Partial Differential Equations

Ikhan Choi

March 13, 2023

Contents

I	Sol	bolev spaces	3		
1	Dist	tribution theory Space of test functions	4		
	1.2	Space of distributions	4		
	1.3	Well-posedness	4		
2	Sobolev inequalities				
	2.1	Approximations	5		
	2.2	Extensions and restrictions	5		
	2.3	Sobolev embeddings	5		
3	Generalizations of Sobolev spaces				
	3.1	Fractional Sobolev spaces	7		
	3.2	Fourier transform methods	7		
	3.3	Almost everywhere differentiability	7		
	3.4	Vector-valued functions	7		
II	El	liptic equations	8		
4	Potential theory				
	4.1	Mean value property	9		
	4.2	Weyl's lemma	9		
5	Exis	stence theory	10		
	5.1	Variational methods	10		
	5.2	Lax-Milgram theorem	10		
	5.3	Fredholm alternative	10		
	5.4	Perron's method	10		
	5.5	Eigenvalue problems	10		
6	Ellipic regularity				
	6.1	L^p theory	11		
	6.2	Schauder theory	13		
	6.3	De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory	13		
	6 1	Vigaggity colutions	10		

III	[E	volution equations	14	
7		abolic equations	15	
		Galerkin approximation		
	7.2	Semigroup theory	15	
8	Нур	perbolic equations	16	
9	Loca	al and global existence	17	
	9.1	Local existence	17	
	9.2	Global existence	17	
	9.3	Weak convergence	17	
IV Nonlinear equations				
10			19	
11	11 Hamilton-Jacobi equations			
12	2 Conservation laws			

Part I Sobolev spaces

Distribution theory

1.1 Space of test functions

- **1.1.** (a) If a test function φ satisfies $\langle 1, \varphi \rangle = 0$, then there is $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a test function ψ such that $\varphi = v \cdot \nabla \psi$.
 - (b) If a distribution has zero derivative, then it is a constant.
- 1.2 (Weak* convergence).

1.2 Space of distributions

1.3 (Rigged Hilbert space).

1.3 Well-posedness

1.4 (Extension of linear operators). Let $T: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{D}'$ be a continuous linear operator. We can always define the adjoint $T^*: \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}'' \to \mathcal{D}'$. The most reasonable extension of T is $T: (T^*(\mathcal{D}))' \to \mathcal{D}'$. For $f \in (T^*(\mathcal{D}))'$, we can define $\langle T(f), \varphi \rangle := \langle f, T^* \varphi \rangle$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$.

Suppose $T: (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}) \to (T(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S})$ is proved to be continuous. If $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T}) \to (T^*(\mathcal{D}))'$ and $(T(\mathcal{D}), \mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{D}'$ are embeddings, then the extension of T to the completion of $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{T})$ agrees with $T: (T^*(\mathcal{D}))' \to \mathcal{D}'$.

For example, if Φ is locally integrable, then since $(T_{\Phi})^* = T_{\widetilde{\Phi}}$ and $\Phi * \varphi \in \mathcal{E} = C^{\infty}$ for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, the convolution operator $T_{\Phi} : \mathcal{E}' \to \mathcal{D}'$ can be defined on the space of compactly supported distributions.

If g*f is well-defined, is f*g also well-defined? In other words, if $f \in (T_{\widetilde{g}}(\mathcal{D}))'$ so that $g*f \in \mathcal{D}'$, then $g \in (T_{\widetilde{f}}(\mathcal{D}))'$? Are they same?

$$\langle g, \widetilde{f} * \varphi \rangle =$$

Exercises

Sobolev inequalities

2.1 Approximations

- 2.1 (Completeness of Sobolev norms).
- 2.2 (Difference quotient).
- 2.3 (Interior approximation).
- 2.4 (Myers-Serrin theorem).

2.2 Extensions and restrictions

- 2.5 (Lipschitz boundary).
- 2.6 (Extension theorem).
- 2.7 (Trace theorem).
- 2.8 (Vanishing at boundary). zero trace, whole domain

2.3 Sobolev embeddings

- 2.9 (Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev inequality).
- 2.10 (Hölder spaces).
- **2.11** (Morrey inequality).
- 2.12 (Poincaré inequality). BMO
- **2.13** (Rellich-Kondrachov theorem). Let Ω be bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d with Lipschitz boundary. Let $1 \leq p < d$ and $1 \leq q < p^*$ where $p^* := \frac{dp}{d-p}$ denotes the Sobolev conjugate. Let $(u_n)_n$ be a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. We may assume it is also bounded in $W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the embedding $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and the extension theorem. Let η_{ε} be a standard mollifier.
 - (a) There is a subsequence of $(\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n)_n$ that is Cauchy in $L^q(\Omega)$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$.
 - (b) $\sup_n \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$
 - (c) $\sup_n \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.$

