

Lecture Notes from Differential Geometry (Michaelmas 2021)

Isaac Martin

Last compiled April 23, 2022

Contents

1	Galois Cohomology	2
2	Descent by cyclic isogeny	7
2.1	Descent by 2-isogeny	8
2.2	Birch Swinterton-Dyer Conjecture	12

§ *Lecture 1*

Recorded: 2022-03-09 Notes: 2022-03-09

Corollary 0.1. If $E[n] \subseteq K(K)$ then $\mu_n \subseteq K$, where μ_n is the set of n th roots of unity in \overline{K} .

Proof: If e_n is nondegenerate then there exist $S, T \in E[n]$ such that $e_n(S, T)$ is a primitive n^{th} root of unit, say ζ_n . Then $\sigma(\zeta_n) = e_n(\sigma S, \sigma T) = e_n(S, T) = \zeta_n$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. The first equality follows from Galois equivalence and the second since $S, T \in E(K)$. Therefore $\zeta_n \in K$. \square

Example 0.2. There exists no E/\mathbb{Q} such that $E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^2$.

Remark 0.3. In fact, the Weil pairing is alternating, i.e. $e_n(T, T) = 1$ for all $T \in E[n]$. In particular, expanding $e_n(S + T, S + T)$ show $e_n(S, T) = e_n(T, S)^{-1}$.

1 Galois Cohomology

Throughout this section, G is a group and A is a G -module, i.e. an abelian group with an action of G via group homomorphisms. That is, we have a map $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ where $\text{Aut}(A)$ is the group of abelian group homomorphisms of A , and $g \cdot a = g(a)$. To say that A is a G -module is equivalent to saying that A is a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module.

Definition 1.1. We set

$$H^0(G, A) = A^G = \{a \in A \mid \sigma(a) = a, \forall \sigma \in G\}.$$

We further set

$$\begin{aligned} C^1(G, A) &= \{\text{maps } G \rightarrow A\} && \text{“cochains”} \\ Z^1(G, A) &= \{(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \mid a_{\sigma\tau} = \sigma(a_\tau) + a_\sigma\} && \text{“cocycles”} \\ B^1(G, A) &= \{(\sigma b - b)_{\sigma \in G} \mid b \in A\} && \text{“coboundaryers”} \end{aligned}$$

and we have inclusions $B^1(G, A) \subseteq Z^1(G, A) \subseteq C^1(G, A)$. We define $H^1(G, A) = Z^1(G, A)/B^1(G, A)$.

Remark 1.2. If G acts trivially on A , then $H^1(G, A) = \text{Hom}(G, A)$.

Theorem 1.3. A short exact sequence of G -modules

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to a long exact sequence of abelian groups

$$0 \rightarrow A^G \xrightarrow{\phi} B^G \xrightarrow{\psi} C^G \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} H^1(G, B) \xrightarrow{\psi_*} H^1(G, C) \rightarrow \dots$$

where we stop before $H^2(G, A)$ because we have yet to define it. The map δ arises from the snake lemma.

Definition 1.4. Let $c \in C^G$. Then there exists a $b \in B$ such that $\psi(b) = c$. Then

$$\psi(\sigma b - b) = \sigma(c) - c = 0$$

for all $\sigma \in G$. This means $\sigma b - b = \phi(a_\sigma)$ for some $a_\sigma \in A$. One checks that $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in Z^1(G, A)$. We define $\delta(c) = \text{chars of } (a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \text{ in } H^1(G, A)$.

Theorem 1.5. Let A be a G -module $H \subseteq G$ a normal subgroup. Then there is an inflation-restriction exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(G/H, A^H) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(G, A) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1(H, A)$$

| *Proof:* Omitted. □

Let K be a perfect field. $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ is then a topological group with basis of open subgroups. The sets $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/L)$ for $[L : K] < \infty$.

If $G = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ then we modify the definition of $H^1(G, A)$ by insisting

1. The stabilizer of each $a \in A$ is an open subgroup of G .
2. All cochains $G \rightarrow A$ are continuous where A is given by the discrete topology.

Then

$$H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), A) = \varinjlim_{L, L/K \text{ finite Galois}} H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), A^{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/L)}).$$

The direct limit is with respect to inflation maps (what are inflation maps?).

Theorem 1.6 (Hilbert's Theorem 90). Let L/K be a finite Galois extension. Then $H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^*) = 0$.

