

Homological Algebra

Ikhan Choi

Lectured by Takeshi Tsuji
University of Tokyo, Spring 2023

April 13, 2023

Contents

1	Day 1: April 6	2
1.1	Commutative diagrams and exact sequences	2
1.2	Direct sum, direct product, inductive limit, direct limit	4
2	Day 2: April 13	4

1 Day 1: April 6

1. Modules

References: Atsushi Shiho, Yuki Yoshi Kawada

1.1. R -modules

Definition 1.1. Let R be a ring with 1. A (left) R -module is an abelian group M with a map $R \times M \rightarrow M : (a, x) \mapsto ax$ satisfying $a(x + y) = ax + ay$, $(a + b)x = ax + bx$, $(ab)x = a(bx)$, $1x = x$.

Example 1.1. (a) Every abelian group is a \mathbb{Z} -module. The R -module structures on an abelian group M has 1-1 correspondence with the ring homomorphisms $R \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$.

(b) $M = C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $R = \mathbb{R}[T]$ a polynomial ring, $R \times M \rightarrow M : (P(T), f(x)) \mapsto P(\frac{d}{dx})f(x)$.

Definition 1.2. A (left) R -submodule of M is a subgroup $N \subset M$ such that $ax \in N$ for $a \in R$, $x \in N$. A (left) R -homomorphism is a group homomorphism $M \rightarrow N$ which preserves the action of R .

Example 1.2. (a) $M = C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $R = \mathbb{R}[T]$, then $\varphi : M \rightarrow M : f(x) \mapsto f(x+1)$ is an R -homomorphism.

Definition 1.3. Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -homomorphism. The kernel of f is $\ker f := \{x \in M : f(x) = 0\} \xrightarrow{i} M$, and the cokernel of f is $N \xrightarrow{p} \text{coker } f := N / \text{im } f$, where the image is $\text{im } f := \{f(x) \in N : x \in M\} \xrightarrow{j} N$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \ker f & \xrightarrow{i} & M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{coker } f \\ & & \searrow f & & \nearrow j & & \\ & & \text{im } f & & & & \end{array}$$

On each of them, there is a unique R -module structure such that the each map i, j, p becomes an R -homomorphism respectively.

Theorem 1.1 (Universal property). For the above setting, note that $fi = 0$ and $pf = 0$. If an R -homomorphism $g : M' \rightarrow M$ satisfies $fg = 0$, then there is a unique R -homomorphism $h : M' \rightarrow \ker f$ such that $g = ih$. If an R -homomorphism $g : N \rightarrow N'$ satisfies $gf = 0$, then there is a unique R -homomorphism $h : \text{coker } f \rightarrow N'$ such that $g = hp$.

1.1 Commutative diagrams and exact sequences

Definition 1.4 (Diagram). Among some R -modules suppose we have R -homomorphisms as the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & M_2 \\ f_3 \downarrow & \searrow f_2 & \downarrow g_1 \\ M_3 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & M_4 \end{array} .$$

Then, if the compositions sharing each source and target coincide, then we say the diagram is commutative. For example, we say the triangle formed by M_2, M_3, M_4 is commutative iff $g_1 = g_2 f_2$.

Definition 1.5 (Sequence). A sequence is a diagram of R -modules placed linearly as

$$\cdots \rightarrow M_n \xrightarrow{f_n} M_{n+1} \xrightarrow{f_{n+1}} M_{n+2} \rightarrow \cdots .$$

If $\text{im } f_n = \ker f_{n+1}$ for all n , then we say the sequence is exact.

Example 1.3. (a) $f : M \rightarrow N$ is injective iff $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N$ is exact. $f : M \rightarrow N$ is surjective iff $M \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

(b)

$$0 \rightarrow \ker f \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{f} N \xrightarrow{p} \operatorname{coker} f \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(c)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

(d)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cos x \oplus \mathbb{R} \sin x \xrightarrow{n} C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 1} C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proposition 1.2 (Five lemma). Suppose each row is exact in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} M_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & M_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & M_4 & \xrightarrow{f_4} & M_5 \\ \downarrow h_1 & & \downarrow h_2 & & \downarrow h_3 & & \downarrow h_4 & & \downarrow h_5 \\ N_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & N_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & N_3 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & N_4 & \xrightarrow{g_4} & N_5 \end{array}$$

Then,

(a)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \end{array}$$

(b)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \end{array}$$

(c)

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \downarrow & \downarrow \sim & \downarrow \sim & \downarrow \sim \end{array}$$

Proof. (a) We will show $x \in \ker h_3$ is in the image of $f_2 f_1$: $h_3(x) = 0 \implies f_3(x) = 0 \implies x = f_2(y) \implies g_2 h_2(y) = 0 \implies h_2(y) = g_1(z) \implies z = h_1(u) \implies f_1(u) = y$. Then, $x = f_2(y) = f_2 f_1(u) = 0$.

