

ISAAC MARTIN

SOLUTIONS  
TO  
HARTSHORNE



# Contents

2	<i>Schemes</i>	5
2.1	<i>Sheaves</i>	5
2.2	<i>Schemes</i>	17



# Chapter 2: Schemes

## §1: Sheaves

- 1.1. Let  $A$  be an abelian group and defined the *constant presheaf* associated to  $A$  on the topological space  $X$  to be the presheaf  $U \mapsto A$  for all  $U \neq \emptyset$ , with restriction maps the identity. Show that the constant sheaf  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in the text is the sheaf associated to this presheaf.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the constant sheaf on  $X$ , i.e. the sheaf defined as follows: for any open  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  is the group of all continuous maps of  $U$  into  $A$  (where  $A$  is endowed with the discrete topology). Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be any other sheaf on  $X$ .

Define  $\theta : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  as follows. For an open set  $U$ , let  $\theta(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) = A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(U) = A$  send a point  $a \in A$  to the constant map  $(x \mapsto a) \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ .

Now suppose we have some morphism  $\alpha : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . We would like to define  $\beta : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\beta \circ \theta = \alpha$ .

Fix an open subset  $U \subseteq X$  and a section  $f : U \rightarrow A$  of  $\mathcal{C}(U)$ . Notice that  $\{f^{-1}(a)\}_{a \in A}$  is an open cover of  $U$  and  $f|_{f^{-1}(a)} = (x \mapsto a) = \theta(U)(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Consider the collection  $\{\alpha(U)(a)\}_{a \in A}$  of sections in  $\mathcal{G}(U)$ . These satisfy the gluing compatibility condition, namely

$$\alpha(U)(a)|_{f^{-1}(a) \cap f^{-1}(b)} = \alpha(U)(b)|_{f^{-1}(a) \cap f^{-1}(b)}$$

and hence there is some element  $g_f \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  such that  $g_f|_{f^{-1}(a)} = \alpha(U)(a)|_{f^{-1}(a)}$  for all  $a \in A$ . We simply define  $\beta(U)(f) = g_f$  to obtain a map  $\beta(U) : \mathcal{C}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ . This satisfies the restriction requirements and hence  $\beta$  is a map of schemes. Furthermore, if  $f = \theta(U)(a)$  for some  $a \in A$ , then  $f$  is the constant map  $x \mapsto a$  and hence  $f^{-1}(a) = U$ , so  $\beta(f) = \alpha(U)(a)$ . This shows that  $\alpha = \beta \circ \theta$ , meaning  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies the universal property of the sheaf associated to  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

1.2.

- (a) For any morphism of sheaves  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  show that for each point  $P$ ,  $(\ker \varphi)_P = \ker(\varphi_P)$  and  $(\operatorname{im} \varphi)_P = \operatorname{im}(\varphi_P)$
- (b) Show that  $\varphi$  is injective (respectively, surjective) if and only if the induced map on the stalks  $\varphi_P$  is injective (respectively, surjective) for all  $P$ .
- (c) Show that a sequence  $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{\varphi^i} \mathcal{F}^{i+1} \rightarrow \dots$  of sheaves and morphisms is exact if and only if for each  $P \in X$  the corresponding sequence of stalks is exact as a sequence of abelian groups.

**Proof.**

- (a) Recall that for any  $V \subseteq X$  containing a point  $P$  we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi(V)} & \mathcal{G}(V) \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{F}_P & \xrightarrow{\varphi_P} & \mathcal{G}_P \end{array}$$

Start with an element  $(t, V) \in \ker(\varphi_P)$ . Then  $t$  is a section of  $\mathcal{F}(V)$  by definition and by commutativity of the diagram we have that  $\pi(\varphi(V)(t)) = (\varphi(V)(t), V) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}_P$ . This means that there is some open neighborhood  $W \subset V$  of  $P$  such that  $\varphi(U)(t)|_W = 0$  by the equivalence relation on  $\mathcal{G}_P$ , and since  $\varphi(U)(t)|_W = \varphi(W)(t)$  we have that  $\varphi(W)(t|_W) = 0$ . Hence  $t|_W = 0$  and so  $t \in \ker \varphi(W)$ . Hence  $(t|_W, W) \in (\ker \varphi)_P$ , and because  $(t|_W, W)$  and  $(t, V)$  represent the same element in  $\ker(\varphi_P)$ , this shows the inclusion  $\ker(\varphi_P) \subseteq (\ker \varphi)_P$ .

For the other inclusion, take an element  $(t, V) \in (\ker \varphi)_P$ . This means that  $t \in (\ker \varphi)(V) = \ker(\varphi(V))$  and hence  $\varphi(V)(t) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}(V)$ . Composing with  $\pi$  gives  $\pi(\varphi(V)(t)) = (\varphi(V)(t), V) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{G}_P$ . By commutativity,  $\pi((t, V)) = (t, V) \in \mathcal{F}_P$  maps to 0 under  $\varphi_P$ , so  $(t, V) \in \ker(\varphi_P)$ . This gives us the other inclusion.

