

Functional Analysis

Ikhan Choi

November 10, 2022

Contents

I	Topological vector spaces	3
1	Locally convex spaces	4
1.1	Vector topologies	4
1.2	Seminorms and convex sets	4
1.3	Continuous linear functionals	4
1.4	Hahn-Banach theorem	4
2	Barreled spaces	6
2.1	Uniform boundedness principle	6
2.2	Baire category theorem	6
2.3	Open mapping theorem	7
3	Weak topologies	9
3.1	Dual spaces	9
3.2	Weak compactness	10
3.3	Weak density	10
3.4	Krein-Milman theorem	10
3.5	Polar topologies	11
II	Banach spaces	12
4	Fréchet, Banach, Hilbert spaces	13
4.1	Banach spaces	13
4.2	Hilbert spaces	13
5	Bounded linear operators	14
6	Compact operators	15
6.1	Finite-rank operators	15
6.2	Fredholm operators	15
6.3	Nuclear operators	15
III	Spectral theory	17
7		18

8	Normal operators	19
8.1	Spectral theorem for compact normal operators	19
8.2	Spectral theorem for bounded normal operators	19
8.3	Operator topologies	19
9	Unbounded operators	21
IV	Operator algebras	22
10	Banach algebras	23
10.1	Spectra	23
10.2	Ideals	24
10.3	Gelfand theory	25
10.4	Holomorphic functional calculus	25
11	C^*-algebras	26
11.1	C^* identity	26
11.2	Continuous functional calculus	27
11.3	Positivity in C^* -algebras	28
11.4	Representations of C^* -algebras	28
12	Von Neumann algebras	30
12.1	Von Neumann algebras	30
12.2	Borel functional calculus	30
12.3	Factors and traces	31

Part I

Topological vector spaces

Chapter 1

Locally convex spaces

1.1 Vector topologies

1.1 (Canonical uniformity and bornology).

1.2 (Metrizability). Birkhoff-Kakutani

1.3 (Boundedness of linear operators).

1.2 Seminorms and convex sets

1.4 (Seminorms).

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^m \{p_i < 1\}$$

Equivalent conditions on the continuity of seminorms

Proof.

□

boundedness by seminorms, normability

1.3 Continuous linear functionals

1.5. Let $\bar{x}^* = (x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*) \in X^{*n}$. $\bar{x}^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$. If $x^* \in X^*$ vanishes on $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \ker x_i^*$, then x^* is a linear combination of $\{x_i^*\}$.

1.4 Hahn-Banach theorem

1.6 (Hahn-Banach theorem). Let X be a real vector space. Suppose V is a linear subspace of X and $l : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear functional dominated by a sublinear functional $q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, that is, $l(v) \leq q(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

- (a) There is a linear functional $\tilde{l} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that extends l .
- (b) There is a linear functional $\tilde{l} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that extends l and is dominated by q if $\dim X/V = 1$.
- (c) There is a linear functional $\tilde{l} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that extends l and is dominated by q .

Proof. (a) It can be done by the Hamel basis.

(b) Let $e \in X \setminus V$ so that every vector $x \in X$ can be uniquely written as $x = v + te$ with $v \in V$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For $v_1, v_2 \in V$,

$$l(v_1) + l(v_2) = l(v_1 + v_2) \leq q(v_1 + v_2) \leq q(v_1 - e) + q(v_2 + e)$$

implies

$$l(v_1) - q(v_1 - e) \leq -l(v_2) + q(v_2 + e).$$

Define a linear functional $\tilde{l} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{l}(v) = l(v)$ and

$$l(v) - q(v - e) \leq \tilde{l}(e) \leq -l(v) + q(v + e)$$

for all $v \in V$. Since

$$\tilde{l}(v + te) = l(v) + t\tilde{l}(e) \leq l(v) + t(-l(v) + q(v + e)) = q(v + te)$$

if $t \geq 0$ and

$$\tilde{l}(v + te) = l(v) + t\tilde{l}(e) \leq l(v) + t(l(-t^{-1}v) - q(-t^{-1}v - e)) = q(v + te)$$

if $t \leq 0$, we have $\tilde{l}(x) \in q(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

(c) With X and q , Consider a partially ordered set

$$\{(\tilde{V}, \tilde{l}) \mid V \leq \tilde{V} \leq X, \tilde{l} : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is a linear extension of } l \text{ dominated by } q\}$$

such that $(V_1, l_1) \prec (V_2, l_2)$ if and only if $V_1 \leq V_2$ and $l_2|_{V_1} = l_1$. The nonemptiness and the chain condition is easily satisfied, so it has a maximal element (\tilde{V}, \tilde{l}) by the Zorn lemma. By the part (b), we have $\tilde{V} = X$. \square

1.7 (Complex linear functionals). Let X be a complex vector space. Consider a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{\mathbb{C}\text{-linear functionals on } X\} & \rightarrow & \{\mathbb{R}\text{-linear functionals on } X\} \\ l & \mapsto & \operatorname{Re} l. \end{array}$$

Let p be a seminorm on X and l a complex linear functional on X .

(a) The above map is bijective.

(b) $|l(x)| \leq p(x)$ if and only if $|\operatorname{Re} l(x)| \leq p(x)$.

Proof. (b) There is λ such that $|\lambda| = 1$ and $l(\lambda x) \geq 0$. Then,

$$|l(x)| = |\lambda^{-1}l(\lambda x)| = l(\lambda x) = \operatorname{Re} l(\lambda x) \leq p(\lambda x) = p(x).$$

\square

1.8 (Applications of Hahn-Banach theorem).

