## Harmonic Analysis

Ikhan Choi

June 30, 2023

## **Contents**

Ι	Fourier analysis	3
1	Fourier series1.1 Fourier series in $L^p$ spaces1.2 Summability methods1.3 Pointwise convergence of Fourier series	2
2	Fourier transform2.1 Fourier transform in $L^p$ space	7
3		9
II	Singular integral operators	10
	4.1 Convolution type operators 4.2 Truncated integrals 4.3 Hilbert transform 4.4 $A_p$ weights 4.5 Bounded mean oscillation  Littlewood-Paley theory	13 12 12 13 13
	<ul><li>5.1 Littlewood-Paley decomposition</li><li>5.2 Multiplier theorems</li></ul>	14 14
6	Almost orthogonality 6.1 Coltar lemma	15 15 15
II	I Oscillatory integral operators	16
7	Oscillatory integrals	17
8	Foureir restriction	19
9		20

IV	Pseudo-differential operators	21
	Pseudo-differential calculus 10.1	<b>22</b> 22
	Semiclassical analysis         11.1 Heisenberg group          11.2 Phase space transforms	
12	Microlocal analysis	28

# Part I Fourier analysis

## Fourier series

#### 1.1 Fourier series in $L^p$ spaces

1.1.

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{1,1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Inversion theorem is an approximation problem given by  $\mathcal{F}^*\mathcal{F}=\lim_{n\to\infty}\mathcal{F}_n^*\mathcal{F}$ . The condition  $\widehat{f}\in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  is a condition just for defining  $\mathcal{F}^*\widehat{f}$  without using distribution theory, and it does not affect the inversion phenomena. The approximation, in other words, can be seen as an extension method for  $\mathcal{F}^*:\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})\to C(\mathbb{T})$  on  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  on  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$  cannot be bounded directly without distribution theory, but  $\mathcal{F}_n^*\mathcal{F}$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  can be bounded well.

#### 1.2 Summability methods

- If  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  is the standard partial sum, then  $\mathcal{F}_n^*\mathcal{F}$  is the Dirichlet kernel.
- If  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  is the Cesàro mean, then  $\mathcal{F}_n^*\mathcal{F}$  is the Fejér kernel.
- If  $\mathcal{F}_r^*$  is the Abel sum, then  $\mathcal{F}_r^*\mathcal{F}$  is the Poisson kernel.
- In Fourier transform, we often use the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel.

The injectivity of  $\mathcal F$  is not an easy problem, which comes from the inversion theorem.

**1.2** (Dirichlet kernel). The *Dirichlet kernel* is a function  $D_n: \mathbf{T} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$D_n = \widehat{\mathbf{1}_{|k| \le n}}$$
, or equivalently,  $\widehat{D_n} = \mathbf{1}_{|k| \le n}$ .

This is because they are invariant under inverse, in other words, they are even.

(a)  $D_n(x) = \frac{\sin \frac{2n+1}{2} x}{\sin \frac{1}{2} x}.$ 

(b) If  $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbf{T})$ , then  $D_n * f \to f$  pointwisely as  $n \to \infty$ .

(c)  $||D_n||_{L^1(\mathbf{T})} \gtrsim \log n.$ 

Proof.

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} e^{ikx}$$

$$= \frac{e^{i\frac{2n+1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{2n+1}{2}x}}{e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}}$$

$$= \frac{\sin\frac{2n+1}{2}x}{\sin\frac{1}{2}x}.$$

(c) By (2)  $\sin x \le x$  for  $x \in [0, \pi/2]$ , (3) change of variable,

$$||D_n||_{L^1(\mathbf{T})} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\frac{\sin\frac{2n+1}{2}x}{\sin\frac{1}{2}x}| dx$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{|\sin\frac{2n+1}{2}x|}{x} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\frac{2n+1}{2}\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \int_{\frac{k}{2}\pi}^{\frac{k+1}{2}\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} \frac{\sin x}{\frac{k+1}{2}\pi} dx$$

$$\geq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{1}{1+k}$$

$$\geq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log(2n+2).$$

..?

