

# Harmonic Analysis

Ikhan Choi

December 16, 2023

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Fourier analysis</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Fourier series</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Fourier series in $L^p$ spaces . . . . .	4
1.2	Summability methods . . . . .	4
1.3	Pointwise convergence of Fourier series . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Fourier transform</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Fourier transform in $L^p$ space . . . . .	7
2.2	Tempered distributions . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>		<b>9</b>
<b>II</b>	<b>Singular integral operators</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Calderón-Zygmund theory</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1	Convolution type operators . . . . .	11
4.2	Truncated integrals . . . . .	12
4.3	Hilbert transform . . . . .	12
4.4	$A_p$ weights . . . . .	13
4.5	Bounded mean oscillation . . . . .	13
<b>5</b>	<b>Littlewood-Paley theory</b>	<b>14</b>
5.1	Littlewood-Paley decomposition . . . . .	14
5.2	Multiplier theorems . . . . .	14
<b>6</b>	<b>Almost orthogonality</b>	<b>15</b>
6.1	Coltar lemma . . . . .	15
6.2	$T(1)$ theorem . . . . .	15
<b>III</b>	<b>Oscillatory integral operators</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Oscillatory integrals</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Fourier restriction</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>9</b>		<b>20</b>

<b>IV Pseudo-differential operators</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>10 Pseudo-differential calculus</b>	<b>22</b>
10.1 . . . . .	22
<b>11 Semiclassical analysis</b>	<b>26</b>
11.1 Heisenberg group . . . . .	27
11.2 Phase space transforms . . . . .	27
<b>12 Microlocal analysis</b>	<b>28</b>

## **Part I**

# **Fourier analysis**

# Chapter 1

## Fourier series

### 1.1 Fourier series in $L^p$ spaces

1.1.

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{1,1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

Inversion theorem is an approximation problem given by  $\mathcal{F}^*\mathcal{F} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_n^*\mathcal{F}$ . The condition  $\widehat{f} \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$  is a condition just for defining  $\mathcal{F}^*\widehat{f}$  without using distribution theory, and it does not affect the inversion phenomena. The approximation, in other words, can be seen as an extension method for  $\mathcal{F}^* : \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T})$  on  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  on  $c_0(\mathbb{Z})$  cannot be bounded directly without distribution theory, but  $\mathcal{F}_n^*\mathcal{F}$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  can be bounded well.

### 1.2 Summability methods

- If  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  is the standard partial sum, then  $\mathcal{F}_n^*\mathcal{F}$  is the Dirichlet kernel.
- If  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  is the Cesàro mean, then  $\mathcal{F}_n^*\mathcal{F}$  is the Fejér kernel.
- If  $\mathcal{F}_r^*$  is the Abel sum, then  $\mathcal{F}_r^*\mathcal{F}$  is the Poisson kernel.
- In Fourier transform, we often use the Gauss-Weierstrass kernel.

The injectivity of  $\mathcal{F}$  is not an easy problem, which comes from the inversion theorem.

**1.2 (Dirichlet kernel).** The *Dirichlet kernel* is a function  $D_n : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$D_n = \widehat{1_{|k| \leq n}}, \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad \widehat{D_n} = 1_{|k| \leq n}.$$

This is because they are invariant under inverse, in other words, they are even.

(a)

$$D_n(x) = \frac{\sin \frac{2n+1}{2}x}{\sin \frac{1}{2}x}.$$

(b) If  $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $D_n * f \rightarrow f$  pointwisely as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(c)

$$\|D_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} \gtrsim \log n.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n(x) &= \sum_{k=-n}^n e^{ikx} \\
&= \frac{e^{i\frac{2n+1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{2n+1}{2}x}}{e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}} \\
&= \frac{\sin \frac{2n+1}{2}x}{\sin \frac{1}{2}x}.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) By (2)  $\sin x \leq x$  for  $x \in [0, \pi/2]$ , (3) change of variable,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{T})} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \frac{\sin \frac{2n+1}{2}x}{\sin \frac{1}{2}x} \right| dx \\
&\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{|\sin \frac{2n+1}{2}x|}{x} dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{2n+1}{2}\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \int_{\frac{k}{2}\pi}^{\frac{k+1}{2}\pi} \frac{|\sin x|}{x} dx \\
&\geq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}\pi} \frac{\sin x}{\frac{k+1}{2}\pi} dx \\
&\geq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \frac{1}{1+k} \\
&\geq \frac{4}{\pi^2} \log(2n+2).
\end{aligned}$$

..?