Proof: Let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Let $(a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in Z^1(G, L^*)$. Distinct automorphisms are linearly independent, hence there exists some $y \in L$ such that

$$\underbrace{\sum_{\tau \in G} a_\tau^{-1} \tau(y)}_x \neq 0.$$

For $\sigma \in G$,

$$\sigma(x) = \sum_{\tau \in G} \sigma(a_\tau)^{-1} \sigma \tau(y) = a_\sigma \sum_{\tau \in G} a_\sigma^{-1} \sigma \tau(y) = a_\sigma \cdot x.$$

Therefore $a_\sigma = \sigma(x)/x \implies (a_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G} \in B^1(G, L^*)$. Hence $H^1(G, L^*) = 0$. □

Corollary 1.7. $H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \overline{K}^*) = 0$.

Application: Assume $\text{char } K \nmid n$. There is an exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \overline{K}^* \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^n} \overline{K}^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Have a long exact sequence

$$K^* \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^n} K^* \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \overline{K}^*),$$

but $H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \overline{K}^*) = 0$ by Theorem (1.6). Therefore $H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mu_n) \cong K^*/(K^*)^n$.

If $\mu_n \subseteq K$ then $\text{Hom}_{cts}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mu_n) \cong K^*/(K^*)^n$.

If L/K is a finite Galois extension then $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and hence

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(L/K), \mu_n) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{cts}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mu_n) \cong K^*/(K^*)^n,$$

where the above map is given by $\chi \mapsto \chi \circ \pi$. The image is a finite subgroup $\Delta \subseteq K^*/(K^*)^n$.

If $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian of exponent dividing n then

$$[L : K] = |\text{Gal}(L/K)| = |\text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(L/K), \mu_n)| = |\Delta|.$$

Compare to Theorem 11.2 from lectures **Fix numbering**.

Notation: We'll write $H^1(K, -) = H^1(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), -)$ to avoid writing Gal and \overline{K} every time.

Lemma 1.8. Let $[K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$. Then

$$\ker(H^1(K, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(K^{nr}, \mu_n)) \subseteq \{x \in K^*/(K^*) \mid v(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}.$$

remember that K^{nr} is the maximal unramified extension of K .

| *Proof:* By Theorem (1.6), identify H^1

□

§ *Lecture 2*

Recorded: 2022-03-11 Notes: 2022-03-11

Lemma 1.9. Let $K : \mathbb{Q}_p] < \infty$. Then

$$\ker(H^1(K, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(K^{nr}, \mu_n)) \subseteq \{x \in K^*/(K^*)^n \mid v(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$$

Proof: (Continued). The discrete valuation $v : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ extends to $v : (K^{nr})^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. Then $v(x) = nv(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. □

EXERCISE: (in local fields.) Show that if $p \nmid n$ then \subseteq is actually $=$.

Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny of elliptic curves over K . Then there is a short exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow E[\phi] \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} E' \rightarrow 0.$$

Long-exact sequence:

$$E(K) \xrightarrow{\phi} E'(K) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} H^1(K, E').$$

We get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} \rightarrow H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E)[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0.$$

Now take K to be a number field. For each place v fix an embedding $\overline{K} \subseteq \overline{K}_v$. Then $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}_v/K_v) \subseteq \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$. This gives us a short exact sequence resembling the one above:

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_v \frac{E'(K_v)}{\phi E(K_v)} \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E[\phi]) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E)[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0.$$

These products just mean that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{E'(K_v)}{\phi E(K_v)} \rightarrow H^1(K_v, E[\phi]) \rightarrow H^1(K_v, E)[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0$$

for each place v . We also have the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(K, E[\phi]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, E)[\phi_*] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_v & \searrow & \downarrow \text{res}_v \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \prod_v \frac{E'(K_v)}{\phi E(K_v)} & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(K_v, E[\phi]) & \longrightarrow & \prod_v H^1(K_v, E)[\phi_*] \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

This leads us to the definition of the *Selma group*.

Definition 1.10. The ϕ -Selma group is

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(\phi)}(E/K) &= \ker(\text{downward diagonal map above}) \\ &= \ker \left(H^1(K, E[\phi]) \rightarrow \prod_v H^1(K_v, E) \right) \\ &= \{ \alpha \in H^1(K, E[\phi]) \mid \text{res}_v(\alpha) \in \text{img}(\delta_v) \forall v \}. \end{aligned}$$

The Tate Shafarevich group is

look at picture and fill in, *weird disjoint union looking symbol with three vertical strokes*.