Exercises

1.9 (Topology of compact convergence).

Chapter 2

Barreled spaces

2.1 Uniform boundedness principle

2.1 (Barreled spaces). Let X be a topological vector space. A *barrel* is an absorbing, balanced, convex, and closed subset of X . A *barreled space* is a topological space in which every barrel is a neighborhood of zero.

2.2 (Uniform boundedness principle). Let X and Y be topological vector spaces. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of continuous linear operator from X to Y . Suppose $\bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{F}} Tx$ is bounded for each $x \in D$, where $D \subset X$.

- (a) If D is dense in X , then $\bigcap_{T \in \mathcal{F}} T^{-1}\overline{U}$ is absorbing.
- (b) If X is barreled, then \mathcal{F} is equicontinuous.

2.2 Baire category theorem

2.3 (Baire spaces). A topological space is called a *Baire space* if the countable intersection of open dense subsets is always dense.

- (a) If a topological vector space is Baire, then it is barreled.
- (b) A Baire space is second category in itself.
- (c) A topological group that is second category in itself is Baire.

2.4 (Absorbing sets). Let X be a topological vector space that is Baire. A subset $U \subset X$ is said to be *absorbing* if for every $x \in X$ there is a sufficiently large $t > 0$ such that $x \in tU$. Let $U \subset X$.

- (a) If U is closed and absorbing, then U has non-empty interior.
- (b) If U is closed and absorbing, then $U - U$ is a neighborhood of zero.
- (c) If U is closed, convex, and absorbing, then U is a neighborhood of zero.

2.5 (Baire category theorem). The Baire category theorem proves many examples of topological vector space are Baire, in particular barreled.

- (a) A complete metric space is Baire.
- (b) A locally compact Hausdorff space is Baire.

2.3 Open mapping theorem

2.6 (Open mapping theorem). Let X be a F -space and Y a barreled space. Suppose $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous and surjective linear operator. Let B be an open neighborhood of zero in X .

(a) \overline{TB} is a neighborhood of zero.

(b) TB is a neighborhood of zero.

Proof. (a) There is an open neighborhood U of zero such that $U - U \subset B$. The set \overline{TU} is a closed absorbing set because T is surjective. Since Y is barreled, \overline{TU} has a non-empty interior in Y . Thus, $\overline{TB} \supset \overline{TU} - \overline{TU}$ is a neighborhood of zero.

(b) Since X is metrizable, we have a sequence of open neighborhoods $B_n := \{x : d(x, 0) < 2^{-n}\}$, where the topology of X is induced from a metric d . We claim $\overline{TB_1} \subset TB_0$. Take $y_1 \in \overline{TB_1}$.

If $y_n \in \overline{TB_n}$, then since $\overline{TB_{n+1}}$ are neighborhoods of zero, we have

$$TB_n \cap (y_n + \overline{TB_{n+1}}) \neq \emptyset.$$

So we can inductively construct sequences $x_n \in B_n$ and $y_n \in \overline{TB_n}$ for $n \geq 2$ such that

$$x_n \in B_n \cap T^{-1}(y_n + \overline{TB_{n+1}})$$

and

$$y_{n+1} := Tx_n - y_n.$$

Then, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges to $x \in B_0$. Therefore,

$$Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Tx_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (y_{n+1} - y_n) = y_1. \quad \square$$

Exercises

2.7. Let (T_n) be a sequence in $B(X, Y)$. If T_n converges strongly then $\|T_n\|$ is bounded by the uniform boundedness principle.

2.8. There is a closed absorbing set in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ that is not a neighborhood of zero;

$$\overline{B}(0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{i=2}^{\infty} B(i^{-1}e_i, i^{-2})$$

is a counterexample.

2.9. There is no metric d on $C([0, 1])$ such that $d(f_n, f) \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every sequence f_n . Note that this problem is slightly different to the non-metrizability of the topology of pointwise convergence.

2.10. We show that there is no projection from ℓ^∞ onto c_0 .

2.11 (Schur property). ℓ^1

2.12. Let $\varphi : L^\infty([0, 1]) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathbb{N})$ be an isometric isomorphism. Suppose φ is realised as a sequence of bounded linear functionals on L^∞ .

(a) Show that $\varphi^*(\ell^1) \subset L^1$ where ℓ^1 and L^1 are considered as closed linear subspaces of $(\ell^\infty)^*$ and $(L^\infty)^*$ respectively.

- (b) Show that φ^* is indeed an isometric isomorphism, and deduce φ cannot be realised as bounded linear functionals on L^∞ .

2.13 (Daugavet property). (a) The real Banach space $C([0, 1])$ satisfies the Daugavet property.

Proof. Let T be a finite rank operator on $C([0, 1])$, and e_i be a basis of $\text{im } T$. Then, for some measures μ_i ,

$$Tf(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 f \, d\mu_i e_i(t).$$

Let $M := \max \|e_i\|$.

Take f_0 such that $\|f_0\| = 1$ and $\|Tf_0\| > \|T\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Reversing the sign of f_0 if necessary, take an open interval Δ such that $Tf_0(t) \geq \|T\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $|\mu_i|(\Delta) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4nM}$ for all i . Define f_1 such that $f_0 = f_1$ on Δ^c , $f_1(t_0) = 1$ for some $t_0 \in \Delta$, and $\|f_1\| = 1$. Then, $\|Tf_1 - Tf_0\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ shows $Tf_1 \geq \|T\| - \varepsilon$ on Δ . Therefore,

$$\|1 + T\| \geq \|f_1 + Tf_1\| \geq f_1(t_0) + Tf_1(t_0) \leq 1 + \|T\| - \varepsilon.$$

□

Problems

2.14. Let T be an invertible linear operator on a normed space. Then, $T^{-2} + \|T\|^{-2}$ is injective if it is surjective.