□

**1.3** (Fejér kernel). The *Fejér kernel* is

(a)

$$K_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{n+1}{2}x}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}x}.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned}
D_n(x) &= \frac{e^{i\frac{2n+1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{2n+1}{2}x}}{e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}} \\
&= \frac{[e^{i\frac{2n+1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{2n+1}{2}x}][e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}]}{[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}]^2} \\
&= \frac{[e^{i(n+1)x} + e^{-i(n+1)x}] - [e^{inx} + e^{-inx}]}{[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}]^2},
\end{aligned}$$

by telescoping, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^n D_k(x) &= \frac{[e^{i(n+1)x} + e^{-i(n+1)x}] - [e^{i0x} + e^{-i0x}]}{[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}]^2} \\
&= \frac{[e^{i\frac{n+1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{n+1}{2}x}]^2}{[e^{i\frac{1}{2}x} - e^{-i\frac{1}{2}x}]^2} \\
&= \frac{\sin^2 \frac{n+1}{2}x}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}x}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Two important results from Fejér kernel:

1. If  $f(x-)$ ,  $f(x+)$  exist and  $S_n f(x)$  converges, then  $S_n f(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(f(x-) + f(x+))$ .
2. (If  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ , then  $\sigma_n f \rightarrow f$  a.e.)
3. If  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ , then  $S_n f \rightarrow f$  in  $L^1$  and  $L^2$ .
4. If  $f$  is continuous and  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $S_n f \rightarrow f$  uniformly.
5. Since  $\sigma_n f$  is a trigonometric polynomial, the set of trigonometric polynomials are dense in  $L^1(\mathbf{T})$  and  $L^2(\mathbf{T})$ .

### 1.3 Pointwise convergence of Fourier series

BV function: Dini, Jordan's criterion

1.4 (Riemann localization principle).

### Exercises

1.5 (Gibbs phenomenon).

1.6 (Du Bois-Reymond function).

## Chapter 2

# Fourier transform

### 2.1 Fourier transform in $L^p$ space

There are three conventions for the Fourier transform:

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) := \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx, \\ (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\pi i x \xi} f(x) dx. \end{cases}$$

We will accept the second one.

2.1 (Riemann-Lebesgue lemma). basic estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\leq (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d). \\ \|\hat{f}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} &\lesssim \|f\|_{W^{d+1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in W^{d+1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d). \end{aligned}$$

$L^p$  extension

2.2 (Fourier inversion). inversion theorem Plancherel

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

unitarity

2.3 (Properties). If  $D := -i\partial$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}D_x \mathcal{F}^* &= M_\xi, \quad D_\xi \mathcal{F} = -\mathcal{F}M_x. \\ \mathcal{F}(fg) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \hat{f} * \hat{g}. \end{aligned}$$

### 2.2 Tempered distributions

A routine of Fourier transform computation of  $f \in \mathcal{S}'$ :

1. Choose a sequence  $f_n \in L^1$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ .
2. Write  $\mathcal{F}f_n$  in the integral form.
3. Compute the limit of  $\mathcal{F}f_n$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

Since  $g_n \rightarrow g$  pointwise implies  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $\mathcal{S}'$  if the sequence  $g_n$  is dominated by a locally integrable with polynomial growth, we can frequently check the pointwise limit instead of  $\mathcal{S}'$ .

Methods: approximate identity, indented contour, imaginary shift, Feynman's trick

Examples:  $e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2}$ ,  $e^{\frac{i}{2}x^2}$ , p.v.  $\frac{1}{x}$ ,  $\text{sgn}(x)$ ,  $1$ ,  $\delta(x)$ ,  $\text{sinc}(\frac{x}{2})$ ,  $1_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}$ ,  $\frac{1}{1+x^2}$



## Exercises

2.4 (Sampling theorem).

$$\mathcal{F}1_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}(\xi) = \text{sinc}(\xi/2)$$

$\text{sinc} \in L^{1+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R})$ .