We get a short-exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} \rightarrow S^{(\phi)}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[\phi_*] \rightarrow 0.$$

Taking $\phi = [n]$ gives

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{E(K)}{nE(K)} \rightarrow S^{(n)}(E/K) \rightarrow \text{III}(E/K)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Rearranging the proof of weak Mordell-Weil gives

Theorem 1.11. $S^{(n)}(E/K)$ is finite.

Proof: For L/K a finite Galois extension there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\text{Gal}(L/K), E(L)[n]) \xrightarrow{\text{inf}} H^1(K, E[n]) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} H^1(L, E[n]).$$

The first nonzero term above is finite, and $S^{(n)}(E/K) \rightarrow S^{(n)(E/L)}$ is induced by res since $S^{(n)}(E/K) \subseteq H^1(K, E[n])$ and $S^{(n)(E/L)} \subseteq H^1(L, E[n])$. Therefore, by extending our field, we may assume $E[n] \subseteq E(K)$ and hence $\mu_n \subseteq K$. This implies that $E[n] \cong \mu_n \times \mu_n$ as a $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -module.

Therefore $H^1(K, E[n]) \cong H^1(K, \mu_n) \times H^1(K, \mu_n) \cong K^*/(K^*)^n \times K^*/(K^*)^n$. Let

$$S = \text{primes of bad reduction for } E/K \cup \{v \mid n\infty\}.$$

N.B. This is a finite set of places. □

Definition 1.12. The subgroup of $H^1(K, A)$ unramified outside S is

$$H^1(K, A; S) = \ker \left(H^1(K, A) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} H^1(K_v^{nr}, A) \right)$$

There is a commutative diagram with exact rows

<put commutative diagram here>

This map is surjective (the x_n map) for all $v \notin S$ (see Theorem 9.7 from class) therefore $\text{img}(\delta_v) \subseteq \ker(\text{green downward map})$.

Lemma 1.13. Let $\ker (H^1(K, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(K^{nr}, \mu_n)) \subseteq \{x \in K^*/(K^*)^n \mid v(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(n)}(E/K) &= \left\{ \alpha \in H^1(K, E[n]) \mid \text{res}_v(\alpha) \in \text{img}(\delta_v) \forall v \right\} \\ &\subseteq H^1(K, E[n]; S) \\ &\cong H^1(K, \mu; S) \times H^1(K, \mu_n; S) \\ &\cong K(S, n) \times K(S, n). \end{aligned}$$

But $K(S, n)$ is finite by Lemma 11.4, therefore $S^{(n)}(E/K)$ is finite.

Remark 1.14. $S^{(n)A}(E/K)$ is finite and effectively computable. It is conjectured that $|\text{III}(E/K)| < \infty$. This would imply that $\text{rank } E(K)$ is effectively computable.

2 Descent by cyclic isogeny

Let E and E' be elliptic curves over a number field K , and let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ be an isogeny of degree n . Suppose $E'[\hat{\phi}] \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as a Galois module $S \mapsto e_\phi(S, T)$. Short-exact sequence of $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\phi} E' \rightarrow 0.$$

Long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & E(K) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E'(K) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H^1(K, \mu_n) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & & & & \searrow \alpha & \downarrow \cong \\ & & & & & & K^*/(K^*)^n \end{array}$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $f \in K(E')$ and $g \in K(E)$ with $\text{div}(f) = n(T) - n(P)$ and $\phi^* f = g^n$. Then $\alpha(P) = f(P) \pmod{(K^*)^n}$ for all $P \in E'(K) \setminus \{0, T\}$.

Proof: Let $Q \in \phi^{-1}P$. Then $\delta(P)$ is represented by the cocycle $\sigma \mapsto \sigma Q - Q \in E[\phi] \cong \mu_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} e_\phi(\sigma Q - Q, T) &= \frac{g(\sigma Q - Q + X)}{gX} && \text{for any } x \in E \setminus \text{zeros and poles} \\ &= \frac{g(\sigma Q)}{g(Q)} && x = Q \\ &= \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{f(P)}}{\sqrt[n]{f(P)}} && \text{N.B. } f(P) = g(Q)^n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\delta(P)$ is represented by the cocycle $\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{f(P)}}{\sqrt[n]{f(P)}}$. But $H^1(K, \mu_n) \cong K^*/(K^*)^n$,

$\text{big}(\sigma \mapsto \frac{\sigma \sqrt[n]{f(P)}}{\sqrt[n]{f(P)}}) \leftarrow x$. Therefore $\alpha(P) = f(P) \pmod{(K^*)^n}$. □

§ **Lecture 3**

Recorded: 2022-03-14 Notes: 2022-03-14

Theorem 2.2. Let $f \in K(E')$ and $g \in K(E)$ with $\text{div}(f) = n(T) - n(0)$ and $\phi^* f = g^n$. Then there exists a group homomorphism $\alpha : E'(K) \rightarrow K^*/(K^*)^n$ with $\ker \alpha = \phi(E(K))$ and $\alpha(P) = f(P) \pmod{(K^*)^n}$ for all $P \in E'(K) \setminus \{0, T\}$.

