

Noncommutative Algebraic Geometry

Ikhan Choi

Lectured by Izuru Mori

University of Tokyo, Autumn 2023

November 27, 2023

1 Algebras

- 1987: Artin-Schelter, regular algebra.
- 1990: Artin-Tate-Bergh, three dimensional, geometrically classified.
- 1994: Artin-Zhang, noncommutative scheme, categorical perspective.

1.1

Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Examples of k -algebras include the free algebra $T := k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, which is noncommutative for $n \geq 2$. It consists of linear combinations of monomials, and there are 2^n monomials of degree n in T , and T is k -isomorphic to the tensor algebra constructed from n -dimensional vector space k^n . Note that $(x + y)^2 = x^2 + xy + yx + y^2$ in T . An algebra R is finitely generated if and only if $R \cong T/I$ for some n and some ideal I of T . If $n \geq 2$, then T is not right noetherian, $I = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i y R$ is a right ideal which is not finitely generated for example (not easy to show finitely generatedness). Is $k\langle x, y \rangle / (yx, y^2)$ noetherian? It is known that it is left noetherian, but not right noetherian.

1.2

Let R be a ring and let $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R)$. An additive map $\delta : R \rightarrow R$ is called a σ -derivation if $\delta(ab) = \sigma(a)\delta(b) + \delta(a)b$ for $a, b \in R$. We define a ring $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$, called the *Ore extension*, as an additive group $R[x]$ together with multiplication defined by

$$xa := \sigma(a)x + \delta(a), \quad a \in R.$$

Example 1.1.

(a) We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} (ax + b)(cx + d) &= axcx + axd + bcx + bd \\ &= a(\sigma(c)x + \delta(c))x + a(\sigma(d)x + \delta(d)) + bcx + bd \\ &= a\sigma(c)x^2 + (a\delta(c) + a\sigma(d) + bc)x + a\delta(d) + bd. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We have $R[x; \text{id}_R, 0] \cong R[x]$ as rings.

(c) If $\sigma(f(x)) := f(ax)$ for some non-zero $a \in k$, then $k[x][y; \sigma, 0] \cong k\langle x, y \rangle / (axy - yx)$ since $yx = \sigma(x)y - \delta(x) = axy$.

- (d) If $\delta(f(x)) := f'(x)$, then $k[x][y; \text{id}_{k[x]}, \delta] \cong k\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx + 1)$, called the *Weyl algebra*, since $yx = \sigma(x)y + \delta(x) = xy + 1$.
- (e) How can we find a k -automorphism σ of $k[x]$ and a σ -derivation δ such that $k\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx + x^2) \cong k[x][y; \sigma, \delta]$? What should $\delta(x^i)$ be? One answer is $\sigma = \text{id}_{k[x]}$ and $\delta(f(x)) = x^2 f'(x)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a ring and $S := R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ be an Ore extension.*

- (a) *If R is right noetherian, then so is S .*
- (b) *If R is a domain, then so is S .*
- (c) *If R is of finite global dimension, then so is S .*

As examples, we have $k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha xy - yx)$ and $\dim k\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx + 1)$ are noetherian domains of global dimensions 2 and 1, respectively. There is a result that left and right global dimensions coincide when R is two-sided noetherian.

1.3

Theorem 1.3. *If R is a k -algebra and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, then there is a unique k -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \rightarrow R$ such that $\varphi(x_i) = a_i$. If a k -algebra homomorphism $\varphi : S \rightarrow R$ satisfies $\varphi(I) = 0$ for an ideal I of S , then it factors through S/I .*

With the above theorem we can construct an k -algebra isomorphism $k[x] \cong k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2 - y)$. As an another example, for $\text{char } k \neq 2$, then

$$k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2 + y^2, xy + yx) = k\langle x + y, x - y \rangle / ((x + y)^2, (x - y)^2) \cong k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2 + y^2).$$