Now let's consider  $\operatorname{im} \varphi$ . Let  $\operatorname{im}^{pre} \varphi$  denote the image presheaf and recall that sheafification preserves stalks:  $(\operatorname{im}^{pre} \varphi)_P = (\operatorname{im} \varphi)_P$ . By the same diagram as before we have that for  $t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ ,

$$\varphi_P(t, V) = \varphi_P(\pi(t)) = \pi(\varphi(V)(t)) = (\varphi(V)(t), V).$$

Every element  $\varphi_P(t, V) \in \text{im}(\varphi_P)$  can therefore be written as the projection of an element  $(\varphi(V)(t), V) \in \mathcal{G}(V)$ , and vice versa. This gives both inclusions.

- (b) Recall that a map of sheaves is said to be injective if  $\ker \varphi = 0$ . By part (a), if  $\ker \varphi = 0$  then  $\ker(\varphi_P) = (\ker \varphi)_P = 0$ , and hence  $\varphi_P$  is injective. Likewise, if  $\varphi_P$  is injective for each  $P \in X$ , then for any open set  $U \subset X$  and any section  $s \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\ker \varphi(U)(s)|_P = 0$ . This means there is an open cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $U$  such that  $\ker \varphi(U)(s)|_{U_i} = 0$  for each  $i$ , and hence  $\ker \varphi(U)(s) = 0$ .<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Notice I assumed these are sheaves valued in some abelian category, if this isn't the case then you need to argue slightly differently.

We say that  $\varphi$  is surjective if  $\text{im } \varphi = \mathcal{G}$ . If  $\text{im } \varphi$  is surjective, then  $(\text{im } \varphi)_P = \mathcal{G}_P$  for any  $P \in X$ . Sheafification preserves stalks, so we may think of  $(\text{im } \varphi)_P$  as the stalk of the image presheaf, meaning that for each germ  $(s, U) \in (\text{im } \varphi)_P$  we have a corresponding germ  $(t, U) \in \mathcal{F}_P$  satisfying  $\varphi(U)(t) = s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ , and hence  $\varphi_P(t) = s$ . This shows  $\varphi_P$  is surjective for each  $P$ . Now assume the converse, *i.e.* that  $\varphi_P$  is surjective for each  $P \in X$ . Fix an open set  $U \subset X$  and consider a section  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ . Due to the surjectivity of  $\varphi_P$ , at each  $P \in U$  there is some germ  $t_P \in \mathcal{F}_P$  such that  $\varphi_P(t_P) = s_P$ . Define a function  $r : U \rightarrow \bigcup_{P \in U} \mathcal{G}_P$  by  $r(P) = \varphi_P(t_P) = s_P$ . We need only check that  $r$  is a section of  $\text{im } \varphi(U)$ . Indeed, by the surjectivity of  $\varphi_P$ , for each  $P$  there is some neighborhood  $V$  of  $P$  and a section  $a \in \mathcal{F}(V)$  such that  $\varphi(V)(a) = s|_V$ . Therefore

$$r(Q) = \varphi(V)(a)_Q = (s|_V)_Q = s_Q$$

for each  $Q \in V$ . Thus  $r$  satisfies both conditions defining sections of  $\text{im } \varphi$  and is therefore a section. Repeating this construction for each  $s \in \mathcal{G}$  and sending  $r \mapsto s$  gives us an identification  $\text{im } \varphi = \mathcal{G}$ , and we conclude that  $\varphi$  is surjective.

- (c) A sequence of sheaves and morphisms  $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}^i \xrightarrow{i} \dots$  is said to be exact if and only if  $\ker \varphi^i = \text{im } \varphi^{i-1}$  for each  $i$ . If this is the case, then taking limits of restriction maps give us an exact sequence on stalks for each  $P$ . Likewise, if  $\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_P^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_P^{i-1}} \mathcal{F}_P^i \xrightarrow{i_P} \dots$  is exact for each  $P$ , then  $\text{im } \varphi^{i-1}_P = \ker \varphi^i_P$  for each  $P$ . This means  $\text{im } \varphi^{i-1}$  surjects onto the subsheaf  $\ker \varphi^i$  of  $\mathcal{F}^i$  by part (b).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Surely there's more to say here, but this seems like enough... perhaps more detail on the "exact implies exact on stalks" direction.

□

- 1.3.(a) Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves on  $X$ . Show that  $\varphi$  is surjective if and only if the following condition holds:

for every open set  $U \subseteq X$ , and for every  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ , there is a covering  $\{U_i\}$  of  $U$  and there are elements  $t_i \in \mathcal{F}(U_i)$  such that  $\varphi(t_i) = s|_{U_i}$  for all  $i$ .

- (b) Give an example of a surjective morphism of sheaves  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  and an open set  $U$  such that  $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is not surjective.