(b) Similar.

(c) Clear. □

Proposition 1.3 (Snake lemma). Suppose the second and the third rows are exact in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \ker h_1 & & \ker h_2 & & \ker h_3 & \\ & M_1 & & M_2 & & M_3 & 0 \\ 0 & N_1 & & N_2 & & N_3 & \\ & \operatorname{coker} h_1 & & \operatorname{coker} h_2 & & \operatorname{coker} h_3 & \end{array}$$

(a) There is $\delta : \ker h_3 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} h_1$ such that

$$\ker h_1 \xrightarrow{k_1} \ker h_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} \ker h_3 \xrightarrow{\delta} \operatorname{coker} h_1 \xrightarrow{l_1} \operatorname{coker} h_2 \xrightarrow{l_2} \operatorname{coker} h_3$$

is exact. Here k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2 are induced from f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 , respectively. The element $\delta(x)$ is determined by u such that $x = f_2(y)$, $z = h_2(y)$, $z = g_1(u)$, and we can check that u does not depend on the choice of y .

(b)

Proof. (a) We have to show the well-definedness of δ , $\ker \subset \operatorname{im}$, and $\operatorname{im} \subset \ker$. Skip. \square

In the general abelian categories, the five lemma and the snake lemma hold but the proofs become more complicated.

1.2 Direct sum, direct product, inductive limit, direct limit

Definition 1.6. Let M_λ be a family of R -modules. The direct product is

$$\prod_{\lambda} M_{\lambda} := \{(x_{\lambda}) : x_{\lambda} \in M_{\lambda}\} \rightarrow M_{\lambda},$$

and the direct sum is the submodule of the direct product such that

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda} := \{(x_{\lambda}) : x_{\lambda} = 0 \text{ but finitely many}\} \hookrightarrow \prod_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$$

Proposition 1.4 (Universal property). (a) For $f_{\mu} : M_{\mu} \rightarrow N$ there is unique $f : \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda} \rightarrow N$ such that $f i_{\mu} = f_{\mu}$.

(b) For $g_{\mu} : N \rightarrow M_{\mu}$ there is unique $g : N \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$ such that $p_{\mu} g = g_{\mu}$.

Remark. (a) The direct sum and direct product is unique up to isomorphism by the universal property.

(b) For R -homomorphisms $f_{\lambda} : M_{\lambda} \rightarrow N_{\lambda}$ we can induce $\prod_{\lambda} f_{\lambda} : \prod_{\lambda} M_{\lambda} \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda} N_{\lambda}$ and $\bigoplus_{\lambda} f_{\lambda} : \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda} N_{\lambda}$.

(c) In the category of modules, even for infinite indices, direct product and sum commute with the kernel, cokernel, and image. In an abelian category, we may not have infinite direct product/sum.

(d) exactness also preserved under products and sums

2 Day 2: April 13

Let $(\Lambda, <)$ be a totally ordered set. By a direct system, we refer the family of R -modules M_{λ} for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and the family of R -homomorphisms $\tau_{\mu\lambda} : M_{\lambda} \rightarrow M_{\mu}$ for $\lambda < \mu$ such that $\tau_{\lambda\lambda} = \operatorname{id}_{M_{\lambda}}$ and $\tau_{\kappa\lambda} = \tau_{\kappa\mu} \tau_{\mu\lambda}$ for $\lambda < \mu < \kappa$.

Example 2.1 (1.3.3.). (a) Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}$ and $n < m \Leftrightarrow n \mid m$, $M_n = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau_{mn}(z) : M_n \rightarrow M_m : z \mapsto (m/n)z$.

(b) Let M be a R -module, $\{M_{\lambda}\}$ are finitely generated R -submodules of M , and $\lambda < \mu \Leftrightarrow M_{\lambda} \subset M_{\mu}$, with $\tau_{\mu\lambda}$ inclusions.