1.4

We now consider grading, a direct sum decomposition over a monoid. The free k -algebra $T = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ is \mathbb{N} -graded by degree. Let $A = \bigoplus A_i$ be a graded ring. We can define homogeneous ideals of A , and the quotient can be written as $A/I \cong \bigoplus A_i/I_i$, where $I_i := I \cap A_i$. Also, graded homomorphisms between graded rings or graded modules are able to be introduced. Let I and J be homogeneous ideal of $T_n := k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and $T_m := k\langle y_1, \dots, y_m \rangle$ such that $J_0 = J_1 = 0$. Then, a graded algebra homomorphism $\varphi : T_n \rightarrow T_m$ is uniquely determined by $\varphi(x_i) = a_{ij}y_j$ for $(a_{ij}) \in M_{nm}(k)$. Let $\text{GrAut}(A)$ be the group of graded algebra automorphisms of A . Then,

$$\text{GrAut}(T_n) \cong \text{GrAut}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \cong \text{GL}(n, k),$$

and if I is a homogeneous ideal of T_n such that $I_0 = I_1 = 0$, then $\text{GrAut}(T_n/I)$ is a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, k)$. For example, we have

$$\text{GrAut}(k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2)) \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, d \in k^\times \right\}$$

and for $\alpha \neq \pm 1$ we have

$$\text{GrAut}(k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha xy - yx)) \cong \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} : a, d \in k^\times \right\}$$

since $\alpha\varphi(x)\varphi(y) - \varphi(y)\varphi(x) = (\alpha - 1)(acx^2 + bdy^2) + (\alpha^2 - 1)bcxy$.

Fix $\theta \in \text{GrAut}(A)$. Define an algebra $A^\theta := A$ as sets and multiplication $a * b := a\theta^i(b)$ on A^θ for $a \in A_i$ and $b \in A$. It is called the *twist* of A by θ , and it is also graded. For example, if we let $A = k[x, y]$, then

$$\text{If } \theta = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } A^\theta \cong k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha xy - yx)$$

and

$$\text{If } \theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ then } A^\theta \cong k\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx + x^2).$$

Note that $\varphi(xy - yx) = (ad - bc)(xy - yx)$ if $\theta = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let A be a graded ring and $\theta \in \text{GrAut}(A)$.*

- (a) *If A is right noetherian, then so is A^θ .*
- (b) *If A is a domain, then so is A^θ .*
- (c) *If A is of finite global dimension, then so is A^θ .*

2 Quantum polynomial algebras

2.1

Today, let $A := k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / I$ be a finitely generated graded algebra such that I is a homogeneous ideal satisfying $I_0 = I_1 = 0$, i.e. I is an admissible ideal. Let M be a graded right A -module, $M_{\geq n} := \bigoplus_{i \geq n} M_i$ be a graded submodule of M , and $M(n)$ be a graded module such that $M(n) := M$ as a set but $M(n)_i := M_{n+i}$. With this notation, $\mathfrak{m} := A_{\geq 1}$ is the unique maximal homogeneous ideal of A . A free graded right A -module is a graded right A -module of the form $\bigoplus_s A(n_s)$. A finitely generated graded right A -module is free if and only if projective. A function $\varphi : A(l) \rightarrow A(m)$ is a graded right A -module homomorphism if and only if $\varphi = a \cdot$ for some $a \in A_{m-l}$. Therefore, between free right A -modules, $\varphi : \bigoplus A(l_s) \rightarrow \bigoplus A(m_t)$ is a graded right A -module homomorphism if and only if $\varphi = (a_{st}) \cdot$, for some $a_{st} \in A_{m_t - l_s}$. A free resolution

$$\dots \rightarrow F^2 \rightarrow F^1 \rightarrow F^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is called *minimal* if the map $\varphi_i : F^i \rightarrow F^{i-1}$ is given by the left multiplication of a matrix whose entries are in A_1 . We can define the projective dimension of a module as the minimal length of free resolution, and the global dimension of A as the supremum of the projective dimension of graded right A -modules.

Lemma 2.1. $\text{gldim} A = \text{pd}(k)$.

For example, $A = k\langle x, y \rangle$, then $k = A/(xA + yA)$, so $\text{pd}(k) = 1$, hence $\text{gldim} A = 1$, and in generally $\text{gldim} A = 1$ for $I = 0$.

