

# Operator Algebra

Ikhan Choi

November 10, 2022

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>C*-algebras</b>	<b>2</b>
1	Basic concepts	3
1.1	Multiplier algebra . . . . .	3
1.2	Hereditary C*-subalgebras . . . . .	3
2		4
3		5
<b>II</b>	<b>Von Neumann algebras</b>	<b>6</b>
4	Factor classifications	7
4.1	. . . . .	7
4.2	. . . . .	7
4.3	Hyperfinite factors . . . . .	7
5	Weight theory	9
6		10
6.1	Connes' bicentralizer problem . . . . .	10
<b>III</b>	<b>Operator K-theory</b>	<b>11</b>
7	Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theory	12
8	Approximately finite algebras	13
9	Crossed products and dynamical systems	14
<b>IV</b>	<b>Subfactor theory</b>	<b>15</b>

## **Part I**

# **$C^*$ -algebras**

# Chapter 1

## Basic concepts

### 1.1 Multiplier algebra

**1.1 (Multiplier algebra).** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A *double centralizer* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pair  $(L, R)$  of bounded linear maps on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $aL(b) = R(a)b$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . The *multiplier algebra*  $M(\mathcal{A})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined to be the set of all double centralizers of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**1.2 (Essential ideals).** (a) Hilbert  $C^*$ -module description

**1.3 (Examples of multiplier algebras).** (a)  $M(K(H)) \cong B(H)$ .

(b)  $M(C_0(\Omega)) \cong C_b(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* (a)

(b) First we claim  $C_0(\Omega)$  is an essential ideal of  $C_b(\Omega)$ . Since  $C_b(\Omega) \cong C(\beta\Omega)$ , and since closed ideals of  $C(\beta\Omega)$  are corresponded to open subsets of  $\beta\Omega$ ,  $C_0(\Omega) \cap J$  is not trivial for every closed ideal  $J$  of  $C_b(\Omega)$ .

Now we have an injective  $*$ -homomorphism  $C_b(\Omega) \rightarrow M(C_0(\Omega))$ , for which we want to show the surjectivity. Let  $g \in M(C_0(\Omega))^+$ . □

**1.4 (Strict topology).**

### 1.2 Hereditary $C^*$ -subalgebras

**1.5 (Hereditary  $C^*$ -subalgebra and state embedding).**

## Chapter 2

## Chapter 3

## **Part II**

# **Von Neumann algebras**

## Chapter 4

# Factor classifications

### 4.1

4.1 (Semi-finite traces). Let  $M$  be a von Neumann algebra and  $\tau$  is a trace. For a trace  $\tau$

- (a)  $\tau$  is semi-finite if and only if  $x \in M^+$  has a net  $x_\alpha \in L^1(M, \tau)^+$  such that  $x_\alpha \uparrow x$  strongly.
- (b) Let  $\tau$  be normal and faithful. Then,  $\tau$  is semi-finite if and only if

$$\tau(x) = \sup\{\tau(y) : y \leq x, y \in L^1(M, \tau)^+\} \quad \text{for } x \in M^+.$$

### 4.2

Direct integral of factors.

Type I factors. It possess a minimal projection. It is isomorphic to the whole  $B(H)$  for some Hilbert space. Therefore, it is classified by the cardinality of  $H$ .

Type II factors. No minimal projection, but there are non-zero finite projections so that every projection can be “halved” by two Murray-von Neumann equivalent projections.

In type  $II_1$  factors, the identity is a finite projection. Also, Murray and von Neumann showed there is a unique finite tracial state and the set of traces of projections is  $[0, 1]$ . Free probability theory attacks the free groups factors, which are type  $II_1$ .

In type  $II_\infty$  factors There is a unique semifinite tracial state up to rescaling and the set of traces of projections is  $[0, \infty]$ .

In type III factors no non-zero finite projections exists. Classified the  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  appeared in its Connes spectrum, they are denoted by  $III_\lambda$ . Tomita-Takesaki theory. It is represented as the crossed product of a type  $II_\infty$  factor and  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Amenability, equivalently hyperfiniteness is a very nice condition in von Neumann algebra theory. Group-measure space construction can construct them. There are unique hyperfinite type  $II_1$  and  $II_\infty$  factors, and their property is well-known. Fundamental groups of type II factors, discrete group theory, Kazhdan's property (T) are used.

Tensor product factors such as Araki-Woods factors and Powers factors.

### 4.3 Hyperfinite factors

weight, trace, state.

finite trace=tracial state.



**4.2** (Uniformly hyperfinite algebras). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a uniformly hyperfinite algebra.

- (a) Every matrix algebra admits a unique finite trace.
- (b) Every UHF algebra admits a unique finite trace.
- (c) Every hyperfinite

**4.3** (Classification of UHF algebras).

## **Chapter 5**

# **Weight theory**

## Chapter 6

### 6.1 Connes' bicentralizer problem

## **Part III**

# **Operator K-theory**

## Chapter 7

# Brown-Douglas-Fillmore theory

7.1 (Haagerup property).

Baum-Connes conjecture Non-commutative geometry Elliott theorem

## Chapter 8

# Approximately finite algebras

Elliott conjecture: amenable simple separable  $C^*$ -algebras are classified by K-theory.

## **Chapter 9**

# **Crossed products and dynamical systems**

## **Part IV**

# **Subfactor theory**



The way how quantum systems are decomposed. Quite combinatorial! And has Galois analogy.

**9.1** (Jones index theorem). A *subfactor* of a factor  $M$  is a factor  $N$  containing  $1_M$ .

Tensor categories and topological invariants of 3-folds. Ergodic flows.