2.5 (Gaussian function). Gaussian function computation: differential equation method, contour integral method, imaginary shift

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}e^{-x^2} \\ \mathcal{F}e^{-\frac{1}{2}xQx} &= \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}\text{sgn}(Q)}}{|\det Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}xQ^{-1}x}. \\ \mathcal{F}\text{sech}(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{x}{2} &= \text{sech}(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{x}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

2.6.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}1 &= (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta \\ \mathcal{F}x &= (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}i\delta' \end{aligned}$$

2.7 (Poisson summation formula).

2.8 (Uncertainty principle).

2.9 (Paley-Wiener theorem). Let  $f$  be an integrable compactly supported function. Using the Morera to prove  $\hat{f}$  is analytic.

2.10. Let  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  and define  $f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(0)x^k$ . Suppose  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise.

- (a)  $f_n$  never converge to  $f$  in the space of tempered distributions. (Hint: use Borel's theorem to construct a Schwarz function)
- (b)  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  in the the space of distributions.

2.11 (Multipole expansion). Let  $\rho$  be a compactly supported distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We want to investigate the limit behavior of  $\rho(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . More precisely, we want to compute an integer  $k \geq d$  such that  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \varepsilon^{-k} \rho(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$  defines a distribution supported at  $\{0\}$ , and the coefficients of derivatives of Dirac measures.

We need to introduce quantities called monopole, dipole, quadrapole, octupole, etc.

- (a) A distribution supported on  $\{0\}$  is a linear combination of the Dirac measure and its derivatives.
- (b)

## Problems

1. Find all  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x^{-\alpha} \int_0^x f(y) dy = 0$$

for all  $f \in L^3([0, \infty))$ .

## Chapter 3

## **Part II**

# **Singular integral operators**

## Chapter 4

# Calderón-Zygmund theory

### 4.1 Convolution type operators

4.1 (Calderón-Zygmund decomposition).

4.2 (Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of sets). Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $E_n f$  be the conditional expectation with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by dyadic cubes with side length  $2^{-n}$ . Let  $Mf := \sup_n E_n |f|$  be the maximal function, and let  $\Omega := \{x : Mf(x) > \lambda\}$  for fixed  $\lambda > 0$ . For  $x \in \Omega$  let  $Q_x$  be the maximal dyadic cube such that  $x \in Q_x$  and

$$\frac{1}{|Q_x|} \int_{Q_x} |f| > \lambda.$$

- (a)  $\{Q_x : x \in \Omega\}$  is a countable partition of  $\Omega$ .
- (b) We have an weak type estimate  $|\Omega| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1}$ .
- (c)  $\|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega)} \leq \lambda$ .
- (d) For  $x \in \Omega$

$$\frac{1}{|Q_x|} \int_{Q_x} |f| \leq 2^d \lambda.$$

4.3 (Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of functions). Let

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} |f(x)| & , x \notin \Omega \\ \frac{1}{|Q_x|} \int_{Q_x} |f| & , x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

and  $b_i := (|f| - g)\chi_{Q_i}$  so that  $|f| = g + b$  where  $b = \sum_i b_i$ .

- (a)  $\|g\|_{L^1} = \|f\|_{L^1}$  and  $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim_d \lambda$ .
- (b)  $\|b\|_{L^1} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^1}$  and  $\int b_i = 0$ .

*Proof.*

□

4.4 ( $L^p$  boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators). Let  $T : C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a *singular integral operator of convolution type* in the sense that there is a function  $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $Tf(x) = K * f(x)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , whenever  $x \notin \text{supp } f$ . We say  $T$  is called a *Calderón-Zygmund operator* if

- (i)  $T$  is  $L^2$ -bounded: we have

$$\|Tf\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2},$$

(ii)  $T$  satisfies the *Hörmander condition*: we have

$$\int_{|x|>2|y|} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx \lesssim 1$$

for every  $y > 0$ .

