

1 April 14

1.1 Completely positive maps

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras. A linear map $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is said to be *completely positive* (c.p.) if the inflation $\varphi_n : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{B}) : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [\varphi(a_{ij})]$ is positive for each $n \geq 1$.

Remark 1.2. For the positivity in matrix algebras, the following equivalent statements are useful.

- (a) $[a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is positive.
- (b) $[a_{ij}] = [b_{ij}]^* [b_{ij}] = [b_{ji}^*] [b_{ij}] = [\sum_k b_{ki}^* b_{kj}]$ for some $[b_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$.
- (c) $\sum_{i,j} \langle \pi(a_{ij}) \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle_H \geq 0$ for $[\xi_i] \in H^n$, for a faithful representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$.
- (d) $\sum_{i,j} \langle \pi(a_{ij}) \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle_H \geq 0$ for $[\xi_i] \in H^n$, for every representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$.

Example 1.3.

- (a) A $*$ -homomorphism is c.p.
- (b) A state is c.p.
- (c) A conjugation $B(\hat{H}) \rightarrow B(H) : a \mapsto V^* a V$ is c.p. for every bounded linear $V : H \rightarrow \hat{H}$.
- (d) The transpose $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is not c.p.
- (e) The convex combination, composition, restriction of c.p. maps is c.p.

Proof. (a) A $*$ -homomorphism is positive, and its inflations are all $*$ -homomorphisms.

(b) Let $\rho : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a state. If $[a_{ij}] = [\sum_k b_{ki}^* b_{kj}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A})_+$, then we have for $[x_i] \in \ell_2^n$ that

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle \rho(a_{ij}) x_j, x_i \rangle_{\mathbb{C}} = \sum_{i,j} \bar{x}_i \rho(a_{ij}) x_j = \rho \left(\sum_{i,j,k} \bar{x}_i b_{ki}^* b_{kj} x_j \right) = \sum_k \rho \left(\left(\sum_i b_{ki} x_i \right)^* \left(\sum_j b_{kj} x_j \right) \right) \geq 0.$$

(c) If $[a_{ij}] = [\sum_k b_{ki}^* b_{kj}] \in M_n(B(\hat{H}))_+$, then we have for $[\xi_i] \in H^n$ that

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle V^* a_{ij} V \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k} \langle b_{kj} V \xi_j, b_{ki} V \xi_i \rangle = \sum_k \langle \sum_j b_{kj} V \xi_j, \sum_i b_{ki} V \xi_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

(d) We have a counterexample for $M_2(M_2(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow M_2(M_2(\mathbb{C}))$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The former has an eigenvalues $\{2, 0\}$, and the latter has $\{\pm 1\}$.

(e) Clear. □

Theorem 1.4 (Stinespring dilation). *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital C^* -algebra and $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ be a c.p. map. Then, there is a representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\hat{H})$ and a bounded linear operator $V : H \rightarrow \hat{H}$ such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B(H) \\ \pi \downarrow & \nearrow V^* \cdot V & \\ B(\hat{H}) & & \end{array}$$

Proof. Define a sesquilinear form on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{A} \otimes H$ as

$$\left\langle \sum_j a_j \otimes \xi_j, \sum_i b_i \otimes \eta_i \right\rangle := \sum_{i,j} \langle \varphi(b_i^* a_j) \xi_j, \eta_i \rangle.$$

It is positive since

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle a_i^* a_j \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle a_j \xi_j, a_i \xi_i \rangle = \left\| \sum_i a_i \xi_i \right\|^2 \geq 0$$

implies

$$\left\langle \sum_j a_j \otimes \xi_j, \sum_i a_i \otimes \xi_i \right\rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle \varphi(a_i^* a_j) \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

Taking quotient by the left kernel N and completion, we obtain a hilbert space $\hat{H} := (\mathcal{A} \otimes H / N)^-$.

Define $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\hat{H})$ such that

$$\pi(a)(b \otimes \xi + N) := ab \otimes \xi + N,$$

and define $V : H \rightarrow \hat{H}$ such that

$$V\xi := 1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \xi + N.$$

Then for any $\xi, \eta \in H$,

$$\langle V^* \pi(a) V \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \pi(a)(1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \xi + N), 1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \eta + N \rangle = \langle a_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \xi + N, 1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \eta + N \rangle = \langle \varphi(a) \xi, \eta \rangle. \quad \square$$

Remark 1.5.

