Real Reductive Groups

Ikhan Choi Lectured by Yoshiki Oshima University of Tokyo, Spring 2023

April 19, 2023

Contents

1	Day 1: April 5	2
2	Day 2: April 19	3

1 Day 1: April 5

We know the finite dimensional representations of complex reductive Lie groups, which has a 1-1 correspondence with finite dimensional (unitary) reps of compact Lie groups via unitarian trick. For example, $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ belong to former, and U(n) and SU(n) are in the latter.

For the construction and classification of irreducible reps (highest weight theory) of complex reductive Lie groups, we have several methods:

- as quotients of a Verma module,
- as holomorphic sections of line bundles on a flag varieity (Borel-Weil theory).

For infinite dim reps of a real reductive Lie groups such as

$$SL(n,\mathbb{R}), GL(n,\mathbb{R}), O(p,q) = \{g \in M_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) : {}^{t}gI_{p,q}g = I_{p,q}\} (I_{p,q} := I_{p} \oplus (-I_{q})),$$

- asymptotic behaviors of matrix elements, quotients of principal series representations (Langlands)
- D-modules over flag variety (Beilinson-Bernstein, Brylinski-Kashiwara)
- minimal K-type (Vogar)

Classification of infinite-dimensional unitary reps is still unsolved.

Definition 1.1. A Lie group is informally both a manifold and a group. A C^{∞} (complex) manifold is a Hausdorff second countable space that is locally homeomorphic to open sets in \mathbb{R}^n (\mathbb{C}^n), such that the transition maps are C^{∞} (holomorphic).

A Lie group is a group with a structure of C^{∞} manifolds such that maps from the group structures $G \times G \to G : (g, g') \mapsto gg'$ and $G \to G : g \mapsto g^{-1}$ are C^{∞} . We can do same for complex Lie groups.

Example 1.2 (Lie groups). $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, $(\mathbb{R}^{\times}, \times)$, $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ (C^{∞} structure is induced from \mathbb{R}^{n^2} as an open subset), $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ (preimage theorem from) are Lie groups.

Example 1.3 (Complex Lie groups). $(\mathbb{C}^n, +)$, $(\mathbb{C}^{\times}, \times)$, $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$, $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ are complex Lie groups. U(n) is not complex.

Exercise. Check that the above examples.

The definitions of representations differ in references. In this lecture, we follow:

Definition 1.4 ((Finite dimensional) Representation). Let G be a Lie group, V a finite-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} . A (finite-dimensional) representation is a Lie group homomorphism $\pi: G \to GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)$. We can do same for holomorphic representations.

Remark 1.5. For a group homomorphism $\pi: G \to GL(V)$ from a Lie group G, TFAE:

- (a) π is C^{∞}
- (b) π is continuous
- (c) $G \times V \rightarrow V$ is continuous.

Example 1.6. (det, \mathbb{C}) and (id_{GL(n, \mathbb{C})}, \mathbb{C}^n) are holomorphic representations of GL(n, \mathbb{C}). If we define $\mu^m : \mathbb{C}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times : z \mapsto z^m$, then (μ^m, \mathbb{C}) is a holomorphic representation of both \mathbb{C}^\times and U(1).

Definition 1.7. For two reps (π, V) , (π', V') of G, we say there are equivalent if there is a linear isomorphism $i: V \to V'$ such that $\pi(g)i = i\pi'(g)$ for all $g \in G$. For a subspace $W \subset V$, if $\pi(g)(W) \subset W$ for $g \in G$, then we say a representation (π_W, W) is a subrepresentation of (π, V) . Irreducible representations are representations having only two subrepresentations. They are "minimal units" of representations.

For reps $(\pi_1, V_1), \dots, (\pi_n, V_n)$ of G, we define the direct sum as a representation on $V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n$ with

$$(\pi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \pi_n)(g)(\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_n) := (\pi_1(g)\nu_1, \cdots, \pi_n(g)\nu_n).$$

Proposition 1.8 (Holomorphic representations of \mathbb{C}^{\times} and U(1)).

