

ISAAC MARTIN

SOLUTIONS
TO
HARTSHORNE

Contents

2	<i>Schemes</i>	5
2.1	<i>Sheaves</i>	5

Chapter 2: Schemes

§1: Sheaves

- 1.1. Let A be an abelian group and defined the *constant presheaf* associated to A on the topological space X to be the presheaf $U \mapsto A$ for all $U \neq \emptyset$, with restriction maps the identity. Show that the constant sheaf \mathcal{A} defined in the text is the sheaf associated to this presheaf.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be the constant sheaf on X , i.e. the sheaf defined as follows: for any open $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{C}(U)$ is the group of all continuous maps of U into A (where A is endowed with the discrete topology). Let \mathcal{G} be any other sheaf on X .

Define $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ as follows. For an open set U , let $\theta(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) = A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(U) = A$ send a point $a \in A$ to the constant map $(x \mapsto a) \in \mathcal{C}(U)$.

Now suppose we have some morphism $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. We would like to define $\beta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $\beta \circ \theta = \alpha$.

Fix an open subset $U \subseteq X$ and a section $f : U \rightarrow A$ of $\mathcal{C}(U)$. Notice that $\{f^{-1}(a)\}_{a \in A}$ is an open cover of U and $f|_{f^{-1}(a)} = (x \mapsto a) = \theta(U)(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Consider the collection $\{\alpha(U)(a)\}_{a \in A}$ of sections in $\mathcal{G}(U)$. These satisfy the gluing compatibility condition, namely

$$\alpha(U)(a)|_{f^{-1}(a) \cap f^{-1}(b)} = \alpha(U)(b)|_{f^{-1}(a) \cap f^{-1}(b)}$$

and hence there is some element $g_f \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ such that $g_f|_{f^{-1}(a)} = \alpha(U)(a)|_{f^{-1}(a)}$ for all $a \in A$. We simply define $\beta(U)(f) = g_f$ to obtain a map $\beta(U) : \mathcal{C}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$. This satisfies the restriction requirements and hence β is a map of schemes. Furthermore, if $f = \theta(U)(a)$ for some $a \in A$, then f is the constant map $x \mapsto a$ and hence $f^{-1}(a) = U$, so $\beta(f) = \alpha(U)(a)$. This shows that $\alpha = \beta \circ \theta$, meaning \mathcal{C} satisfies the universal property of the sheaf associated to \mathcal{F} . \square

1.2.

- (a) For any morphism of sheaves $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ show that for each point P , $(\ker \varphi)_P = \ker(\varphi_P)$ and $(\operatorname{im} \varphi)_P = \operatorname{im}(\varphi_P)$
- (b) Show that φ is injective (respectively, surjective) if and only if the induced map on the stalks φ_P is injective (respectively, surjective) for all P .
- (c) Show that a sequence $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{\varphi^i} \mathcal{F}^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$ of sheaves and morphisms is exact if and only if for each $P \in X$ the corresponding sequence of stalks is exact as a sequence of abelian groups.

Proof.

- (a) Recall that for any $V \subseteq X$ containing a point P we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi(V)} & \mathcal{G}(V) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{F}_P & \xrightarrow{\varphi_P} & \mathcal{G}_P \end{array}$$

Start with an element $(t, V) \in \ker(\varphi_P)$. Then t is a section of $\mathcal{F}(V)$ by definition and by commutativity of the diagram we have that $\pi(\varphi(V)(t)) = (\varphi(V)(t), V) = 0$ in \mathcal{G}_P . This means that there is some open neighborhood $W \subset V$ of P such that $\varphi(U)(t)|_W = 0$ by the equivalence relation on \mathcal{G}_P , and since $\varphi(U)(t)|_W = \varphi(W)(t)$ we have that $\varphi(W)(t|_W) = 0$. Hence $t|_W = 0$ and so $t \in \ker \varphi(W)$. Hence $(t|_W, W) \in (\ker \varphi)_P$, and because $(t|_W, W)$ and (t, V) represent the same element in $\ker(\varphi_P)$, this shows the inclusion $\ker(\varphi_P) \subseteq (\ker \varphi)_P$.

For the other inclusion, take an element $(t, V) \in (\ker \varphi)_P$. This means that $t \in (\ker \varphi)(V) = \ker(\varphi(V))$ and hence $\varphi(V)(t) = 0$ in $\mathcal{G}(V)$. Composing with π gives $\pi(\varphi(V)(t)) = (\varphi(V)(t), V) = 0$ in \mathcal{G}_P . By commutativity, $\pi((t, V)) = (t, V) \in \mathcal{F}_P$ maps to 0 under φ_P , so $(t, V) \in \ker(\varphi_P)$. This gives us the other inclusion.

Now let's consider $\operatorname{im} \varphi$. Let $\operatorname{im}^{pre} \varphi$ denote the image presheaf and recall that sheafification preserves stalks: $(\operatorname{im}^{pre} \varphi)_P = (\operatorname{im} \varphi)_P$. By the same diagram as before we have that for $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$,

$$\varphi_P(t, V) = \varphi_P(\pi(t)) = \pi(\varphi(V)(t)) = (\varphi(V)(t), V).$$

Every element $\varphi_P(t, V) \in \text{im}(\varphi_P)$ can therefore be written as the projection of an element $(\varphi(V)(t), V) \in \mathcal{G}(V)$, and vice versa. This gives both inclusions.