**1.3** (Fejér kernel). The Fejér kernel is

(a)

$$K_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{n+1}{2} x}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} x}.$$

Proof. Since

$$\begin{split} D_n(x) &= \frac{e^{i\frac{2n+1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{2n+1}{2}x}}{e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}} \\ &= \frac{\left[e^{i\frac{2n+1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{2n+1}{2}x}\right] \left[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}\right]}{\left[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}\right]^2} \\ &= \frac{\left[e^{i(n+1)x} + e^{-i(n+1)x}\right] - \left[e^{inx} + e^{-inx}\right]}{\left[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}\right]^2}, \end{split}$$

by telescoping, we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=0}^{n} D_k(x) &= \frac{\left[e^{i(n+1)x} + e^{-i(n+1)x}\right] - \left[e^{i0x} + e^{-i0x}\right]}{\left[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}\right]^2} \\ &= \frac{\left[e^{i\frac{n+1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{n+1}{2}x}\right]^2}{\left[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}\right]^2} \\ &= \frac{\sin^2\frac{n+1}{2}x}{\sin^2\frac{1}{2}x}. \end{split}$$

Two important results from Fejér kernel:

- 1. If f(x-), f(x+) exist and  $S_n f(x)$  converges, then  $S_n f(x) \to \frac{1}{2} (f(x-) + f(x+))$ .
- 2. (If  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ , then  $\sigma_n f \to f$  a.e.)
- 3. If  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ , then  $S_n f \to f$  in  $L^1$  and  $L^2$ .
- 4. If f is continuous and  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $S_n f \to f$  uniformly.
- 5. Since  $\sigma_n f$  is a trigonometric polynomial, the set of trigonometric polynomials are dense in  $L^1(\mathbf{T})$  and  $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ .

### 1.3 Pointwise convergence of Fourier series

BV function: Dini, Jordan's criterion

1.4 (Riemann localization principle).

#### **Exercises**

1.5 (Gibbs phenomenon).

1.6 (Du Bois-Reymond function).

**1.7** (Compactly supported functions). Let f be an integrable compactly supported function. Using the Morera to prove  $\hat{f}$  is analytic.

## Fourier transform

#### 2.1 Fourier transform in $L^p$ space

There are three conventions for the Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) := egin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx, \ (2\pi)^{-rac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi ix\xi} f(x) dx. \end{cases}$$

We will accept the second one.

2.1 (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma). basic estimates

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})}, \qquad f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$$
$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{d+1,1}}(\mathbb{R}^{d}), \qquad f \in W^{d+1,1}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$$

Lp extension

**2.2** (Fourier inversion). inversion theorem Plancerel

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \qquad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

unitarity

**2.3** (Properties). If  $D := -i\partial$ , then

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}D_x\mathcal{F}^* &= M_\xi, \qquad D_\xi\mathcal{F} = -\mathcal{F}M_x. \\ \mathcal{F}(fg) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}\widehat{f} * \widehat{g}. \end{split}$$

### 2.2 Tempered distributions

A routine of Fourier transform computation of  $f \in S'$ :

- 1. Choose a sequence  $f_n \in L^1$  such that  $f_n \to f$  in S'.
- 2. Write  $\mathcal{F}f_n$  in the integral form.
- 3. Compute the limit of  $\mathcal{F}f_n$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

Since  $g_n \to g$  pointwise implies  $g_n \to g$  in S' if the sequence  $g_n$  is dominated by a locally integrable with polynomial growth, we can frequently check the pointwise limit instead of S'.

Methods: approximate identity, indented contour, imaginary shift, Feynman's trick

Examples: 
$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$$
,  $e^{\frac{i}{2}x^2}$ , p.v.  $\frac{1}{x}$ , sgn(x), 1,  $\delta(x)$ , sinc( $\frac{x}{2}$ ),  $1_{[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]}$ ,  $\frac{1}{1+x^2}$ 

#### **Exercises**

**2.4** (Sampling theorem).

$$\mathcal{F}\mathbf{1}_{[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}]}(\xi) = \operatorname{sinc}(\xi/2)$$

 $\operatorname{sinc} \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}).$ 

- 2.5 (Poisson summation formula).
- **2.6** (Uncertainty principle).
- **2.7.** Gaussian function computation: differential equation method, contour integral method, imaginary shift

$$\mathcal{F}(e^{-\frac{1}{2}xQx}) = \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}\operatorname{sgn}(Q)}}{|\det Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}xQ^{-1}x}.$$

**2.8** (Multipole expansion). Let  $\rho$  be a compactly supported distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We want to investigate the limit behavior of  $\rho(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . More precisely, we want to compute an integer  $k \ge d$  such that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \varepsilon^{-k} \rho(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$  defines a distribution supported at  $\{0\}$ , and the coefficients of derivatives of Dirac measures.

