

Fiber Bundles

Ikhan Choi

Lectured by Takuya Sakasai
University of Tokyo, Spring 2023

April 25, 2023

Contents

1	Day 1: April 10	2
2	Day 2: April 17	3
3	Day 3: April 24	5

1 Day 1: April 10

References: Steenrod, *The topology of fiber bundles*, and Tamaki, *Fiber bundles and homotopy* (Japanese)

1. Introduction

An ultimate goal of topology is to classify topological spaces, up to homeomorphism. If you want to show two spaces are homeomorphic, we should construct a homeomorphism: *Shokuninwaza* (wild knot, Casson handle). If you want to show two spaces are not homeomorphic, then we can investigate topological *properties*, and as their quantitative comparison, we can investigate topological *invariants*. Some examples include

- the number of connected components,
- the Euler characteristic,
- homology groups,
- homotopy groups,
- the minimal number of open contractible sets to cover the spaces (Lusternik-Schnirelmann category, topological complexity),
- Gelfand-Naimark theorem: $C(X) \cong C(Y)$ implies $X \cong Y$ if they are compact Hausdorff.

We will restrict objects to study. For example, metric spaces, manifolds, CW-complexes. As the assumptions change, invariants may have different appearances. For a manifold X ,

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} H_q(X) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q b_q(X).$$

For a CW-complex X ,

$$\chi(X) = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} (-1)^q (\text{the number of } q\text{-cells}).$$

Let M be a connected closed n -dimensional manifold. Some classification results are as follows (up to both homeomorphisms and diffeomorphisms, because $d \leq 2$):

- $(n=0)$ $M \cong *$, and $\chi(*) = 1$.
- $(n=1)$ $M \cong S^1$, and $\chi(S^1) = 0$.
- $(n=2)$
 - If M is orientable, then $M \cong \Sigma_g$ for $g \geq 0$, and $\chi(\Sigma_g) = 2 - 2g$.
 $\Sigma_0 \cong S^2$, $\Sigma_1 \cong T^2$.
 - If M is not orientable, then $M \cong (\mathbb{RP}^2)^{\#h}$ for $h \geq 1$, and $\chi((\mathbb{RP}^2)^{\#h}) = 2 - h$.
 $\mathbb{RP}^2 (\cong \text{Möbius strip} \cup D^2)$, $K = \mathbb{RP}^2 \# \mathbb{RP}^2$

Problem 1. Show $\mathbb{RP}^2 \# T^2 \cong \mathbb{RP}^2 \# K$.

Here are some facts about triangulability:

- Cairns(1935), Whitehead (1940): every C^1 -manifold is triangulable (unique as a PL-manifold).
- Rado(1925, $n=2$), Moise(1952, $n=3$): for $n \leq 3$, every C^0 -manifold is triangulable (unique as a PL-manifold).
- Kirby-Siebermann(1966, $n \geq 5$): for $n \geq 4$, there is a non-triangulable PL-manifold.

- Donaldson, Freedman, Casson: for $n = 4$, there is a non-triangulable manifold as a topological space.
- Manolescu(2013): for $n \geq 5$, there is a non-triangulable manifold as a topological space.

Orientability? For a connected closed surface S , it is orientable iff $H_2(S) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, not orientable iff $H_2(S) \cong 0$. The generator of $H_2(S)$ is called the fundamental class. Orientability asks if the tubular neighborhood of every simple closed curve is homeomorphic to an annulus. It is described by the first Stiefel-Whitney class:

$$w_1(S) \in H^1(S; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(H^1(S), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

Euler characteristic of manifolds

(0) Odd-dimensional manifolds

Theorem. For an odd-dimensional closed connected manifold, $\chi(M^{2n+1}) = 0$.

