D-Modules, Unit F-Crystals, and Hodge Theory
Definitions, Theorems, Remarks, and Notable Examples
Isaac Martin
Last compiled February 10, 2022

Contents

1 Questions

Question 1.1. What is the point of D-modules? Why do people care about them? What sort of questions do they answer? What insights do they provide?

2 Some Non-Commutative Algebra

$\mathcal{D}$-modules requires non-commutative algebra. Necessary facts are found here.

2.1 Filtered rings and modules

This subsection follows Ginzburg's notes quite closely, see [BIBTEX SETUP, GINZBURG D-MODULES Page 3].

Definition 2.1 (*Filtered Ring*)**.** Let A be an associative ring with unit. We call A a *filtered ring* if an increasing filtration $... \subset A_i \subset A_{i+1} \subset ...$ by additive subgroups is given such that

  (i) $A_i A_j \subset A_{ij}$

  (ii) $1 \in A_0$,

  (iii) $\bigcup A_i = A$, i.e. the filtration is *exhausting*.

Typically, either (a) $\mathbb{N}$ or (b) $\mathbb{Z}$ is chosen for the index set. In the former case A is said to be *positively filtered*. Note that (a) can be viewed as a special case of (b) by setting $A_{-1} = 0$. In the latter case we will consider the topology induced by the filtration by taking $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ to be the base of open sets, and we then impose two additional conditions:

  (iv) $\bigcap A_i = \{0\}$, i.e. the topology defined by $\{A_i\}$ is *separating*

  1. A is complete with respect to this topology.

Finally, we denote by $\operatorname{gr} A$ the associated graded ring $\bigoplus A_i / A_{i-1}$.

3 Differential Operators and D-Modules

Definition 3.1 (Quasi-coherent #1). Fix X a scheme over k, $\mathcal{O}_X$ the structure sheaf, $\mathcal{F}$ a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. We call $\mathcal{F}$ a *quasi-coherent* sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules (or simply an $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules) if it satisfies the condition

$$\text{If } U \subseteq X \text{ an open affine, } f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U), \text{ and } U_f = \{u \in U \mid f(u) \neq 0\},$$

then $\mathcal{F}(U_f) = \mathcal{F}(U)_f = \mathcal{O}_X(U_f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{F}$.

Definition 3.2 (Quasi-coherent #2). Let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a scheme. A sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\mathcal{F}$ is quasi-coherent if X can be covered by affine opens $U_i = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$ such that for each i there exists an A_i module M_i with $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \tilde{M}_i$. We say $\mathcal{F}_i$ is coherent if each M_i can be taken to be finitely generated.

Remark 3.3. If A is a ring and M an A-module, the sheaf associated to M is denoted by $\tilde{M}$ and is formed as follows. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A M$ is the localization with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$. Given an open set $U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} A$, define

$$\tilde{M}(U) = \left\{ s : U \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \ \middle| \ s(\mathfrak{p}) \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{ and locally } s = \frac{m}{f}, m \in M, f \in A \right\}.$$

More verbosely, this last condition means that for each $\mathfrak{p} \in U$ there is a neighborhood $V \subseteq U$ of $\mathfrak{p}$ such that for each $\mathfrak{q} \in V$, $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ and $s(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{m}{f} \in M_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Alternatively, one may define

$$\tilde{M}(U_f) = M_f,$$

and then

$$\tilde{M}(U) = \varinjlim_{U_f \subseteq U} \tilde{M}(U_f).$$

Note that U_f is implied to be a distinguished open in one of the U_i, so really we need to take the limit above over all U_f in all U_i which intersect U nontrivially. This is a non-issue if U is affine.

Lemma 3.4. The following are equivalent conditions for $\mathcal{F}$ a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$ modules:

(a) $\mathcal{F}$ is the direct limit of its coherent subschemes

(b) For any Zariski open affine subset $U \subseteq X$ and any $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ one has $\Gamma(U_f, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})_f$.

A *quasi-coherent* sheaf is then one which satisfies these conditions.

Lemma 3.5 (Noether Normalization Lemma). Let k be a field, A a finitely generated k-algebra. Then there exists algebraically independent elements $y_1, ..., y_d$ in A for some positive d such that A is finitely generated as a module over $k[y_1, ..., y_n]$.

Remark 3.6. The Noether normalization lemma provides a way to define differential operators using a manifold-esque coordinate approach. I prefer the following coordinate-free approach provided by Gröthendieck, however.

Definition 3.7 (Differential Operators). Let A be a commutative ring. For any pair of A-modules M, N we define the module $\mathcal{D}\mathit{iff}_A^k(M, N)$ inductively as follows:

(i) $\mathcal{D}\textit{iff}_A^0(M,N) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,N)$

(ii) $\mathcal{D}\textit{iff}_A^{k+1}(M,N) = \left\{ \text{ additive maps } u : M \to N \mid \forall a \in A, (au - ua) \in \mathcal{D}\textit{iff}_A^k(M,N) \right\}$

It follows from the definition that $\mathcal{D}\textit{iff}_A^k(M,N) \subset \mathcal{D}\textit{iff}_A^{k+1}(M,N)$. We define

$$\mathcal{D}\textit{iff}_A(M,N) := \bigcup_k \mathcal{D}\textit{iff}_A^k(M,N).$$

In the case that $M + N$, we write $\mathcal{D}\textit{iff}_A(M)$ and note that it is a filtered almost commutative ring.

4 D-Modules

Definition 4.1. A $\mathcal{D}$-module is a sheaf over the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_X$ of regular differential operators over a variety (scheme, manifold, analytic complex manifold) which is quasi-coherent as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module.

5 Berstein-Sato Polynomial