We need to introduce quantities called monopole, dipole, quadrapole, octupole, etc.

(a) A distribution supported on {0} is a linear combination of the Dirac measure and its derivatives.

(b)

#### **Problems**

1. Find all  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-\alpha} \int_0^x f(y) \, dy = 0$$

for all  $f \in L^3([0, \infty))$ .

# Part II Singular integral operators

## Calderón-Zygmund theory

#### 4.1 Convolution type operators

4.1 (Calderón-Zygmund decomposition).

**4.2** (Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of sets). Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $E_n f$  be the conditional expectation with repect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by dyadic cubes with side length  $2^{-n}$ . Let  $Mf := \sup_n E_n |f|$  be the maximal function, and let  $\Omega := \{x : Mf(x) > \lambda\}$  for fixed  $\lambda > 0$ . For  $x \in \Omega$  let  $Q_x$  be the maximal dyadic cube such that  $x \in Q_x$  and

$$\frac{1}{|Q_x|}\int_{Q_x}|f|>\lambda.$$

- (a)  $\{Q_x : x \in \Omega\}$  is a countable partition of  $\Omega$ .
- (b) We have an weak type estimate  $|\Omega| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} ||f||_{L^1}$ .
- (c)  $||f||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d\setminus\Omega)} \leq \lambda$ .
- (d) For  $x \in \Omega$

$$\frac{1}{|Q_x|} \int_{Q_x} |f| \le 2^d \lambda.$$

4.3 (Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of functions). Let

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} |f(x)| & , x \notin \Omega \\ \frac{1}{|Q_x|} \int_{Q_x} |f| & , x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

and  $b_i := (|f| - g)\chi_{Q_i}$  so that |f| = g + b where  $b = \sum_i b_i$ .

- (a)  $||g||_{L^1} = ||f||_{L^1}$  and  $||g||_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim_d \lambda$ .
- (b)  $||b||_{L^1} \le 2||f||_{L^1}$  and  $\int b_i = 0$ .

**4.4** ( $L^p$  boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators). Let  $T: C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a *singular integral* operator of convolution type in the sense that there is a function  $K \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that Tf(x) = K \* f(x) for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , whenever  $x \notin \text{supp } f$ . We say T is called a *Calderón-Zygmund* operator if

(i) T is  $L^2$ -bounded: we have

$$||Tf||_{L^2} \lesssim ||f||_{L^2},$$

(ii) T satisfies the Hörmander condition: we have

$$\int_{|x|>2|y|} |K(x-y)-K(x)| \, dx \lesssim 1$$

for every y > 0.

Let  $f = g + b = g + \sum_i b_i$  be the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, and let  $\Omega^* := \bigcup_i Q_i^*$  where  $Q_i^*$  is the cube with the same center as  $Q_i$  and whose sides are  $2\sqrt{d}$  times longer.

(a) The  $L^2$ -boundedness implies

$$|\{x: |Tg(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}| \lesssim_d \frac{1}{\lambda} ||f||_{L^1}.$$

(b) The Hörmander condition implies

$$|\{x: |Tb(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \setminus \Omega^*| \lesssim_d \frac{1}{\lambda} ||f||_{L^1}.$$

(c)

Proof. (a) Using the Chebyshev inequality and the Hölder inequality,

$$|\{x: |Tg(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}| \le \frac{4}{\lambda^2} ||Tg||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \le \frac{4C}{\lambda^2} ||g||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \le \frac{4C}{\lambda^2} ||g||_{L^1(\Omega)} ||g||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}.$$

(b) Write

$$|\{x: |Tb(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \setminus \Omega^*| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega^*} |Tb(x)| \, dx \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega^*_i} |Tb_i(x)| \, dx.$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_i^*$  does not belong to supp  $b_i \subset Q_i$  and  $\int b_i = 0$ , we have

$$Tb_{i}(x) = \int_{Q_{i}} K(x - y)b_{i}(y) dy = \int_{Q_{i}} [K(x - y) - K(x)]b_{i}(y) dy,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_i^*} |Tb_i(x)| \, dx = \int_{Q_i} |b_i(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_i^*} |K(x-y) - K(x)| \, dx \, dy \lesssim ||b_i||_{L^1}.$$

(We need to show it is valid even though  $b_i$  is not smooth)

(c)

4.5 (Hölder boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators).