Chapter 3

Weak topologies

3.1 Dual spaces

3.1 (Bidual).

3.2. Let X be a locally convex space. The *weak topology* is the topology w on X defined by the family of seminorms $\{x \mapsto |\langle x, \xi \rangle|\}_{\xi \in X^*}$. The *weak* topology* is the topology w^* on X^* defined by the family of seminorms $\{\xi \mapsto |\langle x, \xi \rangle|\}_{x \in X}$. Let $J : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ be the canonical embedding.

- (a) (X, w) and (X^*, w^*) are locally convex.
- (b) $(X, w)^* = X^*$.
- (c) $(X^*, w^*)^* = X$. Every locally convex space is a dual of a locally convex space.

Proof. (a) The Hahn-Banach theorem implies the Hausdorffness.

(c) We will only show $(X^*, w^*)^* \subset X$. If $u \in (X^*, w^*)^*$, then there are $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ such that

$$|\langle u, \xi \rangle| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |\langle x_i, \xi \rangle|$$

for all $\xi \in X^*$. If we let $\ker \vec{x} := \bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker x_i$, then it is a closed subspace of X^* such that $\ker \vec{x} \subset \ker u$, so we have $u \in \text{span } \vec{x} \subset X$. □

3.3. closure and weak closure of convex subsets

Proof. Hahn-Banach □

3.4 (Polar).

boundedness, incompleteness

3.5 (Weak convergence by dense set). Let X be a Banach space, D^* a subset of X^* , and $\overline{D^*}$ the norm closure of D^* . For example, if X has a predual $X_* \subset X^*$ and D^* is dense in X_* , then $\sigma(X, \overline{D^*})$ is the weak* topology.

- (a) There is a sequence $x_n \in X$ converges to zero in $\sigma(X, D^*)$ but not in $\sigma(X, \overline{D^*})$.
- (b) A bounded sequence $x_n \in X$ converges to zero in $\sigma(X, \overline{D^*})$ if in $\sigma(X, D^*)$.

Proof. (b) Let $\xi \in \overline{D^*}$ and choose $\eta \in D^*$ such that $\|\xi - \eta\| < \varepsilon$. Then,

$$|\langle x_n, \xi \rangle| \leq \|x_n\| \|\xi - \eta\| + |\langle x_n, \eta \rangle| \lesssim \varepsilon + |\langle x_n, \eta \rangle| \rightarrow \varepsilon.$$

□

3.2 Weak compactness

3.6 (Banach-Alaoglu theorem).

3.7 (Eberlein-Šmulian theorem).

3.8 (James' theorem).

3.3 Weak density

Bishop-Phelps theorem

3.9 (Goldstine's theorem). Let X be a Banach space and $J : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ the canonical embedding. Our claim is that \overline{B} is weak*-dense in $\overline{B}_{X^{**}}$. Let $x_0^{**} \in X^{**}$ with $\|x_0^{**}\| \leq 1$, and let

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^m \{x^{**} \in X^{**} : |\langle x^{**} - x_0^{**}, x_i^* \rangle| < \varepsilon\}$$

be an open weak*-neighborhood of zero in X^{**} with $\|x_i^*\| \leq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let

$$S := \bigcap_{i=1}^m \{x \in X : \langle x, x_i^* \rangle = \langle x_0^{**}, x_i^* \rangle\}.$$

- (a) S is not empty.
- (b) $S \cap (1 + \varepsilon)\overline{B}_X$ is not empty for all $\varepsilon > 0$.
- (c) \overline{B}_X is weak*-dense in $\overline{B}_{X^{**}}$

Proof. (a)

(b) From the part (a), we have $x \in S$. Suppose S does not intersect $(1 + \varepsilon)\overline{B}_X$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there is $y^* \in X^*$ such that

$$y^*|_{S-x} = 0, \quad \langle x, y^* \rangle > 1 + \varepsilon, \quad \text{and} \quad \|y^*\| = 1.$$

Since $S - x = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker x_i^*$, the linear functional y^* is a linear combination of x_1^*, \dots, x_m^* , so we have

$$1 + \varepsilon < \langle x, y^* \rangle = \langle x_0^{**}, y^* \rangle \leq \|x_0^{**}\| \|y^*\| \leq 1.$$

(c) Take $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|x_i^*\| < 1$. By the part (b), there is $y \in X$ such that $\|y\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon$ and $\langle y, x_i^* \rangle = \langle x_0^{**}, x_i^* \rangle$. If we let $x := (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}y$, then $x \in \overline{B}_X$ so that

$$|\langle x - x_0^{**}, x_i^* \rangle| = |\langle x - y, x_i^* \rangle| = |\langle \varepsilon x, x_i^* \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \|x\| \|x_i^*\| < \varepsilon$$

for all i . □

3.4 Krein-Milman theorem

Choquet theory

Exercises

3.10 (James' space). not reflexive but isometrically isomorphic to bidual

3.11 (Predual correspondence). Let X be a Banach space. Let

$$\{(Y, \varphi) \mid \varphi : X \rightarrow Y^* \text{ is an isometric isomorphism}\}$$

and

$$\{Z \leq X^* \mid \overline{B_X} \text{ is compact Hausdorff in } (X, \sigma(X, Z))\}.$$

$$(Y, \varphi) \mapsto \text{im } \varphi^*|_{J(Y)}$$

- (a) The map is well-defined.
- (b) The map is surjective. (by Goldstein)
- (c) The map is injective up to isomorphism for Y .