- (b) Recall that a map of sheaves is said to be injective if $\ker \varphi = 0$. By part (a), if $\ker \varphi = 0$ then $\ker(\varphi_P) = (\ker \varphi)_P = 0$, and hence φ_P is injective. Likewise, if φ_P is injective for each $P \in X$, then for any open set $U \subset X$ and any section $s \in \mathcal{U}$, $\ker \varphi(U)(s)|_P = 0$. This means there is an open cover $\{U_i\}$ of U such that $\ker \varphi(U)(s)|_{U_i} = 0$ for each i , and hence $\ker \varphi(U)(s) = 0$.¹

¹ Notice I assumed these are sheaves valued in some abelian category, if this isn't the case then you need to argue slightly differently.

We say that φ is surjective if $\text{im } \varphi = \mathcal{G}$. If $\text{im } \varphi$ is surjective, then $(\text{im } \varphi)_P = \mathcal{G}_P$ for any $P \in X$. Sheafification preserves stalks, so we may think of $(\text{im } \varphi)_P$ as the stalk of the image presheaf, meaning that for each germ $(s, U) \in (\text{im } \varphi)_P$ we have a corresponding germ $(t, U) \in \mathcal{F}_P$ satisfying $\varphi(U)(t) = s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$, and hence $\varphi_P(t) = s$. This shows φ_P is surjective for each P . Now assume the converse, *i.e.* that φ_P is surjective for each $P \in X$. Fix an open set $U \subset X$ and consider a section $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$. Due to the surjectivity of φ_P , at each $P \in U$ there is some germ $t_P \in \mathcal{F}_P$ such that $\varphi_P(t_P) = s_P$. Define a function $r : U \rightarrow \bigcup_{P \in U} \mathcal{G}_P$ by $r(P) = \varphi_P(t_P) = s_P$. We need only check that r is a section of $\text{im } \varphi(U)$. Indeed, by the surjectivity of φ_P , for each P there is some neighborhood V of P and a section $a \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ such that $\varphi(V)(a) = s|_V$. Therefore

$$r(Q) = \varphi(V)(a)_Q = (s|_V)_Q = s_Q$$

for each $Q \in V$. Thus r satisfies both conditions defining sections of $\text{im } \varphi$ and is therefore a section. Repeating this construction for each $s \in \mathcal{G}$ and sending $r \mapsto s$ gives us an identification $\text{im } \varphi = \mathcal{G}$, and we conclude that φ is surjective.

- (c) A sequence of sheaves and morphisms $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{i} \dots$ is said to be exact if and only if $\ker \varphi^i = \text{im } \varphi^{i-1}$ for each i . If this is the case, then taking limits of restriction maps give us an exact sequence on stalks for each P . Likewise, if $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_P^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_P^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}_P^i \xrightarrow{i_P} \dots$ is exact for each P , then $\text{im } \varphi^{i-1}_P = \ker \varphi^i_P$ for each P . This means $\text{im } \varphi^{i-1}$ surjects onto the subsheaf $\ker \varphi^i$ of \mathcal{F}^i by part (b).²

² Surely there's more to say here, but this seems like enough... perhaps more detail on the "exact implies exact on stalks" direction.

□

- 1.3.(a) Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Show that φ is surjective if and only if the following condition holds:

for every open set $U \subseteq X$, and for every $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$, there is a covering $\{U_i\}$ of U and there are elements $t_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ such that $\varphi(t_i) = s|_{U_i}$ for all i .

- (b) Give an example of a surjective morphism of sheaves $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ and an open set U such that $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ is not surjective.

Proof.

- (a) Suppose $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is surjective. Fix an open set U and a section $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$. For each P we have a surjection $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$ by Problem 2.1.2, meaning that at each P we have some germ $(t_P, V_P) \in \mathcal{F}_P$ such that $\varphi(V_P)(t_P) = s|_P$, after perhaps redefining the open set V_P (note that here, t_P denotes a section of $\mathcal{F}(V_P)$ and note a germ in \mathcal{F}_P). The cover $\{V_P\}_{P \in U}$ together with the collection of sections $t_P \in \mathcal{F}(V_P)$ then satisfies the desired condition.

Conversely, if φ satisfies this condition, then we easily see it is surjective on stalks and is hence surjective by Problem 2.1.2.

- (b) Let $X = \mathbb{C}$ with the usual topology, \mathcal{F} the presheaf of bounded holomorphic functions and

□

- 1.4.(a) Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of presheaves such that $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ is injective for each U . Show that the induced map $\varphi^+ : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+$ of associated sheaves is injective.
- (b) Use part (a) to show that if $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of sheaves, the $\text{im } \varphi$ can be naturally identified with a subsheaf of \mathcal{G} as mentioned in the text.

Proof.

- (a) The map φ^+ is induced by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow \theta_F & & \downarrow \theta_G \\ \mathcal{F}^+ & \xrightarrow{\varphi^+} & \mathcal{G}^+ \end{array}$$

Where $\theta_F : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$ is defined on an open set U and a section $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ by

$$s \mapsto (f_s : P \mapsto s_P).$$

This means $\varphi^+(U)$ is simply given by composition with φ stalkwise, i.e. for a section $s \in \mathcal{F}^+$ and denoting $t = \varphi^+(U)(s) \in \mathcal{G}^+$, t is defined $t(P) = \varphi_P \circ s(P)$. Notice that in Problem 2.1.2, the proof that if $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is injective then $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$ is injective for each P only required that \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} were presheaves (the converse required that they be sheaves). Thus, $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$ is injective for each $P \in U$.

- (b) Let $\text{im}^p \varphi$ denote the image presheaf $U \mapsto \text{im}(\varphi(U))$. Consider the inclusion map $\iota : \text{im}^p \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ given by the inclusions $\text{im}(\varphi(U)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$. This is a morphism of presheaves, and it is clearly injective for each U . There is then an induced map of sheaves $\iota^+ : \text{im } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ which is also injective by part (a)³. This allows us to identify $\text{im } \varphi$ with a subsheaf of \mathcal{G} : $\text{im } \varphi(U) = \iota^+(\text{im } \varphi(U)) \subset \mathcal{G}(U)$.