Let  $f = g + b = g + \sum_i b_i$  be the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition, and let  $\Omega^* := \bigcup_i Q_i^*$  where  $Q_i^*$  is the cube with the same center as  $Q_i$  and whose sides are  $2\sqrt{d}$  times longer.

(a) The  $L^2$ -boundedness implies

$$|\{x : |Tg(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}| \lesssim_d \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

(b) The Hörmander condition implies

$$|\{x : |Tb(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \setminus \Omega^*| \lesssim_d \frac{1}{\lambda} \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

(c)

*Proof.* (a) Using the Chebyshev inequality and the Hölder inequality,

$$|\{x : |Tg(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\}| \leq \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \|Tg\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{4C}{\lambda^2} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{4C}{\lambda^2} \|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

(b) Write

$$|\{x : |Tb(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\} \setminus \Omega^*| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Omega^*} |Tb(x)| dx \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_i^*} |Tb_i(x)| dx.$$

Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_i^*$  does not belong to  $\text{supp } b_i \subset Q_i$  and  $\int b_i = 0$ , we have

$$Tb_i(x) = \int_{Q_i} K(x-y) b_i(y) dy = \int_{Q_i} [K(x-y) - K(x)] b_i(y) dy,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_i^*} |Tb_i(x)| dx = \int_{Q_i} |b_i(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus Q_i^*} |K(x-y) - K(x)| dx dy \lesssim \|b_i\|_{L^1}.$$

(We need to show it is valid even though  $b_i$  is not smooth)

(c)

□

4.5 (Hölder boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators).

## 4.2 Truncated integrals

Homogeneous kernels

## 4.3 Hilbert transform

4.6 (Harmonic conjugate).

4.7 (Kernel representation).

4.8 (Fourier series in  $L^p$  space).

## 4.4 $A_p$ weights

## 4.5 Bounded mean oscillation

### Exercises

**4.9** (Size and cancellation condition). Let  $K \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}) \cap \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We say the condition  $|K(x)| \lesssim |x|^{-d}$  for  $x \neq 0$  as the *size condition*, and say the condition  $\int_{r < |x| < R} K(x) dx = 0$  for all  $0 < r < R < \infty$  as the *cancellation condition*. If  $K$  satisfies the size, cancellation, and Hörmander condition, then it is  $L^2$  bounded, hence Calderón-Zygmund.

**4.10** (Gradient size condition). Let  $|\nabla K(x)| \lesssim |x|^{-d-1}$  for  $x \neq 0$ . Then, convolution with  $K$  is a Calderón-Zygmund operator.

**4.11** (Riesz potential).

## Chapter 5

# Littlewood-Paley theory

### 5.1 Littlewood-Paley decomposition

### 5.2 Multiplier theorems

## Chapter 6

# Almost orthogonality

Carleson measures, paraproducts

### 6.1 Coltar lemma

### 6.2 $T(1)$ theorem



## **Part III**

# **Oscillatory integral operators**

## Chapter 7

# Oscillatory integrals

**7.1** (Justification of oscillatory integral). For a function  $\phi$  with fast growth toward infinity, we want to define a linear functional  $I_\phi$  such that

$$I_\phi(a) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\phi(x)} a(x) dx, \quad a \in S(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

A linear functional of the above form is called the *oscillatory integral* with *phase function*  $\phi$ . As a notation, we will use the above integral in the right-hand side to denote the value of  $I_\phi$  even for  $a \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, we have pointwise justifications for integral calculus.

- (a)  $I_\phi : A_\phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is well-defined and continuous, if  $\phi$ .
- (b) The change of variables is justified as follows:
- (c) The integral by parts is justified as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\phi(y)} i \partial \phi(y) a(x+y) dy = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\phi(y)} \partial a(x+y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, a \in A_\phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

- (d) The Fubini theorem is justified as follows:
- (e) The Fourier inversion is justified as follows:

$$a(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(y) dy d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, a \in A_\phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*Proof.* (a) Note that  $A_\phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is dense in  $A_\phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The most difficult part is the construction and the computation of  $L$  and its transpose.