### 4.2 Truncated integrals

Homogeneous kernels

#### 4.3 Hilbert transform

- **4.6** (Harmonic conjugate).
- 4.7 (Kernel representation).
- **4.8** (Fourier series in  $L^p$  space).

### 4.4 $A_p$ weights

#### 4.5 Bounded mean oscillation

#### **Exercises**

**4.9** (Size and cancellation condition). Let  $K \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We say the condition  $|K(x)| \lesssim |x|^{-d}$  for  $x \neq 0$  as the *size condition*, and say the condition  $\int_{r < |x| < R} K(x) \, dx = 0$  for all  $0 < r < R < \infty$  as the *cancellation condition*. If K satisfies the size, cancellation, and Hörmander condition, then it is  $L^2$  bounded, hence Calderón-Zygmund.

**4.10** (Gradient size condition). Let  $|\nabla K(x)| \lesssim |x|^{-d-1}$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Then, convolution with K is a Calderón-Zygmund operator.

4.11 (Riesz potential).

# **Littlewood-Paley theory**

- 5.1 Littlewood-Paley decomposition
- 5.2 Multiplier theorems

# **Almost orthogonality**

Carleson measures, paraproducts

- 6.1 Coltar lemma
- **6.2** T(1) theorem

# Part III Oscillatory integral operators

## Oscillatory integrals

**7.1** (Justification of oscillatory integral). For a function  $\phi$  with fast growth toward infinity, we want to define a linear functional  $I_{\phi}$  such that

$$I_{\phi}(a) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\phi(x)} a(x) dx, \qquad a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

A linear functional of the above form is called the *oscillatory integral* with *phase function*  $\phi$ . As a notation, we will use the above integral in the right-hand side to denote the value of  $I_{\phi}$  even for  $a \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, we have pointwise justifications for integral calculus.

- (a)  $I_{\phi}: A_{\delta}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) \to \mathbb{C}$  is well-defined and continuous, if  $\phi$ .
- (b) The change of variables is justified as follows:
- (c) The integral by parts is justified as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\phi(y)} i\partial \phi(y) a(x+y) dy = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\phi(y)} \partial a(x+y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ a \in A^m_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- (d) The Fubini theorem is justified as follows:
- (e) The Fourier inversion is justified as follows:

$$a(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(y) \, dy \, d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ a \in A^m_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Proof.* (a) Note that  $A^m_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $A^m_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The most difficult part is the construction and the computation of L and its transpose.

(e) Note that the function 
$$(y, \xi) \mapsto a(y)$$
 belongs to  $A_{\delta}^{m'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  since

**7.2** (Point evaluation of multiplier). Let  $\phi \in$  be a phase function. We want to show the following point evaluation holds with previously justified oscillatory integral:

$$\Phi(D)a(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\phi(y)} a(x+y) \, dy, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ a \in A^m_{\delta}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\Phi := \mathcal{F}^* e^{i\phi}$ . Which condition for  $\phi$  makes  $\Phi$  be able to act on  $\mathcal{S}'$  by multiplication?

7.3 (Stationary phase approximation).

$$\square$$

7.4 (Van der Corput lemma).

Dispersive equations and strichartz estimates

#### **Exercises**

**7.5** (Fresnel phase). We compute L with a specific example

Proof.

$$(1+xQ^{-1}D)e^{\frac{i}{2}xQx} = \langle x \rangle^2 e^{\frac{i}{2}xQx}.$$

The transpose of  $\langle x \rangle^{-2} (1 + xQ^{-1}D)$  is  $\langle x \rangle^{-2} (1 + di - 2ix^2 - xD)$  for Q = I.

Note that  $\langle x \rangle^{-2n} \langle D \rangle^{2n}$  is self-adjoint.