2.1 Descent by 2-isogeny

$E : y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$
 $E' : y^2 = x(x^2 + a'x + b')$ where $b(a^2 - 4ab) \neq 0$, $a' = -2a$, $b' = a^2 - 4b$. Let $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$,
 $(x, y) \mapsto \left(\left(\frac{x}{y} \right)^2, \frac{y(x^2 - b)}{x^2} \right)$. Then

$$\hat{\phi} E' \rightarrow E, (x, y) \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{y}{x} \right), \frac{y(x^2 - b')}{8x^2} \right)^2.$$

Then $E[\phi] = \{0, T\}$, $T = (0, 0) \in E(K)$ and $E'[\hat{\phi}] = \{0, T'\}$, $T' = (0, 0) \in E'(K)$.

Proposition 2.3. There is a group homomorphism

$$E'(K) \rightarrow K^*/(K^*)^2, (x, y) \mapsto \begin{cases} x(K^*)^2 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ b'(K^*)^2 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

with kernel $\phi E(K)$.

Proof: **Either** Apply Theorem (2.2) with $f = x \in K(E')$ and $g = \frac{y}{x} \in K(E)$ **or** do direct calculation, see example sheet 4. □

Two maps

$$\alpha_E : \frac{E(K)}{\hat{\phi} E'(K)} \hookrightarrow K^*/(K^*)^2$$

$$\alpha_{E'} : \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} \hookrightarrow K^*/(K^*)^2.$$

Lemma 2.4.

$$2^{\text{rank } E(K)} = \frac{|\text{img}(\alpha_E)| \cdot |\text{img } \alpha_{E'}|}{4}.$$

Proof: If

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

is a homomorphism of abelian groups then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(f) \rightarrow \ker(gf) \xrightarrow{f} \ker(g) \rightarrow \text{coker}(f) \xrightarrow{g} \text{coker}(gf) \rightarrow \text{coker}(g) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\hat{\phi}\phi = [2]_E$ we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)[\phi] \rightarrow E(K)[2] \xrightarrow{\phi} E'(K)[\hat{\phi}] \rightarrow \frac{E'(K)}{\phi E(K)} \xrightarrow{\hat{\phi}} \frac{E(K)}{2E(K)} \rightarrow \frac{E(K)}{\hat{\phi} E'(K)} \rightarrow 0.$$

The leftmost nontrivial term above is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the third nontrivial term is also $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, the fourth is isomorphic to $\text{img } \alpha_{E'}$, and the rightmost nontrivial term is $\text{img } \alpha_E$.

Therefore

$$\frac{|E(K)/2E(K)|}{|E(K)[2]|} = \frac{|\text{img } \alpha_E| \cdot |\text{img } \alpha_{E'}|}{2 \cdot 2}.$$

Mordell-Weil implies $E(K) \cong \Delta \times \mathbb{Z}^r$ where Δ is a finite group, $r = \text{rank } E(K)$.

$$\frac{E(K)}{2E(K)} \cong \frac{\Delta}{2\Delta} \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^r$$

and $E(K)[2] \cong \Delta[2]$. Therefore $\frac{|E(K)/2E(K)|}{|E(K)[2]|} = 2^r$. Taken with equation (*), this proves the result. \square

Lemma 2.5. If K is a number field and $a, b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ then $\text{img}(\alpha_E) \subseteq K(S, 2)$ where $S = \{\text{primes dividing } b\}$.

Proof: Must show that if $x, y \in K$, $y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$ and $v_p(b)$, then $v_p(x) = 0 \pmod{2}$.

Case $v_p(x) < 0$, then Lemma 9.1 $\implies v_p(x) = -2r$ and $v_p(y) = -3r$ for some $r \geq 1$.

Case $v_p(x) < 0$, then $v_p(x^2 + ax + b) = 0 \implies v_p(x) = v_p(y^2) = 2v_p(y)$. \square

Lemma 2.6. If $b_1 b_2 = b$ then $b_1(K^*)^2 \in \text{img}(\alpha_E)$ or equivalently $\omega^2 = b_1 u^4 + a u^2 v^2 + b_2 v^4$ is soluble for $u, v, w \in K$ not all zero.

