

Lecture Notes from Differential Geometry (Michaelmas 2021)

Isaac Martin

Last compiled March 24, 2022

Contents

0	What is differential geometry?	2
0.1	Important Constructions	3
0.2	An abstract example of differentiating something nonobvious	4
0.3	Course Outline and Beyond	4
1	Manifolds and Smooth Maps	5
1.1	Manifolds	5
1.2	Manifolds from Sets	9
1.3	Smooth Maps	11
1.4	Tangent Spaces	12
1.5	Derivatives	14
2	Vector bundles and tensors	15
2.1	The tangent bundle	15
2.2	Vector Bundles	16
2.3	Constructing Vector Bundles by Gluing	18
2.4	The Cotangent Bundle	20
2.5	Multilinear Algebra	22

§ *Lecture 1*

Recorded: 2021-10-07 Notes: 2022-03-01

Main Books:

- Nicolaescu: *Lectures on the Geometry of Manifolds*
- Lee: Introduction to smooth manifolds

For principal bundles:

- Sontz: *Principal Bundles, The Classical Case*
- Sontz: *Principal Bundles, The Quantum Case*

For physicists:

- Nakahara: *Geometry, Topology, and Physics*.

There will be times in the course where we refer to these books (almost exclusively Lee and Nicolaescu) for proofs which we take as black boxes – analysis-flavored things with which we do not wish to get sidetracked. These are not things that differential geometers don't typically think about. These proofs are non-examinable.

0 What is differential geometry?

Differential geometry is the study of smooth manifolds: spaces that locally look like \mathbb{R}^n in a smooth way. There are two primary ways to think about manifolds.

- **Embedded Manifolds** (Extrinsic Geometry): These are embedded manifolds viewed as subspaces in \mathbb{R}^n for some sufficiently large n . e.g. spheres, tori, genus 2 spaces are smooth subsets of \mathbb{R}^3 . Could also take $\{x^2 = y^2 + 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, which is a hyperbola. There is some subtlety about which equations we can take; for instance, $x^2 = y^2$ is *not* smooth. Could also take $\mathrm{SO}(n) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n^2}$, this is the set of $n \times n$ matrices so that $A^T A = 1$ and $\det(A) = 1$.
- **Abstract Manifolds** (Intrinsic Geometry): Reasonable topological spaces such that about each point p there are local coordinates such that coordinate transformations are smooth (i.e. C^∞).

One might worry that the embedding chosen in the first of the above cases affects the structure of the space. Working in the latter framework avoids this issue, since we don't care about the ambient space. Luckily, thanks to results such as the Whitney embedding theorem, we know that these two definitions are equivalent. We can always embed an abstract manifold in some high dimensional Euclidean space and can write down an embedded manifold as a smooth manifold.

0.1 Important Constructions

Once you have a manifold, there are some basic constructions you care about.

The **tangent space** at a point of a manifold is some linear approximation of the manifold at a point. It is clear how to define this for an embedded manifold – we can write down explicit equations describing a hypersurface tangent to the manifold at a point – but is less clear in the abstract case.

Smooth maps between manifolds and their derivatives are another object one might care about. The derivative of a smooth map ought to be some linear approximation of the map, and will therefore involve the tangent space.

Vector fields on the surface of a manifold objects which assign a vector to each point of a manifold. Closely related to this is the concept of a **flow**, which captures the idea of moving through the vector field in some way.

Submanifolds ought to make sense in some way. Embedded manifolds are submanifolds of \mathbb{R}^n , for instance.

We can even give our manifolds more structure, for instance, we can think of a group structure that is compatible with the smooth structure of the manifold. These are called **Lie groups**. There are surprising things that come out of doing things like this, for example, the tangent space at the identity of a Lie group becomes a **Lie algebra**. There is then a map from a Lie algebra to its associated Lie group called the exponential map:

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}. \quad (1)$$

For $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$, the tangent space at the identity is $Mat_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$. It's Lie algebra structure is given by the commutator $[A, B] = AB - BA$, and the exponential map is

$$A \mapsto I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \dots$$

Some questions are more subtle, however. One such question is this: "How does one differentiate a vector field?" One might go away and invent the rest of this course solely by trying to answer this question. For a vector field on \mathbb{R}^n , this is easy. What about a vector field on an embedded manifold $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$?

One problem you immediately run into is that there is no way to differentiate in directions out of the surface, it's simply not defined. Even if we restrict to differentiating in directions pointing along the surface, the answer might yield a vector pointing out of the surface, so this is still a problem. For simple example of this, see minute 27:05 in the recording.

The obvious fix might be that you take the derivative of a vector field and then orthogonally project back onto the manifold, but then the choice of embedding matters quite a lot. To summarize,

- (i) Can't differentiate vector field in directions out of M
- (ii) If you differentiate along directions in the surface, you might end up with a vector pointing out of M
- (iii) If you orthogonally project the answer in (ii) back onto M , the choice of embedding will affect the answer.

In order to differentiate a vector field, we'll need to introduce the following machinery:

- Tensor and differential forms
- Connections
- Parallel transport – moving a vector along a path through a vector field such that its derivative along the path is zero.

- Curvature. This captures the path dependence of parallel transport. If I move a vector to a point $p \in M$ along two different paths, I will typically end up with different answers. Curvature captures this difference.

0.2 An abstract example of differentiating something nonobvious

In physics, there is spacetime which is some manifold X . A quantum particle moving along this manifold is described by a wavefunction $\psi \approx X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, but it isn't *quite* a function $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. What matters is that you can measure the absolute value $|\psi|$ and the relative phases of two wavefunctions ψ_1 and ψ_2 .

So what exactly *is* ψ ? The differential geometry answer is that it's a section of a complex line bundle. These means we have a copy of \mathbb{C} at every point and we can rotate them relative to each other in order to obtain ψ . To write down the equations of motion for ψ we need to differentiate it, but now our fancy words have led us to completely lose grip on what ψ actually is. So how do we differentiate ψ ? This is rather nonobvious, for example, I might insist the derivative of ψ is zero at a point, whereas you might multiply ψ by some crazy function that rotates its phase in some fancy way and thereby insist its derivative is very much nonzero. To keep track of what the derivative in some sensible way, we introduce these things called **connections**. Connections are what allow us to differentiate vector bundles.