- (a) If φ is unital, then V is an isometry since $V^* V = V^* \pi(1) V = \varphi(1) = 1$.
- (b) If φ is unital and $H = \mathbb{C}$, then it is just the GNS-construction with the cyclic vector $V1_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- (c) If $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is c.p., then by embedding \mathcal{B} into $B(H)$ and applying the Stinespring dilation,

$$\|\varphi(a)\| = \|V^* \pi(a) V\| \leq \|V\| \|a\| \|V\| = \|a\| \|V^* V\| = \|a\| \|\varphi(1)\|$$

implies $\|\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi(1)\|$, hence $\|\varphi\| = \|\varphi(1)\|$.

- (d) It has a physical meaning: a unital completely positive map is called quantum channel or quantum operation in quantum information theory. They are interpreted as an evolution in open quantum system, and taking \hat{H} means introducing a closed ambient system in which unitary evolution occurs.

Theorem 1.6 (Completely positive maps for matrix algebras). *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra. Let $e_i \in \ell_2^n$ be standard orthonormal basis and let $e_{ij} = e_i \otimes e_j = |e_i\rangle\langle e_j| \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be unit matrix elements.*

- (a) *There is a 1-1 correspondence*

$$\text{CP}(M_n(\mathbb{C}), \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{A})_+ : \psi \mapsto [\psi(e_{ij})].$$

- (b) *Let \mathcal{A} be unital. There is a 1-1 correspondence*

$$\text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, M_n(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{A})_+^* : \varphi \mapsto (\hat{\varphi} : [a_{ij}] \mapsto \sum_{i,j} \langle \varphi(a_{ij}) e_j, e_i \rangle).$$

Proof. (a) Fix $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ a faithful representation and just write $\mathcal{A} \subset B(H)$.

Suppose $\psi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a c.p. map. Identify $M_n(\mathbb{C}) = B(\ell_2^n)$. Since $[e_{ij}] \in M_n(B(\ell_2^n))_+$ is positive because

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle e_{ij} \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle e_j, \xi_j \rangle \langle \xi_i, e_i \rangle = \left| \sum_i \langle e_i, \xi_i \rangle \right|^2 \geq 0, \quad \forall [\xi_i] \in (\ell_2^n)^n,$$

it follows that $[\psi(e_{ij})] \in M_n(\mathcal{A})_+$ by the complete positivity of ψ .

Conversely, let $[\psi(e_{ij})] = [\sum_k b_{ki}^* b_{kj}] \in M_n(B(H))_+$. For $T = [t_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\xi, \eta \in H$, write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(T)\xi, \eta \rangle &= t_{ij} \langle \psi(e_{ij})\xi, \eta \rangle \\ &= t_{ij} \langle b_{kj}\xi, b_{ki}\eta \rangle \\ &= t_{ij} \delta_{kl} \langle b_{lj}\xi, b_{ki}\eta \rangle \\ &= \langle Te_j, e_i \rangle \langle e_l, e_k \rangle \langle b_{lj}\xi, b_{ki}\eta \rangle \\ &= \langle (T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(e_j \otimes e_l \otimes (b_{lj}\xi)), (e_i \otimes e_k \otimes (b_{ki}\eta)) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The summation symbols are omitted in each row. Then, if we define

$$V : H \rightarrow \ell_2^n \otimes \ell_2^n \otimes H : \xi \mapsto \sum_{i,k} e_i \otimes e_k \otimes (b_{ki}\eta),$$

we have an expression

$$\langle \psi(T)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle V^*(T \otimes 1 \otimes 1)V\xi, \eta \rangle,$$

which implies that ψ is c.p. because $T \mapsto T \otimes 1_{\ell_2^n} \otimes 1_H$ is a $*$ -homomorphism.