2.2

Let M be a finitely generated graded right A -module. Suppose further M is locally finite, i.e. $\dim_k M_i < \infty$ for each i . Then,

$$H_M(t) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\dim_k M_i) t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[[t, t^{-1}]]$$

is called the *Hilbert series* of M . For example, letting $M = A$,

$$H_{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+i-1}{n-1} t^i = (1-t)^{-n},$$

and

$$H_{k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n^i t^i = (1-nt)^{-1}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let M be a finitely generated graded right A -module.

- (a) $H_{M^{\oplus r}}(t) = rH_M(t)$.
- (b) $H_{M(n)}(t) = t^{-n}H_M(t)$.
- (c) If $0 \rightarrow M^r \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow M^1 \rightarrow M^0 \rightarrow 0$ is exact, then $\sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i H_{M_i}(t) = 0$.

For example for (c), consider

$$0 \rightarrow A(-1)^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow A \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, we can check $H_A(t) = (1-2t)^{-1}$ from

$$0 = H_k(t) - H_A(t) + H_{A(-1)^{\oplus 2}}(t) = 1 - H_A(t) + 2tH_A(t).$$

2.3

Definition 2.3 (Artin-Schelter). We say A is a d -dimensional quantum polynomial algebra (QPA) if $\text{gldim} A = d < \infty$, $H_A(t) = (1-t)^{-d}$, and $\text{Ext}_A^i(k, A) = \delta_{di} \cdot k(d)$. The last condition is called the Gorenstein condition.

If a QPA is commutative, then it is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra. The above two conditions are equivalent to have the minimal free resolution of the graded right A -module k

$$0 \rightarrow A(-d) \rightarrow \oplus A(-d+1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \oplus A(-1) \rightarrow A \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\phi^i : \oplus A(-i) \rightarrow \oplus A(-i+1)$ is the left multiplication of a matrix whose components are in A_1 . The Gorenstein condition is equivalent to the transpose

$$0 \leftarrow k(d) \leftarrow \oplus A(d) \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow \oplus A(1) \leftarrow A \leftarrow 0$$

is a minimal free resolution of left A -module $k(d)$, where the arrows are right multiplications of matrices whose components are in A_1 . Ranks of each free modules must be determined by the Hilbert series.

For example, $A = k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha xy - yx)$ is a 2-dimensional QPA for all non-zero $\alpha \in k$. The classification up to dimension two is easy:

Lemma 2.4. Let A be a QPA over an algebraically closed field k .

- (a) $\text{gldim} A = 0$ iff $A \cong k$,
- (b) $\text{gldim} A = 1$ iff $A \cong k[x]$,
- (c) $\text{gldim} A = 2$ iff $A \cong k[x, y]^\theta$ for some $\theta \in \text{GL}(2, k)$.

2.4

We can describe three-dimensional QPAs are classified in terms of derivation quotient algebras.

Definition 2.5. Let $V = k^n$ and let

$$\varphi : V^{\otimes m} \rightarrow V^{\otimes m} : v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_m \mapsto v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1.$$

We say $w \in V^{\otimes m}$ is called a *superpotential* (SP) if $\varphi(w) = w$, and a *twisted superpotential* (TSP) if $(\sigma \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes(m-1)})\varphi(w) = w$ for all $\sigma \in \text{GL}(V)$.

Example 2.6. Let $V = kx + ky$, and $w = \alpha x^2 + \beta xy + \gamma yx + \delta y^2 \in V^{\otimes 2}$. Then, w is SP iff $\beta = \gamma$ and $SP^2(V) = kx^2 + k(xy + yx) + ky^2 \subset V^{\otimes 2}$.

Definition 2.7. For $\dim_k V = n$ and $w \in V^{\otimes m}$, we can define $\partial_i w, w\partial_i \in V^{\otimes(m-1)}$ such that $w = \sum x_i \otimes \partial_i w = \sum w\partial_i \otimes x_i$. Derivation quotient algebras are

$$D_l(w) := k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (\partial_1 w, \dots, \partial_n w), \quad D_r(w) := k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (w\partial_1, \dots, w\partial_n).$$

Lemma 2.8.