³ Here we've implicitly sheafified, replacing $\text{im}^p \varphi$ with its sheafification and leaving \mathcal{G} as-is since the sheafification of a sheaf is canonically isomorphic to the original sheaf.

□

- 1.5. Show that a morphism of sheaves is an isomorphism if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

Proof. Proposition 1.1 in Hartshorne Chapter II says that a morphism of sheaves $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$ is an isomorphism on the level of stalks for each $P \in X$. By Problem 2.1.2 (b), φ is injective (resp. surjective) if and only if φ_P is injective (resp. surjective) for each P . This gives us the desired conclusion.⁴

□

- 1.6.(a) Let \mathcal{F}' be a subsheaf of a sheaf \mathcal{F} . Show that the natural map of \mathcal{F} to the quotient sheaf \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}' is surjective, and has kernel \mathcal{F}' . Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0.$$

- (b) Conversely, if $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, show that \mathcal{F}' is isomorphic to a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} and that \mathcal{F}'' is isomorphic to the quotient of \mathcal{F} by this subsheaf.

Proof.

- (a) Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}'$ denote the natural map given by sheafifying $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)/\mathcal{F}'(U)$. To show this is surjective, it suffices to show it is surjective on stalks, but this is clear since the map $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}')_P = \mathcal{F}_P/\mathcal{F}'_P$ is the obvious one. Now take an element $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $\varphi(U)(s) = 0$. Then $\varphi(U)(s)_P = \varphi_P(s_P) = 0$ for each $P \in U$, and hence

⁴ There is a subtlety here: two sheaves might have isomorphic stalks at every point but not be isomorphic. Proposition 1.1 says that an isomorphism on stalks is an isomorphism of sheaves if and only if the isomorphisms of stalks comes from a morphism of sheaves. This argument therefore only works because we started with a morphism of sheaves.

$s_p \in \ker \varphi_p = \mathcal{F}'_p$. By the gluing axiom we have that $s \in \mathcal{F}'$, which gives us the inclusion $\ker \varphi(U) \subseteq \mathcal{F}'(U)$. For the other inclusion, take a section $s \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ and note that $s_p \in \mathcal{F}'_p = \ker \varphi_p$. We therefore have an exact sequence as described.

(b) Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Problem 2.1.4 showed that $\text{im } \alpha$ can indeed be identified with a subsheaf and exactness tells us that subsheaf is $\ker \beta$, giving us the first half of the problem. Any section $s \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ gets killed by $\beta \circ \alpha$ at every stalk due to exactness, hence by sheaf axioms $\beta(\alpha(s)) = 0$ in $\mathcal{F}''(U)$. Thus we get a morphism $\mathcal{F}(U)/\mathcal{F}'(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(U)$ for each U , giving us a morphism of presheaves, and after sheafifying this becomes a morphism $(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}')(U) = \mathcal{F}''(U)$. This is injective and surjective on stalks, seen simply by checking the exact sequence, and is therefore an isomorphism on stalks by 2.1.2. We conclude that it is an isomorphism of sheaves, giving us the second half of the problem.

□

1.7. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves.

- (a) Show that $\text{im } \varphi \cong \mathcal{F} / \ker \varphi$.
- (b) Show that $\text{coker } \varphi \cong \mathcal{G} / \text{im } \varphi$.

Proof.

- (a) This sort of argument is becoming a little more standard⁵. We first note that, for each U , we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi(U)} & \text{im}(\varphi(U)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ & \searrow \pi(U) & \uparrow \alpha(U) & & \\ & & \mathcal{F}(U) / \ker \varphi(U) & & \end{array}$$

by the first isomorphism theorem of whatever abelian category in which we happen to be working. The map α is simply φ applied to representatives of cosets, it's well defined because everything in $\ker \varphi(U)$ is sent to zero in $\mathcal{G}(U)$ and it is an isomorphism onto its image which is $\text{im}(\varphi(U))$ by definition. This is compatible with restriction maps and hence this yields a diagram of presheaves. Sheafifying gives us a corresponding diagram of sheaves:

⁵ Notice the general pattern here: we want to show two sheaves are isomorphic, but we only know that they or the presheaves from whence they come are isomorphic at each U . We check compatibility of these isomorphisms with restriction maps to argue we have an isomorphism of presheaves. Sheafifying yields us morphisms of sheaves and preserves stalks. Because the stalks were isomorphic in the presheaf picture, the morphism of sheaves is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \operatorname{im} \varphi & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\
 & \searrow \pi & \uparrow \alpha & & \\
 & & \mathcal{F} / \ker \varphi & &
 \end{array}$$

Sheafification may have changed the sets $\operatorname{im}(\varphi(U))$ and $\operatorname{im}(\alpha(U))$ for various U . However, it preserves stalks, hence α_P is still an isomorphism $\operatorname{im} \varphi_P \cong (\mathcal{F} / \ker \varphi)_P$ at each P . This means α is an isomorphism by Proposition 1.1 in Hartshorne Chapter II⁶.

⁶ We could have concluded simply by stating that the sheafification functor sends isomorphisms to isomorphisms.

(b) The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{im}(\varphi(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \varphi(U) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for each U and compatible with restriction maps, hence sheafifying yields a sequence

$$\operatorname{im} \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \varphi.$$

This is exact on stalks and hence is exact as a sequence of sheaves by Problem 2.1.2. Applying the result of Problem 2.1.4 proves that $\mathcal{G} / \operatorname{im} \varphi \cong \operatorname{coker} \varphi$.