3.12. Let X be a closed subspace of a Banach space Y and

$$i : X \rightarrow Y$$

the inclusion. Suppose X and Y have preduals X_* and Y_* respectively. Let

$$j := i^*|_{Y_*} : Y_* \rightarrow Z \subset X^*,$$

where $Z := i^*(Y_*)^-$. Then we can show

$$j^* : Z^* \subset X^{**} \rightarrow Y$$

coincides with i on $X \cap Z^*$. From the existence of X_* we have $X^{**} \rightarrow X$, which is restricted to define a map $k : Z^* \rightarrow X$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & \xrightarrow{i} & Y \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow k & \nearrow j & \\ X^{**} & \longrightarrow & Z^* & & \end{array}$$

We can show k is an isomorphism so that we have

$$X_* \cong Y_*/Y_* \cap \ker(i^*).$$

3.13 (Mazur's lemma).

3.14 (Dunford-Pettis property).

3.5 Polar topologies

Mackey-Arens

Part II

Banach spaces

Chapter 4

Fréchet, Banach, Hilbert spaces

4.1 Banach spaces

dual is Banach. Basis problem, Mazur' duck.

4.2 Hilbert spaces

Projections. Reducing subspaces. Hilbert space classification by cardinal. Riesz representation theorem.

4.1. (a) A Banach space X is isometrically isomorphic to a Hilbert space if there is a bounded linear projection on every closed subspace of X .

4.2 (Riesz representation theorem). Let H be a Hilbert space over a field \mathbb{F} , which is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

We use the bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ of canonical duality. *Dirac* notation $\langle - | - \rangle$ for the inner product of a complex Hilbert spaces such that $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y | x \rangle$. The Riesz representation theorem states that a continuous linear functional on a Hilbert space is represented by the inner product with a vector.

(a) For each $x^* \in H^*$, there is a unique $x \in H$ such that $\langle y, x^* \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ for every $y \in H$.

(b) $H \rightarrow H^* : x \mapsto \langle -, x \rangle$ is a natural linear and anti-linear isomorphism if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and \mathbb{C} , respectively.

Chapter 5

Bounded linear operators

5.1 (Bounded belowness in Banach spaces). Let $T \in B(X, Y)$ for Banach spaces X and Y . The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) T is bounded below.
- (b) T is injective and has closed range.
- (c) T is a topological isomorphism onto its image.

5.2 (Bounded belowness in Hilbert spaces). Let $T \in B(H, K)$ for Hilbert spaces H and K . The following statements are equivalent:

- (a) T is bounded below.
- (b) T is left invertible.
- (c) T^* is right invertible.
- (d) T^*T is invertible.

5.3 (Injectivity and surjectivity of adjoint). Let $T \in B(X, Y)$ for Banach spaces X and Y .

- (a) T^* is injective if and only if T has dense range.
- (b) T^* is surjective if and only if T is bounded below.

5.4 (Normal operators). For $T \in B(H)$, we have an obvious fact $(\text{im } T)^\perp = \ker T^*$. Suppose T is normal.

- (a) $\ker T = \ker T^*$.
- (b) T is bounded below if and only if T is invertible.
- (c) If T is surjective, then T is invertible.

5.5 (Invariant and Reducing subspaces). Let K be a closed subspace of H .

- (a) K is reducing for T if and only if K is invariant for T and T^* .
- (b) K is reducing for T if and only if $TP = PT$, where P is the orthogonal projection on K .

Chapter 6

Compact operators

$K(X, Y)$ is closed in $B(X, Y)$. $K(X)$ is an ideal of $B(X)$. adjoint is $K(X, Y) \rightarrow K(Y^*, X^*)$. integral operators are compact. riesz operator, quasi-nilpotent operator.

6.1 Finite-rank operators

6.2 Fredholm operators

6.1. A bounded linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces is called a *Fredholm* operator if its kernel is finite dimensional and its range is finite codimensional.

(a) A Fredholm operator T has closed range.

Proof. (a) Let C be a finite dimensional subspace of Y such that $\text{im } T \oplus C = Y$. Let $\tilde{T} : X/\ker T \rightarrow Y$ be the induced operator of T . Define $S : (X/\ker T) \oplus C \rightarrow Y$ such that $S(x + \ker T, c) := \tilde{T}(x + \ker T) + c$. Then, S is an topological isomorphism between Banach spaces by the open mapping theorem, so $S(X/\ker T \oplus \{0\}) = \text{im } \tilde{T} = \text{im } T$ is closed. \square

6.2 (Atkinson's theorem). An operator $T \in B(X, Y)$ is Fredholm if and only if there is $S \in B(Y, X)$ such that $TS - I$ and $ST - I$ is finite rank.

6.3 (Fredholm index). locally constant, in particular, continuous. composition makes the addition of indices.

