

Toric Geometry: Example Sheet 1

Isaac Martin

Last compiled February 1, 2022

§ *Theory Problems*

EXERCISE 1. Given a cone $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ prove that the double dual recovers the original cone:

$$(\sigma^{\vee})^{\vee} = \sigma.$$

This justifies the use of the word "dual".

Proof: We provide two solutions to this problem.

(1) This is a rather inelegant solution which makes use of the identifications $V \cong V^{\vee} \cong (V^{\vee})^{\vee}$ in the case that V is a finite dimensional vector space. It nonetheless reflects how one typically thinks of the dual cone σ^{\vee} geometrically.

Recall that for any field K and any K -vector space V of dimension $n < \infty$, we can find a non-canonical isomorphism $V \cong V^{\vee}$. One typically constructs such an isomorphism as follows.

First, fix a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for V and define e_i^{\vee} to be the K -linear functional $e_i^{\vee}(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j) = a_i$. It is straightforward to check that $\{e_1^{\vee}, \dots, e_n^{\vee}\}$ forms a basis for the dual space V^{\vee} . We may similarly define the basis $\{e_1^{\vee\vee}, \dots, e_n^{\vee\vee}\}$ of the double dual $V^{\vee\vee}$.

The pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : V^{\vee} \times V \rightarrow K$ appearing in the definition of σ^{\vee} is the bilinear map defined $\langle \lambda, v \rangle = \lambda(v)$. Adopting the above notation in the case that $V = N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we see that this pairing is simply the standard Euclidean inner product. Indeed, letting $\{e_i\}$ denote the standard basis on $\mathbb{R}^n \cong N_{\mathbb{R}}$, given any $v \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $m \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and choosing $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $v = \sum a_i e_i$ and $m = \sum b_i e_i^{\vee}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle m, v \rangle &= m(v) \\ &= (b_1 e_1^{\vee} + \dots + b_n e_n^{\vee})(v) \\ &= b_1 e_1^{\vee}(v) + \dots + b_n e_n^{\vee}(v) \\ &= b_1 \cdot a_1 + \dots + b_n \cdot a_n. \end{aligned}$$

By identifying $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ via $e_i \leftrightarrow e_i^{\vee}$, we may in fact *define* $\langle m, v \rangle$ to be the Euclidean inner product. This is useful because the Euclidean inner product is symmetric, i.e. $\langle m, v \rangle = \langle v, m \rangle$. By further identifying $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R}) = M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$ with $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ by $e_i \leftrightarrow e_i^{\vee} \leftrightarrow e_i^{\vee\vee}$, we see that for $v \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$ and $m \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$\langle v, m \rangle \geq 0 \iff \langle m, v \rangle \geq 0 \iff \langle m, v' \rangle \geq 0$$

where v' is the unique element in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ corresponding to $v \in M_{\mathbb{R}}^{\vee}$. Thus, under these identifications, we quite literally have that $(\sigma^{\vee})^{\vee} = \sigma$.

(2) After reading Fulton more closely, I realized that it is perhaps more natural to define $(\sigma^{\vee})^{\vee}$ to be a subset of σ rather than a subset of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{R})$. Given a subset $A \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$, we first define the *predual* cone $A^{\vee} \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ of A to be

$$A^{\vee} = \{v \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \lambda(v) \geq 0, \text{ for all } \lambda \in A\},$$

and then define the double dual $(\sigma^\vee)^\vee$ to be the predual cone of σ^\vee . Showing that $(\sigma^\vee)^\vee = \sigma$ is therefore equivalent to showing that for any $v_0 \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \sigma$, there is some $\lambda \in \sigma^\vee$ such that $\lambda(v_0) < 0$.

To do this, we use a version of the Hahn-Banach theorem I came across on Wikipedia. I'm not entirely sure this works, as I'm taking for granted that $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ as a *topological* vector space. Here is the theorem:

Theorem 0.1. *Let A and B be non-empty convex subsets of a real locally convex topological vector space X . If $\text{Int}(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $B \cap \text{Int}(A) = \emptyset$, then there exists a continuous linear functional $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sup f(A) \leq \inf f(B)$ and $|f(a)| < \inf f(B)$ for all $a \in \text{Int}(A)$.*

Let v_0 be any element of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ not in σ . Let A be an open ball centered at v_0 such that $A \cap \sigma = \emptyset$. This exists because σ is a closed subset of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which does not contain v_0 , meaning the distance from v_0 to σ is positive. By Hahn-Banach, there exists a linear functional $\lambda \in M_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\lambda(v_0) < M = \inf \lambda(B)$. We show that $M = v_0$, hence $\lambda \in \sigma^\vee$.

We must have that $M \leq 0$ since $\lambda(0) = 0$ and $0 \in \sigma$. If $M < 0$, then there would necessarily be some $x \in \sigma$ such that $\lambda(x) < 0$. Assuming this to be the case, set $a = \frac{2\lambda(v_0)}{\lambda(x)}$, noting that $a > 0$ since $\lambda(x), \lambda(v_0) < 0$. This means that $ax \in \sigma$. However, recalling that $\lambda(v_0) < 0$, we have that

$$\lambda(ax) = a\lambda(x) = 2\lambda(v_0) < \lambda(v_0),$$

which is impossible since $\lambda(v_0) < \lambda(u)$ for all $u \in \sigma$. Hence, by contradiction, $M = 0$ and λ is nonnegative on all of σ . This means $\lambda \in \sigma^\vee$, so we are done.

I sincerely hope there is another proof besides the two provided here. The first feels highly unnatural and the second seems non-trivial. Given that both Cox-Little-Schneck and Fulton omit a proof of this fact in their book and that neither includes this problem as an exercise, I expect there exists a more natural, obvious proof of this fact that I am missing. \square

EXERCISE 2. Given a cone $\sigma \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ prove that σ is full-dimensional if and only if σ^\vee is strictly convex.

EXERCISE 3. Let Σ and Σ' be fans in vector spaces $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $N'_{\mathbb{R}}$. Work out for yourself the correct definition of the product fan $\Sigma \times \Sigma'$ in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus N'_{\mathbb{R}}$. Show that there is a natural isomorphism:

$$X_{\Sigma \times \Sigma'} \cong X_{\Sigma} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}} X_{\Sigma'}.$$

Slogan: "The construction of a toric variety from a fan commutes with products."

EXERCISE 4. In lectures, we claimed that the toric variety X_{σ} is smooth if and only if σ is generated by a subset of a \mathbb{Z} -basis for N . Complete the proof of this statement. Give an example to show that if σ is generated by a subset of a \mathbb{Q} -basis then X_{σ} need not be smooth.

EXERCISE 10 Another problem

§ Practice Problems

EXERCISE 1. First problem

EXERCISE 10 Another problem