma(E) \otimes_M \Omega^k(M).$$

trace and determinant.

Consider the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then,  $\Omega(P, U(\mathfrak{g}))$  is an algebra bundle over  $P$  because it is the tensor product of two algebra bundles over  $P$ . Concretely, if we denote the multiplication of  $\omega_1 \in \Omega^k(P, U(\mathfrak{g}))$  and  $\omega_2 \in \Omega^l(P, U(\mathfrak{g}))$  by  $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \in \Omega^{k+l}(P, U(\mathfrak{g}))$ , then it is computed in the geometric wedge product convention as

$$(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2)(X_1, \dots, X_{k+l}) := \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+l}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \omega_1(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)}) \omega_2(X_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k+l)})$$

and we also define  $[\omega_1, \omega_2] \in \Omega^{k+l}(P, U(\mathfrak{g}))$  such that

$$[\omega_1, \omega_2](X_1, \dots, X_{k+l}) := \frac{1}{k!l!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{k+l}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) [\omega_1(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)}), \omega_2(X_{\sigma(k+1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k+l)})]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k) &:= \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} (-1)^i X_i(\omega(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{0 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ . We can embed  $\Omega(P, \mathfrak{g}) \subset \Omega(P, U(\mathfrak{g}))$  to do computations. Then,

$$(\omega \wedge \omega)(X, Y) = \omega(X)\omega(Y) - \omega(Y)\omega(X) = [\omega(X), \omega(Y)]$$

and

$$[\omega, \omega](X, Y) = [\omega(X), \omega(Y)] - [\omega(Y), \omega(X)] = 2[\omega(X), \omega(Y)]$$

imply that  $\omega \wedge \omega = \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \in \Omega^2(P, \mathfrak{g})$ . We also have

$$d\omega(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(X(\omega(Y)) - Y(\omega(X))) + \frac{1}{2}\omega([X, Y]).$$

The coefficient conventions are not so important that coefficients are eventually cancelled when we write an equation of forms.

**6.2** (Ehresmann connections). Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow M$  be a smooth fiber bundle. An *Ehresmann connection* on  $E$  is a vector subbundle  $HE \rightarrow E$  of the tangent bundle  $TE$  such that  $VE \oplus HE = TE$ , where  $VE \rightarrow E$  is defined by the kernel of  $TE \rightarrow TM$ . It is the choice of the splitting section of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow VE \rightarrow TE \rightarrow \pi^*TM \rightarrow 0.$$

This horizontal subbundle  $HE$  gives rise to a surjective linear bundle map  $TE \rightarrow VE$  to the vertical subbundle, and also a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} HE & \xrightarrow{d\pi} & TM \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & M, \end{array}$$

so that we have a Lie algebra bundle map  $\mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(E)$  with horizontal image, called the *horizontal lift*. parallel transport

**6.3** (Connection forms). Let  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  be a smooth principal  $G$ -bundle. Keep in mind that the vertical bundle  $VP$  is canonically trivialized by the right  $G$ -equivariant bundle map  $P \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow VP \subset TP$  constructed by the image of the injective linear bundle map

$$P \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T(P \times G) \rightarrow TP, \quad \text{over } P,$$

where the first map is  $P \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow T(P \times G) : (u, A) \mapsto ((u, e), (0, A))$  and the second map is the differential  $T(P \times G) \rightarrow TP$  of the principal action  $P \times G \rightarrow P$ . This trivialization induces a right  $G$ -equivariant  $P$ -linear embedding  $\Omega^0(P, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(P)$ , and for a constant section  $A \in \Omega^0(P, \mathfrak{g})$  we can assign the *fundamental vector field*  $A^\# \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ .

A *connection form* is simply a right  $G$ -equivariant left inverse of this fundamental vector field map. More precisely, it is defined as a Lie algebra-valued 1-form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$  or a  $P$ -linear map  $\omega : \mathfrak{X}(P) \rightarrow \Omega^0(P, \mathfrak{g})$  which is

- (i) right  $G$ -invariant in the sense that  $\omega(dR_g X) = \text{ad}_g^{-1}(\omega(X)) \in \Omega^0(P, \mathfrak{g})$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ ,

(ii) vertical in the sense that  $\omega(A^\#) = A$  for constant sections  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

A connection form decompose the vector bundle  $TP$  into the direct sum of  $VP$  and the kernel, which gives rise to the corresponding horizontal subbundle. A connection form  $\omega$  projects  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$  to the vertical one.

(a) We can also define a connection as a right  $G$ -invariant Ehresmann connection  $HP \rightarrow P$ .

*Proof.* (a) Since a right  $G$ -equivariant Ehresmann connection gives rise to a linear map  $TP \rightarrow VP$ , so by composition with  $VP \cong P \times \mathfrak{g}$ , we get the corresponding connection form  $TP \rightarrow P \times \mathfrak{g}$ .  $\square$

**6.4.** Note that  $G$  is itself a principal  $G$ -bundle over a point. There is a natural connection form  $\omega_G \in \Omega^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$  called the *Maurer-Cartan form*, defined such that  $\omega_G : \mathfrak{X}(G) \rightarrow \Omega^0(G, \mathfrak{g}) : X \mapsto (g \mapsto dL_g^{-1}(X|_g))$ . The right  $G$ -invariance of the Maurer-Cartan form  $\omega_G$  is due to

$$\omega_G(dR_h(v)) = dL_{gh}^{-1}dR_h(v) = dL_h^{-1}dR_h dL_g^{-1}(v) = \text{ad}_h^{-1} \omega_G(v), \quad v \in T_g G, h \in G.$$

We can check  $\omega_G$  is a connection form.

**6.5 (Curvature form).** Let  $P$  be a principal  $G$ -bundle over  $M$ . The *curvature form* of the connection form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$  can be defined either the covariant derivative of  $\omega$  or the Cartan structural equation  $\Omega = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \in \Omega^2(P, \mathfrak{g})$ .

The curvature form is horizontal in the sense that for every vertical vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$  we have  $\iota_X \Omega = 0 \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})$ .

**6.6 (Exterior covariant derivatives).** Let  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  be a smooth principal  $G$ -bundle. Let  $F$  be a faithful representation of  $G$ . We have a right  $G$ -equivariant trivial vector bundle  $P \times F$  over  $P$ , where the action is given such that  $(p, f)g = (pg, g^{-1}f)$ , and the associated vector bundle  $E := P \times_G F$  over  $M$ .

We say a  $F$ -valued form  $\omega \in \Omega^k(P, F)$  is *horizontal* if  $\iota_X \omega = 0$  for vertical  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ . We have

$$\Omega^k(M, E) \cong \Omega_h^k(P, F)^G,$$

where  $\Omega_h^k(P, F)^G$  denotes the set of right  $G$ -invariant horizontal  $F$ -valued  $k$ -forms on  $P$ , since we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times F & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P & \longrightarrow & M. \end{array}$$

The exterior derivative  $d : \Omega^k(P, F)^G \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(P, F)^G$  does not preserve horizontality in general. For a connection form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(P, \mathfrak{g})^G$ , we can define the *exterior covariant derivative*  $\nabla : \Omega^0(M, E) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M, E)$  is defined such that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^k(M, E) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & \Omega^{k+1}(M, E) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \Omega_h^k(P, F)^G & \xrightarrow{d_\omega} & \Omega_h^{k+1}(P, F)^G, \end{array}$$

where  $d_\omega$  is defined by

$$d_\omega \psi(X_0, \dots, X_k) := d\psi(HX_0^*, \dots, HX_k^*),$$

where  $HX_i = X_i - \omega(X_i) \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$  are horizontal components of  $X_i \in \mathfrak{X}(P)$ . The most important case is  $k = 0$ .