□

- 1.8. For any open subset $U \subseteq X$, show that the functor $\Gamma(U, \cdot)$ from sheaves on X to abelian groups is a left exact functor, i.e., if $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''$ is an exact sequence of sheaves, the $0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}'')$ is an exact sequence of groups. The functor $\Gamma(U, \cdot)$ need not be exact; see Exercise 2.1.17 below.

Proof. Label the relevant maps of the exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{F}''$. A map of sheaves is injective if and only if it is injective on sections, hence $0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}') \xrightarrow{\alpha(U)} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ is exact (i.e. $\alpha(U)$ is injective). We only need to show that $\operatorname{im} \alpha(U) = \ker \beta(U)$.

Take a section $s \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$. Compatibility with restrictions gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\beta_U \circ \alpha_U)(s)_P &= \varinjlim_{U \supset V \ni P} (\beta_U \circ \alpha_U)(s)|_V \\
 &= \varinjlim_{U \supset V \ni P} (\beta_U \circ \alpha_U)(s|_V) \\
 &= \beta_P \circ \alpha_P(s_P) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

with the last equality following from the exactness on stalks. Since $\beta_U \circ \alpha_U(s)$ is zero at every stalk, sheaf axioms give us that $\beta_U \circ \alpha_U(s)$ itself is zero, and hence $\ker \beta_U \supseteq \operatorname{im} \alpha_U$.

Now suppose we start with a section $s \in \ker \beta_U$. A similar argument above tells us that, at each P , there is a germ $t_P = (V_i, t_i) \in \mathcal{F}'_P$ (using an intermediate index i determined by each P to avoid confusion between the element $t_P \in \mathcal{F}'_P$ and $t_i \in \mathcal{F}'(V_i)$) such that $\alpha_P(t_P) = s_P$. After perhaps shrinking V_i , we can assume that $\alpha_P(t_P) = (V_i, s|_{V_i})$, the representative of s_P in \mathcal{F}_P , and hence $\alpha_{V_i}(t_i) = s|_{V_i}$. Since

$$\alpha_{V_i}(t_i)|_{V_i \cap V_j} = (s|_{V_i})|_{V_i \cap V_j} = (s|_{V_j})|_{V_i \cap V_j} = \alpha_{V_j}(t_j)|_{V_i \cap V_j},$$

the collection of sections $\{\alpha_{V_i}(t_i)\}_i$ agree on overlaps. Since α is injective⁷ on sections, it must be the case that $t_i|_{V_i \cap V_j} = t_j|_{V_i \cap V_j}$. By the gluing axiom, there exists some section $t \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ such that $t|_{V_i} = t_i$. But then

$$\alpha_U(t)|_{V_i} = \alpha_{V_i}(t|_{V_i}) = \alpha_{V_i}(t_i) = s|_{V_i},$$

and since $\alpha_U(t)$ and s agree on a cover of U , they must be the same section in \mathcal{F} . This gives us the second inclusion $\ker \beta_U \subseteq \operatorname{im} \alpha_U$, and we are done. \square

⁷ Notice that injectivity of α is crucial here!

- 1.9. *Direct sum.* Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be sheaves on X . Show that the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \oplus \mathcal{G}(U)$ is a sheaf. It is called the *direct sum* of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , and is denoted by $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$. Show it plays the role of direct sum and of direct product in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on X .

Proof. We first show $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ as defined is a sheaf.

Locality: Suppose we have a cover V_i of U and a section $(s, t) \in \mathcal{F}(U) \oplus \mathcal{G}(U)$ such that $(s, t)|_{V_i} = 0$ for all i . By definition $(s, t)|_{V_i} = (s|_{V_i}, t|_{V_i}) = 0 = (0, 0)$, and hence by the locality of \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} we have $(s, t) = 0$.

Gluing Suppose we have a cover V_i of U and sections $(s_i, t_i) \in \mathcal{F}(V_i) \oplus \mathcal{G}(V_i)$ which agree on overlaps. Then there are sections $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ and $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ such that $(s|_{V_i}, t|_{V_i}) = (s_i, t_i)$ for each i , hence $(s, t)|_{V_i} = (s_i, t_i)$.

Thus $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ is a sheaf. Given morphisms $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and $g : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ we obtain a unique morphism $\mathcal{F}(U) \oplus \mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(U)$ for each open set U . This morphism is compatible with the restriction maps, and hence we get a (unique) morphism of sheaves $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. The direct product case is similar.⁸ \square

⁸ I'm not sure what else to say here without putting together a formal category theoretic argument, which feels like overkill.

- 1.10. *Direct Limit.* Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ be a direct system of sheaves and morphisms on X . We define the *direct limit* of the system $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$, denoted $\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i$, to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf $U \mapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$. Show that this is a direct limit in the category of sheaves on X , i.e., that it has the following universal property: given a sheaf \mathcal{G} , and a collection of morphisms $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, compatible with the maps of the direct system, then there exists a unique map $\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that for each i , the original map $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is obtained by composing the maps $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. Denote by $g_i : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ the maps from the problem statement. For an open $U \subset X$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}_i(U) \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U).$$

This is compatible with restriction maps by the universal property of direct limits, summarized by the following two diagrams for $V \subseteq U$:⁹

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U) & & & & \\
 & \nearrow & \uparrow & \nwarrow & & & \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}(U)} & \mathcal{F}_i(U) & \xrightarrow{f_i(U)} & \mathcal{F}_{i+1}(U) & \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}(U)} & \mathcal{F}_{i+2}(U) \xrightarrow{f_{i+2}(U)} \dots \\
 & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}(V)} & \mathcal{F}_i(V) & \xrightarrow{f_i(V)} & \mathcal{F}_{i+1}(V) & \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}(V)} & \mathcal{F}_{i+2}(V) \xrightarrow{f_{i+2}(V)} \dots \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & & & \\
 & & \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(V) & & & &
 \end{array}$$

(A dashed arrow labeled $\exists! h$ points from $\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ to $\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(V)$.)