- (a) w is SP iff $\partial_i w = w\partial_i$.
- (b) w is TSP iff $D_l(w) = D_r(w) =: D(w)$ (ideals quotiented are same as sets.)

Example 2.9. If $V = kx + ky$, and $w = \alpha x^2 + \beta xy + \gamma yx + \delta y^2 \in V^{\otimes 2}$, then

$$\partial_x w = \alpha x + \beta y, \quad w\partial_x = \alpha x + \gamma y.$$

Theorem 2.10.

- (a) If ω is TSP with $m = n = 3$, then $D(w)$ is a three-dimensional QPA.
(b) The converse holds.

Example 2.11 (Sklyanin algebra). For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in k$,

$$w = \alpha(xy z + y z x + z x y) + \beta(x z y + y x z + z y x) + \gamma(x^3 + y^3 + z^3)$$

is a superpotential. $D(w)$ is called the Sklyanin algebra. We can construct with $M = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma x & \beta z & \alpha y \\ \alpha z & \gamma y & \beta x \\ \beta y & \alpha x & \gamma z \end{pmatrix}$ the minimal free resolutions of k and $k(3)$.

There is $\theta \in \text{GrAut}(k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha xy - yx))$ such that

$$(k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha xy - yx))^\theta \cong k\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx + x^2)$$

if and only if $\alpha = 1$. We can see this for $\alpha = -1$ by computing GrAut . Note that

$$(k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha xy - yx))^\theta \cong k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha \theta(x)y - \theta(y)x)$$

If $\alpha \neq \pm 1, \dots$?

3

Artin-Tate-van den Bergh classification of 3-dimensional QPA.
Point varieties.

4

4.1

Definition 4.1. A *noncommutative scheme* is a pair $X = (\text{Mod } X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ of an abelian category $\text{Mod } X$ and an object \mathcal{O}_X in it. A *morphism* between noncommutative schemes X and Y is an adjoint pair of functors $f_* : \text{Mod } X \rightarrow \text{Mod } Y$ and $f^* : \text{Mod } Y \rightarrow \text{Mod } X$ such that $f^* \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$.

For a scheme X , then X can be considered as a noncommutative scheme by the pair of the category of quasi-coherent sheaves and the structure sheaf.

Consider the noncommutative affine schemes. For a ring R , we define its noncommutative spectrum as $\text{Spec}_{nc} R := (\text{Mod } R, R)$. Note that for a ring homomorphism $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$, S can be seen as R - S -bimodule. Here the morphism $f : \text{Spec}_{nc} R \rightarrow \text{Spec}_{nc} S$ can be given as the pair of

$$f^* : \text{Mod } R \rightarrow \text{Mod } S : M \mapsto M \otimes_R S, \quad f_* : \text{Mod } S \rightarrow \text{Mod } R : N \mapsto \text{Hom}_S(S, N),$$

which we can check they are adjoint and $f^* S = R$. In general, an equivalence of the category of modules does not imply the isomorphism between rings. However, if two noncommutative schemes are isomorphic by $f : \text{Spec}_{nc} R \rightarrow \text{Spec}_{nc} S$, then

$$R = \text{End}_R(R) \cong \text{End}_S(f^*(R)) = \text{End}_S(S) = S.$$

4.2

For the rest of today, a graded ring is an \mathbb{N} -graded ring. Let A be a graded ring. Let $\text{GrMod } A$ be the category of graded right A -modules. A graded right A -module M is called a *torsion module* if mA is right bounded for all $m \in M$. We define $\text{Tails } A := \text{GrMod } A / \text{Tors } A$. For $M \in \text{GrMod } A$, we will use sometimes $\mathcal{M} = \pi(M) \in \text{Tails } A$ to denote the image of M under the projection functor $\pi : \text{GrMod } A \rightarrow \text{Tails } A$.

