

Essay Draft 2  
Isaac Martin  
Last compiled April 13, 2022

---

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Differential Operators</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	The Ring of Differential Operators over an Arbitrary Ring . . . . .	3
1.1.1	Order of Differential Operators . . . . .	5
1.2	The Weyl Algebras . . . . .	6
1.3	Examples of Differential Operators . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b><i>D</i>-Modules: Basic Definitions and Facts</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Examples of <i>D</i> -modules . . . . .	8
2.2	Filtrations . . . . .	8
2.3	Dimension of modules over the Weyl algebra . . . . .	12
2.3.1	Facts about Dimension . . . . .	12
2.3.2	Bernstein's Inequality . . . . .	13
2.4	Holonomic Modules . . . . .	13
2.4.1	Lemma on B-Functions . . . . .	13
<b>3</b>	<b>Inverse Images, Direct Images and Kashiwara's Theorem</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1	Inverse Images . . . . .	14
3.2	Direct Images . . . . .	15
<b>4</b>	<b>Applications of <i>D</i>-Modules</b>	<b>15</b>
4.1	<i>D</i> -Modules in Positive Characteristic . . . . .	15
4.2	The Structure of Differential Operators in Positive Characteristic . . . . .	15

## **Introduction**

Write this later.

# 1 Differential Operators

One must first define fields before one defines vectors spaces, define rings before modules, and indeed, one must first understand the ring of differential operators before one can study  $D$ -modules. In this section we do exactly that. We first define the ring of differential operators relative to an arbitrary ring homomorphism and discuss some of its basic properties before zooming in on the case of the polynomial ring with field coefficients, whose ring of differential operators is called the Weyl algebra. This latter object will provide a more explicit setting and will motivate arguments in the general case. We conclude this section with several examples.

It is worth noting that there are several equivalent ways to define the ring of differential operators in characteristic zero. We discuss two such definitions in the case of the Weyl algebra over a field  $K$  and show that they are equivalent when  $\text{char}(K) = 0$ . However, when  $\text{char}(K) > 0$ , these two definitions will no longer coincide. It therefore becomes necessary to fix either the field characteristic or a particular definition for the ring of differential operators, and in these notes, we will do the latter.

## 1.1 The Ring of Differential Operators over an Arbitrary Ring

Let  $A \rightarrow R$  be a map of rings and let  $M$  and  $N$  be two  $R$ -modules. We may identify  $R$  with a subring of  $\text{End}_R(M)$  via the map which sends an element  $f \in R$  to the  $R$ -linear map  $\hat{f} : m \mapsto f \cdot m$  on  $M$ . We denote the image of  $f \in R$  in  $\text{End}_R(M)$  by  $\hat{f}_M$  when there is risk of confusing the domain of  $\hat{f}$  with some other module. Given a morphism  $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ , we will often abuse notation and write  $[\alpha, \hat{f}]$  to mean  $\alpha \circ \hat{f}_M - \hat{f}_N \circ \alpha$ . This is no longer an abuse of notation when  $M = N$ , in which case  $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha \circ \beta - \beta \circ \alpha$  is well-defined for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{End}_R(M)$ .

**Definition 1.1.** With  $A, R, M$  and  $N$  as above, we inductively define the collection of differential operators of order  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , denoted  $D_{R/A}^k(M, N)$ , as follows:

- $D_{R/A}^k(M, N) = 0$  when  $k < 0$
- $D_{R/A}^k(M, N) = \left\{ \alpha \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \mid [\alpha, \hat{f}] \in D_{R/A}^{k-1}(M, N) \text{ for all } f \in R \right\}$  when  $k \geq 0$ .

We set  $D_{R/A}(M, N) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} D_{R/A}^k(M, N)$ .

**Remark 1.2.** It is worth noting that  $\alpha \in D_{R/A}(M, N)$  satisfies  $[\alpha, \hat{f}] = 0 \in D_{R/A}^{-1}(M, N)$  exactly when  $\alpha$  is  $R$ -linear, hence  $D_{R/A}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ . Many sources, [Gin98] and [Ber] for instance, simply define  $D_{R/A}^0(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and proceed inductively from there.

The following lemma is elementary but nonetheless quite important:

**Lemma 1.3.** For each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have an inclusion  $D_{R/A}^{k-1}(M, N) \subseteq D_{R/A}^k(M, N)$ . Furthermore,  $D_{R/A}^k(M, N)$  is a left  $R$ -module under the action  $f\alpha \mapsto \hat{f} \circ \alpha$  and a right  $R$ -module under the action  $\alpha f \mapsto \alpha \circ \hat{f}$ . This particularly implies that  $D_{R/A}(M, N)$  is a left and right  $R$ -module under these same actions.

*Proof:* We prove both claims by induction. The first is clear: the base case follows from the simple fact that  $D_{R/A}^{-1}(M, N) = 0 \subseteq D_{R/A}^0(M, N)$ , and if  $\alpha \in D_{R/A}^{k-1}(M, N)$  then  $[\alpha, \hat{f}] \in D_{R/A}^{k-2}(M, N)$  for any  $f \in R$  by definition. The inductive hypothesis then implies that  $[\alpha, \hat{f}] \in D_{R/A}^{k-1}(M, N)$ , and hence  $\alpha \in D_{R/A}^k(M, N)$ .

By Remark 1.2,  $D_{R/A}^0(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ , so our base case is clear. Suppose then that  $D_{R/A}^m(M, N)$  is a left  $R$ -module for each  $m < k$  and note that for any two  $f, g \in R$  the associated module endomorphisms

commute by the commutativity of  $R$ , i.e.  $\hat{f}\hat{g} = \hat{g}\hat{f}$ . Fix  $\alpha, \beta \in D_{R/A}^k(M, N)$  and  $a, b \in R$ . For any other  $f \in R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{a}\alpha + \hat{b}\beta, \hat{f}] &= (\hat{a}\alpha + \hat{b}\beta)\hat{f} - \hat{f}(\hat{a}\alpha + \hat{b}\beta) \\ &= \hat{a}\alpha\hat{f} - \hat{a}\hat{f}\alpha + \hat{b}\beta\hat{f} - \hat{b}\hat{f}\beta \\ &= \hat{a}[\alpha, \hat{f}] + \hat{b}[\beta, \hat{f}]. \end{aligned}$$

Both  $\hat{a}[\alpha, \hat{f}]$  and  $\hat{b}[\beta, \hat{f}]$  are elements of the left  $R$ -module  $D_{R/A}^{k-1}(M, N)$ , hence so is their sum. The proof that  $D_{R/A}^k(M, N)$  is a right  $R$ -module is similar, so we are done.  $\square$

#### Notation 1.4.

- We write  $D_{R/A}(M)$  for  $D_{R/A}(M, M)$  when  $M = N$ . As we shall see in Corollary 1.7,  $D_{R/A}(M)$  is a ring under pointwise-addition and composition and is called the *ring of differential operators over  $M$* . Given two operators  $\alpha, \beta \in D_{R/A}(M)$  we often drop the composition symbol and write  $\alpha\beta$  to mean  $\alpha \circ \beta$ .
- When  $R = M = N$ , we simply write  $D_{R/A}$ .
- We write  $D_R$  for the ring of differential operators over  $R$  relative to the unique map  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R$ .