⁹ Maps $\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U) \xrightarrow{h} \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(V)$ are induced by the universal property of direct limits together with the restriction maps $\mathcal{F}_i(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(V)$. We therefore denote h by res as well.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & g_i(U) & & \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}_i(U) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(U) \\
 \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} \\
 \mathcal{F}_i(V) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(V) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(V) \\
 & \nearrow & g_i(V) & \searrow &
 \end{array}$$

This therefore yields a map of presheaves $(\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i)^{\text{pre}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. The map g_i factors through this map on stalks, and hence after sheafifying we get the diagram

$$\mathcal{F}_i \longrightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{G}$$

of sheaves. Uniqueness of the map φ follows from the uniqueness of the sheafification map. \square

- 1.11. Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ be a direct system of sheaves on a Noetherian topological space X . In this case show that the presheaf $U \mapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ is already a sheaf. In particular, $\Gamma(X, \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i) = \varinjlim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_i)$.

Proof. Denote by $f_{ij} : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_j$ the direct system map with $i \leq j$. First observe that a Noetherian topological space satisfies the ascending chain condition on open sets. Indeed, if $U_1 \subseteq U_2 \subseteq U_3 \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain of open sets in X , then

$$X \setminus u_1 \supseteq X \setminus u_2 \supseteq X \supseteq X \setminus u_3 \supseteq \dots$$

is a descending chain of closed sets and hence must terminate. In addition, recall that

$$\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U) = \bigsqcup_i \mathcal{F}_i(U) / \sim.$$

Locality. Take a cover V_α of an open set U and a section $\bar{s} \in \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ such that $\bar{s}|_{V_\alpha} = 0$ for all i . Fix i so that the representative s of \bar{s} is a section of $\mathcal{F}_i(U)$. The direct system maps must be compatible with restriction since they're sheaf morphisms, hence $s|_{V_i} = 0$ for each V_i . This implies that $\bar{s} = 0$ in $\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$.¹⁰

Gluing. Suppose we have sections $\bar{s}_j \in \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(V_j)$ which agree on overlaps which we wish to glue. The ascending chain

$$V_{i_1} \subseteq V_{i_1} \cup V_{i_2} \subseteq V_{i_1} \cup V_{i_2} \cup V_{i_3} \subseteq \dots$$

must terminate by our observation from the beginning, hence up to relabeling we can assume $V_j \subseteq \bigcup_{\ell=1}^n V_\ell$ for all j . This means we need only glue \bar{s}_ℓ for $1 \leq \ell \leq n$. Let i_1, \dots, i_n be the indices of the sheaves where the representatives of the \bar{s}_ℓ live, i.e. $s_\ell \in \mathcal{F}_{i_\ell}(V_\ell)$. Since $\bar{s}_1|_{V_1 \cap V_2} = \bar{s}_2|_{V_1 \cap V_2}$, by definition of the direct limit there must be some $k \geq i_1, i_2$ such that $f_{i_1, k}(s_1) = f_{i_2, k}(s_2)$. By the gluing property of sheaves there must then be some section $s_{1,2} \in \mathcal{F}_k(V_1 \cup V_2)$ such that $s_{1,2}|_{V_1} = f_{i_1, k}(s_1)$ and $s_{1,2}|_{V_2} = f_{i_2, k}(s_2)$. We now have $n-1$ sections to glue, $s_{1,2}$ and s_3, \dots, s_n . Repeating this procedure n times produces a section $s_{1, \dots, n} \in \mathcal{F}_m(V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_n)$ such that $s_{1, \dots, n}|_{V_\ell} = f_{\ell, m}(s_\ell)$ for all $1 \leq \ell \leq n$. ¹¹ □

¹⁰ Notice that we didn't need Noetherian here – the presheaf $U \mapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ is separated.

¹¹ Finiteness/Noetherian-ness was essential here to ensure this process terminates. We need to find some m such that the gluing can all happen in $\mathcal{F}_m(\bigcup V_\ell)$, and this almost certainly won't happen unless there are only finitely many s_ℓ .

- 1.12. *Inverse Limit.* Let $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ be an inverse system of sheaves on X . Show that the presheaf $U \mapsto \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ is a sheaf. It is called the *inverse limit* of the system $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$, and is denoted by $\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i$. Show that it has the universal property of an inverse limit in the category of sheaves.

Proof. We first recall that the inverse limit $\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ in the category of abelian groups is defined

$$\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U) = \left\{ \vec{a} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}_i(U) \mid a_i = f_{ij}(a_j) \text{ for all } i \leq j \text{ in } I \right\}.$$

The restriction maps for the presheaf $U \mapsto \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ are given “component-wise” by $s|_V = (s_i|_V)_{i \in I}$. This is a well defined map because the f_{ij} are sheaf morphisms; they commute with restriction.

Locality. Take an open cover V_ℓ of U and a section $s \in \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ which restricts to zero on each V_ℓ . Then for each i ,

$$s_i|_{V_j} = 0 \text{ for all } j \implies s_i = 0$$

and hence $s = 0$.