Proof: If $b_1 \in (K^*)$ or $b_2 \in (K^*)^2$ then both conditions are satisfied. So we may assume $b_1, b_2 \notin (K^*)^2$. Have $b_1(K^*) \in \text{img}(\alpha_E) \iff$ there exists some $(x, y) \in E(K)$ such that $x = b_1 t^2$ for some $t \in K^*$. This implies $y^2 = b_1 t^2 ((b_1)^2 + a b_1 t^2 + b) \implies \left(\frac{y}{b_1 t}\right)^2 = b_1 t^4 + a t^2 + b/b_1$. So the ω^2 equation above has a solution $u = t, v = 1, \omega = \frac{y}{b_1 t}$.

Conversely (simply perform same calculation in reverse), if (u, v, ω) is a solution to the ω equation above, then $uv \neq 0$ and $\left(b_1 \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^2, b_1 \frac{u\omega}{v^3}\right) \in E(K)$. \square

Example 2.7. Take $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and $E : t^2 = x^3 - x, a = 0$ and $b = -1$. Then $\text{img}(\alpha_E) = \langle -1 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$, $E' : y^2 = x^3 + 4x$. $\text{img}(\alpha'_E) \subseteq \langle -1, 2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$.

Have

$$\begin{array}{ll} b_1 = -1 & \omega^2 = -y^4 - 4v^4 \\ b_1 = 2 & \omega^2 = 2u^4 + 2v^4 \\ b_1 = -2 & \omega - 2u^4 - 2v^4. \end{array}$$

The first and third equations are insoluble over \mathbb{R} , while the second has solution $(u, v, \omega) = (1, 1, 2)$. Therefore $\text{img}(\alpha_{E'}) = \langle 2 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$ and $2^{\text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q})} = \frac{2 \cdot 2}{4} \implies \text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q}) = 0 \implies 1$ is not a congruent number.

Example 2.8. $E : y^2 = x^3 + px$ with p prime $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Let $b_1 = -1$, $\omega^2 = -u^4 - pv^4$ insoluble over \mathbb{R} . Therefore $\text{img}(\alpha_E) = \langle p \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$.

§ *Lecture 4*

Recorded: 2022-03-16 Notes: 2022-03-16

Last time had an elliptic curve $E : y^2 = x(x^2 + ax + b)$, $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ a 2-isogeny. Set $b_2 = b/b_1$ and

$$w^2 = b_1 u^4 + a u^2 v^2 + b_2 v^4 \quad (*)$$

$$w^2 = b_1 u^4 + a' u^2 v^2 + b_2 v^4 \quad (*')$$

with $b_2 = b'/b_1$. Here, we additionally had that $a' = -2a$ and $b' = a^2 - 4b$. We then get an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \frac{E'(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi E(\mathbb{Q})} & \longrightarrow & S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})[\phi^*] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & \searrow \alpha_{E'} & \downarrow \text{inc} & & \\ & & & & \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 & & \end{array}$$

Then $\text{img}(\alpha_E) = \{b_1(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 \mid (*) \text{ is soluble over } \mathbb{Q}\}$ is a subset of $S^{(\phi)}(E/\mathbb{Q}) = \{b_1(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2 \mid (*') \text{ is soluble over } \mathbb{R} \text{ and over } \mathbb{Q}_p\}$

Fact: (Uses Ex Sheet 3, Question 9 and Hensel's lemma) If $a, b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \nmid 2b(a^2 - 4b)$ then $(*)$ is soluble over \mathbb{Q} .

Example 2.9 (Continued from last lecture). $y^2 = x^3 + px$ with p prime and $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, then

$$(1) \quad w^2 = 2u^4 - 2pv^4$$

$$(2) \quad w^2 = -2u^4 + 2pv^4$$

$$(3) \quad w^2 = pu^4 - 4v^4.$$

(1) and (2) are insoluble over \mathbb{Q}_p since $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{-2}{p}\right) = -1$, e.g. if (2) had a solution with $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ (not all zero) then without loss of generality $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ are coprime.

If $p|u$ then $p|w$ and then $p|v$, contradiction. Therefore

$$\text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if (3) is insoluble over } \mathbb{Q} \\ 1 & \text{if (3) is soluble over } \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}.$$

(3) is soluble over \mathbb{Q}_p since $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = +1$, so by Hensel's lemma, $-1 \in (\mathbb{Z}_p^*)^2$. (3) is insoluble over \mathbb{Q}_2 since $p - 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ so by Hensel's lemma $p - 4 \in (\mathbb{Z}_2^*)^2$. (3) is soluble over \mathbb{R} since $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbb{R}$.