$$\nabla_X s =$$



**6.7 (Local expression of principal connections).** Let  $\pi : P \rightarrow M$  be a smooth principal  $G$ -bundle, where  $G$  is a compact Lie group. Then, we can fix  $\{\varphi_\alpha\}$  be a local trivialization of  $\pi$  such that  $\varphi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times G$  is right  $G$ -equivariant. Consider a bundle map

$$(\Omega^1(U_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}), \text{ad}^{-1} \otimes \text{id}) \rightarrow (\Omega^1(\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha), \mathfrak{g}), \text{ad}^{-1} \otimes (dR^*)^{-1}) : A \mapsto \varphi_\alpha^*(\text{pr}_2^*(\omega_G) + \text{pr}_1^*(A))$$

along the map  $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha$ , where  $A \in \Omega^1(U_\alpha, \mathfrak{g})$  and the vertical term  $\omega_G$  denotes the Maurer-Cartan form. In the physical contexts of gauge theory such as the standard model, the 1-form  $A \in \Omega^1(U_\alpha, \mathfrak{g})$  is mainly used to describe principal connections.

- (a) The above local representation is a right  $G$ -equivariant affine bundle map.
- (b) The above local representation is injective and the image is exactly the set of connection forms on the trivial principal  $G$ -bundle  $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha)$  over  $U_\alpha$ .
- (c) What is the meaning of the expression  $\nabla = d + A$ ?
- (d) Local expression of  $\Omega = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$ ?
- (e) What is the meaning of the expression  $dA \wedge A + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A$  and how can we write it in terms of principal connections.

*Proof.* (a) We interpret  $A \in \Omega^1(U_\alpha, \mathfrak{g})$  as  $A : \mathfrak{X}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \Omega^0(U_\alpha, \mathfrak{g})$  so that for every  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(U_\alpha)$ ,

(c)

Let  $L \rightarrow M$  be a trivial line bundle.

Let  $s \in \Omega^0(M, L)$ , so that  $ds : \Omega^1(M, L)$  or

$$ds : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^0(M, L) : X \mapsto X^\mu \partial_\mu s.$$

Let  $A \in \Omega^1(M, \mathfrak{u}(1))$  or

$$A : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^0(M, \mathfrak{u}(1)) : X \mapsto X^\mu A_\mu.$$

We have

$$\nabla_X s = (\nabla s)(X) = ((d + A)s)(X) = X^\mu \partial_\mu s + X^\mu A_\mu s$$

□

$$S := \frac{k}{4\pi} \int_M \text{tr} \left( dA \wedge A + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right)$$

In this case, the field equation is  $F = 0$ .

## 6.1 Chern-Weil theory

$$(\text{Sym}^n \mathfrak{g}^*)^G \cong (\text{Sym}^n \mathfrak{t}^*)^W \cong H^{2n}(BG, \mathbb{R}).$$

Given a principal  $G$ -bundle  $P \rightarrow X$ , this isomorphism induces a ring homomorphism

$$\text{CW} : (\text{Sym}^* \mathfrak{g}^*)^G \rightarrow H^{\text{ev}}(X, \mathbb{R}),$$

called the *Chern-Weil homomorphism*. In fact, when  $X$  is a smooth manifold, then there is a direct construction of the Chern-Weil homomorphism using connections. Choose any connection  $\omega$  on  $P$ , and let  $\Omega$  be the curvature.

$$(\mathrm{Sym}^n \mathfrak{g}^*)^G \otimes \Omega^{2n}(P, \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n}(P)$$

## 6.2 Chern-Simons invariants

## 6.3 Differential cohomology

## Chapter 7

## Chapter 8

## **Part IV**

# **Index theory**

## Chapter 9

## Chapter 10

## Chapter 11



## **Part V**

# **Symplectic geometry**

## Chapter 12

## Chapter 13

## Chapter 14