Proof. If orientable, then $b_0(M) = 1$, $b_3(M) = 1$, $b_1(M) = b_2(M)$ by the Poincaré duality. If not, a double cover is orientable, and $\chi(\tilde{M}) = 2\chi(M)$. \square

(1) Gauss-Bonnet theorem

Theorem (Gauss-Bonnet). If a smooth manifold M^n embeds into \mathbb{R}^{n+1} (hypersurface), then it is orientable and the Euler characteristic is given by

$$\chi(M) = \frac{2}{\text{vol}(S^n)} \int_M K \, d \, \text{vol}_M.$$

2 Day 2: April 17

We have a cohomological interpretation. In the Chern-Weil theory, we have a generalized version of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for a general compact manifold using the theory of connections. We can interpret $2 \text{vol}(S^n)^{-1} K \cdot d \, \text{vol}_M$ as a differential form which provides with the Euler characteristic. In the context of the de Rham theorem, we will eventually call the equivalence class of this differential form as the *Euler class*.

(2) Poincaré-Hopf theorem

Let M^n be a orientable connected smooth closed manifold. Let X be a smooth vector field on M such that there are only finitely many zeros $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$. For each p_j , define the index $\text{Ind}(X, p_j)$ as follows: seeing X as a vector field on $\varphi_j(U_j)$ for a chart (U_j, φ_j) not containing zeros of X but p_j and mapping p_j to zero in \mathbb{R}^n , we define $\text{Ind}(X, p_j) = \deg f_j$, where $f_j : S_\varepsilon(\approx S^{n-1}) \rightarrow S^{n-1} : x \mapsto X_x / \|X_x\|$.

Example. Let $n = 2$. We have indices 1, 1, 1, -1, 0, 2 for

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(x, y) &= (x, y), & X_2(x, y) &= (-x, -y), & X_3(x, y) &= (-y, x), \\ X_4(x, y) &= (-x, y), & X_5(x, y) &= \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}(1, 1), & X_6(x, y) &= (x^2 - y^2, 2xy). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Poincaré-Hopf).

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \text{Ind}(X, p_j) = \chi(M).$$

We have a cohomological interpretation. Let $c = \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Ind}(X, p_j) p_j$ be a singular 0-cycle on M . Then, the Poincaré-Hopf theorem states that we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0(M) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{Z} \\ p_j & \mapsto & 1 \\ c & \mapsto & \chi(M). \end{array}$$

By the Poincaré duality, we can identify the homology class $[c]$ with a de Rham cohomology class, and the above map is just an integration map.

The cycle c tells us the information of intersections of X and zero section (of the tangent bundle). If TM is trivial, then the zero section does not self-intersect(?) so that $c = 0$. The Euler characteristic measures the twist of a bundle, and the characteristic class generalizes this wakugumi.

2. Fiber bundles

From now we will only consider paracompact Hausdorff spaces. Recall that a space is paracompact iff for every open cover there is a locally finite refinement.

Example. Open sets of \mathbb{R}^n , metric spaces, CW-complexes, countable inductive limit of compact spaces are paracompact.

Theorem 2.1. *For any open cover of a paracompact Hausdorff space X , there is a partition of unity subordinate to it.*

Problem 2. Prove the above theorem.

Definition 2.2. Let B be connected (for simplicity). A map $E \rightarrow B$ is called a fiber bundle with fiber F , or just a F -bundle, if it is locally trivial: every point $x \in B$ has an open neighborhood U_x such that there is a homeomorphism $\varphi : p^{-1}(U_x) \rightarrow U_x \times F$ with $p = \text{pr}_{U_x} \circ \varphi$.

For each $y \in B$ $E_y := p^{-1}(y)$ is homeomorphic to F , and is called the fiber at y . Also, E and B are called the total space and the base space. We sometimes write as $\xi = (F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B)$.

Example.