We will be primarily interested in  $D_{R/K}$  for a  $K$ -algebra  $R$ .

It will be useful to establish some basic commutator relations. These have nothing to do with differential operators but will be used extensively in later sections, often without comment.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $A$  be a (not necessarily commutative) ring,  $M$  a left  $A$ -module and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \text{End}_A(M)$   $A$ -linear maps on  $M$ . Then

- (a)  $[\alpha, \beta + \gamma] = [\alpha, \beta] + [\alpha, \gamma]$  and  $[\alpha + \beta, \gamma] = [\alpha, \gamma] + [\beta, \gamma]$
- (b)  $[\hat{f}\alpha, \beta] = [\alpha, \hat{f}\beta] = \hat{f}[\alpha, \beta]$  for  $f \in A$
- (c)  $[\alpha, \beta] = -[\beta, \alpha]$
- (d)  $[\alpha\beta, \gamma] = \alpha[\beta, \gamma] + [\alpha, \gamma]\beta$  and  $[\alpha, \beta\gamma] = [\alpha, \beta]\gamma + \beta[\alpha, \gamma]$ .
- (e)  $[\alpha, [[\beta, \gamma]] + [\beta, [\gamma, \alpha]] + [\gamma, [\alpha, \beta]] = 0$  (Jacobi identity).

*Proof:* These are all straightforward computations.

- (a) We have that

$$[\alpha, \beta + \gamma] = \alpha(\beta + \gamma) - (\beta + \gamma)\alpha = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha + \alpha\gamma - \gamma\alpha = [\alpha, \beta] + [\alpha, \gamma].$$

A nearly identical computation gives us the other identity.

- (b) Fix an element  $f \in A$ . Every operator  $\lambda \in \text{End}_A(M)$  is  $A$ -linear and hence  $\hat{f} \circ \lambda = \lambda \circ \hat{f}$ , i.e.  $\hat{f}$  is in the center of  $\text{End}_A(M)$ . The desired identity follows immediately from this fact.

- (c)  $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta - \beta\alpha = -(\beta\alpha - \alpha\beta) = -[\beta, \alpha]$ .

(d) This is more symbol pushing:

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha\beta, \gamma] &= \alpha\beta\gamma - \gamma\alpha\beta \\ &= \alpha\beta\gamma - \alpha\gamma\beta + \alpha\gamma\beta - \gamma\alpha\beta \\ &= \alpha[\beta, \gamma] + [\alpha, \gamma]\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The other identity is proven nearly identically.

(e) The left hand side of this identity is

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma - \gamma\beta) - (\beta\gamma - \gamma\beta)\alpha + \beta(\gamma\alpha - \alpha\gamma) + (\gamma\alpha - \alpha\gamma)\beta + \gamma(\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha) - (\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha)\gamma.$$

All terms cancel one this expression is fully expanded.

□

### 1.1.1 Order of Differential Operators

Fix a commutative ring map  $A \rightarrow R$ . A differential operator  $D \in D_{R/A}(M)$  is said to be of *order*  $k$  if  $D \in D_{R/A}^k(M)$  but  $D \notin D_{R/A}^{k-1}(M)$  and we say  $\text{ord}(D) = k$ . As the operator 0 is contained in  $D_{R/A}^k$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we say  $\text{ord}(0) = -\infty$ . We already understand operators of order 0 – they’re simply the  $R$ -linear endomorphisms of  $M$  – and in this section we seek to understand the operators of order 1 as well. We additionally describe how order interacts with composition, addition, and commutation.

Throughout this section  $A \rightarrow R$  is a map of commutative rings and  $M$  is an  $R$ -module.

**Proposition 1.6.** Suppose  $\alpha \in D_{R/A}^m(M)$  and  $\beta \in D_{R/A}^n(M)$ . The following hold:

- (a)  $\alpha + \beta \in D_{R/A}^d(M)$  where  $d = \max\{m, n\}$
- (b)  $\alpha\beta \in D_{R/A}^{m+n}(M)$
- (c)  $[\alpha, \beta] \in D_{R/A}^{m+n-1}(M)$ .

*Proof:* Part (a) follows immediately from Lemma 1.3. We prove (b) and (c) simultaneously by induction on  $m + n$ . The base case is clear, for when  $m + n = 0$  we have  $\alpha\beta \in \text{Hom}_R(R, R)$ . Suppose then that both (b) and (c) hold for  $m + n < k$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Fix  $f \in R$  and let  $m + n = k$ . By the inductive hypothesis we then have that  $\alpha[\beta, \hat{f}]$  and  $[\alpha, \hat{f}]\beta$  are in  $D_{R/A}^{m+n-1}(M)$ , and hence

$$[\alpha\beta, \hat{f}] = \alpha[\beta, \hat{f}] + [\alpha, \hat{f}]\beta \in D_{R/A}^{m+n-1}(M)$$

by Proposition 1.5 (d). This proves (b).

Rearranging the terms of the Jacobi identity, we have that

$$[[\alpha, \beta], \hat{f}] = [\alpha, [\beta, \hat{f}]] + [\beta, [\hat{f}, \alpha]].$$

The inductive hypothesis tells us that the rightmost terms are elements of  $D_{R/A}^{m+n-2}(M)$ , hence so is  $[[\alpha, \beta], \hat{f}]$ . This proves (c). □

This proposition yields some basic facts regarding the structure of  $D_{R/A}(M)$ .