6.3 Nuclear operators

tensor products

Exercises

Problems

1. If $T \in B(L^2([0, 1]))$ is a compact operator, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2} \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{L^2} + C_\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

Proof. 1. Suppose there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that we have sequence $f_n \in L^2$ satisfying $\|f_n\|_2 = 1$ and

$$\|Tf_n\|_2 > \varepsilon + n\|f_n\|_1.$$

By the compactness of T , there is a subsequence Tf_{n_k} converges to $g \neq 0$ in L^2 . Then, $\|f_{n_k}\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ implies $f_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ weakly in L^2 , hence also for Tf_{n_k} . It means $g = 0$, which contradicts to the assumption. \square

Part III

Spectral theory

Chapter 7

Chapter 8

Normal operators

8.1 Spectral theorem for compact normal operators

There is an orthonormal basis $E \subset H$ such that

$$T = \sum_{e \in E} \lambda_e |e\rangle \langle e|.$$

8.2 Spectral theorem for bounded normal operators

8.1 (Spectral measure). Let (Ω, \mathcal{M}) be a measurable space and H a Hilbert space. A *projection valued measure* on Ω for H is a map $E : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B(H)$ such that

- (i) $E(A)$ is an orthogonal projection with $E(\emptyset) = 0$,
- (ii) the set function $E_{\xi, \eta} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : A \mapsto \langle E(A)\xi, \eta \rangle$ is a complex measure on Ω for each $\xi, \eta \in H$.

Let Ω be a locally compact Hausdorff space. A *spectral measure* is a projection valued measure E on the Borel measurable space Ω such that $E_{\xi, \eta}$ is regular.

- (a) The condition (ii) is equivalent to the countable additivity: $E(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E(A_i)$ in the strong operator topology of $B(H)$ for $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}$.
- (b) $E(A \cap B) = E(A)E(B)$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$.

8.2. Let $T \in B(H)$ be a normal operator. Then, there exists a spectral measure E on $\sigma(T)$ for H such that

$$T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda dE(\lambda).$$

This spectral measure E is also called the *resolution of the identity*.

8.3 Operator topologies

8.3 (Compact left multiplications and SOT). Let T_n be a sequence of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space that converges in SOT. For compact K , $T_n K$ converges in norm, but $K T_n$ generally does not unless T is self-adjoint.

8.4. Let f be a linear functional on $B(H)$ for a Hilbert space H . Then, TFAE:

- (a) f is WOT-continuous,

(b) f is SOT-continuous,

(c) $f(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Tx_i, y_i \rangle$ for some x_i, y_i .

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (3) is the only nontrivial implication. By the definition of SOT, there exists $v \in \mathcal{H}^n$ such that

$$|f(T)| \leq \|T^{\oplus n} v\|.$$

The functional $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ factors through \mathcal{H}^n such that

$$\mathcal{A} \rightarrow v\mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

□

Chapter 9

Unbounded operators

Kato-Rellich theorem

Part IV

Operator algebras

Chapter 10

Banach algebras

10.1 Spectra

10.1 (Banach algebras).

10.2 (Inverses in Banach algebras). Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra.

- (a) If $\|a\| < 1$, then $1 - a$ is invertible. So \mathcal{A}^\times is open.
- (b) $\mathcal{A}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\times : a \mapsto a^{-1}$ is differentiable.
- (c) $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} : \lambda \mapsto (\lambda - a)^{-1}$ is differentiable.

10.3 (Vector-valued complex function theory). Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{C} and X a Banach space. For a vector-valued function $f : \Omega \rightarrow X$, we say f is *differentiable* if the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} (f(\lambda) - f(\lambda_0))$$

exists in X for every $\lambda \in \Omega$, and *weakly differentiable* if the limit

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} \langle f(\lambda) - f(\lambda_0), x^* \rangle$$

exists in \mathbb{C} for each $x^* \in X^*$ and every $\lambda \in \Omega$. Then, the followings are all equivalent.

- (a) f is differentiable.
- (b) f is weakly differentiable.
- (c) For each $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$, there is a sequence $(x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ such that we have the power series expansion

$$f(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^k x_k,$$

where the series on the right hand side converges absolutely and uniformly on any closed ball in Ω centered at λ_0 .

10.4 (Gelfand-Mazur). $\sigma(a)$ is non-empty. In particular, if $\mathcal{A}^\times = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$, then $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{C}$.

10.5 (Beurling).

$$r(a) = \inf_{n \geq 1} \|a^n\|^{1/n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{1/n} \leq \|a\|.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\lambda| < r(a)^{-1}$. Then we have $\lambda^{-1} \notin \sigma(a)$ so that $1 - \lambda a = \lambda(\lambda^{-1} - a)$ is invertible.

Then, $1 - \lambda a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (\lambda a)^i$.

If $|\lambda| < \|a\|^{-1} \leq r(a)^{-1}$, then the inverse of $1 - \lambda a$ is given by the power series. If $|\lambda| < r(a)^{-1}$, then we can only deduce the invertibility of $1 - \lambda a$. The vector-valued complex function theory allows us to write the inverse even if we have only $|\lambda| < r(a)^{-1}$. Also, the radius of convergence is exactly $r(a)^{-1}$. \square

10.6 (Spectrum in closed subalgebras). For fixed element, smaller the ambient algebra, less “holes” in the spectrum. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a closed subalgebra containing $1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Note that \mathcal{B} may be unital even for $1_{\mathcal{A}} \notin \mathcal{B}$.

- (a) \mathcal{B}^\times is clopen in $\mathcal{A}^\times \cap \mathcal{B}$.

10.2 Ideals

10.7 (Ideals). (a) If I is a left ideal, then \mathcal{A}/I is a left \mathcal{A} -module.

10.8 (Modular left ideals). A left ideal I is called *modular* if there is $e \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a - ae \in I$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The element e is called a *right modular unit* for I .

- (a) I is modular if and only if \mathcal{A}/I is unital(?).
(b) A proper modular left ideal is contained in a maximal left ideal.
(c) I is a maximal modular left ideal if and only if I is a modular maximal left ideal.
(d) There is a non-modular maximal ideal in the disk algebra.

10.9 (Closed ideals). (a) closure of proper left ideal is proper left.

- (b) maximal modular left ideal is closed.