It is an **open conjecture** that $\text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q}) = 1$ for all primes $p \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.

Example 2.10 (Lind). $E : y^2 = x^3 + 17x$. $\text{img}(\alpha_E) = \langle 17 \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^*/(\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$. Set $E' : y^2 = x^3 - 68x$, then $b_1 = 2$ and $w^2 = 2u^4 - 34v^4$. Replace w by $2w$ and divide by 2 to get

$$C : 2w^2 = u^4 - 17v^4.$$

Notation:

$$C(K) = \left\{ (u, v, w) \in K^3 \setminus \{0\} \mid \text{satisfying equation (??)} \right\}$$

where $(u, v, w) \sim (\lambda u, \lambda v, \lambda^2 w)$ for all $\lambda \in K^*$.

- $C(\mathbb{Q}_2) \neq \emptyset$ since $17 \in (\mathbb{Q}_2^*)^2$
- $C(\mathbb{Q}_{17}) \neq \emptyset$ since $2 \in (\mathbb{Q}_{17}^*)^2$
- $C(\mathbb{R}) \neq \emptyset$ since $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore $C(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset$ for all places v of \mathbb{Q} . Suppose $(u, v, w) \in C(\mathbb{Q})$ with (wlog) $u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(u, v) = 1$, $w > 0$. If $17|w$, then $17|u$ and then $17|v$. Contradiction since u and v assumed to be coprime. So if $p|w$ with p and odd prime, then $p \neq 17$ and $\left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = 1$ which implies $\left(\frac{p}{17}\right) = \left(\frac{17}{p}\right) = 1$ by quadratic reciprocity. Also note: $\left(\frac{2}{17}\right) = 1$, therefore $\left(\frac{w}{17}\right) = 1$.

But $2w^2 \equiv u^4 \pmod{17}$, hence $2 \in (\mathbb{F}_{17}^*)^4 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4\}$. A contradiction. Therefore $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$, i.e. C is a counterexample to the Hasse principle. It represents a nontrivial element of $\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.

2.2 Birch Swinterton-Dyer Conjecture

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve.

Definition 2.11.

$$L(E, s) = \prod_p L_p(E, s)$$

where

$$L_p(E, s) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{1-2s}\right)^{-1} & \text{if } E \text{ has good reduction at } p \\ (1 \pm p^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } E \text{ has multiplicative reduction at } p \\ 1 & \text{if } E \text{ has additive reduction at } p. \end{cases}$$

Here $\#\tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - a_p$.

Hasse's theorem implies $|a_p| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ and so $L(E, s)$ converges for $\text{Re}(s) > 3/2$.

Theorem 2.12 (Wiles, Breil, Conrad, Diamond, Taylor). $L(E, s)$ is the L -function of a weight 2 modular form and hence has an analytic continuation to all of \mathbb{C} (and a functional equation $L(E, s) \leftrightarrow L(E, 2-s)$).

Wiles proved the special case of the modularity theorem for semi-simple (semi-stable?) elliptic curves, which was good enough for Fermat's last theorem.

The weak BSD:

Conjecture 2.13 (Weak BSD). $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(E, s) = \text{rank } E(\mathbb{Q})A$.

Conjecture 2.14 (Strong BSD). This says

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(s-1)^r} L(E, s) = \frac{\Omega_E \cdot \text{Reg } E(\mathbb{Q}) \cdot |\text{III}(E/\mathbb{Q})| \cdot \prod_p c_p}{|E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors}|^2}$$

where

- c_p is the Tamagawa number of E/\mathbb{Q}_p , i.e. $c_p = [E(\mathbb{Q}_p) : E_0(\mathbb{Q}_p)]$
- $E(\mathbb{Q})/E(\mathbb{Q})_{tors} = \langle p_1, \dots, p_r \rangle$,
- $\text{Reg } E(\mathbb{Q}) = \det([P_i, P_j])_{i,j=1, \dots, r}$,
- $\Omega_E = \int_{E(\mathbb{R})} \frac{dx}{2y+a_1x+a_3}$,
- $[P, Q] = \hat{h}(P+Q) - \hat{P} - \hat{Q}$
- a_i = coefficient of a global minimal Weiestrauss equation for E/\mathbb{Q} .