**Corollary 1.7.** Let  $A \rightarrow R$  be a map of commutative rings. Then  $D_{R/A}(M)$  is a ring and the graded ring

$$S_{R/A}(M) := \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} S_{R/A}^k(M); \quad S_{R/A}^k(M) = D_{R/A}^k(M) / D_{R/A}^{k-1}(M)$$

is commutative. We call  $S_{R/A}(M)$  the *graded ring associated to  $D_{R/A}(M)$*  and discuss it further in Section 2.

*Proof:* For any two  $\alpha, \beta \in D_{R/A}(M)$ ,  $\alpha\beta \in D_{R/A}(M)$  by Proposition 1.6 (b), hence  $D_{R/A}(M)$  is a subring of  $\text{End}_A(M)$ .

We identify  $S_{R/A}^k(M)$  with its image under inclusion  $S_{R/A}^k(M) \rightarrow S_{R/A}(M)$  and let  $\bar{\alpha}$  denote the image of  $\alpha \in D_{R/A}^k(M)$  in  $S_{R/A}^k(M)$ . For  $\alpha \in D_{R/A}^m(M)$  and  $\beta \in D_{R/A}^n(M)$ , we have  $[\alpha, \beta] \in D_{R/A}^{m+n-1}(M)$  by Proposition 1.6 (c), hence  $\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} - \bar{\beta}\bar{\alpha} = \overline{[\alpha, \beta]} = 0$ . Since every element of  $S_{R/A}(M)$  can be written as a sum of finitely many  $\bar{\alpha}$ , we are done.  $\square$

Now let us focus on the  $R$ -module  $D_{R/A}^1(M)$ .

## 1.2 The Weyl Algebras

We now turn our attention to the case in which  $A = K$  and  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , where  $K$  is a field. The ring  $D_{R/K}$  in this case is called the  $n^{\text{th}}$  *Weyl Algebra*. The first Weyl algebra is an early example of a ring of differential operators, first appearing as Dirac's *quantum algebra* consisting of polynomial expressions in variables  $p$  and  $q$  subject to the relation  $pq - qp = 1$ . Weyl algebras admit tractable, explicit descriptions in terms of generators and relations, and therefore serve as a fantastic source of examples. They also serve as a good starting place for a newcomer to the study of  $D$ -modules seeking to develop intuition (e.g. the author of this essay).

Our first aim in this section is to prove that the three main presentations of the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Weyl algebra are equivalent.

**Theorem 1.8.** (Definition) Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic 0 and let  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . The following are isomorphic modules.

- The  $K$ -subalgebra  $A_n \subseteq \text{End}_K(R)$  generated by the maps  $\hat{x}_i$  and  $\partial_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$
- The  $K$ -algebra  $D_n$  defined to be the quotient of the free  $K$ -algebra in the  $2n$ -variables  $y_1, \dots, y_{2n}$  by the ideal  $J$  generated by  $[y_{i+n}, y_i] - 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$
- The ring of differential operators  $D_{R/K}$ .

Before we prove this we need to understand some basic facts about the module  $A_n$ .

**Lemma 1.9.** The generators of  $A_n$  satisfy the following relations:

$$[\partial_{x_i}, \hat{x}_j] = \delta_{ij}, \quad [\partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j}] = [\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta function. Furthermore, for  $f \in R$ ,

$$[\partial_{x_i}, \hat{f}] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}.$$

*Proof:* For any polynomial  $f$  (and more generally, any differentiable function) we have

$$\partial_{x_i} \hat{x}_j(f) = \partial_{x_i}(x_j \cdot f) = \partial_{x_i}(x_j) \cdot f + x_j \cdot \partial_{x_i}(f)$$

from the product rule in Calculus. Since  $\partial_{x_i}(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$  and  $x_j \cdot \partial_{x_i}(f) = \hat{x}_j \partial_{x_i}(f)$ , rearranging the above yields the first relation.

Differentiation is  $K$ -linear, so it suffices to prove  $\partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j}(f) = \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_i}(f)$  for a monomial  $f$ . This is clear from the power rule in Calculus. The fact  $[\hat{x}_i, \hat{x}_j] = 0$  is a consequence of the commutativity of  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  in  $R$ .

Finally, it once again suffices to prove  $[\partial_{x_i}, \hat{f}] = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}$  for monic monomials. We first show it holds for  $f = x_i^m$ . The relation  $[\partial_{x_i}, \hat{x}_1] = 1$  serves as the base case, so suppose it holds for all  $m < k$ . Then

$$\partial_{x_i} \hat{x}_i^k = (\partial_{x_i} \hat{x}_i) \hat{x}_i^{k-1} = (1 + \hat{x}_i \partial_{x_i}) \hat{x}_i^{k-1} = \hat{x}_i^{k-1} + \hat{x}_i \partial_{x_i} \hat{x}_i^{k-1}.$$

The inductive hypothesis implies  $\partial_{x_i} \hat{x}_i^{k-1} = (k-1) \hat{x}_i^{k-2} + \hat{x}_i^{k-2} \partial_{x_i}$ , so after rearranging the above and combining like terms we have exactly that  $[\partial_{x_i}, \hat{x}_i^k] = k \hat{x}_i^{k-1}$ .

For an arbitrary monic monomial  $x_1^{m_1} \dots x_n^{m_n}$  we have that

$$[\partial_{x_i}, \hat{x}_1^{m_1} \dots \hat{x}_n^{m_n}] = \hat{x}_1^{m_1} \dots \hat{x}_{i-1}^{m_{i-1}} [\partial_{x_i}, x_i^{m_i}] \hat{x}_{i+1}^{m_{i+1}} \dots \hat{x}_n^{m_n}$$

by repeated use of Proposition 1.5 (d). This reduces to

$$[\partial_{x_i}, \hat{x}_1^{m_1} \dots \hat{x}_n^{m_n}] = k \cdot \hat{x}_1^{m_1} \dots \hat{x}_i^{m_i-1} \dots \hat{x}_n^{m_n}$$

by what we have already proven. □

**Remark 1.10.** Some authors suppress the notation  $\hat{f}$  simply write “ $f$ ” to refer interchangeably to  $f \in R$  and its image in  $D_{R/A}(M)$ . This is reasonable, especially since the  $R$ -action on  $D_{R/A}(M)$  is given by the inclusion  $R \hookrightarrow D_{R/A}(M)$ . We prefer to differentiate between an element  $f \in R$  and its image in  $D_{R/A}(M)$  in this note because of the notational similarity between  $\partial_{x_i} \hat{f}$  and  $\partial_{x_i}(f)$ . These are two very different things; for example,  $\partial_x(x) = 1 \in K[x]$  whereas  $\partial_x \hat{x} = 1 + \hat{x} \partial_x \neq 1 \in A_1$ .