Let Q be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Consider a multiplier operator  $e^{\frac{i}{2}DQD}$ :  $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}DQD}a(x) := \mathcal{F}^* e^{\frac{i}{2}\xi Q\xi} \mathcal{F}a(x).$$

(a) The pointwise evaluation is given by the oscillatory integral.

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}DQD}a(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}} \operatorname{sgn}(Q)}{|\det Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{i}{2}yQ^{-1}y} a(x+y) \, dy, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ a \in A^m_{\delta}.$$

(b) 
$$e^{\frac{i}{2}DQD}a(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{i^{k}}{2^{k}k!} (DQD)^{k}a(x) + r_{n}(x)$$

## **Foureir restriction**

Kakeya Bochner-Riesz Geometric measure theory

# Part IV Pseudo-differential operators

## Pseudo-differential calculus

#### 10.1

**10.1** (Hörmander symbol classes). Let  $m, \rho, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Hörmander class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  of symbols is the set of smooth functions  $a \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_x \times \mathbb{R}^d_{\varepsilon})$  such that

$$|\partial_x^{\alpha}\partial_{\varepsilon}^{\beta}a(x,\xi)| \lesssim_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m+\delta|\alpha|-\rho|\beta|}$$

for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ 

(a) Fréchet space

**10.2** (Asymptotic expansion). Let  $\rho, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $a_k \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_k}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  for a sequence  $(m_k)_{k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $m_0$  and  $m_k \downarrow -\infty$ . We want to construct  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_0}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  such that

$$a - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \in \mathcal{S}_{\rho,\delta}^{m_n}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}). \tag{\dagger}$$

The symbol  $a_0$  is called the *principal symbol* of a, or the operator  $\operatorname{Op}^t(a)$ .

Let  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d_\xi,[0,1])$  be a cutoff function such that

$$\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\xi| \le 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } |\xi| \ge 2 \end{cases}.$$

- (a) If  $a \in S^m_{\rho,\delta}$ , then  $\chi(\varepsilon\xi)a(x,\xi)$  is uniformly bounded in  $S^m_{\rho,\delta}$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  if  $\rho \le 1$ .
- (b) There is  $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_0}$  such that (†) if  $\rho \le 1$ .

*Proof.* (a) On the support of  $\xi \mapsto \chi(\varepsilon \xi)$  holds  $\langle \xi \rangle < 2|\xi| \le 4\varepsilon^{-1}$  because  $1 < \varepsilon^{-1}$ , so for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^d$  we have

$$\begin{split} |\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}(\chi(\varepsilon\xi)a(x,\xi))| &= |\sum_{\tau}\binom{\beta}{\tau}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta-\tau}(\chi(\varepsilon\xi))\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\tau}a(x,\xi)| \\ &= |\sum_{\tau}\binom{\beta}{\tau}\varepsilon^{|\beta|-|\tau|}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta-\tau}\chi(\varepsilon\xi)\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\tau}a(x,\xi)| \\ &(\because \langle \xi \rangle \leq 4\varepsilon^{-1}) \quad \leq \sum_{\tau}\binom{\beta}{\tau}(4\langle \xi \rangle^{-1})^{|\beta|-|\tau|}|\partial_{\xi}^{\beta-\tau}\chi(\varepsilon\xi)||\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\tau}a(x,\xi)| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\tau}\binom{\beta}{\tau}\langle \xi \rangle^{-(|\beta|-|\tau|)}\langle \xi \rangle^{m+\delta|\alpha|-\rho|\tau|} \\ &(\because \rho \leq 1) \quad \leq \langle \xi \rangle^{m+\delta|\alpha|-\rho|\beta|}. \end{split}$$

(b) Because we have  $\varepsilon^{-1} \leq \langle \xi \rangle$  on the support of  $1 - \chi(\varepsilon \xi)$ , for each k we can take a sequence  $\varepsilon_k$  small enough such that

$$\max_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{d}\\|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq k}} |\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{\xi}^{\beta}((1-\chi(\varepsilon_{k}\xi))a_{k}(x,\xi))| \leq 2^{-k}\langle\xi\rangle^{m_{k}+1+\delta|\alpha|-\rho|\beta|}.$$

