

# Boltzmann Distribution Optimization

Andrew Moore

Summer 2023

Consider an Ising circuit  $f$  with  $N$  inputs,  $M$  outputs, and  $A$  auxiliaries. The total spin space is thus  $\Sigma := \Sigma_N \times \Sigma_M \times \Sigma_A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^{N+M+A}$ . Now, we would like to design an optimization problem that searches for the Hamiltonian polynomial on  $\Sigma$  that minimizes the worst-case Boltzmann probability of a wrong answer. For any input  $\sigma \in \Sigma_N$ , define  $I_\sigma$  to be the input level,  $R_\sigma$  to be the set of right answers and  $W_\sigma$  to be the set of wrong answers:

$$I_\sigma := \{\sigma\} \times \Sigma_M \times \Sigma_A \subset \Sigma \quad (1)$$

$$R_\sigma := \{\sigma\} \times \{f(\sigma)\} \times \Sigma_A \subset I_\sigma \quad (2)$$

$$W_\sigma := \{\sigma\} \times (\Sigma_M \setminus \{f(\sigma)\}) \times \Sigma_A \subset I_\sigma \quad (3)$$

The optimization objective can therefore be explicitly written as

$$\arg \min_H \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \frac{\sum_{\xi \in W_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi))}{\sum_{\xi \in I_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi))} \quad (4)$$

Note that since  $\log$  is an increasing function, taking the  $\log$  of the inner expression will not affect the result, since it will preserve the ordering of all values. Therefore, we can solve the equivalent problem

$$\arg \min_H \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \log \frac{\sum_{\xi \in W_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi))}{\sum_{\xi \in I_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi))} \quad (5)$$

$$= \arg \min_H \max_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \log \sum_{\xi \in W_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) - \log \sum_{\xi \in I_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \quad (6)$$

Now, replace that  $\max$  with a soft  $\max$  for a large weight parameter  $\lambda$ :

$$\arg \min_H \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \exp \left( \lambda \log \sum_{\xi \in W_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) - \lambda \log \sum_{\xi \in I_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \right) \quad (7)$$

$$= \arg \min_H \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \exp \left( \lambda \log \sum_{\xi \in W_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) - \lambda \log \sum_{\xi \in I_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \right) \quad (8)$$

This presents the opportunity for stochastic gradient descent, using where the set  $\Sigma_N$  is the “dataset”. At this point, the only thing stopping us from just attempting the optimization is the potentially large computational complexity of computing the two inner sums: even if we cache

the values for  $W_\sigma$  while computing the second sum over  $I_\sigma$ , we are still evaluating the Hamiltonian  $2^{M+A}$  times just to find the value of the loss function on a single datapoint. This is not very practical, even for relatively small problems. At this point we can employ a trick. Suppose that we use a SGD batch size of 1. Then we only need

$$\nabla \exp \left( \lambda \log \sum_{\xi \in W_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) - \lambda \log \sum_{\xi \in I_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \right) \quad (9)$$

$$= \lambda \exp(\dots) \nabla \left( \log \sum_{\xi \in W_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) - \log \sum_{\xi \in I_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \right) \quad (10)$$

$$\propto \nabla \left( \log \sum_{\xi \in W_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) - \log \sum_{\xi \in I_\sigma} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \right) \quad (11)$$

Thus if we sacrifice the step size information from the gradient and settle for SGD with a batch size of 1 and a normalized step vector, we can ignore the proportionality constant, which is always positive and therefore does not affect the step vector direction. The SGD problem thus becomes

$$\arg \min_H \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N} \sum_{S \in \{W_\sigma, I_\sigma\}} (-1)^{\iota(S)} \log \sum_{\xi \in S} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \quad (12)$$

Where  $\iota(S) = 1$  if  $S = I_\sigma$  and  $-1$  if  $S = W_\sigma$ . But since we've already decided that we're doing SGD with batch size 1 and normalized steps, we can just pull the same trick again, at the cost of even more stochastic noise:

$$\nabla \left[ (-1)^{\iota(S)} \log \sum_{\xi \in S} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \right] = (-1)^{\iota(S)} \frac{1}{\sum_{\xi \in S} \exp(-\beta H(\xi))} \sum_{\xi \in S} \nabla \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \quad (13)$$

$$\propto \sum_{\xi \in S} \nabla \left[ (-1)^{\iota(S)} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \right] \quad (14)$$

Our (now extremely stochastic) gradient descent problem thus becomes

$$\arg \min_H \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_N, S \in \{W_\sigma, I_\sigma\}, \xi \in S} (-1)^{\iota(S)} \exp(-\beta H(\xi)) \quad (15)$$

Where we will be normalizing every step and using a batch size of 1 over the above sum. Due to the high noise in this system, the learning rate should be kept very small. However, each step is now extremely cheap.