Gluing. Suppose we have sections $s_\ell = (s_\ell^i) \in \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(V_\ell)$ on V_ℓ which agree on overlaps. Since each \mathcal{F}_i is a sheaf, there is then a section $s^i \in \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ which glues to give $s^i|_{V_\ell} = s_\ell^i$ on each V_ℓ . This gives us a tuple $s = (s^i) \in \prod \mathcal{F}_i(U)$. To check that this is in $\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ we need that $s^j = f_{ij}(s^i)$ whenever $i > j$. This is a condition on \mathcal{F}_i and \mathcal{F}_j , which are sheaves, and because $(s_\ell^i) \in \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(V_\ell)$ for all ℓ the condition holds locally:

$$s^j|_{V_\ell} = s_\ell^j = f_{ij}(s_\ell^i) = f_{ij}(s^i|_{V_\ell}).$$

It follows that s^j and $f_{ij}(s^i)$ are equal on stalks: $s_P^j = f_{ij}(s_P^i)$ for all $P \in U$, hence $s^j = f_{ij}(s^i)$ as sections on $\mathcal{F}_j(U)$. The section s is therefore a section of $\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ which restricts to s_ℓ on each V_ℓ .

We now argue that $\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i$ satisfies the universal property of inverse limits in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on the topological space X . Suppose we have a system of maps $\varphi_i : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i$ which are compatible with the inverse system $f_{ij} : \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_j$. For any open set U define a map $\alpha : \mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ by $s \mapsto (\varphi_i(s))_{i \in I}$. The compatibility of φ_i with the inverse system ensures this is an element in $\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ since $f_{ij}(\varphi_i(s)) = \varphi_j(f_{ij}(s))$, and compatibility with restriction maps is clear since the f_{ij} are sheaf morphisms. Hence $\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i$ is indeed

the inverse limit in the category of sheaves on X valued in abelian groups. \square

- 1.13. *Espace Étale of a Presheaf.* (This exercise is included only to establish the connection between our definition of a sheaf and another definition often found in the literature.) Given a presheaf \mathcal{F} on X , we define a topological space $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$ called the *espace étalé* of \mathcal{F} , as follows. As a set, $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{P \in X} \mathcal{F}_P$. We define a projection map $\pi : \text{Spe}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow X$ by sending $s \in \mathcal{F}_P$ to P . For each open set $U \subseteq X$ and each section $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, we obtain a map $\bar{s} : U \rightarrow \text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$ by sending $P \mapsto s_P$, its germ at P . This map has the property that $\pi \circ \bar{s} = \text{id}_U$, in other words, it is a “section” of π over U . We now make $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$ into a topological space by giving it the strongest topology such that all the maps $\bar{s} : U \rightarrow \text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$ for all U , and all $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, are continuous. Now show that the sheaf \mathcal{F}^+ associated to \mathcal{F} can be described as follows: for any open set $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{F}^+(U)$ is the set of *continuous* sections of $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$ over U . In particular, the original presheaf \mathcal{F} was a sheaf if and only if for each U , $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is equal to the set of all continuous sections of $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$ over U .

Proof. \square

- 1.14. Let \mathcal{F} be a sheaf on X , and let $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ be a section over an open set U . The *support* of s , denote $\text{Supp } s$ is defined to be $\{P \in U \mid s_P \neq 0\}$, where s_P denotes the germ of s in the stalk of \mathcal{F}_P . Show that $\text{Supp } s$ is a closed subset of U . We define the *support* of \mathcal{F} $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}$, to be $\{P \in X \mid \mathcal{F}_P \neq 0\}$. It need not be a closed subset.

Proof. Consider the set $V = \{P \in U \mid s_P = 0\}$. For each $P \in V$ there then exists some W_P containing P and open in U such that $s_P = (s|_{W_P})_P = 0$, i.e. so that $s|_{W_P} = 0$. We then have that $V = \bigcup_{P \in V} W_P$, and hence V is open. Because $\text{Supp } s$ is the complement of V it is closed.

An example of a sheaf whose support is not a closed set in U is $j_! \mathbb{Z}$. Here $j : U \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion and $j_! : \text{Sh}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the functor where $j_! \mathcal{F}$ is the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$V \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(V) & \text{if } V \subseteq U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The sheaf $j_! \mathcal{F}$ has the property that $(j_! \mathcal{F})_x = \mathcal{F}_x$ if $x \in U$ and is 0 otherwise. Hence, the support of $j_! \mathbb{Z}$ is simply U , which is open, not necessarily closed. \square

- 1.15. Sheaf $\mathcal{H}om$. Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be sheaves of abelian groups on X . For any open set $U \subseteq X$ show that the set $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$ of morphisms of the restricted sheaves has a natural structure of an abelian group. Show that the presheaf $U \mapsto \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$ is a sheaf. It is called the *sheaf of local morphisms* of \mathcal{F} into \mathcal{G} , “sheaf hom” for short, and is denoted $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$.

Proof. We first show that $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$ is an abelian group. This is easy; we simply define $(f + g)(U) = f(U) + g(U) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}}(\mathcal{F}(U), \mathcal{G}(U))$. The zero morphism $0 : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ defined $0(U)(s) = 0$ is the identity and the inverse of a map $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the morphism $-f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ defined on sections by $(-f)(U)(s) = -f(U)(s)$. This addition is compatible with restrictions.

Note that $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is indeed a presheaf – it associates an abelian group to every $U \subseteq X$ and for every inclusion $V \subseteq U$ we get a restriction $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_V, \mathcal{G}|_V)$ given by restriction a morphism $f : \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_U$ to $\mathcal{F}|_V \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_V$ (here we are technically using the fact that $(\mathcal{F}|_U)|_V \cong \mathcal{F}|_V$). We therefore need only show the two locality conditions hold for $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$.