**Proof.**

- (a) Suppose  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is surjective. Fix an open set  $U$  and a section  $s \in \mathcal{G}(U)$ . For each  $P$  we have a surjection  $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$  by Problem 2.1.2, meaning that at each  $P$  we have some germ  $(t_P, V_P) \in \mathcal{F}_P$  such that  $\varphi(V_P)(t_P) = s|_P$ , after perhaps redefining the open set  $V_P$  (note that here,  $t_P$  denotes a section of  $\mathcal{F}(V_P)$  and note a germ in  $\mathcal{F}_P$ ). The cover  $\{V_P\}_{P \in U}$  together with the collection of sections  $t_P \in \mathcal{F}(V_P)$  then satisfies the desired condition.

Conversely, if  $\varphi$  satisfies this condition, then we easily see it is surjective on stalks and is hence surjective by Problem 2.1.2.

- (b) Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$  with the usual topology,  $\mathcal{F}$  the presheaf of bounded holomorphic functions and

□

- 1.4.(a) Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of presheaves such that  $\varphi(U) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$  is injective for each  $U$ . Show that the induced map  $\varphi^+ : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^+$  of associated sheaves is injective.
- (b) Use part (a) to show that if  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a morphism of sheaves, the  $\text{im } \varphi$  can be naturally identified with a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}$  as mentioned in the text.

**Proof.**

- (a) The map  $\varphi^+$  is induced by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow \theta_F & & \downarrow \theta_G \\ \mathcal{F}^+ & \xrightarrow{\varphi^+} & \mathcal{G}^+ \end{array}$$

Where  $\theta_F : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$  is defined on an open set  $U$  and a section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  by

$$s \mapsto (f_s : P \mapsto s_P).$$



This means  $\varphi^+(U)$  is simply given by composition with  $\varphi$  stalkwise, i.e. for a section  $s \in \mathcal{F}^+$  and denoting  $t = \varphi^+(U)(s) \in \mathcal{G}^+$ ,  $t$  is defined  $t(P) = \varphi_P \circ s(P)$ . Notice that in Problem 2.1.2, the proof that if  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is injective then  $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$  is injective for each  $P$  only required that  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  were presheaves (the converse required that they be sheaves). Thus,  $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$  is injective for each  $P \in U$ .

- (b) Let  $\text{im}^p \varphi$  denote the image presheaf  $U \mapsto \text{im}(\varphi(U))$ . Consider the inclusion map  $\iota : \text{im}^p \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  given by the inclusions  $\text{im}(\varphi(U)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ . This is a morphism of presheaves, and it is clearly injective for each  $U$ . There is then an induced map of sheaves  $\iota^+ : \text{im } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  which is also injective by part (a)<sup>3</sup>. This allows us to identify  $\text{im } \varphi$  with a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}$ :  $\text{im } \varphi(U) = \iota^+(\text{im } \varphi(U)) \subset \mathcal{G}(U)$ .

<sup>3</sup> Here we've implicitly sheafified, replacing  $\text{im}^p \varphi$  with its sheafification and leaving  $\mathcal{G}$  as-is since the sheafification of a sheaf is canonically isomorphic to the original sheaf.

□

- 1.5. Show that a morphism of sheaves is an isomorphism if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

**Proof.** Proposition 1.1 in Hartshorne Chapter II says that a morphism of sheaves  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_P$  is an isomorphism on the level of stalks for each  $P \in X$ . By Problem 2.1.2 (b),  $\varphi$  is injective (resp. surjective) if and only if  $\varphi_P$  is injective (resp. surjective) for each  $P$ . This gives us the desired conclusion.<sup>4</sup>

□

- 1.6.(a) Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be a subsheaf of a sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$ . Show that the natural map of  $\mathcal{F}$  to the quotient sheaf  $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}'$  is surjective, and has kernel  $\mathcal{F}'$ . Thus there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow 0.$$

- (b) Conversely, if  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence, show that  $\mathcal{F}'$  is isomorphic to a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{F}$  and that  $\mathcal{F}''$  is isomorphic to the quotient of  $\mathcal{F}$  by this subsheaf.

**Proof.**

- (a) Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}'$  denote the natural map given by sheafifying  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)/\mathcal{F}'(U)$ . To show this is surjective, it suffices to show it is surjective on stalks, but this is clear since the map  $\varphi_P : \mathcal{F}_P \rightarrow (\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}')_P = \mathcal{F}_P/\mathcal{F}'_P$  is the obvious one. Now take an element  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  such that  $\varphi(U)(s) = 0$ . Then  $\varphi(U)(s)_P = \varphi_P(s_P) = 0$  for each  $P \in U$ , and hence

<sup>4</sup> There is a subtlety here: two sheaves might have isomorphic stalks at every point but not be isomorphic. Proposition 1.1 says that an isomorphism on stalks is an isomorphism of sheaves if and only if the isomorphisms of stalks comes from a morphism of sheaves. This argument therefore only works because we started with a morphism of sheaves.

$s_p \in \ker \varphi_p = \mathcal{F}'_p$ . By the gluing axiom we have that  $s \in \mathcal{F}'$ , which gives us the inclusion  $\ker \varphi(U) \subseteq \mathcal{F}'(U)$ . For the other inclusion, take a section  $s \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$  and note that  $s_p \in \mathcal{F}'_p = \ker \varphi_p$ . We therefore have an exact sequence as described.