We now construct a basis for the Weyl algebra, a basis known as the *canonical basis*.

**Lemma 1.11.** The set  $\mathbf{B} = \{\hat{x}^\alpha \partial^\beta \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$  is a basis for  $A_n$  as a  $K$ -vector space. By  $\hat{x}^\alpha$  we mean the operator  $\hat{x}_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \hat{x}_n^{\alpha_n}$ , and the degree of this monomial is the length of  $\alpha$  defined  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ .

*Proof:* By definition,  $A_n$  is generated by monomials in  $\partial_{x_i}$  and  $\hat{x}_j$  for  $i$  and  $j$  ranging between 1 and  $n$ . Using the fact that  $\partial_{x_i} \hat{x}_i - \hat{x}_i \partial_{x_i} = \widehat{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}}$  from Lemma 1.9 we can move all  $\hat{x}_j$  terms to the left of all  $\partial_i$  terms, so it is clear that  $\mathbf{B}$  spans  $A_n$ .

We now show that  $\mathbf{B}$  is linearly independent. Suppose that

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \hat{x}^{\alpha_i} \partial^{\beta_i}.$$

We call this summation the *canonical form* of  $D \in A_n$  and show that  $D = 0$  if and only if  $c_i = 0$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $c_i \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $(\alpha_i, \beta_j) = (\alpha_j, \beta_j)$  if and only if  $i = j$ ; that is, make  $m$  as small as possible. Let  $\beta_\ell$  be the multi-index such that  $|\beta_\ell| = \min\{|\beta_1|, \dots, |\beta_m|\}$ .

By repeated use of the power law we get that

$$\partial^{\beta_\ell}(x^{\beta_\ell}) = \beta_\ell! \neq 0$$

where  $\beta! = \beta_1! \cdot \dots \cdot \beta_n!$  for  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , but that  $\partial^{\beta_i}(x^{\beta_\ell}) = 0$  for all  $|\beta_i| > |\beta_\ell|$ . It is possible that  $\partial^{\beta_\ell}$  appears multiple times in the above summation. For simplicity, set  $\lambda = \beta_\ell l!$  and let  $\{\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_k\}$  be the (necessarily distinct) multi-indices such that  $\hat{x}^{\alpha'_i} \partial^{\beta_\ell}$  appears with nonzero coefficient in the canonical form of  $D$ . Likewise let  $c'_i$  be the coefficient of  $\hat{x}^{\alpha'_i} \partial^{\beta_\ell}$  appearing in the canonical form of  $D$ . Then

$$D(x^{\beta_\ell}) = \sum_{i=1}^k c'_i \hat{x}^{\alpha'_i} \partial^{\beta_\ell}(x^{\beta_\ell}) = \lambda \left( c'_1 x^{\alpha'_1} + \dots + c'_k x^{\alpha'_k} \right).$$

Since the  $\alpha'_i$  are pairwise distinct, the above polynomial is nonzero and  $D \neq 0$ . We conclude that  $D = 0$  if and only if  $c_i = 0$  and we conclude that  $\mathbf{B}$  is linearly independent over  $K$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.8.

*Proof: (Theorem 1.8)*  $\square$

**Theorem 1.12.** *The algebra  $A_n$  is simple.*

*Proof:* Let  $I$  be a nonzero two-sided ideal of  $A_n$  and suppose  $D \in I$  is a nonzero operator. If  $\deg(D) = 0$ , then  $D \in K$  and  $I = A_n$ . If  $\deg(D) = d > 0$ , then there must be some summand  $x^\alpha \partial^\beta$  with nonzero coefficient and for which either  $\alpha \neq 0$  or  $\alpha = 0$ . In the former case, suppose the  $\alpha_i$  component of  $\alpha$  is nonzero. Then  $[\partial_i, D] \neq 0$  and  $\deg([\partial_i, D]) \leq d - 1$ . Furthermore, since  $I$  is two-sided,  $[\partial_i, D] \in I$ . By replacing  $D$  with  $[\partial_i, D]$  and repeating the above process, we can construct an element of degree 0 in  $I$  and hence conclude  $I = A_n$ . A similar argument in which we instead consider  $[x_i, D]$  works in the case that  $\beta \neq 0$ .  $\square$

### 1.3 Examples of Differential Operators

## 2 D-Modules: Basic Definitions and Facts

A  $D$ -module is simply a module over a ring of differential operators, i.e. a left or right  $D_{R/A}$ -module. We start this section with several examples before discussing the more general, basic theory of  $D$ -modules.

### 2.1 Examples of $D$ -modules

### 2.2 Filtrations

Despite the noncommutativity of  $A_n$ , one might be tempted to draw comparisons between the Weyl algebra and a ring of polynomials, especially given that  $A_n$  admits a nice basis and possesses some notion of degree. In particular, one might wonder if  $A_n$  admits any meaningful graded structure. The answer turns out to be “sort of”. The goal of this section is primarily to define and examine this approximation of a graded structure. To do this, we first define the *degree* of an element in  $A_n$ . We then notice that this fails to define a  $K[X]$ -grading for  $A_n$  and provide a workaround.

We also say some words about the ideal structure of  $A_n$  and holonomic modules.



One might expect a graded structure to naturally fall from the notion of degree given in section . The issue, as always, is one of noncommutativity. The element  $x_1 \partial_1$  ought to be homogeneous, but it is the difference  $\partial x - 1$  of two elements with non-equal degree. There is no way to define a collection of pairwise disjoint  $K[X]$ -submodules of  $A_n$  whose direct sum recovers  $A_n$ . Nonetheless, we can still find a collection of  $A_n$  submodules which resemble a grading on  $A_n$ . We will call this a *filtration* of  $A_n$ , and it turns out that this filtration will come with a natural associated graded  $K[X]$ -module whose properties will yield new information about  $A_n$ .

We first define arbitrary filtered rings and modules.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a  $K$ -algebra and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. A collection  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  of  $K$ -vector spaces is said to be a *filtration* of  $R$  if

- (1)  $F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset R$
- (2)  $R = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} F_i$
- (3)  $F_i \cdot F_j \subseteq F_{i+j}$ .