10.10 (Unitization). Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra. Recall that we always assume algebras are associative. Consider an embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{A}) : a \mapsto L_a$, where $L_a(b) = ab$. Define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := \{ L_a + \lambda \text{id}_{B(\mathcal{A})} : a \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \}.$$

Note that this construction is available even for unital \mathcal{A} .

- (a) If \mathcal{A} is normed, then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a normed algebra such that there is an isometric embedding $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.
(b) If \mathcal{A} is Banach, then $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a Banach algebra.
(c) $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ is topologically isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ as normed spaces.

Proof. (a) The space of bounded operators $B(\mathcal{A})$ is a normed algebra. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a normed $*$ -algebra with induced norm

$$\|L_a + \lambda \text{id}_{B(\mathcal{A})}\| = \sup_{b \in \mathcal{A}} \frac{\|ab + \lambda b\|}{\|b\|}$$

Then, \mathcal{A} is a normed $*$ -subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ because the norm and involution of \mathcal{A} agree with $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$.

(b) Suppose (x_n, λ_n) is Cauchy in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. Since \mathcal{A} is complete so that it is closed in $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, we can induce a norm on the quotient $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{A}$ so that the canonical projection is (uniformly) continuous so that λ_n is Cauchy. Also, the inequality $\|x\| \leq \|(x, \lambda)\| + |\lambda|$ shows that x_n is Cauchy in \mathcal{A} .

Since a finite dimensional normed space is always Banach and \mathcal{A} is Banach, λ_n and x_n converge. Finally, the inequality $\|(x, \lambda)\| \leq \|x\| + |\lambda|$ implies that (x_n, λ_n) converges.

- (c) Check the topology on $\mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ in detail... \square

unitization, homomorphisms, category(direct sum, product, etc.)

$B(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is simple, but $B(X)$ is not simple.

10.3 Gelfand theory

Banach algebra of single generator semisimplicity and symmetricity

10.11 (Spectrum of a Banach algebra). Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra. A *character* of \mathcal{A} is a non-zero algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ the set of all characters of \mathcal{A} . We will show that all characters are bounded. Then, endow with the weak* topology on $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ from the inclusion $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{A}^*$. We call this space as the *spectrum* of \mathcal{A} . Let $\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$.

- (a) $\|\varphi\| = 1$.
- (b) If \mathcal{A} is unital, then $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is compact and Hausdorff.
- (c) Even if \mathcal{A} is non-unital, $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is locally compact and Hausdorff.

10.12 (Gelfand-Naimark representation). Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra.

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C_0(\sigma(\mathcal{A})).$$

- (a) $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ separates points.
- (b) Γ has closed range if
- (c) Γ is injective if
- (d) Γ is isometric if $r(a) = \|a\|$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

10.4 Holomorphic functional calculus

Dunford-Reisz functional calculus

Exercises

10.13. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra.

- (a) $\sigma(ab) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(ba) \setminus \{0\}$.
- (b) If $\sigma(a)$ is non-empty, then $\sigma(p(a)) = p(\sigma(a))$.

Proof. (a) Intuitively, the inverse of $1-ab$ is $c = 1+ab+abab+\dots$. Then, $1+bca = 1+ba+baba+\dots$ is the inverse of $1-ba$. □

$$C_b(\Omega) \ell^\infty(S) L^\infty(\Omega) B_b(\Omega) A(\mathbb{D}) B(X)$$

10.14. In $C(\mathbb{R})$, the modular ideals correspond to compact sets.

10.15 (Disk algebra). (a) Every continuous homomorphism is an evaluation.

10.16 (Polynomial convexity). (conway)

10.17 (Inclusion relation on spectra). (a) $\sigma(a+b) \subset \sigma(a) + \sigma(b)$ and $\sigma(ab) \subset \sigma(a)\sigma(b)$ for unital cases.

- (b) $\sigma(a^{-1}) = \sigma(a)^{-1}$ for unital cases.
- (c) $r(a)^n = r(a^n)$.

spectral radius is upper semi-continuous

Chapter 11

C*-algebras

11.1 C* identity

11.1 (Involutive Banach algebras). Banach *-algebra: $\|a^*\| = \|a\|$.

11.2 (C* identity). A normed *-algebra \mathcal{A} is called a C*-algebra if

- (a) \mathcal{A} is Banach,
- (b) \mathcal{A} satisfies the C*-identity: $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$.

11.3 (Unitization of C*-algebras).

$$(L_a + \lambda \text{id}_{B(\mathcal{A})})^* = L_{a^*} + \bar{\lambda} \text{id}_{B(\mathcal{A})}.$$

Proof. The C*-identity easily follows from the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x, \lambda)\|^2 &= \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|xy + \lambda y\|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|(xy + \lambda y)^*(xy + \lambda y)\| \\ &= \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|y^*((x^*x + \lambda x^* + \bar{\lambda}x)y + |\lambda|^2 y)\| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|y\|=1} \|(x^*x + \lambda x^* + \bar{\lambda}x)y + |\lambda|^2 y\| \\ &= \|(x, \lambda)^*(x, \lambda)\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

11.4 (Spectra of normal elements). Let \mathcal{A} be a C*-algebra, and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be its unitization. We say an element $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is *unitary* if $a^*a = aa^* = e$, and say an element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is *self-adjoint* if $a^* = a$.

- (a) If $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is unitary, then $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{T}$.
- (b) If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is self-adjoint, then $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$.
- (c) The converses of the parts (a) and (b) are not generally true.