Theorem 4.2 (Serre). *Let A be a commutative graded ring finitely generated in degree one. Then, $\text{Tails } A \cong \text{Mod}(\text{Proj } A) : \pi(A) \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj } A}$.*

Definition 4.3. Let A be a graded ring. We define $\text{Proj}_{nc}(A) := (\text{Tails } A, \pi(A))$. For A an n -dimensional QPA, $\text{Proj}_{nc} A$ is called the quantum \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

If A is right noetherian graded ring, then we define $\text{grmod } A$ as the category of finitely generated modules. It is an abelian category. We may also define $\text{tors}(A) := \text{Tors}(A) \cap \text{grmod}(A)$ and $\text{tails} := \text{grmod} / \text{tors}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a connected graded right coherent algebra. Then,*

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{tails } A}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}) \cong \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Hom}_A(M_{\geq n}, N).$$

In particular, for finitely generated M and N , $\pi(M) \cong \pi(N)$ if there is n such that $M_{\geq n} \cong N_{\geq n}$.

4.3

Morita theory asks $\text{Mod } R \cong \text{Mod } R'$, and Artin-Zhang theory asks $\text{Mod } A \cong \text{Mod } A'$.

Theorem 4.5. *An abelian category \mathcal{C} is equivalent to $\text{Mod } R$ if there is $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Mod } R$ such that*

- (i) $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}, -)$ preserves small coproducts,
- (ii) every $M \in \mathcal{C}$ admits an epi $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow M$,
- (iii) for epi $M \rightarrow N$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}, N)$ is epi,

and \mathcal{C} has small coproducts. In particular, the equivalence is given as $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}, -) : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Mod } R$, where $R := \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O})$, and in this case, $\text{Spec}_{nc} R \cong (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O})$. The object \mathcal{O} is called the compact projective generator.

compact? small coproducts?

Corollary 4.6. $\text{Mod } R \cong \text{Mod } R'$ iff there is a finitely generated projective generator $P \in \text{Mod } R$ such that $R' = \text{End}_R(P)$.

Example 4.7. Let R be a ring. R and $M_n(R)$ are Morita equivalent since R^n is a finitely generated projective generator for $\text{Mod } R$, but their schemes are not isomorphic in general.

Definition 4.8 (Twisting systems). Let A be a graded ring. A twisting system is a family $\{\theta_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\theta_i : A \rightarrow A$ is a graded abelian group isomorphisms such that $\theta_i(a\theta_j(b)) = \theta_i(a)\theta_{i+j}(b)$. We can define twists $A^{\{\theta_i\}}$ and $M^{\{\theta_i\}}$.

Theorem 4.9 (Zhang).

- (a) $\text{GrMod } A \cong \text{GrMod } A^{\{\theta_i\}}$. In particular, $\text{Proj}_{nc}(A) \cong \text{Proj}_{nc}(A^{\{\theta_i\}})$.
- (b) If A, A' are finitely generated in degree one, and if $\text{GrMod } A \cong \text{GrMod } A'$, then there is a twisting system $\{\theta_i\}$ such that $A' \cong A^{\{\theta_i\}}$.

Let $A_\alpha := k\langle x, y \rangle / (\alpha xy - yx)$ and $A_J := k\langle x, y \rangle / (xy - yx + x^2)$. Although we have seen that there is no twist $\theta \in \text{GrAut } A_\alpha$ such that $A_\alpha^\theta \cong A_J$, but there is a twisting system $A_\alpha^{\{\theta_i\}} \cong A_J$. We do not exactly know how to construct $\{\theta_i\}$ in general, but we can give the concrete computation in this case:

$$\theta_i := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-i} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^i$$

since $A_\alpha = k[x, y]^{\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}$ and $A_J = k[x, y]^{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}$. Generalizing this, there is a theorem that if $\{\theta_i\}$ and $\{\theta'_i\}$ are twisting systems of A and $A^{\{\theta_i\}}$ then there is a twisting system $\{\theta''_i\}$ such that $A^{\{\theta''_i\}} \cong (A^{\{\theta_i\}})^{\{\theta'_i\}}$.