It turns out that the connection is actually the electromagnetic potential, so it has some nice physical meaning. One might call this a $U(1)$ connection, which means it's a $U(1)$ gauge theory. Its curvature is some field strength.

The moral here is that we started with some sensible physics, did some weird maths, and again ended up with some sensible physics stuff.

0.3 Course Outline and Beyond

Course Plan:

- Manifolds and smooth maps
- (Co)Tangent bundles + tensors
- Differential forms and de Rham Cohomology
- Submanifolds and foliations
- Flows and Lie derivatives
- Lie groups and Lie algebras
- Principal bundles, connections, and curvature.

Where can one go after this course? Within the world of pure maths, can study **geometric topology**. This includes low-dimensional topology (dimensions 3 and 4) and knot theory. These are both bare manifolds with no additional structure, so it makes sense that one can also study **geometric manifolds with extra structure**. This includes (pseudo)Riemannian geometry, symplectic geometry and topology, and complex manifolds and Kähler geometry. This even has applications to **complex dynamical systems** and **statistics**.

§ Lecture 2

Recorded: 09-10-2021 Notes: 2022-03-01

1 Manifolds and Smooth Maps

1.1 Manifolds

We add smoothness later, the first definitions are purely topological.

Definition 1.1. A *topological manifold* is a topological space X such that for all $p \in X$ there exists an open neighborhood U of $p \in X$, an open set $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \xrightarrow{\sim} V$. We also require that X is Hausdorff (i.e. distinct points can always be separated via disjoint open neighborhoods) and second-countable (i.e. has a countable basis).

Example 1.2. \mathbb{R}^n is a topological n -manifold.

- For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we can take $U = \mathbb{R}^n$ and then φ to be the identity map on \mathbb{R}^n .
- \mathbb{R}^n is Hausdorff
- It is second-countable because of some nonsense about the rationals, i.e. the set of balls with rational radius centered at points of $\mathbb{Q}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ forms a countable basis for the topology on \mathbb{R}^n .

Why impose the second-countable and Hausdorff conditions? One answer is that any reasonable space ought to satisfy these, so why *not* include them? Another is that they play a role in the proofs of several technical results later on (c.f. Sard's theorem). The best reason is perhaps that you need Hausdorff and second-countable if you want abstract manifolds and embedded manifolds to be equivalent categories; every embedded manifold will inherit second-countability and Hausdorffness from \mathbb{R}^n , so we need these conditions in order for abstract manifolds to be embeddable in \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark 1.3.

- (i) The requirements “Hausdorff” and “second-countable” are important and not restrictive in practice. For a space locally homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n it's equivalent to require that “ X is metrizable and has countably many connected components”.
- (ii) “Hausdorff” and “second-countable” are inherited by topological subspaces.

Example 1.4. If X is a topological n -manifold then so is any open set $W \subseteq X$. To see this, given $p \in W$ pick

$$\varphi : U \xrightarrow{\sim} V$$

with $U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and then take

$$\varphi|_{U \cap W} : U \cap W \xrightarrow{\sim} \varphi(U \cap W)$$

as the coordinate map on W .

We now include a terminology dump.

Terminology

- (i) φ is a *chart* about p
- (ii) U is a *coordinate patch*
- (iii) If x_1, \dots, x_n are the standard coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n , i.e. $x_i(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_i$, then $x_1 \circ \varphi, \dots, x_n \circ \varphi$ are the local coordinates on U . We typically suppress φ in the notation and simply refer to x_1, \dots, x_n as the local coordinates on U .
- (iv) The inverse of a chart is a *parameterization*. It's easy to remember which way a parameterization goes, it parameterizes your manifolds with real numbers so it's a map $V \rightarrow X$ where $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. If you can't remember which way a chart goes, remember which way a parameterization goes.
- (v) If $\varphi_1 : U_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_1$ and $\varphi_2 : U_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} V_2$ are two charts, the corresponding local coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n are related by the transition function $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1} : V_1 \cap \varphi_2(U_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} V_2 \cap \varphi_1(U_2)$.

Definition 1.5. A map from an open subset of \mathbb{R}^a to \mathbb{R}^b is *smooth* if it has all partial derivatives of all orders.

This is a notion of smoothness on \mathbb{R}^n , but we want a notion of smoothness for maps between manifolds. Here's a half definition:

Preliminary-Definition. Given a manifold X , a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth if $f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is smooth for all charts φ , i.e. $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is smooth as a function of local coordinates.

Notice that this depends on the local coordinates, which might relate to each other in weird ways and cause problems in the future.

Definition 1.6. Let X be a topological n -manifold.

- An *atlas* for X is a collection

$$\{\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$$

of charts that cover X (i.e. $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} U_\alpha = X$).

- An atlas is *smooth* if all transition functions $\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ are smooth (as in Definition (1.5))
- Given a smooth atlas \mathbb{A} , a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *smooth with respect to \mathbb{A}* if $f \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ is smooth for all φ_α in \mathbb{A} .

Lemma 1.7. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth if and only if $\forall p \in X, \exists$ a chart φ_α about p such that $f \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ is smooth.

Proof: The forward direction follows straight from the definition of smoothness above. For the converse, take a chart $\varphi_\beta : U_\beta \rightarrow V_\beta$. We want that $f \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}$ is smooth. We know that for all $p \in U_\beta$, there is some φ_α such that $f \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ is smooth. But then near $\varphi_\beta(p)$ we have that

$$f \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1} = (f \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}) \circ (\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta^{-1}).$$

| Both of the things on the right are smooth, hence the left hand side is smooth as well. □

This definition works, but it again depends on a choice, this time on a choice of atlas. The atlas isn't particularly something we want to carry around all the time.

Definition 1.8. Let X again be a topological n -manifold.

- Two smooth atlases \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are said to be *smoothly equivalent* if $\mathbb{A} \cup \mathbb{B}$ is smooth. Smooth equivalence is an equivalence relation.
- A *smooth structure on X* is an equivalence class of smooth atlases.