(b) Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Problem 2.1.4 showed that  $\text{im } \alpha$  can indeed be identified with a subsheaf and exactness tells us that subsheaf is  $\ker \beta$ , giving us the first half of the problem. Any section  $s \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$  gets killed by  $\beta \circ \alpha$  at every stalk due to exactness, hence by sheaf axioms  $\beta(\alpha(s)) = 0$  in  $\mathcal{F}''(U)$ . Thus we get a morphism  $\mathcal{F}(U)/\mathcal{F}'(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(U)$  for each  $U$ , giving us a morphism of presheaves, and after sheafifying this becomes a morphism  $(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}')(U) = \mathcal{F}''(U)$ . This is injective and surjective on stalks, seen simply by checking the exact sequence, and is therefore an isomorphism on stalks by 2.1.2. We conclude that it is an isomorphism of sheaves, giving us the second half of the problem.

□

1.7. Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a morphism of sheaves.

- (a) Show that  $\text{im } \varphi \cong \mathcal{F} / \ker \varphi$ .
- (b) Show that  $\text{coker } \varphi \cong \mathcal{G} / \text{im } \varphi$ .

*Proof.*

- (a) This sort of argument is becoming a little more standard<sup>5</sup>. We first note that, for each  $U$ , we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi(U)} & \text{im}(\varphi(U)) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ & \searrow \pi(U) & \uparrow \alpha(U) & & \\ & & \mathcal{F}(U) / \ker \varphi(U) & & \end{array}$$

by the first isomorphism theorem of whatever abelian category in which we happen to be working. The map  $\alpha$  is simply  $\varphi$  applied to representatives of cosets, it's well defined because everything in  $\ker \varphi(U)$  is sent to zero in  $\mathcal{G}(U)$  and it is an isomorphism onto its image which is  $\text{im}(\varphi(U))$  by definition. This is compatible with restriction maps and hence this yields a diagram of presheaves. Sheafifying gives us a corresponding diagram of sheaves:

<sup>5</sup> Notice the general pattern here: we want to show two sheaves are isomorphic, but we only know that they or the presheaves from whence they come are isomorphic at each  $U$ . We check compatibility of these isomorphisms with restriction maps to argue we have an isomorphism of presheaves. Sheafifying yields us morphisms of sheaves and preserves stalks. Because the stalks were isomorphic in the presheaf picture, the morphism of sheaves is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \operatorname{im} \varphi & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{G} \\
 & \searrow \pi & \uparrow \alpha & & \\
 & & \mathcal{F} / \ker \varphi & & 
 \end{array}$$

Sheafification may have changed the sets  $\operatorname{im}(\varphi(U))$  and  $\operatorname{im}(\alpha(U))$  for various  $U$ . However, it preserves stalks, hence  $\alpha_P$  is still an isomorphism  $\operatorname{im} \varphi_P \cong (\mathcal{F} / \ker \varphi)_P$  at each  $P$ . This means  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism by Proposition 1.1 in Hartshorne Chapter II<sup>6</sup>.

<sup>6</sup> We could have concluded simply by stating that the sheafification functor sends isomorphisms to isomorphisms.

(b) The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{im}(\varphi(U)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \varphi(U) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for each  $U$  and compatible with restriction maps, hence sheafifying yields a sequence

$$\operatorname{im} \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \varphi.$$

This is exact on stalks and hence is exact as a sequence of sheaves by Problem 2.1.2. Applying the result of Problem 2.1.4 proves that  $\mathcal{G} / \operatorname{im} \varphi \cong \operatorname{coker} \varphi$ .

□

- 1.8. For any open subset  $U \subseteq X$ , show that the functor  $\Gamma(U, \cdot)$  from sheaves on  $X$  to abelian groups is a left exact functor, i.e., if  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''$  is an exact sequence of sheaves, the  $0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}') \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}'')$  is an exact sequence of groups. The functor  $\Gamma(U, \cdot)$  need not be exact; see Exercise 2.1.17 below.

**Proof.** Label the relevant maps of the exact sequence:  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{F}''$ . A map of sheaves is injective if and only if it is injective on sections, hence  $0 \rightarrow \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}') \xrightarrow{\alpha(U)} \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$  is exact (i.e.  $\alpha(U)$  is injective). We only need to show that  $\operatorname{im} \alpha(U) = \ker \beta(U)$ .

Take a section  $s \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ . Compatibility with restrictions gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\beta_U \circ \alpha_U)(s)_P &= \varinjlim_{U \supset V \ni P} (\beta_U \circ \alpha_U)(s)|_V \\
 &= \varinjlim_{U \supset V \ni P} (\beta_U \circ \alpha_U)(s|_V) \\
 &= \beta_P \circ \alpha_P(s_P) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

with the last equality following from the exactness on stalks. Since  $\beta_U \circ \alpha_U(s)$  is zero at every stalk, sheaf axioms give us that  $\beta_U \circ \alpha_U(s)$  itself is zero, and hence  $\ker \beta_U \supseteq \operatorname{im} \alpha_U$ .