Identity Axiom: Suppose f is a section of $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$, i.e. that it is a map $f : \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_U$, such that $f|_{V_i} = 0$ on some open cover $\{V_i\}$ of U . Take some other open set $W \subseteq U$ and let $W_i = W \cap V_i$. Take some section $s \in \mathcal{F}(W)$. For each i , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(W) & \xrightarrow{f(W)} & \mathcal{G}(W) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{F}(W_i) & \xrightarrow{f(W_i)} & \mathcal{G}(W_i) \end{array}$$

commutes and $f|_{W_i} = f(W_i)$ by definition, so we get that $f(W_i)(s|_{W_i}) = 0$ for each i . The commutativity of the diagram paired with the fact that \mathcal{G} is a sheaf gives us that $f(W)(s) = 0$, since the \mathcal{G} section $f(W)(s)$ restricts to zero on W_i for each i . Because s was chosen to be an arbitrary section $f(W)$ must be zero and because W was chosen to be an arbitrary open subset of U the morphism $f : \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_U$ must be zero. This proves the first sheaf axiom.

Gluing Axiom: Suppose now that we have morphisms $f_i : \mathcal{F}|_{V_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_{V_i}$ on some open cover $\{V_i\}$ of an open set $W \subseteq U$

such that $f_i(V_i \cap V_j) = f_j(V_i \cap V_j)$. We can define a morphism $f : \mathcal{F}|_W \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_W$ which restricts to f_i on V_i as follows.

Fix an arbitrary section $s \in \mathcal{F}(W)$, restrict it to V_i and map it to $\mathcal{G}|_{V_i}$. This is $f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})$. The restriction of this $\mathcal{G}(V_i)$ section to $V_i \cap V_j$ is $f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})|_{V_j} = f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i \cap V_j})$ by the commutativity requirement satisfied by $f_i(V_i)$ and furthermore $f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i \cap V_j}) = f_j(V_j)(s|_{V_i \cap V_j}) = f_j(V_j)(s|_{V_j})|_{V_i}$ since f_i and f_j agree on overlaps. Hence $\{f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})\}_i$ form a collection of sections in $\mathcal{G}(V_i)$ which agree on overlaps, so there is some unique $x \in \mathcal{G}(W)$ which restricts to $f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})$ on V_i . Now define $f(W)(s) = x$. This is the only thing we could possibly do, since x is the unique element which satisfies $x|_{V_i} = f(V_i)(s|_{V_i})$ for all i . One can see that f is compatible with restrictions by definition (we *defined* it by lifting restrictions on a cover) and that $f(W')$ is a homomorphism of abelian groups by tracing a sum $s + t$ of sections in $\mathcal{F}(W')$ through the same restriction diagrams and lifting to $\mathcal{G}(W')$. \square

- 1.16. A sheaf \mathcal{F} on a topological space X is *flasque* if for every inclusion $V \subseteq U$ of open sets, the restriction map $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ is surjective.
- (a) Show that a constant sheaf on an irreducible topological space is flasque.
 - (b) If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of sheaves, and if \mathcal{F}' is flasque, then for any open set U , the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(U) \rightarrow 0$ of abelian groups is also exact.
 - (c) If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of sheaves and if \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{F} are flasque, then \mathcal{F}'' is flasque.
 - (d) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map, and if \mathcal{F} is a flasque sheaf on X , then $f_*\mathcal{F}$ is a flasque sheaf on Y .
 - (e) Let \mathcal{F} be any sheaf on X . We define a new sheaf \mathcal{G} , called the sheaf of *discontinuous sections* of \mathcal{F} as follows. For each open set $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{G}(U)$ is the set of maps $s : U \rightarrow \bigcup_{P \in U} \mathcal{F}_P$ such that for each $P \in U$, $s(P) \in \mathcal{F}_P$. Show that \mathcal{G} is a flasque sheaf and that there is a natural injective morphism of \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{G} .

Proof.

- (a) ¹²Let A be the constant sheaf valued in A defined in Example 1.0.3. Since X is irreducible so is every subset of X endowed with the subspace topology. In particular, for any two open

¹² This is immediate from the following property of irreducible topological spaces: every open subset of an irreducible topological space is connected. This is why irreducible topological spaces are sometimes called “hyperconnected spaces.”

sets $V \subseteq U$ of X , both U and V are connected. Thus $f \in A(V)$ is a constant function and can hence be easily extended to a function on U . Hence every section of $A(V)$ is obtained as the restriction of a section in $A(U)$, hence $A(U) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} A(V)$ is surjective, hence A is flasque.

- (b) ¹³ Let $\beta : \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''$ be the sheaf maps in the exact sequence. By Exercise 1.8, the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(U)$ is exact, so it remains to show that $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(U)$ is surjective. By the surjectivity of the exact sequence of sheaves, we know there is a covering U_i of U such that for each section $s \in \mathcal{F}''(U)$ there exists sections $t_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ so $\alpha_{U_i}(t_i) = s|_{U_i}$. Let I be the set of pairs (U_i, t_i) equipped with the partial order $(U_i, t_i) \leq (U_j, t_j) \iff U_i \subseteq U_j$ and $t_i = t_j|_{U_i}$.

¹³ We need to show that $\alpha_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(U)$ is surjective. The strategy is, roughly, to construct a poset of open sets of U , show it has maximal elements and then show that any maximal element is equal to U . This last bit is where we require the flasque hypothesis.

We first show that I has a maximal element. Take some chain C in I and set $V = \bigcup_{(U_i, t_i) \in C} U_i$. Since all the sections t_i agree on intersections, there is some $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ such that $t|_{U_i} = t_i$ for all i with $(U_i, t_i) \in C$. This means $\alpha_V(t) = s|_V$ by gluing and commutativity of α with restriction, so V is an upper bound for C and hence I has maximal elements by Zorn's lemma. Let (U^*, t^*) be a maximal element of C .