(e) Note that the function  $(y, \xi) \mapsto a(y)$  belongs to  $A_\phi^{m'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  since  $\square$

**7.2** (Point evaluation of multiplier). Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{P}$  be a phase function. We want to show the following point evaluation holds with previously justified oscillatory integral:

$$\Phi(D)a(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\phi(y)} a(x+y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, a \in A_\phi^m(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where  $\Phi := \mathcal{F}^* e^{i\phi}$ . Which condition for  $\phi$  makes  $\Phi$  be able to act on  $S'$  by multiplication?

**7.3** (Stationary phase approximation).

*Proof.*  $\square$

**7.4** (Van der Corput lemma).

Dispersive equations and strichartz estimates

## Exercises

7.5 (Fresnel phase). We compute  $L$  with a specific example

*Proof.*

$$(1 + xQ^{-1}D)e^{\frac{i}{2}xQx} = \langle x \rangle^2 e^{\frac{i}{2}xQx}.$$

The transpose of  $\langle x \rangle^{-2}(1 + xQ^{-1}D)$  is  $\langle x \rangle^{-2}(1 + di - 2ix^2 - xD)$  for  $Q = I$ .

Note that  $\langle x \rangle^{-2n} \langle D \rangle^{2n}$  is self-adjoint.

Let  $Q$  be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Consider a multiplier operator  $e^{\frac{i}{2}DQD} : S \rightarrow S$  such that

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}DQD}a(x) := \mathcal{F}^* e^{\frac{i}{2}\xi Q \xi} \mathcal{F}a(x).$$

(a) The pointwise evaluation is given by the oscillatory integral.

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}DQD}a(x) = (2\pi)^{-d} \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}} \operatorname{sgn}(Q)}{|\det Q|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\frac{i}{2}yQ^{-1}y} a(x+y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad a \in A_\delta^m.$$

(b)

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}DQD}a(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{i^k}{2^k k!} (DQD)^k a(x) + r_n(x)$$

□

## Chapter 8

# Foureir restriction

Takeya Bochner-Riesz Geometric measure theory

## Chapter 9

## **Part IV**

# **Pseudo-differential operators**

## Chapter 10

# Pseudo-differential calculus

### 10.1

**10.1** (Hörmander symbol classes). Let  $m, \rho, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . The Hörmander class  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  of symbols is the set of smooth functions  $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_x^d \times \mathbb{R}_\xi^d)$  such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \lesssim_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|}$$

for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ .

(a) Fréchet space

**10.2** (Asymptotic expansion). Let  $\rho, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $a_k \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_k}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  for a sequence  $(m_k)_{k=0}^\infty \subset \mathbb{R}$  with  $m_0$  and  $m_k \downarrow -\infty$ . We want to construct  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_0}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  such that

$$a - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_n}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}). \quad (\dagger)$$

The symbol  $a_0$  is called the *principal symbol* of  $a$ , or the operator  $\text{Op}^t(a)$ .

Let  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_\xi^d, [0, 1])$  be a cutoff function such that

$$\chi(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |\xi| \leq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } |\xi| \geq 2 \end{cases}.$$

(a) If  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ , then  $\chi(\varepsilon\xi)a(x, \xi)$  is uniformly bounded in  $S_{\rho, \delta}^m$  for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  if  $\rho \leq 1$ .