Definition 2.1.

$$\varinjlim M_{\lambda} = \varinjlim (M_{\lambda}, \tau_{\mu\lambda}) := \operatorname{coker} \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{(\lambda, \mu) \in \Lambda \\ \lambda < \mu}} M_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} M_{\lambda} \right),$$

where $\Phi((x_{\lambda\mu})) = \sum_{\lambda < \mu} \iota_{\mu} \tau_{\mu\lambda}(x_{\lambda\mu}) - \iota_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda\mu})$, and $\iota_{\lambda} : M_{\lambda} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda} M_{\lambda}$ is a componentwise embedding. That is, we want to identify $x \in M_{\lambda}$ and $\tau_{\mu\lambda}(x) \in M_{\mu}$ with the map Φ .

Proposition 2.1 (1.3.4). Let $\tau_\mu : M_\mu \xrightarrow{\iota_\mu} \bigoplus_\lambda M_\lambda \twoheadrightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} M_\lambda$.

(a) $\tau_\mu = \tau_\kappa \tau_{\kappa\mu}$.

(b) $M_\mu \xrightarrow{f_\mu} N$ for $\mu \in \Lambda$ are R -homomorphisms, and they satisfy $f_\mu = f_\kappa \tau_{\kappa\mu}$. Then, there is a unique $f : \lim_{\rightarrow} M_\lambda \rightarrow N$ such that $f_\mu = f \tau_\mu$.

For each example in 1.3.3, \mathbb{Q} and M are the direct limits because it satisfies the universal property (1.3.4(b)).

Remark. (1) The direct limit is unique by the universal property up to isomorphism.

(2) If $f_\lambda : M_\lambda \rightarrow M'_\lambda$ are R -homomorphism such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_\lambda & \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} & M'_\lambda \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M_\mu & \xrightarrow{f_\mu} & M'_\mu \end{array}$$

commutes for all $\lambda < \mu$, then there is a unique f such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} M_\lambda & & \bigoplus_\lambda M_\lambda & & \lim_{\rightarrow} M_\lambda & & 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow \exists! f & & \\ \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} M'_\lambda & & \bigoplus_\lambda M'_\lambda & & \lim_{\rightarrow} M'_\lambda & & 0 \end{array}$$

commutes, and f is denoted by $\lim_{\rightarrow} f_\lambda$. It is by the universal property of cokernel.

Definition 2.2 (1.3.6). A preordered set Λ is a directed set if $\forall \lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$, there is $\mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda, \lambda' < \mu$.

Proposition 2.2. If Λ is a directed set, then there is a 1-1 correspondence

$$(\bigcup_\lambda M_\lambda) / \sim \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow} M_\lambda : [x_\lambda] \mapsto \tau_\lambda(x_\lambda),$$

where $x_\lambda \sim y_{\lambda'}$ iff there is $\mu > \lambda, \lambda'$ such that $\tau_{\mu\lambda}(x_\lambda) = \tau_{\mu\lambda'}(y_{\lambda'})$.

Proposition 2.3. If

$$L_\lambda \xrightarrow{f_\lambda} M_\lambda \xrightarrow{g_\lambda} N_\lambda \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, then

$$\text{colim } L_\lambda \rightarrow \text{colim } M_\lambda \rightarrow \text{colim } N_\lambda \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. We cannot use the snake lemma directly on

$$\bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} L_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} M_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} N_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\bigoplus_\lambda L_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\lambda M_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\lambda N_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\text{colim } L_\lambda \longrightarrow \text{colim } M_\lambda \longrightarrow \text{colim } N_\lambda \longrightarrow 0.$$

So,

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} \ker g_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} M_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} N_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\lambda \ker g \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\lambda M_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\lambda N_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\operatorname{colim} \ker g_\lambda \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} M_\lambda \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} N_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} \ker f_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} L_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda < \mu} \operatorname{im} f_\lambda \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\lambda \ker f \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\lambda L_\lambda \longrightarrow \bigoplus_\lambda \operatorname{im} f_\lambda$$

$$\operatorname{colim} \ker f_\lambda \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} L_\lambda \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim} \operatorname{im} f_\lambda$$

????????????

□

Example 2.2. Examples of inverse limit

- (a) projection $\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ for $m > n$.
- (b) restriction $C^\infty((-r, r)) \rightarrow C^\infty((-r', r'))$ for $r' > r$.

Ab-enriched: preadditive binary biproduct: semiadditive existence of ker/coker normality of mono/epi constructions: universals(products, limits, representables)