- (a) We say $\text{pr}_1 : B \times F \rightarrow B$ is the product or bundle.
- (b) $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} : t \mapsto [t]$ is a \mathbb{Z} -bundle. In general, a fiber bundle with a discrete fiber is called a covering space.
- (c) $p_1 : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{RP}^n = S^n/(x \sim -x)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -bundle.
- (d) $p : S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n = S^{2n+1}/(z \sim uz)$ for $u \in S^1$ is a S^1 -bundle. (a generalization of Hopf bundles)
- (e) Let M^n be a smooth manifold. Then, the tangent and the cotangent bundles are \mathbb{R}^n -bundles.

Problem 3. Show that $p : S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$ is a S^1 -bundle by checking concretely its local triviality.

Definition 2.3. If F, E, B are C^r , $p : E \rightarrow B$ is C^r , and the local trivialization is C^r , then we say the fiber bundle is C^r .

Definition 2.4. For $\xi_1 = (F \rightarrow E_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} B_1)$, $\xi_2 = (F \rightarrow E_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} B_2)$, a bundle map $\Phi = (\tilde{f}, f) : \xi_1 \rightarrow \xi_2$ is a pair of maps $\tilde{f} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ and $f : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ such that $f \circ p_1 = p_2 \tilde{f}$ and the restriction $\tilde{f} : p_1^{-1}(b) \rightarrow p_2^{-1}(f(b))$ is a homeomorphism for every $b \in B$.

If both f and \tilde{f} are homeomorphisms, then Φ is called a bundle isomorphism. If a bundle is isomorphic to a product bundle, then it is called to be trivial.

Problem 4 For a bundle map Φ , is \tilde{f} homeomorphic if f is homeomorphic? (If we are doing in the category of smooth manifolds, then the inverse function theorem may be helpful.)

3 Day 3: April 24

Transition maps and structure groups

Let $\xi = (F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B)$ be an F -bundle. We have an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ such that for each α we have a local trivialization $p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_\alpha \times F$. For $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, we have a map

$$\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1} : (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F \rightarrow (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F,$$

by which we can define $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} : (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F \rightarrow F$ such that $\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}(b, f) = (b, \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}(b, f))$. The map $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ is continuous, and we have for each b a homeomorphism

$$g_{\alpha\beta}(b) : F \rightarrow F : f \mapsto \tilde{g}(b, f),$$

that is, $g_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(F)$. If we endow the compact-open topology on $\text{Homeo}(F)$, then $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is continuous.

From definition, $g_{\alpha\beta}(b) \circ g_{\beta\alpha}(b) = \text{id}_F$ for $b \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset$, and $g_{\alpha\beta}(b) \circ g_{\beta\gamma}(b) = g_{\alpha\gamma}(b)$ for $b \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma \neq \emptyset$ (Note that the second relation implies the first.). The second condition is called the cocycle condition. The maps $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$ are called transition maps.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be an open cover of a connected space B . Suppose we have a collection of continuous maps*

$$\{g_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(F)\}_{(\alpha,\beta): U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \neq \emptyset}$$

satisfying the cocycle condition.

(♠) *Suppose also that F is locally compact, or there exists a topological transformation group G (i.e. G is a topological group such that the group action $G \times F \rightarrow F$ is continuous) with*

$$\bigcup_{\alpha,\beta} g_{\alpha\beta}(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \subset G \subset \text{Homeo}(F).$$

Then, there exists a unique F -bundle $(F \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B)$ such that it is locally trivializable over $\{U_\alpha\}$ and $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$ is the transition maps of the bundle.

The viewpoint of the above theorem is more likely to be the physicist's way of defining manifolds in the sense that they sometimes define a manifold as a collection of open subsets of a Euclidean space and transition maps between them.

The condition (♠) guarantees for the second map in

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} : (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F &\rightarrow (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \text{Homeo}(F) \times F \rightarrow (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times F \\ (b, f) &\mapsto (b, g_{\alpha\beta}(b), f) \mapsto (b, g_{\alpha\beta}(f)) \end{aligned}$$

to be continuous.