If  $R$  has a filtration it is called a *filtered algebra*. Similarly, if  $R$  is a filtered algebra, then a *filtration* of  $M$  is a family  $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  of  $K$ -vector spaces satisfying

- (1)  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M$ ,
- (2)  $M = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \Gamma_i$
- (3)  $B_i \Gamma_j \subseteq \Gamma_{i+j}$ .

It is useful to set  $F_i = \Gamma_i = 0$  for  $i < 0$ .

Such a module is said to be *filtered*. In this section, we additionally adopt the convention that

- (4)  $\Gamma_i$  is a finite-dimensional  $K$ -algebra for each  $i \geq 0$ ,

which will become important in our discussion of dimension.

As promised, filtered rings and modules come with an associated graded structure. Let's first examine this for rings.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $R$  be a  $K$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i\}$  a filtration of  $R$ . The *graded algebra* of  $R$  associated to  $\mathcal{F}$  is the  $K$ -vector space

$$\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (F_i / F_{i-1}).$$

For each  $k \geq 0$ , the *symbol map of order  $k$*  is the canonical vector space projection

$$\sigma_k : F_k \longrightarrow F_k / F_{k-1}.$$

We use these maps to define an algebra structure on  $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} R$ . A *homogeneous element* of  $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} R$  is any operator  $d \in \text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} R$  such that  $d = \sigma_k(a)$  for some  $a \in F_k$ . Given two homogenous elements  $\sigma_i(a)$  and  $\sigma_j(b)$ , we define their product by

$$\sigma_i(a) \cdot \sigma_j(b) = \sigma_{i+j}(a \cdot b).$$

Extending this multiplication to all of  $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} R$  by distributivity makes  $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} R$  into a graded  $K$ -algebra whose homogeneous components are the individual summands  $F_k/F_{k-1}$ .

This definition takes as input a filtration of a ring, and in particular we shouldn't expect two different filtrations on  $R$  to produce isomorphic associated graded algebras.

We now return our attention to the Weyl algebra. The first and most important filtration of  $A_n$  we consider is also the most obvious: we filter using degree. This is called the Bernstein filtration.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $B_k$  denote the  $K$ -subspace of  $A_n$  consisting of all operators with degree less than  $k$ :

$$B_k = \{D \in A_n \mid \deg(D) \leq k\}.$$

The *Bernstein filtration* is  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_k\}_{k \geq 0}$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** The Bernstein filtration is indeed a filtration of  $A_n$  as a  $K$ -algebra.

*Proof:* This promptly follows from Theorem (??), consider omitting. That  $B_k \subseteq B_{k+1}$  and  $B_k$  is a  $K$ -vector subspace of  $A_n$  are clear by the definition of  $B_k$  and Theorem (??). Theorem (??) part (1) further tells us that  $B_k \cdot B_m \subseteq B_{k+m}$ . Finally, since every operator  $D \in A_n$  can be written in canonical form,  $\deg(D) < \infty$  and  $D \in B_k$  for some  $K$ , hence  $A_n = \cup_{i \geq 0} B_i$ .  $\square$

We now compute the graded algebra of  $A_n$  associated to the Bernstein filtration.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $S_n = \text{gr}^{\mathcal{B}} A_n$ . The graded algebra  $S_n$  is isomorphic to  $K[y_1, \dots, y_{2n}]$ .

*Proof:* See [Cou95, pg. 58].  $\square$

Although we only refer to the proof of this statement, it is nonetheless worth thinking about why this ought to be true. Since we have surjective maps  $\pi_k : A_n \rightarrow B_k \xrightarrow{\sigma_k} B_k/B_{k-1}$ ,  $S_n$  is generated as an algebra by the images of elements  $x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \in A_n$ . The only thing preventing us from defining an isomorphism  $K[y_1, \dots, y_{2n}] \rightarrow S_n$  sending  $y_i \mapsto x_i$  and  $y_{i+n} \mapsto \partial_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  is commutativity, however, we see that

$$\pi_1(\partial_i x_i) = \pi_1(x_i \partial_i + 1) = \pi_1(x_i \partial_i) + \pi_1(1) = \pi_1(x_i \partial_i),$$

so  $[\partial_i, x_i] = 0$  in  $B_1/B_0$ . This gives us commutativity in  $S_n$  and allows us to define a surjective homomorphism  $K[y_1, \dots, y_{2n}] \rightarrow S_n$ . Since there are no additional relations between the generators  $x_1, \dots, x_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n$ , this is an isomorphism. Coutinho's proof simply fills in the details of this sketch.

It also makes sense to associate a graded module to a filtered module. We do that now.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $R$  be a filtered  $K$ -algebra with filtration  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i\}$  and  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module with filtration  $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_i\}$ . The *symbol map of order  $k$*  of the filtration  $\Gamma$  is the projection

$$\mu_k : \Gamma_k \longrightarrow \Gamma_k/\Gamma_{k-1}.$$

We set

$$\text{gr}^{\Gamma} M = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Gamma_i/\Gamma_{i-1},$$

and define the  $\text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} R$  action on  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma} M$  by

$$\sigma_i(a) \cdot \mu_j(m) = \mu_{i+j}(am)$$

for  $a \in F_i$  and  $m \in \Gamma_j$  where  $\sigma_i$  is the symbol map of order  $i$  of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The associated grading can tell us something about its filtered module.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Suppose that  $R$  is a Noetherian  $K$ -algebra with filtration  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module with filtration  $\Gamma = \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ . If  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma} M$  is a Noetherian then so is  $M$ .*

*Proof:* Set  $S = \text{gr}^{\mathcal{F}} R$  and let  $N \subseteq M$  be a  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . We prove that it is finitely generated. Define  $\Gamma'_i = N \cap \Gamma_i$  for  $i \geq 0$ . The collection  $\Gamma' = \{\Gamma'_i\}$  is then a filtration of  $N$ , which we call the *induced filtration of  $N$  by  $\Gamma$* . The inclusions  $\Gamma'_i \subseteq \Gamma_i$  give us an inclusion  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma'} N \subseteq \text{gr}^{\Gamma} M$ , and since  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma} M$  is Noetherian,  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma'} N$  must be a finitely generated as an  $S$ -module.