Proof. (a)

(b) We may assume \mathcal{A} is unital. By the holomorphic functional calculus, we have

$$e^{ia} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(ia)^n}{n!} \in \mathcal{A},$$

and the inverse of e^{ia} is e^{-ia} . Since the involution $*$: $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is continuous, we can check e^{ia} is unitary by

$$(e^{ia})^* = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-ia)^n}{n!} = e^{-ia}.$$

For every $\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$, then by the part (a) the equality

$$e^{-\operatorname{Im} \varphi(a)} = |e^{i\varphi(a)}| = |\varphi(e^{ia})| = 1$$

proves $\varphi(a) \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

(c) Let $\mathcal{A} = M_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, $\sigma(a) = \{1\}$ but a is neither unitary nor self-adjoint. We will show in the next section that the converses hold if we assume a is normal. \square

11.5 ($*$ -homomorphisms). (a) determined by self-adjoint elements

(b) norm-decreasing

(c)

11.2 Continuous functional calculus

11.6 (Gelfand-Naimark representation for C^* -algebras). For a commutative unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , consider the Gelfand transform $\Gamma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$.

(a) Γ is a $*$ -homomorphism.

(b) Γ is an isometry.

(c) Γ is a $*$ -isomorphism.

Proof. (a)

(b) Note that we have

$$\|\Gamma a\| = \sup_{\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})} |\Gamma a(\varphi)| = \sup_{\varphi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})} |\varphi(a)| = r(a)$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. If we assume a is self-adjoint, then since $\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\| = \|a^2\|$, the spectral radius coincides with the norm by the Beurling formula for spectral radius in Banach algebras:

$$\|\Gamma a\| = r(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^{2^n}\|^{1/2^n} = \|a\|.$$

Hence we have for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ that

$$\|a\|^2 = \|a^*a\| = \|\Gamma(a^*a)\| = \|(\Gamma a)^* \Gamma a\| = \|\Gamma a\|^2.$$

(c) By the part (a) and (b), the image $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is a closed unital $*$ -subalgebra of $C(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$, and it separates points by definition. Then, $\Gamma(\mathcal{A})$ is dense in $C(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$ by the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, which implies $\Gamma(\mathcal{A}) = C(\sigma(\mathcal{A}))$. \square

11.7 (Finitely generated C^* -algebras). joint spectrum.

11.8 (Continuous functional calculus). Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ a normal element. Then, we have an isometric $*$ -homomorphism

$$C(\sigma(a)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

defined by the inverse of the Gelfand transform, which we call the *continuous functional calculus*.

(a) $\operatorname{id} \mapsto a$.

(b) $(f + g)(a) = f(a) + g(a)$ and $(fg)(a)$.

(c) $(f \circ g)(a) = f(g(a))$.

11.3 Positivity in C^* -algebras

11.9 (Positive elements). (a) If $a, b \geq 0$, then $a + b \geq 0$.

(b) If $a^*a \leq 0$, then $a^*a = 0$.

(c) $a^*a \geq 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

11.10 (Operator monotone functions). (a) inverse

(b) conjugation

11.11 (Injective $*$ -homomorphism).

11.12 (Approximate identity). separable?

11.4 Representations of C^* -algebras

11.13 (Representation of C^* -algebras). A *representation* of a C^* -algebra is a $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ for a Hilbert space H .

11.14 (Non-degenerate representation). Let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ be a representation of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . We say π is *non-degenerate* if $\pi(\mathcal{A})H$ is dense in H .

(a) π is non-degenerate.

(b) For each $\xi \in H$ there is $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi(a)\xi \neq 0$.

(c) $\pi(e_\alpha) \rightarrow \text{id}_H$ strongly for every approximate identity e_α of \mathcal{A} .

11.15 (Cyclic representation). Let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ be a representation of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} .

(a)

11.16 (Irreducible representation). Let $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ be a representation of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . We say π is *irreducible* if there is no proper closed subspace $K \subset H$ such that $\pi(a)K \subset K$.

(a) π is irreducible.

(b) $\pi(\mathcal{A})' = \mathbb{C} \text{id}_H$.

(c) $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ is strongly dense in $B(H)$.

(d) Every non-zero vector is cyclic.

11.17 (Gelfand-Naimark-Segal representation). Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, and ρ be a state on \mathcal{A} .

(a) The left kernel $L_\rho := \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \rho(a^*a) = 0\}$ is a left ideal of \mathcal{A} .

(b) $\langle a + L, b + L \rangle := \rho(b^*a)$ is an inner product on \mathcal{A}/L_ρ .

(c) There is a unique representation $\pi_\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H_\rho)$ such that $\pi_\rho(a)(b + L) := ab + L$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

(d) $\pi_\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H_\rho)$ is a cyclic representation.

11.18 (Representations of $C_0(\Omega)$). Let $\mathcal{A} = C_0(\Omega)$ and μ be a state on \mathcal{A} , a regular Borel probability measure on Ω .

(a) The left kernel of μ is $L_\mu = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : f|_{\text{supp } \mu} = 0\}$.

(b) The quotient is $\mathcal{A}/L_\mu \cong C(\text{supp } \mu)$ so that $H_\mu = L^2(\text{supp } \mu, \mu)$.

(c) The canonical cyclic vector is the unity function.

11.19 (Representations of $K(H)$).

11.20 (Kadison transitivity theorem).

11.21 (Left ideals).

11.22 (Primitive ideals).

11.23 (Hull-kernel topology).

Exercises

11.24. Let \mathcal{B} be a hereditary C^* -subalgebra of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Let $a \in \mathcal{A}^+$. If for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $b \in \mathcal{B}^+$ such that $a - \varepsilon \leq b$, then $a \in \mathcal{B}^+$.