(b) Suppose $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a c.p. map. Then, $\hat{\varphi}$ is positive since $[a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A})_+$ implies

$$\hat{\varphi}([a_{ij}]) = \sum_{i,j} \langle \varphi(a_{ij})e_j, e_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

Conversely, let $\hat{\varphi} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})_+^*$. By the GNS-construction, we have a cyclic representation $\pi : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow B(H)$ with a cyclic vector $\psi \in H$ such that

$$\hat{\varphi}([a_{ij}]) = \langle \pi([a_{ij}])\psi, \psi \rangle.$$

For $\xi = \sum_j \xi_j e_j, \eta = \sum_i \eta_i e_i \in \ell_2^n$, write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(a)\xi, \eta \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \varphi(a)\xi_j e_j, \eta_i e_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle \varphi(\overline{\eta_i} a \xi_j) e_j, e_i \rangle \\ &= \hat{\varphi}([\overline{\eta_i} a \xi_j]) = \langle \pi([\overline{\eta_i} a \xi_j])\psi, \psi \rangle = \langle \pi([\delta_{ij} \eta_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}]^* [a] [\delta_{ij} \xi_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}])\psi, \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \pi([a])\pi([\delta_{ij} \xi_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}])\psi, \pi([\delta_{ij} \eta_i 1_{\mathcal{A}}])\psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If we define

$$V : \ell_2^n \rightarrow H : \xi \mapsto \pi([\delta_{ij} \xi_j 1_{\mathcal{A}}])\psi,$$

then

$$\langle \varphi(a)\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle V^* \pi([a])V\xi, \eta \rangle,$$

so φ is c.p. since $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{A}) : a \mapsto [a]$ is a $*$ -homomorphism. \square

Theorem 1.7 (Arveson extension). *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be C^* -algebras such that $1_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, every c.p. map $\varphi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(H)$ has a norm-preserving c.p. extension $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, i.e. $\|\tilde{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|$.*

1.2 Enveloping von Neumann algebras

Theorem 1.8 (Sherman-Takeda). *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ a faithful representation. Here we can obtain a linear map $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{A})''$ by taking bitranspose for $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\pi(\mathcal{A}))', \sigma w$.*

- (a) $\tilde{\pi}$ is an isometric isomorphism (w.r.t. norms), and is an homeomorphism (w.r.t. weak*-topologies)
- (b) \mathcal{A}^{**} enjoys a universal property in the sense that for every $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , there exists a unique σ -weakly continuous extension $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of φ .

We will always see the bidual \mathcal{A}^{**} as a von Neumann algebra.

Proof. (a) Consider

$$\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\pi(\mathcal{A})'', \sigma w), \quad \pi^* : \pi(\mathcal{A})''_* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*, \quad \tilde{\pi} := \pi^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{A})'',$$

where $\pi(\mathcal{A})''_*$ denotes the set of σ -weakly continuous (=normal) linear functionals on $\pi(\mathcal{A})''$. Note that π is isometric and has dense range. It implies that π^* is surjective and injective. In fact, π^* is isometric because for $l \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''_*$ we have by the density that

$$\|\pi^*(l)\| = \sup_{\substack{\|a\|=1 \\ a \in \mathcal{A}}} |l(\pi(a))| = \sup_{\substack{\|b\|=1 \\ b \in \pi(\mathcal{A})''}} |l(b)| = \|l\|.$$

Then, the claim for π^{**} is now clear.

(b) We can define $\tilde{\varphi}$ as the bitranspose of $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \sigma w)$ as in the part (a), and it is a unique extension because \mathcal{A} is σ -weakly dense in \mathcal{A}^{**} . \square

Theorem 1.9 (Tomiya). *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be C^* -algebras. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a conditional expectation, i.e. a contractive idempotent linear map.*

(a) φ is \mathcal{B} -bimodule map.

(b) φ is completely positive.

Proof. Since each conclusion of (a) and (b) still holds for restriction, we may assume \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are von Neumann algebras by thinking of the bitranspose $\varphi^{**} : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{**}$.

(a) Since the linear span of projections is σ -weakly dense in a von Neumann algebra, we are enough to show $p\varphi(a) = \varphi(pa)$ and $\varphi(ap) = \varphi(a)p$ for any projection $p \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let $p \in \mathcal{B}$ be a projection and let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that we have

$$p\varphi(a) = pp\varphi(a) = p\varphi(p\varphi(a))$$

and

$$(a - pa)^*(p\varphi(a - pa)) = (p\varphi(a - pa))^*(a - pa) = 0.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (1+t)^2 \|p\varphi(a - pa)\|^2 &= \|p\varphi(a - pa) + tp\varphi(a - pa)\|^2 \\ &= \|p\varphi((a - pa) + tp\varphi(a - pa))\|^2 \\ &\leq \|(a - pa) + tp\varphi(a - pa)\|^2 \\ &= \|a - pa\|^2 + t^2 \|p\varphi(a - pa)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