4.4

Consider an abelian category \mathcal{C} , an object \mathcal{O} in \mathcal{C} , and an autoequivalence s of \mathcal{C} . We call the triple as an algebraic triple here. Then,

$$B(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}, s) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{O}, s^i \mathcal{O}).$$

For example, if X is a projective scheme, and $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}$ is very ample, then we have a graded ring

$$B := B(\text{Mod } X, \mathcal{O}_X, - \otimes_X \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes i}),$$

gives $X = \text{Proj } B$. In other words, B is the homogeneous coordinate ring of X .

Definition 4.10. For an algebraic triple $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}, s)$, we say (\mathcal{O}, s) is ample for \mathcal{C} if

- (i) for every $M \in \mathcal{C}$, there is $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^m$ with $\bigoplus_i s^{-p_i} \mathcal{O} \twoheadrightarrow M$.
- (ii) for every epi $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in \mathcal{C} , there is an integer n_0 such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(s^{-n} \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(s^{-n} \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{N})$ is epi for $n \geq n_0$.

Theorem 4.11 (Artin-Zhang). If (\mathcal{O}, s) is ample for \mathcal{C} , then $\mathcal{C} \cong \text{tails } B(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}, s) : \mathcal{O} \mapsto B$, i.e. $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}) \cong \text{Proj}_{nc} B$.

Corollary 4.12 (Veronese algebra). If A is finitely generated in degree one, then $\text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(r)} \cong \text{Proj}_{nc} A$.

For examples, $A = k[x, y]$ with $\text{Proj} A = \mathbb{P}^1$ and $A^{(2)} = k[x^2, xy, y^2] \cong k[s, t, u]/(su - t^2)$, we can check manually $\text{Proj} k[s, t, u]/(su - t^2) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$.

For a graded algebra A and B , we can define the Segre product

$$A \circ B := \bigoplus_i A_i \otimes B_i.$$

If A, B are commutative and f.g. in degree one, then $\text{Proj}(A \circ B) \cong \text{Proj} A \times \text{Proj} B$.

Example 4.13. We show that for $A := k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2y - yx^2, xy^2 - y^2x)$ that $\text{Proj}_{nc} A \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Note that the second Veronese algebra is

$$A^{(2)} = k\langle x^2, xy, yx, y^2 \rangle / (x^2y - yx^2, xy^2 - y^2x).$$

By observing eight relations

$$x(x^2y - yx^2) = 0, \quad (x^2y - yx^2)x = 0, \quad y(x^2y - yx^2) = 0, \quad \dots \quad (xy^2 - y^2x)y = 0,$$

and by letting $s = x^2, t = xy, u = yx, v = y^2$, we can conclude the existence of a surjection

$$B := k[s, t, u, v]/(sv - tu) \twoheadrightarrow A^{(2)}.$$

The monomials of $(A^{(2)})_i$ can be reduced to an element of the form $y^a(xy)^b x^c$ up to scalar multiple, where $a + 2b + c = 2i$, so $\dim_k (A^{(2)})_i = (i + 1)^2$. For B , if we count the dimension of the space spanned by monomials without tu , then we can see $\dim_k B_i = 2 \cdot \binom{i+1}{2} - \binom{i+1}{1} = (i + 1)^2$. Thus $H_{A^{(2)}}(t) = H_B(t)$ implies $A^{(2)} \cong B$.

On the other hands, we also have for the Segre product

$$B = k[s, t, u, v]/(sv - tu) \twoheadrightarrow k[x_1, y_1] \circ k[x_2, y_2] =: C.$$

We can easily see that $H_C(t) = \sum_i (i + 1)^2 t^i$, so $B \cong C$. Consequently,

$$\text{Proj}_{nc} A \cong \text{Proj}_{nc} A^{(2)} \cong \text{Proj} A^{(2)} \cong \text{Proj} C \cong \text{Proj} k[x, y] \times \text{Proj} k[x, y] \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Noncommutative algebraic geometry