We may assume  $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$  so that the following sum is locally finite:

$$a(x,\xi) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x,\xi).$$

If we choose *n* such that  $m_0 \ge m_n + 1$ , then in the expansion

$$a(x,\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x,\xi) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x,\xi)$$

the first sum clearly belongs to  $S_{\rho,\delta}^{m_0}$  and so is the second sum because

$$\begin{split} |\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_\xi^{\beta} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x, \xi)| &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_{k+1} + 1 + \delta |\alpha| - \rho |\beta|} \\ &\leq \langle \xi \rangle^{m_n + 1 + \delta |\alpha| - \rho |\beta|} \\ &\leq \langle \xi \rangle^{m_0 + \delta |\alpha| - \rho |\beta|} \end{split}$$

for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d$ . Therefore,  $a \in S_{\alpha,\delta}^{m_0}$ .

Write

$$(a-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}a_k)(x,\xi)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\chi(\varepsilon_k\xi)a_k(x,\xi)+\sum_{k=n}^{\infty}(1-\chi(\varepsilon_k\xi))a_k(x,\xi).$$

The first sum belongs to  $S^{-\infty}$  because it is compactly supported, and we can also show that the second sum belongs to  $S^{m_n}_{\rho,\delta}$  by decomposing with n' such that  $m_n \ge m'_n + 1$  and by considering the multiplication with a cutoff remains in the same symbol class.

**10.3** (Quantization). For a symbol a defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and  $t \in [0,1]$ , we want to define a pseudo-differential operator  $\operatorname{Op}^t(a)$  such that

$$Op^{t}(a)f(x) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a((1-t)x + ty, \xi)f(y) \, dy \, d\xi, \qquad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$$

The operator  $\operatorname{Op}^t(a)$  is the *t*-quantization of the symbol a. The analysis of *t*-quantizations is sometimes called the *Kohn-Nirenberg calculus* for t=0, the *Weyl calculus* for  $t=\frac{1}{2}$ .

- (a)  $\operatorname{Op}^0(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is well-defined and continuous, if  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2 d)$ .
- (b)  $\operatorname{Op}^0(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is well-defined and continuous, if  $a \in S^m_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  for  $\delta \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* (b) Since  $(D_y)^2$  is a self-adjoint partial differential operator, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  we have

$$\operatorname{Op^{0}(a)} f(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,\xi) f(y) \, dy \, d\xi$$

$$(\because D_{y} e^{i(x-y)\xi} = \xi e^{i(x-y)\xi}) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \langle D_{y} \rangle^{2n} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x,\xi) f(y) \, dy \, d\xi$$

$$(\because \operatorname{IBP}) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} a(x,\xi) \langle D_{y} \rangle^{2n} f(y) \, dy \, d\xi.$$

The derivatives of the integrand is integrable with respect to  $\xi$  for a sufficiently large n with  $m + |\beta| - 2n < -d$  because

$$\begin{split} |\partial_x^\beta (e^{i(x-y)\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} a(x,\xi) \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y))| \\ &= |\sum_\tau \binom{\beta}{\tau} (i\xi)^{\beta-\tau} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \partial_x^\tau a(x,\xi) \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)| \\ &\leq \sum_\tau \binom{\beta}{\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{|\beta|-|\tau|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} |\partial_x^\tau a(x,\xi)| |\langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)| \\ (\because a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m) &\lesssim \sum_\tau \binom{\beta}{\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{|\beta|-|\tau|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \langle \xi \rangle^{m+\delta|\tau|} |\langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)| \\ (\because \delta \leq 1) &\lesssim \langle \xi \rangle^{m+|\beta|-2n} |\langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)|, \end{split}$$

so the partial derivative  $\partial_x$  commutes with the integral. Since

$$x^{\alpha}e^{i(x-y)\xi} = (y+D_{\xi})^{\alpha}e^{i(x-y)\xi} = \sum_{\sigma} {\alpha \choose \sigma} y^{\alpha-\sigma}D_{\xi}^{\sigma}e^{i(x-y)\xi},$$