- (d) There is a subsequence of $(u_n)_n$ that is Cauchy in $L^q(\Omega)$.
- (e) $W^{k,p}(\Omega) \to W^{l,q}(\Omega)$ is a compact embedding if

$$\frac{l}{d} - \frac{1}{q} < \frac{k}{d} - \frac{1}{p}.$$

Proof. (a) The sequence $(\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n)_n$ is pointwise bounded from

$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\eta_{\varepsilon}\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{\varepsilon} 1,$$

and equicontinuous from

$$\|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq \|\nabla \eta_{\varepsilon}\|_{C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim_{\varepsilon} 1.$$

By the Arzela-Ascoli theorem, since $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact, there is a subsequence $(\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_{n_k})_k$ that is Cauchy in $C(\overline{\Omega})$, and hence in $L^q(\Omega)$.

(b) Write

$$\begin{split} \eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n(x) - u_n(x) &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^d} \int \eta \left(\frac{x - y}{\varepsilon} \right) (u_n(y) - u_n(x)) \, dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) (u_n(x - \varepsilon y) - u_n(x)) \, dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (u_n(x - t\varepsilon y)) \, dt \, dy \\ &= \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 (-\varepsilon y) \cdot \nabla u_n(x - t\varepsilon y) \, dt \, dy. \end{split}$$

Then, since $|y| \ge 1$ if $\eta(y) > 0$,

$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le \varepsilon \int \eta(y) \int_0^1 \int |\nabla u_n(x - t\varepsilon y)| \, dx \, dt \, dy = \varepsilon \|\nabla u_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

(c) The interpolation

$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \le \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}$$

for $q=\frac{\theta}{1}+\frac{1-\theta}{p}$ with $0<\theta\leq 1$ and the Gagliardo-Nireberg-Sobolev inequality

$$\|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim 1$$

give the L^q version of the part (b),

$$\sup_{n} \|\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_n - u_n\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

(d) By the part (c), for any $\delta > 0$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n}\|\eta_{\varepsilon}*u_{n}-u_{n}\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}<\frac{\delta}{2},$$

so for a subsequence $(\eta_{\varepsilon} * u_{n_k})_k$ that is Cauchy in $L^q(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|u_{n_k}-u_{n_{k'}}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}\leq \|\eta_\varepsilon*u_{n_k}-\eta_\varepsilon*u_{n_{k'}}\|_{L^q(\Omega)}+\delta,$$

and by the diagonal argument reducing δ to zero, we can construct the desired subsequence.

(e)

Generalizations of Sobolev spaces

- 3.1 Fractional Sobolev spaces
- 3.2 Fourier transform methods
- 3.3 Almost everywhere differentiability

Lipschitz, Rademacher

3.4 Vector-valued functions

3.1 (Pettis measurability theorem). Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space and X a Banach space. Let $f: \Omega \to X$ be a function. We say f is *strongly measurable* or *Bochner measurable* if it is a pointwise limit of a sequence of simple functions.

If μ is complete, then all the pointwise convergence discussed here can be relaxed to the almost everywhere convergence.

- (a) If f is strongly measurable, then f is Borel measurable.
- (b) If f is Borel measurable, then f is weakly measurable.
- (c) If f is weakly measurable and separably valued, then f is strongly measurable.
- **3.2** (Bochner and Pettis integrals). Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space and X a Banach space. if there is a net of simple functions $(s_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega) - s_{\alpha}(\omega)\| d\mu \to 0$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

Bochner integrable => Pettis integrable => weakly(scalarly) integrable

Part II Elliptic equations

Potential theory

4.1 Mean value property

mean value property maximum principle Harnack inequality potential estimate Hölder estimate

4.2 Weyl's lemma

Exercises

Problems

1. Let $d \geq 3$. Let u be a distribution on \mathbb{R}^d that is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$ and vanishes at infinity. Then, $u = a_\alpha \partial^\alpha \Phi$.

Existence theory

5.1 Variational methods

5.2 Lax-Milgram theorem

5.1 (Poisson equation). Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u(x) = f(x) &, \text{ in } x \in \Omega, \\ u(x) = 0 &, \text{ on } x \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Define a bilinear form B on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$B(u,v) := \int \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) \, dx.$$

- (a) If $u \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ satisfy $B(u, \varphi) = \langle f, \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, then $-\Delta u = f$.
- (b) *B* is another inner product equivalent to $\langle -, \rangle_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$.
- (c) For $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, there is $u \in H_0^{-1}(\Omega)$ such that $-\Delta u = f$.