University of Tokyo M1 Ikhan Choi

November 28

1. Show that $A = k\langle x, y \rangle / (yx, y^2)$ is left noetherian but not right noetherian.

Solution. Let J be a left ideal of $k\langle x, y \rangle$ containing (yx, y^2) , generated by $\{f_i\}_i \subset k\langle x, y \rangle$ as a left $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -module. Since $k\langle x, y \rangle = k[x] + k[x]y + (yx, y^2)$, there are $g_i, h_i \in k[x]$ such that $f_i(x, y) \equiv g_i(x) + h_i(x)y$ modulo (yx, y^2) for each i . Then, we have

$$J = \sum_i k\langle x, y \rangle f_i = \sum_i (k\langle x, y \rangle g_i + k\langle x, y \rangle h_i y) = \sum_i (k[x]g_i + k[x]g_i(0) + k[x]h_i y) = J_1 + J_2 y,$$

where J_1 and J_2 are ideals of $k[x]$ generated by $\{g_i, g_i(0)\}$ and $\{h_i\}$ respectively. Because $k[x]$ is noetherian, the ideals J_1 and J_2 are finitely generated over $k[x]$, so J is finitely generated over $k\langle x, y \rangle$. Thus, A is left noetherian.

Let $J_n := \sum_{i=0}^n x^i y k + (yx, y^2)$. Since $x^i y k \langle x, y \rangle = x^i y k + (yx, y^2)$, we can see that J_n is an increasing sequence of right ideals of $k\langle x, y \rangle$ containing (yx, y^2) for all n . Because the sequence J_n does not terminate, A is not right noetherian. \square

2. Compute the Hilbert series of $A = k\langle x, y \rangle / (x^2)$.

Solution. Note that $A_{i+2} = A_{i+1}y + A_i yx$ and $A_{i+1}y \cap A_i yx = 0$ imply that the dimensions of the homogeneous modules satisfy the recurrence relation of Fibonacci sequence: $\dim_k A_{i+2} = \dim_k A_{i+1} + \dim_k A_i$ for all $i \geq 0$. Since $\dim_k A_1 = 2$, $\dim_k A_0 = 1$, and $\dim_k A_i = 0$ for $i < 0$, we have with the generating function that

$$H_A(t) = 1 + 2t + 3t^2 + 5t^3 + 8t^4 + \cdots = \boxed{\frac{1+t}{1-t-t^2}}. \quad \square$$

6. Compute the point variety of $A = k\langle x, y \rangle / (yx)$.

Solution. Note that $\Gamma_1 = \mathbb{P}^1$. Suppose $((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) \in \Gamma_n$ for $n \geq 2$. If $a_n = 0$, then since $b_n \neq 0$ we have $((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})) \in \Gamma_{n-1}$ because

$$g((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}))b_n = f((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) = 0$$

implies $g((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})) = 0$ for all $g \in (yx)_{n-1}$, where $f := gy$ belongs to $(yx)_n$. If $a_n \neq 0$, then since for each $1 \leq i < n$ we have $a_i \neq 0$ or $b_i \neq 0$, so $c_1 c_2 \cdots c_{n-1} a_n \neq 0$, where $c_i \in \{a_i, b_i\}$. If $c_i = b_i$ for some i , then implies that there is $1 \leq i < n$ such that $b_i a_{i+1} \neq 0$, which leads a contradiction to the definition of Γ_n , so $c_i = a_i \neq 0$ and $b_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i < n$. Consequently, we have $\Gamma_n \subset (\Gamma_{n-1} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{\infty\}^{\times(n-1)} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$.

Conversely, if $((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})) \in \Gamma_{n-1}$ and $a_n = 0$, then every monomial $f \in (yx)_n$ satisfies

$$f((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) = g((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n))c_n = 0, \quad c_n \in \{a_n, b_n\},$$

so $((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) \in \Gamma_n$, and if $b_1 = \cdots = b_{n-1} = 0$, then every monomial $f \in (yx)_n$ satisfies $f((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_n, b_n)) = 0$ clearly. Thus the inverse inclusion holds so that $\Gamma_n = (\Gamma_{n-1} \times \{0\}) \cup (\{\infty\}^{\times(n-1)} \times \mathbb{P}^1)$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Therefore, the point variety of A is

$$\Gamma_A = \varprojlim_N \Gamma_N = \varprojlim_N \bigcup_{n=0}^N \{\infty\}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}^{N-n-1} = \boxed{\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \{\infty\}^n \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{0\}^{\infty}}. \quad \square$$