we have an expansion

$$\begin{split} x^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\operatorname{Op^{0}}(a)f(x) &= x^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}e^{i(x-y)\xi}\langle\xi\rangle^{-2n}a(x,\xi)\langle D_{y}\rangle^{2n}f(y))\,dy\,d\xi\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}x^{\alpha}\partial_{x}^{\beta}(e^{i(x-y)\xi}\langle\xi\rangle^{-2n}a(x,\xi)\langle D_{y}\rangle^{2n}f(y))\,dy\,d\xi\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\sum_{\sigma,\tau}\binom{\alpha}{\sigma}\binom{\beta}{\tau}y^{\alpha-\sigma}D_{\xi}^{\sigma}e^{i(x-y)\xi}(i\xi)^{\beta-\tau}\langle\xi\rangle^{-2n}\partial_{x}^{\tau}a(x,\xi)\langle D_{y}\rangle^{2n}f(y)\,dy\,d\xi\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}}\sum_{\sigma,\tau}\binom{\alpha}{\sigma}\binom{\beta}{\tau}e^{i(x-y)\xi}(-D_{\xi})^{\sigma}[(i\xi)^{\beta-\tau}\langle\xi\rangle^{-2n}\partial_{x}^{\tau}a(x,\xi)]y^{\alpha-\sigma}\langle D_{y}\rangle^{2n}f(y)\,dy\,d\xi. \end{split}$$

Here

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |(-D_{\xi})^{\sigma} [(i\xi)^{\beta-\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \partial_x^{\tau} a(x,\xi)]|$$

is integrable with respect to  $\xi$  for sufficiently large n, so with this n we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^{\alpha} \partial_x^{\beta} \operatorname{Op}^0(a) f(x)| \lesssim \sum_{\alpha \leq \alpha} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |y^{\alpha - \sigma} \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)|$$

for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d_{\geq 0}$  and all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which implies  $\operatorname{Op}^0(a) f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

**10.4** (Change of quantization). Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , .

- (a)  $\operatorname{Op}^t(a) = \operatorname{Op}^0(e^{itD_xD_{\xi}}a)$ . In particular, since  $M_{e^{itx\xi}}: \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $\operatorname{Op}^t(a): \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \to \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  is well-defined and continuous.
- (b)  $a \in S^m_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  if and only if  $e^{itD_xD_\xi}a \in S^m_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , if  $0 \le \delta \le \rho \le 1$  and  $\delta < 1$ .
- (c) We have the formal adjoint

$$\operatorname{Op}^{t}(a)^{*} = \operatorname{Op}^{1-t}(\overline{a}).$$

In particular,  $\operatorname{Op}^t(a): \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is well-defined and continuous for  $a \in S^m_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .

Proof. (a) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Op}^{t}(a)f(x) &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a((1-t)x + ty, \xi) f(y) \, dy \, d\xi \\ &(\because \operatorname{Inversion on } \mathbb{R}^{2d}) &= (2\pi)^{-3d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} e^{i((1-t)x + ty)x^* + i\xi\xi^*} \widehat{a}(x^*, \xi^*) f(y) \, dx^* \, d\xi^* \, dy \, d\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^{-3d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} e^{i(x-y + \xi^*)\xi} \widehat{a}(x^*, \xi^*) e^{i((1-t)x + ty)x^*} f(y) \, dx^* \, d\xi^* \, dy \, d\xi \end{aligned} \\ &(\because \operatorname{Inversion on } \mathbb{R}^d) &= -(2\pi)^{-2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \widehat{a}(x^*, y - x) e^{i((1-t)x + ty)x^*} f(y) \, dx^* \, dy \end{aligned} \\ &(\because [\xi^*/y - x]) &= -(2\pi)^{-2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x + t\xi^*)x^*} \widehat{a}(x^*, \xi^*) f(x + \xi^*) \, dx^* \, d\xi^*. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have the oscillatory integral

$$e^{itD_xD_\xi}a(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-d}|t|^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-it^{-1}y\eta}a(x+y,\xi+\eta)\,dy\,d\eta.$$

Enough to show

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-it^{-1}y\eta} a(x+y,\xi+\eta) \, dy \, d\eta \right| \lesssim \langle \xi \rangle^m.$$

Fix  $\xi$  and  $\delta \leq \rho$ 

**10.5** (Moyal product). Let  $a \in S^m_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and  $b \in S^l_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .

(a) there exists a unique function  $a\#^t b \in S^{m+l}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  such that

$$a^{t}(x,D)b^{t}(x,D) = (a\#^{t}b)^{t}(x,D).$$

(b) It is concretely described by

$$(a\#^t b)(x,\xi) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} e^{-i(y\eta - z\zeta)} a(x+tz,\xi+\eta) b((1-t)y+x,\xi+\zeta) \, dy \, d\eta \, dz \, d\zeta.$$

(c) If  $\delta < \rho$ , then

$$a^{\#t}b(x,\xi) \sim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \frac{1}{i^k k!} (\partial_y \partial_\eta - \partial_z \partial_\zeta)^k a((1-t)x + tz, \eta) b(tx + (1-t)y, \zeta) \Big|_{\substack{y=z=x\\ \eta=\zeta=\xi}}.$$

10.6 (Parametirx and elliptic operators).

10.7 (Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem).

#### **Exercises**

Quantization of linera and quadratic exponential symbols.

## Semiclassical analysis

We define for h > 0 and  $t \in [0, 1]$ 

$$\operatorname{Op}_{h}^{t}(a)f(x) := (2\pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\xi} a((1-t)x + ty, \xi) f(y) \, dy \, d\xi, \qquad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d}).$$

$$\operatorname{Op}_h^w(D_x a) = [D_x, \operatorname{Op}_h^w(a)], \qquad \operatorname{Op}_h^w(hD_\xi a) = -[x, \operatorname{Op}_h^w(a)].$$

For example, regardless of h > 0 and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$Op(\xi)\psi(x) = hD\psi(x) = -ih\psi'(x)$$

and

$$Op(H)\psi(x) = -\frac{h^2}{2m}\Delta\psi(x) + V(x)\psi(x),$$

where

$$H(x,\xi) := \frac{|\xi|^2}{2m} + V(x).$$

In physics, the operator Op(H) is frequently written as  $\hat{H}$ , which will not be used to avoid the confusion regarding the Fourier transform.

$$\frac{d}{dt}a(t) = \{a(t), H\} = X_H a(t)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\hat{a}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}t\hat{H}}\hat{a}e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}t\hat{H}} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}[\hat{a}(t), \hat{H}]$$

Let  $J: \mathbb{R}^{2d} \to \mathbb{R}^{2d}: (x,\xi) \mapsto (\xi,-x)$  be a symplectomorphism, the rotation of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  in *clock-wise*. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_h^* \operatorname{Op}_h^w(a) \mathcal{F}_h = \operatorname{Op}_h^w(J^*a).$$

Also,

$$[Op_h^w(a), Op_h^w(b)] = Op_h^w(-ih\{a, b\}) + O(h^2).$$

Since the Weyl quantization has a bound

$$\|\operatorname{Op}_{h}^{w}(a)\|_{B(L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d}))} \lesssim \|a\|_{C_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} + O(h^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad a \in C_{b}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cap S_{\rho,\delta}^{m}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

for a bounded net  $f_h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the positive linear functional  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  defined by

$$a \mapsto \langle \operatorname{Op}_h^w(a) f_h, f_h \rangle, \qquad a \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cap S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$$

has a limit point in the weak\* topology. If a finite Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  is a limit, then  $\mu$  is called a *semicalssical defect* of the net  $f_h$ .

Let p be a symbol such that  $|p(x,\xi)| \gtrsim \langle \xi \rangle^k$  for sufficiently large  $|\xi|$ . This symbol has an interpretation of the Hamiltonian. Suppose the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\|\operatorname{Op}_h^w(p)f_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}=0, \qquad \|f_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}=1.$$

Then, the support of any semicalssical defect measure  $\mu$  is contained in  $p^{-1}(0)$ , called the *characteristic variety* or the *zero energy surface* of the symbol p. We can understand this support restriction as saying that in the semiclassical limit  $h \to 0$  all the mass of solution coalesces onto a specific set in phase space. Also we have the flow invariance  $\{p,\mu\}=0$ , i.e.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \{p,a\} d\mu=0$  for all  $a\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , which means that  $\mu$  is invariant under the Hamiltonian flow generated by p.

#### 11.1 Heisenberg group

#### 11.2 Phase space transforms

# Microlocal analysis