(b) There is  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_0}$  such that  $(\dagger)$  if  $\rho \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* (a) On the support of  $\xi \mapsto \chi(\varepsilon\xi)$  holds  $\langle \xi \rangle < 2|\xi| \leq 4\varepsilon^{-1}$  because  $1 < \varepsilon^{-1}$ , so for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta (\chi(\varepsilon\xi)a(x, \xi))| &= \left| \sum_{\tau} \binom{\beta}{\tau} \partial_\xi^{\beta-\tau} (\chi(\varepsilon\xi)) \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\tau a(x, \xi) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\tau} \binom{\beta}{\tau} \varepsilon^{|\beta| - |\tau|} \partial_\xi^{\beta-\tau} \chi(\varepsilon\xi) \partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\tau a(x, \xi) \right| \\ (\because \langle \xi \rangle \leq 4\varepsilon^{-1}) &\leq \sum_{\tau} \binom{\beta}{\tau} (4\langle \xi \rangle^{-1})^{|\beta| - |\tau|} |\partial_\xi^{\beta-\tau} \chi(\varepsilon\xi)| |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\tau a(x, \xi)| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{\tau} \binom{\beta}{\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{-(|\beta| - |\tau|)} \langle \xi \rangle^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\tau|} \\ (\because \rho \leq 1) &\leq \langle \xi \rangle^{m + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Because we have  $\varepsilon^{-1} \leq \langle \xi \rangle$  on the support of  $1 - \chi(\varepsilon \xi)$ , for each  $k$  we can take a sequence  $\varepsilon_k$  small enough such that

$$\max_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d \\ |\alpha| + |\beta| \leq k}} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta ((1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x, \xi))| \leq 2^{-k} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_k + 1 + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|}.$$

We may assume  $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$  so that the following sum is locally finite:

$$a(x, \xi) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x, \xi).$$

If we choose  $n$  such that  $m_0 \geq m_n + 1$ , then in the expansion

$$a(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x, \xi) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x, \xi)$$

the first sum clearly belongs to  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_0}$  and so is the second sum because

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x, \xi)| &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_{k+1} + 1 + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|} \\ &\leq \langle \xi \rangle^{m_n + 1 + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|} \\ &\leq \langle \xi \rangle^{m_0 + \delta|\alpha| - \rho|\beta|} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$ . Therefore,  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_0}$ .

Write

$$(a - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k)(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi) a_k(x, \xi) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon_k \xi)) a_k(x, \xi).$$

The first sum belongs to  $S^{-\infty}$  because it is compactly supported, and we can also show that the second sum belongs to  $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_n}$  by decomposing with  $n'$  such that  $m_n \geq m_{n'} + 1$  and by considering the multiplication with a cutoff remains in the same symbol class.  $\square$

**10.3 (Quantization).** For a symbol  $a$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we want to define a pseudo-differential operator  $\text{Op}^t(a)$  such that

$$\text{Op}^t(a)f(x) := (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a((1-t)x + ty, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The operator  $\text{Op}^t(a)$  is the  $t$ -quantization of the symbol  $a$ . The analysis of  $t$ -quantizations is sometimes called the *Kohn-Nirenberg calculus* for  $t = 0$ , the *Weyl calculus* for  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ .

(a)  $\text{Op}^0(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is well-defined and continuous, if  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .

(b)  $\text{Op}^0(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is well-defined and continuous, if  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  for  $\delta \leq 1$ .

*Proof.* (b) Since  $\langle D_y \rangle^2$  is a self-adjoint partial differential operator, for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Op}^0(a)f(x) &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi \\ (\cdot \cdot D_y e^{i(x-y)\xi} &= \xi e^{i(x-y)\xi}) \quad = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi \\ (\cdot \cdot \text{IBP}) \quad &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} a(x, \xi) \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y) dy d\xi. \end{aligned}$$



The derivatives of the integrand is integrable with respect to  $\xi$  for a sufficiently large  $n$  with  $m + |\beta| - 2n < -d$  because

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\partial_x^\beta (e^{i(x-y)\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} a(x, \xi) \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y))| \\
&= \left| \sum_{\tau} \binom{\beta}{\tau} (i\xi)^{\beta-\tau} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \partial_x^\tau a(x, \xi) \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\tau} \binom{\beta}{\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{|\beta| - |\tau|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} |\partial_x^\tau a(x, \xi)| |\langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)| \\
&(\because a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m) \lesssim \sum_{\tau} \binom{\beta}{\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{|\beta| - |\tau|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \langle \xi \rangle^{m + \delta |\tau|} |\langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)| \\
&(\because \delta \leq 1) \lesssim \langle \xi \rangle^{m + |\beta| - 2n} |\langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)|,
\end{aligned}$$