5.3 Fredholm alternative

5.4 Perron's method

5.5 Eigenvalue problems

Ellipic regularity

6.1 L^p theory

6.1 (Interior regularity in H^2). Let Ω be bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d and $L: \mathcal{D}'(\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ a uniformly elliptic operator given by

$$Lu := -\partial_i(a^{ij}\partial_i u) + b^i\partial_i u + cu$$

for $a^{ij} \in C^1(\Omega)$, $b^i \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and $c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Fix an open subset $U \in \Omega$ and $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ a cutoff function such that $\zeta = 1$ in U. Let $\varphi := -\partial_k^{-h}(\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$ and sufficiently small h > 0.

(a) We have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(U)} \lesssim \|Lu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that $Lu, u \in L^2(\Omega)$

(b) We have

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all $u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

(c) We have

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \|Lu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that $Lu \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in H^1(\Omega)$.

(d) We have

$$||u||_{H^2(U)} \lesssim ||Lu||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||u||_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

for all u such that $Lu, u \in L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. (a) Since $\zeta^2 u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\int \zeta^{2} |\nabla u|^{2} \lesssim \int a^{ij} \zeta^{2} \partial_{i} u \partial_{j} u$$

$$= \int a^{ij} \partial_{i} u \partial_{j} (\zeta^{2} u) - \int a^{ij} \partial_{i} u \partial_{j} (\zeta^{2}) u$$

$$= \int (Lu - b^{i} \partial_{i} u - cu) \zeta^{2} u - \int a^{ij} \partial_{i} u 2\zeta \partial_{j} \zeta u$$

$$\lesssim \int (|Lu u| + |u \zeta \nabla u| + |u|^{2} + |u \zeta \nabla u|)$$

$$\lesssim \int (|Lu|^{2} + |u|^{2}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int |u|^{2} + \varepsilon \int \zeta^{2} |\nabla u|^{2}.$$

Taking small $\varepsilon > 0$, we are done.

(b) Write

$$\begin{split} \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi &= - \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \partial_k^{-h} (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u) \\ &= \int \partial_k^h (a^{ij} \partial_i u) \, \partial_j (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u) \\ &= \int \partial_k^h a^{ij} \, \partial_i u \, \partial_j (\zeta^2) \, \partial_k^h u + \int \partial_k^h a^{ij} \, \partial_i u \, \zeta^2 \, \partial_j \partial_k^h u \\ &+ \int a^{ij} \, \partial_k^h \partial_i u \, \partial_j (\zeta^2) \, \partial_k^h u + \int a^{ij} \, \partial_k^h \partial_i u \, \zeta^2 \, \partial_j \partial_k^h u. \end{split}$$

The last term out of the four terms controls the difference quotient $|\partial_k^h \nabla u|$ as

$$\int a^{ij} \, \partial_k^h \partial_i u \, \zeta^2 \, \partial_j \partial_k^h u \gtrsim \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2,$$

and the absolute values of other three terms are estimated up to constant by

$$\begin{split} \int \zeta |\nabla u| |\partial_k^h u| + \int \zeta^2 |\nabla u| |\partial_k^h \nabla u| + \int \zeta |\partial_k^h \nabla u| |\partial_k^h u| \\ \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int \zeta^2 |\nabla u|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\partial_k^h u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \\ \lesssim \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2 \lesssim \int a^{ij} \partial_i u \partial_j \varphi + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \int |\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2,$$

and taking small $\varepsilon > 0$, we are done.

(c) Note that

$$\int a^{ij}\partial_i u\partial_j \varphi = \int (Lu - b^i\partial_i u - cu)\varphi$$

since $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Because

$$\int (Lu - b^i \partial_i u - cu) \varphi \lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int (|Lu|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) + \varepsilon \int |\varphi|^2$$

and

$$\int |\varphi|^2 = \int |\partial_k^{-h} (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)|^2$$

$$\lesssim \int |\nabla (\zeta^2 \partial_k^h u)|^2$$

$$\lesssim \int |\partial_k^h u|^2 + \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2$$

$$\lesssim \int |\nabla u|^2 + \int \zeta^2 |\partial_k^h \nabla u|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\int (Lu-b^i\partial_i u-cu)\varphi\lesssim \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int (|Lu|^2+|u|^2)+\left(\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\int |\nabla u|^2+\varepsilon\int \zeta^2|\partial_k^h\nabla u|^2.$$

Taking small $\varepsilon > 0$, we are done.

- 6.2 Schauder theory
- 6.3 De Giorgi-Nash-Moser theory
- 6.4 Viscosity solutions

Part III Evolution equations

Parabolic equations

- 7.1 Galerkin approximation
- 7.2 Semigroup theory

Hyperbolic equations

Local and global existence

9.1 Local existence

contraction mapping

9.2 Global existence

a priori estimates gronwall inequality

9.3 Weak convergence

Part IV Nonlinear equations

Hamilton-Jacobi equations

optimal control viscosity solution

Conservation laws

shocks NS