This definition is what will allow us to forget about the atlas and instead consider smooth structures, and the following lemma tells us how:

Lemma 1.9. If \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are smoothly equivalent atlases for a topological manifold X , then $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth with respect to \mathbb{A} if and only if it is smooth with respect to \mathbb{B} .

We're finally ready to define a smooth n -manifold.

Definition 1.10 (Smooth Manifold). A *smooth n -manifold* is a topological n -manifold equipped with a smooth structure. We abbreviate this to “ n -manifold” or “manifold”.

Example 1.11.

- \mathbb{R}^n is a smooth n -manifold, with smooth structure defined by the atlas $\{\text{id} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n\}$.
- Open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n are smooth n -manifolds, as before
- If X and Y are smooth m -manifolds and n -manifolds respectively, then $X \times Y$ is a smooth $(m+n)$ -manifold defined by product charts.

Remark 1.12.

- Being a topological n -manifold is a *property of a space*, a topological space is either homeomorphic to a subset of \mathbb{R}^n or not.
- Being a smooth manifold is a property of a topological space **in addition to** a choice, namely a smooth structure.

Thus, the question “is X a topological manifold” makes sense, whereas “is X a smooth manifold” does not. However,

- (i) For $n \leq 3$, every topological n -manifold admits a unique smooth structure (up to diffeomorphism).
- (ii) For $n \geq 4$, a topological n -manifold may admit no smooth structure (e.g. the E_8 4-manifold) or it may admit multiple (infinitely many, even) smooth structures (e.g. the exotic S^7 sphere, homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to S^7). These results are quite hard.

§ *Lecture 3*

Recorded: 2021-10-12 Notes: 2022-03-03

Definition 1.13. For a smooth n -manifold X , the integer n is called the *dimension* of X (unsurprisingly). We write $\dim X$ for this.

We'll prove that dimension is invariant under diffeomorphism. You might think that you don't need smooth structure to prove invariance of dimension, and this is true – but proving that dimension is invariant under homeomorphism is substantially harder and falls in the domain of algebraic topology.

You're free to add charts (or equivalently local coordinates) to your atlas as long as they preserve the smoothness of the manifold. Here is an example to give an idea of what we mean.

Example 1.14.

- (i) Given a point $p \in X$ and an open neighborhood W of p , we can always take/add a chart about p contained in W
- (ii) Can choose/add local coordinates about p such that p corresponds to the origin in these coordinates: take any chart φ about p and consider $\varphi - \varphi(p)$ to be the new local coordinates. That is, for $p \in U \subseteq X$ and $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\varphi : U \xrightarrow{\sim} V, \quad \varphi - \varphi(p) \text{ means do the subtraction in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

Example 1.15. The n -sphere, S^n , is the n -manifold whose underlying topological space is

$$\left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \|y\|^2 = 1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \right\}$$

with the subspace topology. Smooth structure is defined by the following atlas. There are two charts

$$\varphi_{\pm} : U_{\pm} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $U_{\pm} = S^n \setminus \{(0, \dots, 0, \pm 1)\}$ and φ_{\pm} is stereographic projection. That is, U_+ is the sphere with the north pole removed and U_- is the sphere with the south pole removed.

As a reminder, stereographic projection involves taking the unique hyperplane through the origin of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} which is normal to $(0, \dots, 0, \pm 1)$. This is a copy of \mathbb{R}^n . For a point $p \in U_+$, the stereographic projection $\varphi_+(p)$ of p is the unique point on this hyperplane which intersects the line through $N = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ and p . Likewise, for $p \in U_-$, $\varphi_-(p)$ is the unique point on the relevant hyperplane which lies on the line between $S = (0, \dots, 0, -1)$ and p . The symmetry of the sphere means that for $p \in U_+ \cap U_- = S^n \setminus \{N, S\}$, $\varphi_+(p) = \varphi_-(p)$. Explicitly,

$$\varphi_{\pm}(y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}) = \frac{(y_1, \dots, y_n)}{1 \mp y_{n+1}}.$$

Local coordinates x^{\pm} satisfy

$$x_i^{\pm} = \frac{y_i}{1 \mp y_{n+1}}.$$

The *height function* y_{n+1} (which is just the projection of a point $p \in S^n$ to its $(n+1)$ th coordinate, i.e. the height of p above or below the plane through the equator) is smooth since it's given by

$$y_{n+1} = \pm \frac{\|x^{\pm}\|^2 - 1}{\|x^{\pm}\|^2 + 1} \text{ on } U_{\pm}.$$

1.2 Manifolds from Sets

Observation: If X is a manifold, the charts “know the topology” – a set $W \subseteq X$ is open if and only if $\varphi_\alpha(W)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n for all charts φ_α . This is useful in practice, since it means we can simply take a set with an obvious set of charts to \mathbb{R}^n and then define the topology on our set by taking the inverse images of opens in \mathbb{R}^n under our chosen atlas.

More concretely, suppose we’re given

- a set X
- a collection $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ of sets covering X
- for each α , an open set $V_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and a bijection $\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha$.

Suppose that for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ the set $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ is an open in V_α (or equivalently in \mathbb{R}^n) and the map

$$\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} : \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \varphi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$$

is smooth.

Definition 1.16 (Non-standard). Call such data a *smooth pseudo-atlas* on X , and call the φ_α *pseudo-charts*.

Lemma 1.17. Declare a set $W \subseteq X$ to be open if and only if for all α the set $\varphi_\alpha(W \cap U_\alpha)$ is open in \mathbb{R}^n . This defines a topology on X .

Proposition 1.18. As long as X is second-countable and Hausdorff under the topology given by the pseudo atlas, the space resulting from a pseudo atlas is a topological n -manifold and the pseudo atlas is a smooth atlas (hence it defines a smooth structure).