(a) If (π, V) is a holomorphic representation of \mathbb{C}^{\times} , then there is $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\pi \sim \mu^{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mu^{m_n}$$
.

(b) If (π, V) is a holomorphic representation of U(1), then there is $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\pi \sim \mu^{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mu^{m_n}$$
.

Proof. We first show the following lemma: If (π, \mathbb{C}^n) is a representation of a Lie group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, then there is $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\pi(t) = \exp(tX)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. π factors through $\mathbb{R} \to M_n(\mathbb{C})$: $t \mapsto tX$.

Proof of the lemma: If we take a small open ball U of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ centered at the origin, then $\exp: U \to \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is injective, so we can take t_0 small enough so that $\pi([-t_0,t_0]) \subset \exp(\frac{1}{2}U)$. Let $Y \in U, Z \in \frac{1}{2}U$ such that $\pi(t_0) = \exp(Y)$, $\pi(\frac{t_0}{2}) = \exp(Z)$. Then, $\pi(t_0) = \exp(2Z)$, so Y = 2Z. Repeating this, $\pi(\frac{t_0}{2^N}) = \exp(\frac{Y}{2^N})$ for all N. Since $\{\frac{M}{2^N}t_0\}$ is dense in \mathbb{R} and π is continuous, $\pi(at_0) = \exp(aY) \ \forall a \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus we have $X = t_0^{-1}Y$ which satisfies the lemma. (Remark: we only have used the continuity of π , not the smoothness) Then we back to the proof of the proposition.

(b) By composition of $e: \mathbb{R} \to U(1): t \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$, we have a representation $(\pi \circ e, V)$ of \mathbb{R} . By the lemma, $\pi \circ e(t) = \exp(tX)$ for some $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, and it satisfies $\exp(X) = \pi \circ e(1) = \pi(1) = I_n$. Since X is diagonalizable, we have

$$X \sim 2\pi i \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & m_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \pi(z) = \begin{pmatrix} z^{m_1} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & z^{m_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(a) $U(1) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times} \to GL(V)$. By the identity theorem from complex analysis, we have

$$\pi(z) = egin{pmatrix} z^{m_1} & 0 \ & \ddots \ 0 & z^{m_n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

2 Day 2: April 19

Reference: Kobayashi-Oshima, Carter-Segal-Macdonald, Warner

Remark 2.1. From the above proposition, we have

$$\left\{ \text{ representations of } U(1) \right\} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{holomorphic} \\ \text{representations of } \operatorname{GL}(1,\mathbb{C}) \end{array} \right\}.$$

More generally, Weyl's unitarian trick states

$$\left\{ \text{ representations of } U(n) \right\} \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{holomorphic} \\ \text{representations of } \operatorname{GL}(n,\mathbb{C}) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text{representations of} \\ \text{a compact Lie group} \end{array}\right\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{\begin{array}{c} \text{holomorphic representations of} \\ \text{a complex reductive Lie group} \end{array}\right\}.$$

Remark 2.2. In particular, a holomorphic representation of \mathbb{C}^{\times} is the direct sum of irreducible representations. However, a holomorphic representation of \mathbb{C} may not be the direct sum of irreducible representations, i.e. not completely reducible. We have a counterexample $\mathbb{C} \to \mathrm{GL}(2,\mathbb{C}): t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Every finite-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{C})$ is completely reducible.

Let $G = GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ or $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$. Let X be For each $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, a holomorphic line bundle L_{λ} over X is determined.

Theorem 2.3. $\Gamma(X, L_{\lambda}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$.

$$[\operatorname{ccc}] \Gamma(\operatorname{U}_1, L_{\mathcal{O}(k)}) \cong \{ \text{holomorphic functions on } \mathbb{C} \}$$

$$\mapsto ([z_1:z_2], f_1(z_1/z_2)) \mapsto f.$$

every integral weight corresponds to a holomorphic line bundle