Proof. To catch the idea, suppose \mathcal{A} is abelian. We want to approximate a by the elements of \mathcal{B} in norm. To do this, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we want to construct $b' \in \mathcal{B}^+$ such that $a - \varepsilon \leq b' \leq a + \varepsilon$ using b . Taking $b' = \min\{a, b\}$ is impossible in non-abelian case, but we can put $b' = \frac{a}{b+\varepsilon} b$. For a simpler proof, $b' = (\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{\varepsilon}})^2$ is a better choice.

Define

$$b' := \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} a \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}}.$$

Then,

$$\|\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{a} \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}}\|^2 = \|\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} a \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}}\| \leq \varepsilon$$

implies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} b' = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} \sqrt{a} \cdot \sqrt{a} \frac{\sqrt{b}}{\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{\varepsilon}} = \sqrt{a} \cdot \sqrt{a} = a.$$

□

11.25 (Operator monotone square). Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra in which the square function is operator monotone, that is, $0 \leq a \leq b$ implies $a^2 \leq b^2$ for any positive elements a and b in \mathcal{A} . We are going to show that \mathcal{A} is necessarily commutative. Let a and b denote arbitrary positive elements of \mathcal{A} .

- Show that $ab + ba \geq 0$.
- Let $ab = c + id$ where c and d are self adjoints. Show that $d^2 \leq c^2$.
- Suppose $\lambda > 0$ satisfies $\lambda d^2 \leq c^2$. Show that $c^2 d^2 + d^2 c^2 - 2\lambda d^4 \geq 0$.
- Show that $\lambda(cd + dc)^2 \leq (c^2 - d^2)^2$.
- Show that $\sqrt{\lambda^2 + 2\lambda - 1} \cdot d^2 \leq c^2$ and deduce $d = 0$.
- Extend the result for general exponent: \mathcal{A} is commutative if $f(x) = x^\beta$ is operator monotone for $\beta > 1$.

11.26 (States on unitization). Let \mathcal{A} and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ be a C^* -algebra and its unitization respectively. Let $\tilde{\rho} = \rho \oplus \lambda$ be a bounded linear functional on $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, where $\rho \in \mathcal{A}^*$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}$.

- $\tilde{\rho}$ is positive if and only if $\lambda \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \rho \leq \lambda$.
- $\tilde{\rho}$ is a state if and only if $\lambda = 1$ and ρ is positive with $\|\rho\| \leq 1$.
- $\tilde{\rho}$ is a pure state if and only if $\lambda = 1$ and ρ is either a pure state or zero.

Problems

- *1. A C^* -algebra is commutative if and only if a function $f(x) = x(1+x)^{-1}$ is operator subadditive.

Chapter 12

Von Neumann algebras

12.1 Von Neumann algebras

12.1 (Von Neumann algebras). A C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} is called a *von Neumann algebra* if there is a isometric $*$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ for a Hilbert space H whose image is closed in the weak operator topology.

12.2 (Vigier theorem). Increasing bounded net is convergent in strong operator topology. The boundedness is important because we have to construct a bounded sesquilinear form using the monotone convergence in \mathbb{R} .

12.3 (Bicommutant theorem). Let \mathcal{A} be a non-degenerate C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$.

- (a) \mathcal{A}' and \mathcal{A}'' are weakly closed.
- (b) For $a \in \mathcal{A}''$ and $\xi \in H$, there is a sequence $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a_n(\xi) \rightarrow a(\xi)$.
- (c) For $a \in \mathcal{A}''$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m \in H$, there is a sequence $a_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a_n(\xi_i) \rightarrow a(\xi_i)$ for all i .
- (d) \mathcal{A} is von Neumann algebra if and only if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}''$.

Proof. (b) Let $K := \overline{\mathcal{A}\xi}$ be the cyclic subspace of ξ in H and p its orthogonal projection. We claim $a\xi \in K$. For every $b \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $bK \subset K$ because the multiplication by b is continuous on H , and $b^*K \subset K$ because \mathcal{A} is self-adjoint. It means that K reduces all $b \in \mathcal{A}$, and then $bp = pb$ implies $ap = pa$, so K also reduces a . Therefore, $aK \subset K$ proves $a\xi = \lim_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} a\xi \in K$, where e_{α} is an approximate identity of \mathcal{A} .

(e) Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{wot}}$ is closed convex, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{sot}} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{wot}}$. Also, \mathcal{A}'' is weakly closed, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{wot}} \subset \mathcal{A}''$. □

12.4 (Kaplansky density theorem).

12.2 Borel functional calculus

resolution of identity normal operator theories: multiplicity, invariant subspaces L^{∞} representation

12.5 (Borel functional calculus). Let \mathcal{A} be a von Neumann algebra.

$$B^{\infty}(\sigma(a)) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}.$$

- (a) The Borel functional calculus is in general not injective.
- (b) If we endow the topology of pointwise convergence on $B^{\infty}(\sigma(a))$ and the strong operator topology on \mathcal{A} , then the Borel functional calculus is continuous.
- (c) not isometric, even if it is injective.

(d) Every von Neumann algebra is the closed span of projections.

12.6. (b) By the bounded convergence theorem.

(d) This is because $\sigma(a) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is compact so that it is separable and metrizable; every bounded measurable function is a pointwise limit of simple functions.

12.3 Factors and traces

Every trace of factor is faithful

12.7. Normal states is a state in which the monotone convergence theorem holds. Precisely, a state ρ is *normal* if a monotone net a_α strongly converges to a then $\rho(a_\alpha) \rightarrow \rho(a)$.