so the partial derivative  $\partial_x$  commutes with the integral. Since

$$x^\alpha e^{i(x-y)\xi} = (y + D_\xi)^\alpha e^{i(x-y)\xi} = \sum_{\sigma} \binom{\alpha}{\sigma} y^{\alpha-\sigma} D_\xi^\sigma e^{i(x-y)\xi},$$

we have an expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \text{Op}^0(a) f(x) &= x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} a(x, \xi) \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y) dy d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta (e^{i(x-y)\xi} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} a(x, \xi) \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)) dy d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \sum_{\sigma, \tau} \binom{\alpha}{\sigma} \binom{\beta}{\tau} y^{\alpha-\sigma} D_\xi^\sigma e^{i(x-y)\xi} (i\xi)^{\beta-\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \partial_x^\tau a(x, \xi) \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y) dy d\xi \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \sum_{\sigma, \tau} \binom{\alpha}{\sigma} \binom{\beta}{\tau} e^{i(x-y)\xi} (-D_\xi)^\sigma [(i\xi)^{\beta-\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \partial_x^\tau a(x, \xi)] y^{\alpha-\sigma} \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y) dy d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |(-D_\xi)^\sigma [(i\xi)^{\beta-\tau} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2n} \partial_x^\tau a(x, \xi)]|$$

is integrable with respect to  $\xi$  for sufficiently large  $n$ , so with this  $n$  we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |x^\alpha \partial_x^\beta \text{Op}^0(a) f(x)| \lesssim \sum_{\sigma \leq \alpha} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} |y^{\alpha-\sigma} \langle D_y \rangle^{2n} f(y)|$$

for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^d$  and all  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , which implies  $\text{Op}^0(a) f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $\square$

**10.4** (Change of quantization). Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , .

- (a)  $\text{Op}^t(a) = \text{Op}^0(e^{itD_x D_\xi} a)$ . In particular, since  $M_{e^{itx\xi}} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $\text{Op}^t(a) : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  is well-defined and continuous.
- (b)  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  if and only if  $e^{itD_x D_\xi} a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , if  $0 \leq \delta \leq \rho \leq 1$  and  $\delta < 1$ .
- (c) We have the formal adjoint

$$\text{Op}^t(a)^* = \text{Op}^{1-t}(\bar{a}).$$

In particular,  $\text{Op}^t(a) : \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is well-defined and continuous for  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .

*Proof.* (a) Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Op}^t(a)f(x) &= (2\pi)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a((1-t)x + ty, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi \\
(\cdot: \text{Inversion on } \mathbb{R}^{2d}) &= (2\pi)^{-3d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} e^{i(x-y)\xi} e^{i((1-t)x+ty)x^* + i\xi\xi^*} \hat{a}(x^*, \xi^*) f(y) dx^* d\xi^* dy d\xi \\
&= (2\pi)^{-3d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} e^{i(x-y+\xi^*)\xi} \hat{a}(x^*, \xi^*) e^{i((1-t)x+ty)x^*} f(y) dx^* d\xi^* dy d\xi \\
(\cdot: \text{Inversion on } \mathbb{R}^d) &= -(2\pi)^{-2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \hat{a}(x^*, y-x) e^{i((1-t)x+ty)x^*} f(y) dx^* dy \\
(\cdot: [\xi^*/y-x]) &= -(2\pi)^{-2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{i(x+t\xi^*)x^*} \hat{a}(x^*, \xi^*) f(x+\xi^*) dx^* d\xi^*.
\end{aligned}$$

(b) We have the oscillatory integral

$$e^{itD_x D_\xi} a(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-d} |t|^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-it^{-1}y\eta} a(x+y, \xi+\eta) dy d\eta.$$

Enough to show

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-it^{-1}y\eta} a(x+y, \xi+\eta) dy d\eta| \lesssim \langle \xi \rangle^m.$$

Fix  $\xi$  and  $\delta \leq \rho$

□

**10.5** (Moyal product). Let  $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and  $b \in S_{\rho, \delta}^l(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .

(a) there exists a unique function  $a \#^t b \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m+l}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  such that

$$a^t(x, D)b^t(x, D) = (a \#^t b)^t(x, D).$$

(b) It is concretely described by

$$(a \#^t b)(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4d}} e^{-i(y\eta - z\xi)} a(x + tz, \xi + \eta) b((1-t)y + x, \xi + \zeta) dy d\eta dz d\zeta.$$

(c) If  $\delta < \rho$ , then

$$a \#^t b(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \frac{1}{i^k k!} (\partial_y \partial_\eta - \partial_z \partial_\zeta)^k a((1-t)x + tz, \eta) b(tx + (1-t)y, \zeta) \Big|_{\substack{y=z=x \\ \eta=\zeta=\xi}}.$$

**10.6** (Parametrix and elliptic operators).

**10.7** (Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem).

## Exercises

Quantization of linear and quadratic exponential symbols.

## Chapter 11

# Semiclassical analysis

We define for  $h > 0$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\text{Op}_h^t(a)f(x) := (2\pi h)^{-d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{\frac{i}{h}(x-y)\xi} a((1-t)x + ty, \xi) f(y) dy d\xi, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

$$\text{Op}_h^w(D_x a) = [D_x, \text{Op}_h^w(a)], \quad \text{Op}_h^w(hD_\xi a) = -[x, \text{Op}_h^w(a)].$$

For example, regardless of  $h > 0$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\text{Op}(\xi)\psi(x) = hD\psi(x) = -ih\psi'(x)$$

and

$$\text{Op}(H)\psi(x) = -\frac{h^2}{2m}\Delta\psi(x) + V(x)\psi(x),$$

where

$$H(x, \xi) := \frac{|\xi|^2}{2m} + V(x).$$

In physics, the operator  $\text{Op}(H)$  is frequently written as  $\hat{H}$ , which will not be used to avoid the confusion regarding the Fourier transform.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}a(t) &= \{a(t), H\} = X_H a(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt}\hat{a}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt}e^{\frac{i}{h}t\hat{H}}\hat{a}e^{-\frac{i}{h}t\hat{H}} = -\frac{i}{h}[\hat{a}(t), \hat{H}] \end{aligned}$$

Let  $J : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2d} : (x, \xi) \mapsto (\xi, -x)$  be a symplectomorphism, the rotation of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  in *clock-wise*. Then, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_h^* \text{Op}_h^w(a) \mathcal{F}_h = \text{Op}_h^w(J^*a).$$

Also,

$$[\text{Op}_h^w(a), \text{Op}_h^w(b)] = \text{Op}_h^w(-ih\{a, b\}) + O(h^2).$$

Since the Weyl quantization has a bound

$$\|\text{Op}_h^w(a)\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \lesssim \|a\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} + O(h^{\frac{1}{2}}), \quad a \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cap S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

for a bounded net  $f_h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the positive linear functional  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  defined by

$$a \mapsto \langle \text{Op}_h^w(a) f_h, f_h \rangle, \quad a \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \cap S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$$

has a limit point in the weak\* topology. If a finite Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  is a limit, then  $\mu$  is called a *semiclassical defect* of the net  $f_h$ .

Let  $p$  be a symbol such that  $|p(x, \xi)| \gtrsim \langle \xi \rangle^k$  for sufficiently large  $|\xi|$ . This symbol has an interpretation of the Hamiltonian. Suppose the following two conditions are satisfied:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|\text{Op}_h^w(p)f_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 0, \quad \|f_h\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = 1.$$

Then, the support of any semiclassical defect measure  $\mu$  is contained in  $p^{-1}(0)$ , called the *characteristic variety* or the *zero energy surface* of the symbol  $p$ . We can understand this support restriction as saying that in the semiclassical limit  $h \rightarrow 0$  all the mass of solution coalesces onto a specific set in phase space. Also we have the flow invariance  $\{p, \mu\} = 0$ , i.e.  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \{p, a\} d\mu = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , which means that  $\mu$  is invariant under the Hamiltonian flow generated by  $p$ .

## 11.1 Heisenberg group

## 11.2 Phase space transforms

## **Chapter 12**

# **Microlocal analysis**