Now suppose we start with a section  $s \in \ker \beta_U$ . A similar argument above tells us that, at each  $P$ , there is a germ  $t_P = (V_i, t_i) \in \mathcal{F}'_P$  (using an intermediate index  $i$  determined by each  $P$  to avoid confusion between the element  $t_P \in \mathcal{F}'_P$  and  $t_i \in \mathcal{F}'(V_i)$ ) such that  $\alpha_P(t_P) = s_P$ . After perhaps shrinking  $V_i$ , we can assume that  $\alpha_P(t_P) = (V_i, s|_{V_i})$ , the representative of  $s_P$  in  $\mathcal{F}_P$ , and hence  $\alpha_{V_i}(t_i) = s|_{V_i}$ . Since

$$\alpha_{V_i}(t_i)|_{V_i \cap V_j} = (s|_{V_i})|_{V_i \cap V_j} = (s|_{V_j})|_{V_i \cap V_j} = \alpha_{V_j}(t_j)|_{V_i \cap V_j},$$

the collection of sections  $\{\alpha_{V_i}(t_i)\}_i$  agree on overlaps. Since  $\alpha$  is injective<sup>7</sup> on sections, it must be the case that  $t_i|_{V_i \cap V_j} = t_j|_{V_i \cap V_j}$ . By the gluing axiom, there exists some section  $t \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$  such that  $t|_{V_i} = t_i$ . But then

$$\alpha_U(t)|_{V_i} = \alpha_{V_i}(t|_{V_i}) = \alpha_{V_i}(t_i) = s|_{V_i},$$

and since  $\alpha_U(t)$  and  $s$  agree on a cover of  $U$ , they must be the same section in  $\mathcal{F}$ . This gives us the second inclusion  $\ker \beta_U \subseteq \operatorname{im} \alpha_U$ , and we are done.  $\square$

<sup>7</sup> Notice that injectivity of  $\alpha$  is crucial here!

- 1.9. *Direct sum.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be sheaves on  $X$ . Show that the presheaf  $U \mapsto \mathcal{F}(U) \oplus \mathcal{G}(U)$  is a sheaf. It is called the *direct sum* of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , and is denoted by  $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$ . Show it plays the role of direct sum and of direct product in the category of sheaves of abelian groups on  $X$ .

**Proof.** We first show  $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$  as defined is a sheaf.

*Locality:* Suppose we have a cover  $V_i$  of  $U$  and a section  $(s, t) \in \mathcal{F}(U) \oplus \mathcal{G}(U)$  such that  $(s, t)|_{V_i} = 0$  for all  $i$ . By definition  $(s, t)|_{V_i} = (s|_{V_i}, t|_{V_i}) = 0 = (0, 0)$ , and hence by the locality of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  we have  $(s, t) = 0$ .

*Gluing* Suppose we have a cover  $V_i$  of  $U$  and sections  $(s_i, t_i) \in \mathcal{F}(V_i) \oplus \mathcal{G}(V_i)$  which agree on overlaps. Then there are sections  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  and  $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$  such that  $(s|_{V_i}, t|_{V_i}) = (s_i, t_i)$  for each  $i$ , hence  $(s, t)|_{V_i} = (s_i, t_i)$ .

Thus  $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf. Given morphisms  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  and  $g : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  we obtain a unique morphism  $\mathcal{F}(U) \oplus \mathcal{G}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(U)$  for each open set  $U$ . This morphism is compatible with the restriction maps, and hence we get a (unique) morphism of sheaves  $\mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ . The direct product case is similar.<sup>8</sup>  $\square$

<sup>8</sup> I'm not sure what else to say here without putting together a formal category theoretic argument, which feels like overkill.

- 1.10. *Direct Limit.* Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$  be a direct system of sheaves and morphisms on  $X$ . We define the *direct limit* of the system  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ , denoted  $\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i$ , to be the sheaf associated to the presheaf  $U \mapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$ . Show that this is a direct limit in the category of sheaves on  $X$ , i.e., that it has the following universal property: given a sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$ , and a collection of morphisms  $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , compatible with the maps of the direct system, then there exists a unique map  $\varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that for each  $i$ , the original map  $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is obtained by composing the maps  $\mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ .

*Proof.*

□

- 1.11. Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$  be a direct system of sheaves on a Noetherian topological space  $X$ . In this case show that the presheaf  $U \mapsto \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$  is already a sheaf. In particular,  $\Gamma(X, \varinjlim \mathcal{F}_i) = \varinjlim \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_i)$ .

*Proof.*

□

- 1.12. *Inverse Limit.* Let  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$  be an inverse system of sheaves on  $X$ . Show that the presheaf  $U \mapsto \varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i(U)$  is a sheaf. It is called the *inverse limit* of the system  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$ , and is denoted by  $\varprojlim \mathcal{F}_i$ . Show that it has the universal property of an inverse limit in the category of sheaves.