Proof: We need to check that the φ_α are homeomorphisms and that the U_α are open, but we already have that the transition functions $\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ are smooth by virtue of the pseudo atlas definition. To check that φ_α is a homeomorphism, need to check that for all $W \subseteq X$,

$$W \text{ open in } X \iff \varphi_\alpha(W) \text{ open in } V_\alpha.$$

The forward implication is obvious from the definition of a open in X , they’re defined in terms of their images under φ_α for arbitrary α . For the reverse implication, suppose that $\varphi_\alpha(W)$ is open. We want to show that, for any other β , the set $\varphi_\beta(W \cap U_\beta)$ is open. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\beta(W \cap U_\beta) &= (\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}) \circ \varphi_\alpha(W \cap U_\beta) \\ &= (\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}) (\varphi_\alpha(W) \cap \varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi_\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1}$ is continuous, $\varphi_\alpha(W)$ is open, and $\varphi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ is open, we are done. □

We say that two *smooth pseudo atlases* are *equivalent* if their union is a *smooth pseudo atlas*.

Lemma 1.19. Equivalent smooth pseudo atlases define the same manifold structure. □

This discussion allows us to give a construction of our first interesting example of a manifold.

Example 1.20. The n -dimensional *real projective space* \mathbb{RP}^n is the space of lines (1-dimensional linear subspaces) in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

- Any non-zero $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ defines a point $\langle x \rangle \in \mathbb{RP}^n$
- All lines arise in this way.
- Two points define the same line if and only if they differ by rescaling

We therefore label points of \mathbb{RP}^n by the ratios $[x_0 : \dots : x_n]$ which we call *homogeneous coordinates*. Explicitly, $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_n] = [y_0 : \dots : y_n] = y$ if there is some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $y = \lambda x$.

Define the following pseudo-charts for $i = 0, \dots, n$. Let $U_i = \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \mid x_i \neq 0\}$ and define a bijection

$$\varphi_i : U_i \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \quad \text{by} \quad [x_0 : \dots : x_n] = \frac{(x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)}{x_i}.$$

This is a smooth pseudo-atlas and makes \mathbb{RP}^n into a smooth n -manifold.

Example 1.21. Take $X = \mathbb{R} \times \{1, 2\} / \sim$ where $(x, 1) \sim (x, 2)$ if $x < 0$, i.e. we take X to be two copies of the real line glued together at the negative points. We have a pseudo atlas given by

$$\mathbb{R} \times \{i\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R},$$

and while X is second-countable, X is not Hausdorff. We have two copies of the origin, but we cannot separate them with open sets. Therefore X is a set with a pseudo-atlas which fails to be a topological n -manifold.

Remark 1.22. We need not always start with a collection $\{V_\alpha\}$ of opens in \mathbb{R}^n and then specify a way to glue them together.

§ Lecture 4

Recorded: 2021-10-14 Notes: 2022-03-08

1.3 Smooth Maps

Fix two manifolds X and Y with atlases $\{\varphi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ and $\{\psi_\beta : S_\beta \rightarrow T_\beta\}_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}}$

Definition 1.23. A map $F : X \rightarrow Y$ is *smooth* if it's continuous and if for all x, α, β the map

$$\psi_\beta \circ F \circ \varphi_\alpha^{-1} : \varphi_\alpha \left(F^{-1}(S_\beta) \cap U_\alpha \right) \rightarrow T_\beta$$

is smooth as a map between open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\dim Y}$.

Remark 1.24. We ask F to be continuous in the above definition so that $\varphi_\alpha \left(F^{-1}(S_\beta) \right)$ is open, which is needed for smoothness to make sense.

Example 1.25.

- (i) id_X is smooth
- (ii) Any constant map is smooth
- (iii) The projections $\text{pr}_1 X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $\text{pr}_2 X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are smooth
- (iv) The inclusion $S^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is smooth

Lemma 1.26. We have the following basic properties.

- (i) A map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth if and only if it is smooth in the sense of section 1.1, i.e. as a map of coordinates.
- (ii) A map between open sets of \mathbb{R}^m and \mathbb{R}^n is smooth if and only if it is smooth in the multivariable calculus sense.
- (iii) Smoothness is local in the source: it's enough to check it locally near each $p \in X$.
- (iv) The composition of smooth maps is smooth

Items (i) and (ii) above just say that smoothness in the manifold sense matches your notion of smoothness in every situation for which you'd want it to.

Example 1.27. Viewing \mathbb{C}^{n+1} as $\mathbb{R}^{2(n+1)}$, we can think of S^{2n+1} as the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Any point $x \in S^{2n+1}$ then defines a point $\mathbb{C} \cdot x \in \mathbb{CP}^n$. This gives a map

$$H : S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$$

called the *Hopf* map. This is smooth (Example Sheet 1).

Definition 1.28. A *diffeomorphism* $X \rightarrow Y$ is a smooth map with a smooth two-sided inverse.

Example 1.29. \mathbb{CP}^1 is diffeomorphic to S^2 , so it makes sense to think of \mathbb{CP}^1 as a sphere. We call it the *Riemann sphere*.

Lemma 1.30. If X and Y are diffeomorphic non-empty manifolds, then $\dim X = \dim Y$.

Proof: Pick a point $p \in X$ and a diffeomorphism $F : X \rightarrow Y$. Pick charts $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$ about p and $\psi : S \rightarrow T$ about $F(p)$. By shrinking charts, without loss of generality we can assume $F(U) = S$ for convenience.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{F} & S \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \\ V & \xrightarrow{G} & T \end{array}$$

Let $G = \psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$, $H = \varphi \circ F^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}$. Then $D_{\varphi(p)} G$ and $D_{\psi(F(p))} H$ are mutually inverse linear maps $\mathbb{R}^{\dim X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\dim Y}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{\dim Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\dim X}$. So $\dim X = \dim Y$. \square

1.4 Tangent Spaces

Worth including a picture here Given a manifold X and a point $p \in X$, we'd like a nice intrinsic way to define the tangent space, i.e. a way to get our hands on the tangent space at p without embedding X into \mathbb{R}^d . We don't have a nice way to get vectors that lie on the surface of our manifold at p , but we can consider curves $\gamma : [-1, 1] \rightarrow X$, $\gamma(0) = p$ which pass through p . To recover vectors from this, our idea is to identify curves which have the same "direction" at p and then consider the vector space of these equivalence relations.

