

Definitions and Theorems from Infinite Groups (Lent '22)

Isaac Martin

Last compiled January 29, 2022

§ *Free Groups and Presentations*

Definition 0.1 (Directly Finite). We say that a ring R (not necessarily commutative) with unity is *directly finite* (D.F.) if $\forall a, b \in R, ab = 1 \implies ba = 1$.

Definition 0.2 (Group Algebra). Let G be a group, K a field. The *group algebra*, denoted $K[G]$, is a K -algebra. As a set it consists of all finite linear combinations of elements in K and G :

$$K[G] = \left\{ \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g \cdot g \mid \lambda_g \in K, \lambda_g \neq 0 \text{ for only finitely many } g \right\}.$$

Addition is defined pointwise: $\lambda g + \lambda' g = (\lambda + \lambda')g$. Multiplication is defined

$$(\lambda g) \cdot (\mu h) = (\lambda \mu)(gh)$$

and extended by distribution.

Definition 0.3. A group G is said to be *directly finite* (D.F.) if $K[G]$ is a directly finite ring for all fields K .

Example 0.4.

- (i) if G is abelian then $K[G]$ is commutative, and therefore directly finite.
- (ii) if G is finite then it is also directly finite.

Theorem 0.5 (Kaplansky). *For any group G , the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is directly finite.*

Theorem 0.6 (Elek, Szabo ('01')). *Every sofic group is directly finite.*

Proposition 0.7. Let G be a finite group. The map $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(G)$ defined $\rho(g) : h \mapsto gh$ is an injective homomorphism. Moreover, for all $e \neq g \in G$, $\rho(g)$ has no fixed points.

Definition 0.8 (Hamming Distance). Suppose $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Sym}(n)$ are two permutations. The *Hamming distance* from σ to τ is

$$d_n(\sigma, \tau) = 1 - \frac{1}{n} |\{1 \leq i \leq n \mid \sigma(i) = \tau(i)\}|.$$

That is, it's a number between 0 and 1 and is equal to 0 if and only if $\sigma = \tau$.

Definition 0.9 (Sofic). G is a sofic group if and only if $\forall A \subseteq G, \forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a function $\phi : A \rightarrow \text{Sym}(n)$ such that

(i) for all $g, h \in A$, if $gh \in A$, then

$$d_n(\phi(gh), \phi(g)\phi(h)) \leq \varepsilon,$$

i.e. the distance is “small”

(ii) for all $e \neq g \in G$,

$$d_n(id_n, \phi(g)) \geq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

i.e. the distance is “large”.

Such a function ϕ is a (A, ε) -representation.

Example 0.10. Every finite group is sofic.

Theorem 0.11. Every abelian group is sofic.

Lemma 0.12. \mathbb{Z} is sofic.

Lemma 0.13. A group G is sofic if and only if every finitely generated subgroup of G is sofic.

Lemma 0.14. If G and H are sofic groups, then $G \times H$ is sofic.

Theorem 0.11. Given an abelian group G , we may assume it is finitely generated by lemma 0.13. By the structure theorem, we have

$$G \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p_1^{n_1}} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p_i^{n_i}},$$

hence G is sofic by lemma 0.14. □

1 2022 - 01 - 24: Free Groups

Throughout this section, X is a set and $X^{-1} = \{x^{-1} \mid x \in X\}$ is the set of inverses of X .

Definition 1.1. A *word* in X is a finite sequence of symbols: $y_1 y_2 \dots y_m$ with $y_i \in X \cup X^{-1}$. The empty word is a valid word. We denote the set of all words in X by $W(X)$.

Definition 1.2. *Concatenation of words* is a map $W(X) \times W(X) \rightarrow W(X)$ defined

$$(y_1 \dots y_m, z_1 \dots z_n) \mapsto y_1 \dots y_m z_1 \dots z_n.$$

This map gives $W(X)$ the structure of a monoid where the empty word is the identity.

Definition 1.3. Given two words $w, v \in W(X)$, we say that $w \sim v$ if it is possible to pass from one word to the other by means of a finite sequence of the following two operations:

- (a) insertion of an xx^{-1} or an $x^{-1}x$ for $x \in X$, as consecutive elements of a word;
- (b) deletion of such an xx^{-1} or $x^{-1}x$.

The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on $W(X)$ and we define the *free group on X* to be $\frac{W(X)}{\sim}$. The group operation on $F(X)$ is induced by concatenation.

Definition 1.4. A word $w \in W(X)$ is said to be *reduced* if there is no word v which can be obtained by operation (b) above; in other words, if w is the shortest word in the equivalence class $[x] \in F(X)$. For any other word $v \in W(X)$, there exists a unique reduced $w \in W(X)$ such that $[v] = [w]$.

Theorem 1.5. Let X be a set, H a group, and $\phi : X \rightarrow H$ a function. Then there exists a unique group homomorphism $\Phi : F(X) \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H \\ \downarrow i & \searrow \Phi & \\ F(X) & & \end{array}$$

commutes.