*Proof.*

□

- 1.13. *Espace Étale of a Presheaf.* (This exercise is included only to establish the connection between our definition of a sheaf and another definition often found in the literature.) Given a presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$ , we define a topological space  $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$  called the *espace étalé* of  $\mathcal{F}$ , as follows. As a set,  $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{P \in X} \mathcal{F}_P$ . We define a projection map  $\pi : \text{Spe}(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow X$  by sending  $s \in \mathcal{F}_P$  to  $P$ . For each open set  $U \subseteq X$  and each section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , we obtain a map  $\bar{s} : U \rightarrow \text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$  by sending  $P \mapsto s_P$ , its germ at  $P$ . This map has the property that  $\pi \circ \bar{s} = \text{id}_U$ , in other words, it is a “section” of  $\pi$  over  $U$ . We now make  $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$  into a topological space by giving it the strongest topology such that all the maps  $\bar{s} : U \rightarrow \text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $U$ , and all  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ , are continuous. Now show that the sheaf  $\mathcal{F}^+$  associated to  $\mathcal{F}$  can be described as follows: for any open set  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^+(U)$  is the set of *continuous* sections of  $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$  over  $U$ . In particular, the original presheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  was a sheaf if and only if for each  $U$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(U)$  is equal to the set of all continuous sections of  $\text{Spe}(\mathcal{F})$  over  $U$ .

*Proof.*

□

- 1.14. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf on  $X$ , and let  $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$  be a section over an open set  $U$ . The *support* of  $s$ , denote  $\text{Supp } s$  is defined to be  $\{P \in U \mid s_P \neq 0\}$ , where  $s_P$  denotes the germ of  $s$  in the stalk of  $\mathcal{F}_P$ . Show that  $\text{Supp } s$  is a closed subset of  $U$ . We define the *support* of  $\mathcal{F}$   $\text{Supp } \mathcal{F}$ , to be  $\{P \in X \mid \mathcal{F}_P \neq 0\}$ . It need not be a closed subset.

**Proof.** Consider the set  $V = \{P \in U \mid s_P = 0\}$ . For each  $P \in V$  there then exists some  $W_P$  containing  $P$  and open in  $U$  such that  $s_P = (s|_{W_P})_P = 0$ , i.e. so that  $s|_{W_P} = 0$ . We then have that  $V = \bigcup_{P \in V} W_P$ , and hence  $V$  is open. Because  $\text{Supp } s$  is the complement of  $V$  it is closed.

An example of a sheaf whose support is not a closed set in  $U$  is  $j_!\mathbb{Z}$ . Here  $j : U \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion and  $j_! : \text{Sh}(U, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sh}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is the functor where  $j_!\mathcal{F}$  is the sheaf associated to the presheaf

$$V \mapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{F}(V) & \text{if } V \subseteq U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The sheaf  $j_!\mathcal{F}$  has the property that  $(j_!\mathcal{F})_x = \mathcal{F}_x$  if  $x \in U$  and is 0 otherwise. Hence, the support of  $j_!\mathbb{Z}$  is simply  $U$ , which is open, not necessarily closed.  $\square$

- 1.15. Sheaf  $\mathcal{H}om$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be sheaves of abelian groups on  $X$ . For any open set  $U \subseteq X$  show that the set  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$  of morphisms of the restricted sheaves has a natural structure of an abelian group. Show that the presheaf  $U \mapsto \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$  is a sheaf. It is called the *sheaf of local morphisms* of  $\mathcal{F}$  into  $\mathcal{G}$ , “sheaf hom” for short, and is denoted  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ .

**Proof.** We first show that  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$  is an abelian group. This is easy; we simply define  $(f + g)(U) = f(U) + g(U) \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ab}}(\mathcal{F}(U), \mathcal{G}(U))$ . The zero morphism  $0 : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  defined  $0(U)(s) = 0$  is the identity and the inverse of a map  $f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is the morphism  $-f : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  defined on sections by  $(-f)(U)(s) = -f(U)(s)$ . This addition is compatible with restrictions.

Note that  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$  is indeed a presheaf – it associates an abelian group to every  $U \subseteq X$  and for every inclusion  $V \subseteq U$  we get a restriction  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_V, \mathcal{G}|_V)$  given by restriction a morphism  $f : \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_U$  to  $\mathcal{F}|_V \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_V$  (here we are technically using the fact that  $(\mathcal{F}|_U)|_V \cong \mathcal{F}|_V$ ). We therefore need only show the two locality conditions hold for  $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ .

*Identity Axiom:* Suppose  $f$  is a section of  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}|_U, \mathcal{G}|_U)$ , i.e. that it is a map  $f : \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_U$ , such that  $f|_{V_i} = 0$  on some open cover  $\{V_i\}$  of  $U$ . Take some other open set  $W \subseteq U$  and let  $W_i = W \cap V_i$ . Take some section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(W)$ . For each  $i$ , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(W) & \xrightarrow{f(W)} & \mathcal{G}(W) \\ \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathcal{F}(W_i) & \xrightarrow{f(W_i)} & \mathcal{G}(W_i) \end{array}$$

commutes and  $f|_{W_i} = f(W_i)$  by definition, so we get that  $f(W_i)(s|_{W_i}) = 0$  for each  $i$ . The commutativity of the diagram paired with the fact that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a sheaf gives us that  $f(W)(s) = 0$ , since the  $\mathcal{G}$  section  $f(W)(s)$  restricts to zero on  $W_i$  for each  $i$ . Because  $s$  was chosen to be an arbitrary section  $f(W)$  must be zero and because  $W$  was chosen to be an arbitrary open subset of  $U$  the morphism  $f : \mathcal{F}|_U \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_U$  must be zero. This proves the first sheaf axiom.