Fix an n -manifold X , $p \in X$.

Definition 1.31. A curve based at p is a smooth map $\gamma : I \rightarrow X$, where I is an open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R} such that $\gamma(0) = p$. We say two curves γ_1 and γ_2 agree to first order at p if there exists a chart $\varphi : U \rightarrow V$ about p such that

$$(\varphi \circ \gamma_1)^\bullet(0) = (\varphi \circ \gamma_2)^\bullet(0) \quad (2)$$

as vectors in \mathbb{R}^n .

One might be worried that two curves agreeing to first order at p is dependent on the choice of atlas – this is perhaps unsurprisingly not the case.

Lemma 1.32. If equation (2) for some chart φ about p then it holds for all such charts.

Proof: Given a chart φ about p , write π_p^φ for the map

$$\begin{aligned} \{\text{curves based at } p\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \\ \gamma &\mapsto (\varphi \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose φ_1 and φ_2 are two different charts about p . By the chain rule we have

$$\pi_p^{\varphi_2} = A \circ \pi_p^{\varphi_1}$$

where A is the derivative of $\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1^{-1}$ at $\varphi_1(p)$. Note A is invertible. So for curves γ_1, γ_2 , we have

$$\pi_p^{\varphi_2}(\gamma_1) = \pi_p^{\varphi_2}(\gamma_2) \iff \pi_p^{\varphi_1}(\gamma_1) = \pi_p^{\varphi_1}(\gamma_2).$$

\square

Corollary 1.33. Agreement to first order is an equivalence relation on curves based at p .

Definition 1.34. The *tangent space* to X at p , denoted $T_p X$, is

{curves based at p }/agreement to first order.

We'll write $[\gamma]$ for the tangent vector represented by γ .

Proposition 1.35. The tangent space $T_p X$ at a point $p \in X$ naturally carries the structure of an n -dimensional vector space.

Proof: For each chart φ about p , π_p^φ induces a map $T_p X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. This is tautologically injective. We claim it's surjective. Thus π_p^φ identifies $T_p X$ with \mathbb{R}^n , and the identification for different φ differ by a linear automorphism of \mathbb{R}^n , the map we called A in the proof of Lemma (1.32). So the induced vector space structure on $T_p X$ is independent of φ and is hence natural.

It remains to prove that π_p^φ is surjective. Take $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the curve $t \mapsto \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(p) + t v)$ defined on $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ for ϵ small. This satisfies $\pi_p^\varphi(\gamma_v) = v$. \square

Definition 1.36. If x_1, \dots, x_n are local coordinates defined by φ and e_1, \dots, e_n is the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n , then write $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ or ∂_{x_i} or ∂_i for $(\pi_p^\varphi)^{-1}(e_i)$.

Intuitively, ∂_{x_i} is the direction obtained by moving along the x_i -axis, i.e. keep all other x_j constant and increase x_i at unit speed. Compare ∂_x, ∂_y to $\partial_r, \partial_\theta$ as coordinates for \mathbb{R}^2 . The magnitude of ∂_x and ∂_y won't change as you move around in the x, y -plane, but the magnitude of ∂_θ will. Changing θ by unit speed far away from the origin involves moving much farther than it does near the origin.

Warning: The vector ∂_{x_i} depends on *all* of the x_j , e.g. if y_1, \dots, y_n are local coordinates such that $y_i = x_i$ then it need not be true that $\partial_{y_i} = \partial_{x_i}$.

Lemma 1.37. Given two sets of local coordinates about x_i and y_i about p , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} = \sum_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i}(p) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j},$$

where $\frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i}$ comes from the transition function. This will be proved next lecture.

§ Lecture 5

Recorded: 2021-10-16 Notes: 2022-03-19

Last time, we discussed the map $\{\text{curves based at } p\} \xrightarrow{\pi_p^\varphi} \mathbb{R}^n$, which induces a bijection $T_p X \xrightarrow{\pi_p^\varphi} \mathbb{R}^n$ when we mod out by equivalence.

Lemma 1.38.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} = \sum_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

Proof: Let φ_1 and φ_2 be the charts defining x and y . By definition $\partial_{y_i} = (\pi_p^{\varphi_2})^{-1}(e_i)$. Let $A = D(\varphi_2 \cdot \varphi_1^{-1})$ so $\pi_p^{\varphi_2} A \cdot \pi_p^{\varphi_1}$. Get that $\partial_{y_i} = (\pi_p^{\varphi_1})^{-1}(A^{-1}e_i)$. Note $A^{-1} = D(\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2^{-1})$ so $A^{-1}e_i = \sum_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} e_j$ so

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{y_i} &= (\pi_p^{\varphi_1})^{-1} \left(\sum_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} e_j \right) \\ &= \sum_j \frac{\partial x_j}{\partial y_i} (\pi_p^{\varphi_1})^{-1}(e_j). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_{x_j} = (\pi_p^{\varphi_1})^{-1}e_j$, we are done. □

Remark 1.39. If $[\gamma] = \sum a_i \partial_{x_i}$ then $(\varphi \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0) = \pi_p^\varphi(\gamma) = \sum a_i e_i$ (note the i th component of $(\varphi \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0)$ is $(x_i \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0)$).

So $a_i = (x_i \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0)$.

1.5 Derivatives

Fix manifolds X, Y and a smooth map $F : X \rightarrow Y$.

Definition 1.40. The *derivative* of F at p , denoted $D_p F$, is the map

$$T_p X \rightarrow T_{F(p)} Y, [\gamma] \mapsto [F \circ \gamma].$$

We sometimes write $D_p F$ as F_* , and call it the *pushforward*.

Lemma 1.41. The map $D_p F$ is well-defined and linear.