implies $p\varphi(a - pa) = 0$ by letting $t \rightarrow \infty$. Putting $1_{\mathcal{B}} - p$ and $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ instead of p , we obtain $(1_{\mathcal{B}} - p)\varphi(a - 1_{\mathcal{B}}a + pa) = 0$ and $\varphi(a - 1_{\mathcal{B}}a) = 0$, so

$$p\varphi(a) = p\varphi(pa) = \varphi(pa).$$

Similarly, we can show $\varphi(a - ap)p = 0$ and $\varphi(ap)(1 - p) = 0$, we are done.

(b) Let $[a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A})_+$. Let $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow B(H)$ be a cyclic representation with a cyclic vector ψ . Then, $[\xi_i] \in H^n$ can be replaced to $[\pi(b_i)\psi]$, so we can check the positivity of inflations φ_n as

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle \pi(\varphi(a_{ij}))\pi(b_j)\psi, \pi(b_i)\psi \rangle = \langle \pi(\varphi(\sum_{i,j} b_i^* a_{ij} b_j))\psi, \psi \rangle \geq 0,$$

because it follows $\sum_{i,j} b_i^* a_{ij} b_j \geq 0$ by the positivity of a_{ij} from

$$\langle \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(\sum_{i,j} b_i^* a_{ij} b_j)\xi, \xi \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(a_{ij})\pi_{\mathcal{A}}(b_j)\xi, \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(b_i)\xi \rangle \geq 0,$$

where $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is any representation of \mathcal{A} . \square

Theorem 1.10 (Sakai). *Suppose \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra which admits a predual F .*

- (a) *There is an injective $*$ -homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$ with weakly* closed image.*
- (b) *π is a topological embedding w.r.t. $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, F)$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$.*
- (c) *The predual F is unique in \mathcal{A}^* .*

(a) *In particular, there is a faithful representation $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$ whose image is (σ) -weakly closed.*

Proof. By taking the adjoint for the embedding $F \hookrightarrow i\mathcal{A}^*$, we have a conditional expectation $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A}^{**} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Its kernel is a \mathcal{A} -bimodule, and by the σ -weak density of \mathcal{A} in \mathcal{A}^{**} and the continuity of ε between weak* topologies, so is a \mathcal{A}^{**} -bimodule, which means it is a σ -weakly closed ideal of \mathcal{A}^{**} . Thus we have a central projection $z \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $\ker \varepsilon = (1 - z)\mathcal{A}^{**}$.

Define $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $\pi(a) := za$. It is clearly a $*$ -homomorphism. The injectivity follows from $a = \varepsilon(a) = \varepsilon(za)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. The image is weakly* closed because $\varepsilon(x - \varepsilon(x)) = 0$ implies $z(x - \varepsilon(x)) = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ so that $z\mathcal{A}^{**} = z\mathcal{A}$.

(b) Since $\langle a, f \rangle = \langle \varepsilon(za), f \rangle = \langle za, f \rangle$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in F$, in which the second equality holds by the definition of ε , it is enough to show $\sigma(z\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^*) = \sigma(z\mathcal{A}, F)$.

For $l \in \mathcal{A}^*$, we claim there exists f such that $\langle za, l \rangle = \langle za, f \rangle$. Define $\tilde{l} \in \mathcal{A}^*$ such that $\langle x, \tilde{l} \rangle := \langle zx, l \rangle$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. Then, $\langle zx, l \rangle = \langle z^2x, l \rangle = \langle zx, \tilde{l} \rangle$ for $x \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$. Suppose $\tilde{l} \notin F$. Because F is closed in \mathcal{A}^* , there is $x \in \mathcal{A}^{**}$ such that $\langle x, \tilde{l} \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle x, f \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in F$ by the Hahn-Banach extension. Then, $0 = \langle x, f \rangle = \langle x, i(f) \rangle = \langle \varepsilon(x), f \rangle$ implies $\varepsilon(x) = 0$ so that $zx = 0$, which leads a contradiction $\langle x, \tilde{l} \rangle = \langle zx, l \rangle = 0$, so we have $\tilde{l} \in F$.