Let  $\{c_1, \dots, c_r\}$  be a generating set for  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma'} M$ . We assume that each  $c_i$  is homogeneous without loss of generality; each  $c_i$  is a linear sum of finitely many homogeneous elements and we can therefore replace each  $c_i$  by its homogeneous components without compromising the finiteness of our generating set. For each  $c_i$  we can therefore find some integer  $k_i$  and some  $u_i \in \Gamma'_{k_i}$  such that  $\mu_{k_i}(u_i) = c_i$ . Let  $m = \max\{k_1, \dots, k_r\}$ , and note that  $u_i \in \Gamma'_m$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . We show that  $\Gamma'_m$  generates  $N$ .

Suppose  $v \in \Gamma_{\ell}$ . If  $\ell \leq m$  then  $v \in \Gamma'_{\ell} \subseteq \Gamma'_m$ , and hence  $v$  is in the  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  generated by  $\Gamma'_m$ . Suppose now that  $\ell > m$  and  $\Gamma_{\ell-1}$  is contained in the  $R$ -linear span of  $\Gamma'_m$ . Because  $\{\mu_{k_1}(u_1), \dots, \mu_{k_r}(u_r)\}$  generates  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma'} N$  as an  $S$ -module, there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_r$  such that

$$\mu_{\ell}(v) = \sum_{i=1}^r \sigma_{\ell-k_i}(a_i) \mu_{k_i}(u_i).$$

Hence

$$\mu_{\ell} \left( v - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i \right) = 0$$

$$v' = v - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i u_i \in \Gamma'_{\ell-1}.$$

The element  $v$  is a linear sum of elements in  $\Gamma'_m$  if and only if  $v'$  is too. However,  $v' \in \Gamma'_{\ell-1}$  and is therefore in the  $R$ -linear span of  $\Gamma'_m$  by the inductive hypothesis. Hence  $v \in R \cdot \Gamma'_m$ , and since every element of  $N$  is contained in  $\Gamma'_{\ell}$ ,  $\Gamma'_m$  generates  $N$ .

It is left to show that there is a finite subset of  $\Gamma'_m$  which generates  $N$ . However,  $\Gamma'_m$  is a finite dimensional  $K$ -vector space. Any  $K$ -basis for  $\Gamma'_m$  will generate all of  $\Gamma'_m$  and will therefore serve as a set of generators for  $N$ .  $\square$

Note that the set  $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$  in the above proof is not necessarily a generating set for  $N$ . The induction step gives us an algorithm for writing any  $v \in \Gamma'_{\ell}$  in terms of the  $u_i$  only in the case that  $\ell > \max\{\deg(u_1), \dots, \deg(u_r)\}$ .

The converse of this theorem need not always hold, that is, if  $R$  is Noetherian and  $M$  is finitely generated, it need not be the case that  $\text{gr}^\Gamma M$  is finitely generated. We therefore give filtrations which produce finitely generated associated graded modules a special name: we call  $\Gamma$  a *good filtration* of  $M$  if  $\text{gr}^\Gamma M$  is finitely generated. Good filtrations provide a framework to discuss the dimension of modules over the Weyl algebra.

## 2.3 Dimension of modules over the Weyl algebra

The primary goal of this section is a proof of Bernstein's Inequality, a striking example of how the theory of  $D$ -modules can drastically differ from that of modules over commutative rings. To accomplish this, it is necessary to discuss several basic facts regarding the dimension of modules over the Weyl algebra, proofs of which we brazenly omit in eternal deference to Atiyah-Macdonald.

### 2.3.1 Facts about Dimension

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely-generated left  $A_n$ -module and  $N \subseteq M$  a submodule. Then*

- (a)  $\dim(M) = \max\{d(N), d(M/N)\}$
- (b) *If  $\dim(N) = \dim(M/N)$  then  $m(M) = m(N) + m(M/N)$ .*

*Proof:*

- (a) Let us first see how the Hilbert polynomials of  $M$ ,  $N$  and  $M/N$  related. Denote by  $S_n$  the associated graded ring of  $A_n$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be a good filtration of  $M$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma''$  be the induced filtrations for  $N$  and  $M/N$ . We then obtain the following short exact sequence of associated graded  $S_n$ -modules:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{gr}^{\Gamma'} N \longrightarrow \text{gr}^\Gamma M \longrightarrow \text{gr}^{\Gamma''} M/N \longrightarrow 0.$$

We know  $\text{gr}^\Gamma M$  is a finitely generated  $S_n$ -module since  $\Gamma$  is good, hence  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma''} M/N$  is also finitely generated since it is isomorphic to a quotient of  $\text{gr}^\Gamma M$ . Likewise, since  $S_n$  is Noetherian and  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma'} N$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $\text{gr}^\Gamma M$ ,  $\text{gr}^{\Gamma'} N$  is finitely generated. This tells us that  $\Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma''$  are both good filtrations.

Now consider the short exact sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma'_k/\Gamma'_{k-1} \longrightarrow \Gamma_k/\Gamma_{k-1} \longrightarrow \Gamma''_k/\Gamma''_{k-1} \longrightarrow 0$$

for  $0 \leq k$ . By the rank-nullity theorem,  $\dim_K \Gamma_k/\Gamma_{k-1} = \dim_K \Gamma'_k/\Gamma'_{k-1} + \dim_K \Gamma''_k/\Gamma''_{k-1}$ , so

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\dim_K \Gamma_k/\Gamma_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\dim_K \Gamma'_k/\Gamma'_{k-1} + \dim_K \Gamma''_k/\Gamma''_{k-1})$$

and thus for  $s \gg 0$  we get

$$\chi(s, \Gamma, M) = \chi(s, \Gamma', M) + \chi(s, \Gamma'', N).$$

As all of the above are polynomials with positive leading coefficients by **CITE THEOREM**, we get that  $\deg(\chi(s, \Gamma', M) + \chi(s, \Gamma'', N)) = \deg(\chi(s, \Gamma', M)) + \deg(\chi(s, \Gamma'', N))$  and hence

$$\dim(M) = \max\{\dim(N), \dim(M)\}.$$

- (b) If  $\dim(M/N) = \dim(N)$  then the polynomials  $\chi(s, \Gamma, M)$ ,  $\chi(s, \Gamma', M)$  and  $\chi(s, \Gamma'', N)$  all have the same degree. This then implies that the leading term of  $\chi(s, \Gamma, M)$  is equal to the sum of the leading terms of  $\chi(s, \Gamma', N)$  and  $\chi(s, \Gamma'', M/N)$ .