Proof: Fix charts φ about p and ψ about $F(p)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{F(p)}^\psi(F \circ \gamma) &= (\psi \circ F \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0) \\ &= [(\varphi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ (\varphi \circ \gamma)]^\bullet(0) \\ &= T\pi_p^\varphi(\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

where $T = D(\psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1})$. Thus, if γ_1 and γ_2 are two curves based at p with $[\gamma_1] = [\gamma_2]$ then $[F \circ \gamma_1] = [F \circ \gamma_2]$. So $D_p F$ is well-defined, and it fits into the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
T_p X & \xrightarrow{D_p F} & T_{F(p)} Y \\
\downarrow \pi_p^\varphi & & \downarrow \pi_{F(p)}^\psi \\
\mathbb{R}^{\dim X} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathbb{R}^{\dim Y}
\end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are isomorphisms. So $D_p F = \pi_{F(p)}^{-1} \circ T \circ \pi_p^\varphi$ is linear. \square

Remark 1.42. If x, y are the local coordinates associated to the charts φ, ψ then $\psi \circ F \circ \varphi^{-1}$ expresses F as giving the y 's in terms of the x 's. In the diagram above, T is the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_j} \right)_{ij}$. Hence,

$$D_p F(\partial_{x_i}) = \sum_j \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_i} \partial_{y_j}.$$

Remark 1.43.

- (i) The new notion of derivative coincides with the new one for maps $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ by Remark (1.42)
- (ii) If f is a function $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then $Df(\partial_{x_i}) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$.
- (iii) For a curve γ based at p we can write $[\gamma]$ as $D_0 \gamma(\partial_t)$ where t is simply the standard coordinate on \mathbb{R} .

As a final remark, the chain rule is now a tautology.

Proposition 1.44. For smooth maps $X \xrightarrow{F} Y \xrightarrow{G} Z$, we have

$$D_p(G \circ F) = D_{F(p)}G \circ D_p F.$$

Proof: For $[\gamma]$ in $T_p X$, both sides give $[G \circ F \circ \gamma]$. \square

2 Vector bundles and tensors

2.1 The tangent bundle

Given a manifold X and local coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n on an open set $U \subseteq X$. If we have a tangent vector for a point in U we may write it as a linear combination of $\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n}$. Write a_1, \dots, a_n for the components of a tangent vector with respect to ∂_{x_i} .

This gives us coordinates

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n, a_1, \dots, a_n) : \sqcup_{p \in U} T_p X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

Doing this for all coordinate patches defines a smooth pseudo-atlas on $TX := \sqcup_{p \in X} T_p X$. This space TX inherits Hausdorffness and second-countability from X .

Definition 2.1. The *tangent bundle* of X is TX above equipped with the manifold structure given by the above pseudo-atlas.

Example 2.2. Let $X = S^1 = \{e^{i\theta}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$. The vector ∂_θ makes sense everywhere, it points around the circle with unit speed 1 (i.e. $|\partial_\theta| = 1$). It forms a basis in each tangent space. We therefore get a diffeomorphism

$$TS^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (p \in S^1, a\partial_\theta \in T_p S^1) \rightarrow (p, a).$$

Might be unclear why we would define this space, but one immediate reason is that it allows us to sensibly define vector fields.

Definition 2.3. A *vector-field* on X is a smooth map $v : X \rightarrow TX$ such that $v(p) \in T_p X$ for all p . Another way to phrase this is to say that v is a *section* of the projection $TX \rightarrow X$.

2.2 Vector Bundles

Definition 2.4. A *vector bundle* of rank k over a manifold B is a manifold E equipped with

- A smooth surjection $\pi : E \rightarrow B$
- An open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of B and for each α a diffeomorphism $\Phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$

such that

- (a) $\text{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_\alpha = \pi$
- (b) For all α, β the map

$$\Phi_\beta \circ \Phi_\alpha^{-1} : (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow (U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathbb{R}^k$$

is of the form $(p, x \in \mathbb{R}^k) \mapsto (p, g_{\beta\alpha}(p)(x))$, where $g_{\alpha\beta}(p)$ is some matrix in being applied to x , for some smooth map

$$g_{\alpha\beta} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R}).$$

We say that

- the manifold E is the *total space*,
- the manifold B is the *base space*,
- the map π is the *projection*,
- $\pi^{-1}(p)$ are the *fibres* (denoted E_p),
- the maps Φ_α are *local trivializations* and
- the $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are called the *transition functions*.

§ Lecture 6

Recorded: 2021-10-19 Notes: 2022-03-21

Recall from last time that a vector bundle over B with atlas $\{U_\alpha\}$ is a smooth map $E \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\Phi_\alpha} & U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k \\ & \searrow \pi & \downarrow \text{pr}_1 \\ & & U_\alpha \end{array}$$

commutes, Φ_α is a diffeomorphism and $\Phi_\beta \circ \Phi_\alpha^{-1} : (p, x) \mapsto (p, g_{\beta\alpha}(p)(x))$. Note that the commutativity of the above diagram for each U_α forces $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ to be smooth. Finally, $g_{\beta\alpha} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ takes a point $p \in U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ to a smooth automorphism of \mathbb{R}^k . This map is about keeping track of the \mathbb{R}^k component of the trivialization between α 's.

Remark 2.5. Each fibre E_p has the structure of a k -dimensional \mathbb{R} -vector space via $\Phi_\alpha : E_p \rightarrow \{p\} \times \mathbb{R}^k \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} \mathbb{R}^k$.

Remark 2.6. Really, each trivialization Φ_α is like a chart, and the collection $\{\Phi_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is like an atlas. There's an obvious notion of equivalence between two collections, and only the equivalence class is part of the structure of the bundle (i.e. the equivalence class is the bit we care about).

Remark 2.7. We can similarly define complex vector bundles where the fibres are complex vector spaces.

Example 2.8. Take $E = B \times \mathbb{R}^k$, $\pi = \text{pr}_1$, $\{U_\alpha\} = \{B\}$, $\Phi : E \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{R}^k$ the obvious map. This is the *trivial* vector bundle of rank k over B , denoted by $\underline{\mathbb{R}^k}$. The map Φ is a *global* trivialization.

Example 2.9. TX is a rank n vector bundle over X where $n = \dim X$.

Definition 2.10. A *section* of a bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ is a smooth map $s : B \rightarrow E$ such that $\pi \circ s = \text{id}_B$.