Now we use the flasque hypothesis. Suppose that $U^* \subsetneq U$, i.e. that there is a maximal element of I which isn't all of U . Then there is some open $U_i \subseteq U$ such that $U^* \subseteq U^* \cup U_i$. Because the images of t^* and t_i must agree on intersections, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t^*)|_{U^* \cap U_i} &= \alpha(t_i)|_{U^* \cap U_i} \\ \implies t^*|_{U^* \cap U_i} - t_i|_{U^* \cap U_i} &\in \ker \alpha_{U^* \cap U_i} = \text{img } \beta_{U^* \cap U_i}. \end{aligned}$$

There is then some section $y \in \mathcal{F}'(U^* \cap U_i)$ such that $\beta_{U^* \cap U_i}(y) = t^*|_{U^* \cap U_i} - t_i|_{U^* \cap U_i}$, hence there is a section $z \in \mathcal{F}'(U^*)$ such that $\beta_{U^*}(z) \in \ker \alpha_{U^*}$ ¹⁴ and $\beta_{U^*}(z)|_{U^* \cap U_i} = t^*|_{U^* \cap U_i} - t_i|_{U^* \cap U_i}$. Set $a = t^* - \beta_{U^*}(z)$. Then a and t_i agree on $U^* \cap U_i$, so there is some $b \in \mathcal{F}(U^* \cup U_i)$ which restricts to a and t_i on U^* and U_i respectively. Furthermore, $b|_{U_j} = t_j$ whenever $U_j \subseteq U^* \cup U_i$. Hence $(U^* \cup U_i, b)$ is an element of I strictly containing (U^*, t^*) , contradicting maximality. Thus $U^* = U$ and $\alpha_{U^*}(t^*) = s$, proving that α_U is indeed surjective.

¹⁴ This is exactness

- (c) For any $V \subseteq U$ we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows by part (b):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'(U) & \xrightarrow{\beta_U} & \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_U} & \mathcal{F}''(U) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} & & \downarrow \text{res} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}'(V) & \xrightarrow{\beta_V} & \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} & \mathcal{F}''(V) \longrightarrow 0
\end{array}$$

We know $\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha_U} \mathcal{F}''(U)$ and $\mathcal{F}(V) \xrightarrow{\alpha_V} \mathcal{F}''(V)$ are surjective by the exactness shown in (b) since \mathcal{F}' is flasque and we know $\mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathcal{F}(V)$ is surjective since \mathcal{F} is flasque. Therefore $\alpha_V \circ \text{res}$ is surjective and hence $\mathcal{F}''(U) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathcal{F}''(V)$ is surjective by commutativity.

- (d) For any open $V \subseteq U \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq f^{-1}(U)$ and hence the restriction map $\mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V))$ is surjective. This gives the result.
- (e) Let $V \subseteq U$ be two open sets in X . Any $s \in \mathcal{G}(V)$ can be extended to a map $\bar{s} \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ simply by choosing an image for each $P \in U \setminus V$; any choice produces a valid section in U . Thus the restriction map $\mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(V)$ is clearly surjective.

For any $U \subseteq X$ consider the map $\iota_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ defined $\iota_U(s) : P \mapsto s_P$. This is compatible with restriction, hence ι is a morphism of sheaves. If $s \in \ker \iota(U)$ then $s_P = 0$ for every $P \in U$, and hence $s = 0$ by the locality axiom. Hence $\ker \iota$ is the constant zero sheaf and ι is injective.

□

- 1.17. Let X be a topological space, let P be a point, and let A be an abelian group. Define a sheaf $i_P(A)$ as follows: $i_P(A)(U) = A$ if $P \in U$, 0 otherwise. Verify that the stalk of $i_P(A)$ is A at every point $Q \in \{P\}^-$ in the closure of P , and 0 elsewhere. Hence the name “skyscraper sheaf”. Show that this sheaf could also be described as $i_*(A)$ where A denotes the constant sheaf A on the closed subspace $\{P\}^-$ and $i : \{P\}^- \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion.

Proof. Suppose $Q \in \{P\}^-$ so that every open set V containing Q also contains P . Then $i_P(A)(V) = A$ for every such set by definition, and the restriction map $i_P(A)(V) \rightarrow i_P(A)(V')$ for $Q \in V' \subseteq V$ is the identity. Hence the stalk at $i_P(A)(V)$ is indeed A . If Q is not in the closure of $\{P\}$ then there is some open set V containing Q which avoids P . Hence $i_P(A)(V) = 0$ and the stalk at Q must necessarily be zero.

Suppose now that $i_*(A)$ is the pushforward of the constant sheaf on $\{P\}^-$ via the inclusion $i : \{P\}^- \rightarrow X$. Any open subset of $\{P\}^-$ is given by the intersection of $\{P\}^-$ with $V \subseteq X$ open. If

this intersection contains a point Q , then V necessarily contains P as well, since Q is in the closure of $\{P\}$. This means every nonempty open subset of $\{P\}^-$ contains P , and in particular, any two open subsets meet. This implies that $\{P\}^-$ is connected and thus the constant sheaf A on $\{P\}^-$ is simply the constant presheaf. The pushforward i_*A is then

$$i_*A(V) = A(i^{-1}(V)) = \begin{cases} A & i^{-1}(V) \text{ nonempty} \iff P \in V \\ 0 & i^{-1}(V) = \emptyset \iff P \notin V \end{cases}.$$

This is exactly the skyscraper sheaf. □

EXERCISE 1.21