*Gluing Axiom:* Suppose now that we have morphisms  $f_i : \mathcal{F}|_{V_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_{V_i}$  on some open cover  $\{V_i\}$  of an open set  $W \subseteq U$  such that  $f_i(V_i \cap V_j) = f_j(V_i \cap V_j)$ . We can define a morphism  $f : \mathcal{F}|_W \rightarrow \mathcal{G}|_W$  which restricts to  $f_i$  on  $V_i$  as follows.

Fix an arbitrary section  $s \in \mathcal{F}(W)$ , restrict it to  $V_i$  and map it to  $\mathcal{G}|_{V_i}$ . This is  $f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})$ . The restriction of this  $\mathcal{G}(V_i)$  section to  $V_i \cap V_j$  is  $f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})|_{V_i \cap V_j} = f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i \cap V_j})$  by the commutativity requirement satisfied by  $f_i(V_i)$  and furthermore  $f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i \cap V_j}) = f_j(V_j)(s|_{V_i \cap V_j}) = f_j(V_j)(s|_{V_j})|_{V_i \cap V_j}$  since  $f_i$  and  $f_j$  agree on overlaps. Hence  $\{f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})\}_i$  form a collection of sections in  $\mathcal{G}(V_i)$  which agree on overlaps, so there is some unique  $x \in \mathcal{G}(W)$  which restricts to  $f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})$  on  $V_i$ . Now define  $f(W)(s) = x$ . This is the only thing we could possibly do, since  $x$  is the unique element which satisfies  $x|_{V_i} = f_i(V_i)(s|_{V_i})$  for all  $i$ . One can see that  $f$  is compatible with restrictions by definition (we *defined* it by lifting restrictions on a cover) and that  $f(W')$  is a homomorphism of abelian groups by tracing a sum  $s + t$  of sections in  $\mathcal{F}(W')$  through the same restriction diagrams and lifting to  $\mathcal{G}(W')$ .  $\square$

- 1.16. A sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a topological space  $X$  is *flasque* if for every inclusion  $V \subseteq U$  of open sets, the restriction map  $\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$  is surjective.

- (a) Show that a constant sheaf on an irreducible topological space is flasque.
- (b) If  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of sheaves, and if  $\mathcal{F}'$  is flasque, then for any open set  $U$ , the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}''(U) \rightarrow 0$  of abelian groups is also exact.
- (c) If  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of sheaves and if  $\mathcal{F}'$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  are flasque, then  $\mathcal{F}''$  is flasque.

**Proof.**

- (a) If  $U \subseteq X$  then  $U$  is also irreducible, indeed, if  $U = (X \cap F_1) \cup (X \cap F_2)$  for some closed sets  $F_1, F_2 \subseteq X$ , then  $X = U^c \cup F_1 \cup F_2$ . It therefore suffices to consider the inclusion  $U \subseteq X$  of an open set  $U$ . Let  $f \in A(U)$  be a section of  $\mathcal{C}(U)$  where  $A$  is the constant sheaf on  $X$  (see the definition in exercise II.1.1) and let  $a \in \text{img } f$ . The sets  $\{a\}$  and  $\text{img } f \setminus \{a\}$  are both open and closed because  $A$  is endowed with the discrete topology, hence  $f^{-1}(a) \cup f^{-1}(\text{img } f \setminus \{a\}) = U$  is a decomposition of  $U$  into closed subsets. As  $U$  is irreducible, one of these must be empty, and it must be  $f^{-1}(\text{img } f \setminus \{a\})$  since we chose  $a \in \text{img } f$ . This implies  $f$  is the constant function  $x \mapsto a$ , and is the restriction of the same function on  $X$  to  $U$ .
- (b)

□

- 1.17. Let  $X$  be a topological space, let  $P$  be a point, and let  $A$  be an abelian group. Define a sheaf  $i_P(A)$  as follows:  $i_P(A)(U) = A$  if  $P \in U$ , 0 otherwise. Verify that the stalk of  $i_P(A)$  is  $A$  at every point  $Q \in \{P\}^-$  in the closure of  $P$ , and 0 elsewhere. Hence the name “skyscraper sheaf”. Show that this sheaf could also be described as  $i_*(A)$  where  $A$  denotes the constant sheaf  $A$  on the closed subspace  $\{P\}^-$  and  $i : \{P\}^- \rightarrow X$  is the inclusion.