Proof. (Sketch) Define

$$\tilde{E} := \bigsqcup U_\alpha \times F$$

and $E := \tilde{E} / \sim$, where the equivalence relation \sim is generated by: for each $(b_1, f_1) \in U_\alpha \times F$ and $(b_2, f_2) \in U_\beta \times F$ we have $(b_1, f_1) \sim (b_2, f_2)$ iff $b_1 = b_2$ and $f_1 = g_{\alpha\beta}(b_2)(f_2)$. Let $\pi : \tilde{E} \rightarrow E$ be the canonical projection. Define also

$$\varphi_\alpha : p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times F : [(b, f) \in U_\alpha, F] \mapsto (b, f),$$

which are homeomorphisms by the assumption (♠), satisfying $\text{pr}_1 \circ \varphi_\alpha = p$. □

For the second condition in (\spadesuit), G is called a structure group of the F -bundle. From now on, whenever we consider a fiber bundle along with a structure group G , we assume it includes the data of local trivialization.

Remark. We will always think of G for bundle maps between fiber bundles with structure group G . We will frequently consider the maximal transition data and compatible (i.e. satisfying the cocycle condition) local trivializations.

Example.

1. Let $F = V \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ be a real vector space, and $G \in \{GL(V), SL(V)\}$ or $G \in \{O(V), SO(V)\}$ with a fixed inner product on V . These fiber bundles are called real vector bundles.
2. Let $F = V \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ be a complex vector space, and $G \in \{GL_{\mathbb{C}}(V)\}$ or $G \in \{U(V)\}$ with a fixed inner product on V . These fiber bundles are called complex vector bundles.
3. $F = G$ be a Lie group. Then, G -bundle with structure group G is called a principal bundle.
4. Let F be a nice smooth manifold and $G = \text{Diff}^{C^\infty}(F)$ be the group of smooth diffeomorphisms together with the Fréchet topology. Then, we have smooth F -bundles.

Definition 2.6. Let G be a structure group and B be a topological space. If an F -bundle $\xi = (F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B, G)$ and an F' -bundle $\xi' = (F' \rightarrow E' \rightarrow B, G)$ has the same transition data, then they are called associated bundles.

Example. Let $F = \mathbb{R}^n$ be a real vector space with the standard inner product. Let $G = O(n)$. With $S^{n-1} \subset F$, the sphere bundle inside a real vector bundle is an associated bundle of the original real vector bundle. In particular for $n = 2$ and $G = SO(2)$, then the circle bundle can be recognized as a principal $SO(2)$ -bundle associated to a real plane bundle, and if we see the plane bundle as a complex line bundle, then it corresponds to a principal $U(1)$ -bundle.

Proposition 2.7. Let G be a topological group and $\xi = (G \rightarrow E \rightarrow B, G)$ be a principal G -bundle. Then, there is a natural right action of G on E which is free and the orbit space E/G is homeomorphic to B (transitively act on each fiber).

Proof. Let $u \in E$ and φ_α a local trivialization containing u such that

$$\varphi_\alpha : p^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times G : u \mapsto (p(u), h).$$

We can check the well-definedness of $ug = \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(p(u), hg)$ by

$$\varphi_\beta(ug) = \varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}(p(u), hg) = (p(u), g_{\beta\alpha}(p(u))(hg)) = (p(u), h'g).$$

The right action of G on G is continuous, free, and transitive. The right action of G on E is continuous and free, and $\bar{p} : E/G \rightarrow B$ is continuous and bijective. \square

Problem 5. Show that \bar{p}^{-1} is also continuous.

Remark. A principal G -bundle may also be defined as follows: a G -bundle such that (1) there is a continuous free right action of G on E which is (2) fiber-preserving and fiberwise transitive, and (3) we can choose G -equivariant local trivialization such that $\varphi_\alpha(u) = (p(u), h)$ implies $\varphi_\alpha(ug) = (p(u), hg)$.