(c) If closed subspaces F_1 and F_2 of \mathcal{A}^* are preduals of \mathcal{A} , then $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, F_1) = \sigma(\mathcal{A}, F_2)$ by the part (b). If $l \in F_1$, which is obviously continuous on $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, F_1)$, and the continuity in $\sigma(\mathcal{A}, F_2)$ implies that l is contained in a linear span of some finitely many elements of F_2 , hence $F_1 \subset F_2$. \square

2 May 12

2.1 Nuclear maps

Definition 2.1. A linear map $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between C^* -algebras is called *nuclear* if it is a limit of finite-rank c.c.p. maps in the point-norm topology. Equivalently, by the following lemma, there is a net of pairs of c.c.p. maps $\varphi_\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_{n_\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi_\alpha : M_{n_\alpha}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $\|\theta(a) - \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(a)\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

If \mathcal{B} is a von Neumann algebra, θ is called *weakly nuclear* if it is a limit of finite-rank c.c.p. maps in the point- σ -weak topology.

Lemma 2.2. A c.c.p. map $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ between C^* -algebras is of finite-rank iff there are c.c.p. maps $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\psi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ for some n such that $\theta = \psi \circ \varphi$. In Brown-Ozawa, a finite-rank c.c.p. map is called a *factorable map*.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Clear. (\Rightarrow) By the structure theorem of finite-dimensional C^* -algebras, we have $\text{im } \theta \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$, so for $n = \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$ there is a unital embedding $\text{im } \theta \hookrightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and conditional expectation $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{im } \theta : T \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^m P_i T P_i$, where P_i denotes the projection on the image of $M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C})$. Now we are done. (In fact, such a conditional expectation also exists for unital subalgebras between von Neumann algebras.) \square

Proposition 2.3 (Local property). Let $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a linear map between C^* -algebras. If the restriction of θ on any finite-dimensional subspace of \mathcal{A} is nuclear, then θ is nuclear.

Proof. \square

Proposition 2.4 (Weak approximations). Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be C^* -algebras, and $\mathcal{M} \subset B(H)$ a von Neumann algebra.

(a) $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is nuclear if there is a net $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} M_{n_\alpha}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\lim_\alpha \langle \theta(a) - \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(a), l \rangle = 0 \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, l \in \mathcal{B}^*.$$

(b) $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is weakly nuclear if there is a net $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\varphi_\alpha} M_{n_\alpha}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\psi_\alpha} \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\lim_\alpha \langle (\theta(a) - \psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha(a))\xi, \xi \rangle = 0 \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, \xi \in H.$$

Proof. (a) By applying the Hahn-Banach extension for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we can show the closures of a convex set is same with respect to the point-norm topology and the point- $\sigma(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}^*)$ -topology. Thus it suffices to show that the set of finite-rank c.c.p. maps is convex.

Let $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\psi_i} M_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \mathcal{B}$ be c.c.p. maps for $i \in \{0, 1\}$. Then, we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{(1-t)\psi_0 \circ \varphi_0 + t\psi_1 \circ \varphi_1} & \mathcal{B} \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow[\varphi_0 \oplus \varphi_1]{\psi_0 \oplus \psi_1} M_{n_0}(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_{n_1}(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow[(1-t)\psi_0 \oplus t\psi_1]{(1-t)\varphi_0 \oplus t\varphi_1} & \mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

which is commutative, so we are done.

(b) Fix $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that the net is bounded. Since the unit ball is compact in σ -weak topology and hence in the weak operator topology, we are enough to verify the convergence of $\psi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\alpha$ in the weak operator topology. Using the polarization identity, the claim holds. \square

nonunital technicalities

2.2 Examples of nuclear C^* -algebras

C^* -subalgebra of a nuclear C^* -algebra may not be nuclear. C^* -subalgebra of a exact C^* -algebra is exact. injective limit of nuclear C^* -algebras is nuclear. $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is nuclear if \mathcal{A} is nuclear.

Theorem 2.5 (Effros-Lance). *If \mathcal{A}^{**} is semidiscrete, then \mathcal{A} is nuclear. (The converse also holds)*

Proof. Since the set of finite-rank c.c.p. maps is convex, and since the closures of a convex set are same in the norm and weak topologies on a Banach space, □

Theorem 2.6. *An abelian C^* -algebra is nuclear.*

3 May 22