The above would be a *global* section, one can similarly consider a *local* section over an open set $U \subseteq B$.

Example 2.11. The *zero section* is given by $s(p) = (p, 0)$ for all $p \in B$.

Example 2.12. A vector field is a section of TX .

Jack includes a picture at this point in the lecture, appears at roughly 14:40. Illustrates that a section can look like an exact copy of B inside E or can be "twisty" in some sense.

Definition 2.13. Given a smooth map $F : B_1 \rightarrow B_2$ and vector bundles $\pi_i E_i \rightarrow B_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, a *morphism* of vector bundles $E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ *covering* F is a smooth map $G : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ such that

- $\pi \circ G = F \circ \pi$
- For all p the induced map $(E_1)_p \rightarrow (E_2)_{F(p)}$ between the fibres of p is linear.

An isomorphism between vector bundles over B is a morphism covering id_B with a two sided inverse. A bundles isomorphic to a trivial bundle is called *trivial*.

Compare the above to the definition of a morphism between sheaves, especially by swapping “fibre” with “stalk” in the second item.

Example 2.14. Last time we saw that TS^1 is trivial:

$$TS^1 \rightarrow S^1 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad (p, a\partial_\theta) \mapsto (p, a).$$

Example 2.15. A morphism $G : \underline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow E$ (where $E \rightarrow B$ and $\underline{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow B$ are bundles over B) covering id_B is the same thing as a global section s .

$$\begin{aligned} G &\rightsquigarrow s(p) := G(p, 1) \\ s &\rightsquigarrow G(p, t) = ts(p) \end{aligned}$$

More generally, morphisms $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^k \rightarrow E$ correspond to k -tuples of sections. The morphism is an isomorphism if and only if the k -tuple forms a basis in each fibre.

Definition 2.16. Given a rank k vector bundle E , a rank ℓ subbundle is a submanifold $F \subseteq E$ such that $\forall p \in B$, there exists a trivialization $\Phi : \pi_E^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^k$ under which $\pi_F^{-1}(U)$ gets sent to $U \times (\mathbb{R}^\ell \oplus 0)$.

Once you have a subbundle one can define a quotient bundle E/F which yields short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/F \rightarrow 0.$$

2.3 Constructing Vector Bundles by Gluing

To define a vector bundle over B , it's enough to give:

- a set E
- a map $\pi : E \rightarrow B$
- an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of B
- for each α a bijection $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$

such that $\text{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_\alpha = \pi$ and on overlaps $\Phi_\beta \circ \Phi_\alpha^{-1} : (p, x) \mapsto (p, g_{\beta\alpha}(p)(x))$ for some smooth $g_{\beta\alpha} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$.

Our pseudo-atlas construction then makes E into a manifold and one can check that it is automatically Hausdorff and second-countable (presumably by pulling back opens such as $U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^k$) and the Φ_α then become the trivializations.

Example 2.17 (Interesting vector bundle which isn't the tangent bundle). Take $B = \mathbb{RP}^n = \{\text{lines in } \mathbb{R}^{n+1}\} / \text{linear equiv.}$. Let

$$E = \left\{ (p, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid v \text{ lies in the line labelled by } p \right\}.$$

Define $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ by $(p, v) \mapsto p$. We have an open cover given by homogeneous coordinates:

$$\{U_i = \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \mid x_i \neq 0\}\}_{i=0, \dots, n}.$$

We now only need to define the trivializations. Let $\Phi_\alpha : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{R}$ be the map $([x_0 : \dots : x_n], \lambda x_i)$. We then have that

$$\text{pr}_1 \circ \Phi_i = \pi$$

and

$$\Phi_j \circ \Phi_i^{-1}([x_0 : \dots : x_n], t) = ([x_0 : \dots : x_n], \frac{tx_j}{x_i}).$$

This is of the required form with $g_{ji} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^\times$ where $[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \mapsto \frac{x_j}{x_i}$. This is the *tautological bundle* over \mathbb{RP}^n .

In fact, we can drop the set E and just specify an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}$ of B and smooth maps $g_{\beta\alpha} : U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ such that

- $g_{\alpha\alpha}(p) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^k}$ for all α, p
- for all α, β, γ we have $g_{\gamma\alpha} = g_{\gamma\beta}g_{\beta\alpha}$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$. This is called the *cocycle condition* (compare this to gluing sheaves).

We then define

$$E = \coprod_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \times \mathbb{R}^k \Big/ \left(p \in U_{\alpha}, x \in \mathbb{R}^k \right) \sim \left(p \in U_{\beta}, g_{\beta\alpha}(p)(x) \right)$$

The conditions above make this an equivalence relation.

Example 2.18. For any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ can define a line bundle (rank 1 v.b) over \mathbb{RP}^n trivialized over the U_i with $g_{ji} = \left(\frac{x_j}{x_i} \right)^{-r}$.

Lemma 2.19. If $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ is a rank k vector bundle, trivialized over $\{U_\alpha\}$ with transition functions $g_{\beta\alpha}$, then it's isomorphic to the output of the above construction.

Corollary 2.20. To show two bundles are isomorphic it suffices to find trivialization over the same open cover with the same transition functions.

§ *Lecture 7*

Recorded: 2021-10-21 Notes: 2022-03-24

Definition 2.21. Given a bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow B$ and a smooth map $F : B' \rightarrow B$, then the *pullback bundle* F^*E has total space

$$E' = \coprod_{p \in B'} E_{F(p)}$$

with the following bundle structure. Suppose E is trivialized over $\{U_\alpha\}$ with transition functions $g_{\beta\alpha}$, then the pullback F^*E is trivialized over $\{F^{-1}U_\alpha\}$ with transition functions $g_{\beta\alpha} \circ F$.

Definition 2.22. The *dual bundle* E^\vee is the bundle over B with total space

$$\coprod_{p \in B} (E_p)^\vee,$$

trivialized over $\{U_\alpha\}$ with transition functions $(g_{\beta\alpha}^\vee)^{-1}$ (c.f. dual representation).