□

### 2.3.2 Bernstein's Inequality

**Theorem 2.9.** *If  $M$  is a finitely-generated left  $A_n(K)$ -module, then either  $n \leq \dim(M) \leq 2n$  or  $M = 0$ .*

*Proof:* TO DO!!!!!!!!!!

□

## 2.4 Holonomic Modules

The Bernstein inequality tells us that a nonzero finitely-generated left  $A_n(K)$ -module  $M$  must have dimension at least  $n$  and at most  $2n$ . Those modules of minimal dimension are nice enough that we give them their very own name; we call them *holonomic modules*.

**Definition 2.10.** A finitely generated left  $A_n(K)$ -module  $M$  is said to be *holonomic* if either  $M = 0$  or  $\dim(M) = n$ .

Examples are easy to identify thanks to Bernstein. We know that  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is holonomic since  $\dim K[x_1, \dots, x_n] = n$ , and furthermore, both  $I$  and  $R/I$  are holonomic when  $I$  is any proper ideal of  $R$ . As another example, in the case that  $n = 1$ , for any nonzero ideal  $I \subseteq A_1$  we have that  $\dim(A_1/I) \leq 1$  by

### 2.4.1 Lemma on B-Functions

Let  $f$  be a polynomial in  $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and let  $s$  be a new variable. We will consider the Weyl algebra  $A_n(K(s))$  over the field of rational functions in  $s$  and the  $A_n(K(s))$ -module generated by the formal symbol  $f^s$ , upon which a rational function  $p \in K(s)$  acts in the obvious way and the operator  $\partial_i$  acts by the formula

$$\partial_j(f^s) = \frac{s}{f} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}. \quad (1)$$

When  $s$  is an integer and  $f^s$  is treated not as a formal symbol but as a power, this action of course agrees with the existing action of  $\partial_j$ . The above formula means that  $A_n(K(s))f^s$  is an  $A_n(K(s))$ -submodule of  $K(s)[x_1, \dots, x_n, f^{-1}]$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *Fix  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . There exists a polynomial  $B(s) \in K[s]$  and a differential operator  $D(s) \in A_n(K)[s]$  such that*

$$B(s)f^s = D(s)f^{s+1}.$$

*The set of all such  $B(s)$  form an ideal in  $K[s]$ , the monic generator of which is called the Bernstein polynomial of  $f$  and is denoted by  $b_f(s)$ .*

**Example 2.12.** Let  $f = x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ . Notice that

$$\partial_i^2 f^{s+1} = 4x_i^2(s+1)s f^{s-1} + 2(s+1)f^s.$$

Letting  $D = \partial_1^2 + \dots + \partial_n^2$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} D(f^{s+1}) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \left( 4x_i^2(s+1)s f^{s-1} + 2(s+1)f^s \right) \\ &= 4(s+1)s(x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)f^{s-1} + 2n(s+1)f^s \\ &= 2(s+1)(2s+n)f^s, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $b_f(s) = 2(s+1)(2s+n)f^s$ .

### 3 Inverse Images, Direct Images and Kashiwara's Theorem

Here we introduce two operations on  $D$ -modules, inverse images and direct images, and Kashiwara's theorem. We discuss the former first. As should be expected by now, some algebraic constructions are brushed under the rug, the tensor product of bimodules perhaps chief among them. The reader may wish to visit [Cou95], [HTT08] or [Gin98] if this is unfamiliar.

#### 3.1 Inverse Images

Let  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ ,  $S = K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  and  $M$  be a left  $A_n$ -module. We wish to build a left  $A_m$ -module from  $M$  in a meaningful way. Suppose further that we are given a polynomial map  $F : K^m \rightarrow K^n$  whose coordinate polynomials are  $F_1, \dots, F_n \in K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ . Composition with  $F$  gives a map of rings  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$  defined  $f \mapsto f \circ F$ , and in particular, we may view  $S$  as an  $R$ -module via the  $R$ -action given by  $\varphi$ . The tensor product  $S \otimes_R M$  is then an  $S$ -module denoted  $F^*M$ , and we want to turn it into an  $A_m$ -module. The action of polynomials on  $F^*M$  is known, and we define the action of  $\partial_{y_i}$  by

$$\partial_{y_i}(q \otimes u) = \partial_{y_i}(q) \otimes u + \sum_{j=1}^n q \partial_{y_i}(F_j) \otimes \partial_{x_j} u. \quad (*)$$

for  $q \in S$  and  $u \in M$  and extend by linearity. As the  $y_i$ 's and  $\partial_{y_i}$ 's generate  $A_m$ , to check that this does indeed give us a left  $A_m$ -action on  $F^*M$  we need only check that  $(*)$  is compatible with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\partial_{y_i}, y_j] &= \delta_{ij} \\ [y_i, y_j] &= [\partial_{y_i}, \partial_{y_j}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Dirac delta function.

Let us check the first relation on an arbitrary generator  $q \otimes u \in F^*M$ , referring to the image of  $y_j \in S$  in  $A_m$  by  $\hat{y}_j$  to avoid confusion:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{y_i} \hat{y}_j (q \otimes u) &= \partial_{y_i} (y_j q \otimes u) + \sum_{k=1}^n y_j q \partial_{y_i} (F_k) \otimes \partial_{x_k} u \\
&= (\partial_{y_i} (y_j q)) \otimes u + y_j \sum_{k=1}^n q \partial_{y_i} (F_k) \otimes \partial_{x_k} u \\
&= q(\partial_{y_i} y_j) \otimes u + y_j (\partial_{y_i} (q)) \otimes u + y_j \sum_{k=1}^n q \partial_{y_i} (F_k) \otimes \partial_{x_k} u \\
&= q \delta_{ij} \otimes u + y_j \left( \partial_{y_j} (q) \otimes u + \sum_{k=1}^n q \partial_{y_i} (F_k) \otimes \partial_{x_k} u \right) \\
&= \delta_{ij} (q \otimes u) + y_j \partial_{y_i} (q \otimes u) \\
&= \delta_{ij} (q \otimes u) + \hat{y}_j (\partial_{y_i} (q \otimes u)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the relation  $[\partial_{y_i}, \hat{y}_j]v = \delta_{ij}v$  holds when  $v$  is of the form  $q \otimes u \in F^*M$ , it holds for arbitrary elements  $v \in F^*M$  once we extend by linearity. The other two relations follow from an easy check and a few applications of Leibniz's rule, respectively. **In reality, I simply don't want to write out the relation  $[\partial_{y_i}, \partial_{y_j}]v = 0$ , but perhaps it would be helpful to include in a future draft.** This means the following definition makes sense:

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $F : K^m \rightarrow K^n$  be a polynomial map with components  $F_1, \dots, F_n \in K[y_1, \dots, y_m]$  and let  $M$  be a left  $A_n$ -module. Then  $F^*M$  endowed with the  $A_m$ -action defined in (\*) is a left  $A_m$ -module called the *inverse image* of  $M$  by the polynomial map  $F$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The origin of the term “inverse image” here is clearer if we rephrase things in terms of geometry. If  $X$  is a variety and  $D_X$  is its sheaf of differential operators, then a sheaf  $M$  over  $X$  is said to be a left  $D_X$ -module if  $M$  is a sheaf of left modules over  $D_X$ . Given a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  of smooth algebraic varieties and a left  $D_Y$ -module  $M$ , then  $f^*M$  is simply the sheaf-theoretic inverse image defined in [Har77].

## 3.2 Direct Images

# 4 Applications of $D$ -Modules

## 4.1 $D$ -Modules in Positive Characteristic

In this section we'll discuss the theory of  $D$ -Modules in positive characteristic.

## 4.2 The Structure of Differential Operators in Positive Characteristic

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $A \rightarrow R$  be a map of commutative rings and let  $M$  and  $N$  be (two-sided)  $R$ -modules. We define

$$D_{R/A}^0(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$$

and then inductively define

$$D_{R/A}^i(M, N) = \{\varphi \in \text{Hom}_A(M, N) \mid [\varphi, f] \in D_{R/A}^{i-1}(M, N) \text{ for all } f \in R\}.$$

Note that we may identify  $R$  with its image in  $\text{End}_R(M)$  by the map  $f \mapsto \bar{f}_M$  where  $\bar{f}_M : m \mapsto f \cdot m$ . By  $[\varphi, f]$  we mean  $\varphi \circ \bar{f}_M - \bar{f}_N \circ \varphi$ .

We let  $D_{R/A}(M, N) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} D_{R/A}^i(M, N)$ . In the case that  $M = N$  we write  $D_{R/A}(M)$  and when  $R = M = N$  we write  $D_{R/A}$ , sometimes omitting  $R$  and  $A$  when the context is clear.

It is often convenient to let  $D_{R/A}^i(M, N) = 0$  for  $i < 0$ . In fact, since  $\varphi$  is  $R$ -linear if and only if  $[\varphi, f] = 0$  for each  $f \in R$ , we could instead declare  $D_{R/A}^i(M, N) = 0$  before proceeding with the inductive definition above.

The following is our first theorem regarding differential operators in characteristic  $p > 0$ .

**Theorem 4.2** ([Yek92, Lemma 1.4.8]). *Let  $K$  be a perfect field with  $\text{char } K = p > 0$  and  $R$  be essentially of finite type as a  $K$ -algebra. Then*

$$D_{R/K} = \bigcup_{e \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Hom}_{R^{p^e}}(R, R).$$

*Proof:* The proof of this statement in [Yek92] is slightly more general than this statement, likely opportunity to condense it.  $\square$

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $A \rightarrow R$ . A  $D$ -ideal of  $R$  is a  $D_{R/A}$ -submodule of  $R$ . Since  $R \hookrightarrow D_{R/A}$ , any such submodule is closed under multiplication by  $R$  and is therefore an ideal of  $R$ , justifying the name.

**Example 4.4.** If  $I \subseteq R$  is a  $D$ -ideal, then  $R/I$  is a  $D$ -module.

**Proposition 4.5.** If  $W \subseteq R$  is a multiplicatively closed set and  $M$  is a  $D$ -module, then  $W^{-1}M$  is a  $D$ -module by the rule

$$\alpha \cdot \frac{m}{\omega} = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{ord}(\alpha)} \frac{\alpha^{(i)} \cdot m}{\omega^{i+1}}$$

where  $\alpha^{(0)} = \alpha$  and  $\alpha^{(i+1)} = [\alpha^{(i)}, \bar{\omega}]$ .

**Definition 4.6.** Let  $A \rightarrow R$  be a map of rings. We say that  $R$  is  $D$ -module simple if it is a simple  $D$ -module. We say  $R$  is  $D$ -algebra simple if it is a simple  $D$ -algebra.

The ring of differential operators in prime characteristic can detect singularities.

**Theorem 4.7** ([Smi95, Theorem 2.2 (4)]). *Let  $\text{char}(R) = p > 0$  and suppose  $R$  is  $F$ -finite. Then  $R$  is strongly  $F$ -regular if and only if  $R$  is  $F$ -split and is a finite product of  $D$ -simple rings.*



## References

- [Ber] Joseph Bernstein. *Algebraic Theory of  $D$ -modules*. Online Lecture Notes. URL: <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/files/BernsteinDModule.pdf>.
- [Cou95] S. C. Coutinho. *A primer of algebraic  $D$ -modules*. Vol. 33. London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. xii+207. ISBN: 0-521-55119-6; 0-521-55908-1. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511623653. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09780511623653>.
- [Gin98] Victor Ginzburg. *Lectures on  $D$ -modules*. Online Lecture Notes. 1998. URL: [https://people.math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde/grad\\_2009/Ginzburg.pdf](https://people.math.harvard.edu/~gaitsgde/grad_2009/Ginzburg.pdf).
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977, pp. xvi+496. ISBN: 0-387-90244-9.
- [HTT08] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki.  *$D$ -modules, perverse sheaves, and representation theory*. Vol. 236. Progress in Mathematics. Translated from the 1995 Japanese edition by Takeuchi. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008, pp. xii+407. ISBN: 978-0-8176-4363-8. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-8176-4523-6. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4523-6>.
- [Smi95] Karen E. Smith. “The  $D$ -module structure of  $F$ -split rings”. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 2.4 (1995), pp. 377–386. ISSN: 1073-2780. DOI: 10.4310/MRL.1995.v2.n4.a1. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.1995.v2.n4.a1>.
- [Yek92] Amnon Yekutieli. “An explicit construction of the Grothendieck residue complex”. In: *Astérisque* 208 (1992). With an appendix by Pramathanath Sastry, p. 127. ISSN: 0303-1179.