Example 2.23. If E is locally trivialized by smooth sections s_1, \dots, s_k over $U \subseteq B$, then the fibrewise dual basis defines smooth sections $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ of E^\vee over U that trivialize it.

2.4 The Cotangent Bundle

Fix an n -manifold X .

Definition 2.24. The *cotangent bundle* of X is the dual of the tangent bundle, denoted T^*X . The fibre over a point $p \in X$ is T_p^*X , the *cotangent space at p* . For clarity, note that T_p^*X is simply the dual vector space of T_pX and T^*X is the disjoint union of all such fibres.

This is quite algebraic right now – we had a thing and we took the dual, so what? Turns out that there is a nice geometric interpretation of this object as well.

Consider

$$\{\text{functions at } p\} = \{(U, f) \mid U \text{ is an open neighborhood of } p, f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ smooth}\}.$$

We say that f_1 and f_2 *agree to first order at p* if $D_p f_1 = D_p f_2$.

Proposition 2.25. There's a canonical isomorphism

$$\{\text{functions at } p\} / \sim \longrightarrow T_p^*X.$$

Proof: There's a pairing

$$\{\text{functions at } p\} \times \{\text{curves based at } p\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, (f, \gamma) \mapsto (f \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0)$$

given by composing our function with γ and differentiating at 0, the point at which the curve is equal to p . This induces a map

$$\theta : \{\text{functions at } p\} \longrightarrow T_p^*X, f \mapsto ([\gamma] \mapsto (f \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0))$$

In coordinates, this map is

$$f \mapsto \left(\sum a_i \partial_{x_i} \mapsto \sum_i a_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_p \right) \quad (*)$$

We want to show θ is surjective and that $\theta(f_1) = \theta(f_2) \iff f_1 \sim f_2$.

Surjective: The coordinate functions x_1, \dots, x_n are sent to the duals of the ∂_{x_i} :

$$(\theta(x_i)(\partial_{x_j}) = \delta_{ij}).$$

Hence surjective.

Injective: Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(f_1) = \theta(f_2) &\iff \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i} \Big|_p = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_i} \Big|_p \text{ for all } i \\ &\iff D_p f_1 = D_p f_2 \\ &\iff f_1 \sim f_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Notice that if $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function then by Proposition (2.25) f defines an element of $T_p^* X$ for each $p \in U$.

Lemma 2.26. This defines a (smooth) section of $T^* X$ over U . We denote this by df .

Proof: We saw in the proof of surjectivity above that dx_1, \dots, dx_n are fibrewise dual to $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$. Hence (by Example (2.23)) dx_1, \dots, dx_n is a smooth basis of sections. By (*), we get

$$df = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i$$

and since df is the sum of smooth functions, it too is smooth. □

Lemma 2.27. A section of $T^* X$ is called a *1-form*. The 1-form df is the *differential* of f . By construction, $df(v) =$ derivative of f in the direction v .

Remark 2.28. Each dx_i depends only on x_i as opposed to the ∂_{x_i} , which potentially depend on all the x_i .

Now we wish to discuss how smooth functions act on cotangent spaces.

Definition 2.29. Given a smooth map $F : X \rightarrow Y$, the map $D_p F^\vee : T_{F(p)}^* Y \rightarrow T_p^* X$ is called *pullback* by F , and it is denoted by F^* .

Lemma 2.30. If $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function on Y , then

$$F^* dg = d(g \circ F).$$

Proof: Given a vector $[\gamma] \in T_p X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (F^* dg)([\gamma]) &= (dg)(D_p F([\gamma])) \\
 &= (dg)([F \circ \gamma]) \\
 &= (g \circ F \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0) \\
 &= ((g \circ F) \circ \gamma)^\bullet(0) \\
 &= d(g \circ F)([\gamma]).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

We've gone as far as we can without seeing a few facts from multilinear algebra.

2.5 Multilinear Algebra

Fix U, V to be finite-dimensional vector spaces over some arbitrary field \mathbb{K} .

Definition 2.31. The *tensor product* of U and V , denoted $U \otimes V$ or $U \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} V$, is a \mathbb{K} -vector space generated by symbols $u \otimes v$ for $u \in U$ and $v \in V$ subject to the relations which impose bilinearity:

- $(\lambda_1 u_1 + \lambda_2 u_2) \otimes v = \lambda_1(u_1 \otimes v) + \lambda_2(u_2 \otimes v)$
- $u \otimes (\mu_1 v_1 + \mu_2 v_2) = \mu_1(u \otimes v_1) + \mu_2(u \otimes v_2)$.

Lemma 2.32. If e_1, \dots, e_m is a basis for U and f_1, \dots, f_n is a basis for V then $e_i \otimes f_j$ form a basis for $U \otimes V$. So

$$\dim(U \otimes V) = (\dim U) \cdot (\dim V).$$

WARNING! A general element of $U \otimes V$ is not of the form $u \otimes v$. It's a linear combination of such things.

Lemma 2.33. Tensor products are functorial in the following sense: if $\alpha : U \rightarrow U'$ and $\beta : V \rightarrow V'$ are linear, then there's a unique induced map $U \otimes V \rightarrow U' \otimes V'$ denoted $\alpha \otimes \beta$ defined by $(\alpha \otimes \beta)(u \otimes v) = \alpha(u) \otimes \beta(v)$ and extended linearly.

Lemma 2.34. A linear map $U \otimes V \rightarrow W$ is the same thing as giving a bilinear map $U \times V \rightarrow W$, each induces the other.

Example 2.35. Fix U, V, W . Composition defines a bilinear map

$$\mathcal{L}(V, W) \times \mathcal{L}(U, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(U, W).$$

Get an induced linear map

$$\mathcal{L}(V, U) \otimes \mathcal{L}(U, V) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(U, W), \beta \otimes \alpha \mapsto \beta \circ \alpha.$$

Now take $U = W = \mathbb{K}$. Get $V^\vee \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$. This is called *contraction*. Other tensor factors “come along for the ride,” eg

$$A \otimes V^\vee \otimes V \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes \mathbb{K} \otimes B = A \otimes B.$$