**Proof.** Suppose  $Q \in \{P\}^-$  so that every open set  $V$  containing  $Q$  also contains  $P$ . Then  $i_P(A)(V) = A$  for every such set by definition, and the restriction map  $i_P(A)(V) \rightarrow i_P(A)(V')$  for  $Q \in V' \subseteq V$  is the identity. Hence the stalk at  $i_P(A)(V)$  is indeed  $A$ . If  $Q$  is not in the closure of  $\{P\}$  then there is some open set  $V$  containing  $Q$  which avoids  $P$ . Hence  $i_P(A)(V) = 0$  and the stalk at  $Q$  must necessarily be zero.

Suppose now that  $i_*(A)$  is the pushforward of the constant sheaf on  $\{P\}^-$  via the inclusion  $i : \{P\}^- \rightarrow X$ . Any open subset of



$\{P\}^-$  is given by the intersection of  $\{P\}^-$  with  $V \subseteq X$  open. If this intersection contains a point  $Q$ , then  $V$  necessarily contains  $P$  as well, since  $Q$  is in the closure of  $\{P\}$ . This means every nonempty open subset of  $\{P\}^-$  contains  $P$ , and in particular, any two open subsets meet. This implies that  $\{P\}^-$  is connected and thus the constant sheaf  $A$  on  $\{P\}^-$  is simply the constant presheaf. The pushforward  $i_*A$  is then

$$i_*A(V) = A(i^{-1}(V)) = \begin{cases} A & i^{-1}(V) \text{ nonempty} \iff P \in V \\ 0 & i^{-1}(V) = \emptyset \iff P \notin V \end{cases}.$$

This is exactly the skyscraper sheaf.  $\square$

#### EXERCISE 1.21

## §2: Schemes

**EXERCISE 2.7.** Let  $X$  be a scheme. For any  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_x$  be the local ring at  $x$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  its maximal ideal. We define the *residue field* of  $x$  on  $X$  to be the field  $k(x) = \mathcal{O}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x$ . Now let  $K$  be any field. Show that to give a morphism of  $\text{Spec } K$  to  $X$  it is equivalent to give a point  $x \in X$  and an inclusion map  $k(x) \rightarrow K$ .

**Proof.** Suppose first that we have a map  $f : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$ . Topologically, this is determined solely by choosing an image  $x \in f(P)$  for the sole point  $P \in \text{Spec } K$ . Sheaf theoretically, this consists of a map  $f^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_K$  (by  $\mathcal{O}_K$  we mean  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } K}$ ). This induces a local ring map on the stalk at  $P$ :  $f_P^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow (f_*\mathcal{O}_K)_P = K$ , meaning that the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_x$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is sent to the maximal ideal  $(0) \subseteq K$ , meaning that  $\mathfrak{m}_x = \ker f_P^\sharp$ . This in turn implies that  $f_P^\sharp$  factors through the quotient  $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \mapsto k(x) = \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x$  and hence induces a map  $k(x) \rightarrow K$ . This map is necessarily an inclusion since every ring homomorphism of fields is injective.

Now suppose we have an injection  $p : k(x) \hookrightarrow K$ . We can then define a map  $f_x^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow K$  by  $f_x^\sharp = p \circ \pi$ , where  $\pi : \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow k(x)$  is the quotient map. This is precisely a map on between the stalks  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{K,P}$ . If we define  $f : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$  by  $P \mapsto x$  and  $f^\sharp(U) : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_K(U) = K$  by  $f^\sharp(U) = f_x^\sharp \circ \iota$  where  $\iota : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  is the natural localization map, then  $(f, f^\sharp)$  is a map of schemes. Note that for any open set  $U \subseteq X$  not containing  $x$  the map  $f^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_X(U) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_K(U)$  is necessarily the zero map, since  $f_*\mathcal{O}_K(U) = \mathcal{O}_K(f^{-1}(U)) = \mathcal{O}_K(\emptyset) = 0$ .  $\square$

EXERCISE 2.11. Let  $k = \mathbb{F}_p$  be the finite field with  $p$  elements. Describe  $\text{Spec } k[x]$ . What are the residue fields of its points? How many points are there with a given residue field?

**Proof.** The ring  $k[x]$  is a PID since  $k$  is a field, so the prime ideals are all principally generated by irreducible polynomials  $f \in k[x]$ .  $\square$

EXERCISE 2.18.

- (a) Let  $A$  be a ring,  $X = \text{Spec } A$   $f \in A$ . Show that  $f$  is nilpotent if and only if  $D(f)$  is empty.
- (b) Let  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism and let  $f : \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  be the induced morphism of affine schemes. Show that  $\varphi$  is injective if and only if the map of sheaves  $f^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_X$  is injective. Show furthermore in that case  $f$  is *dominant*, i.e.  $f(\text{Spec } B)$  is dense in  $X$ .

**Proof.**(a) Recall that the nilradical of any ring is equal to the intersection of all its prime ideals. Therefore

$$f \text{ is nilpotent} \iff f \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A} \mathfrak{p} \iff V(f) = \text{Spec } A \iff D(f) = \emptyset.$$

- (b) Note first that if  $f^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } B}$  is injective then it is injective on global sections and hence  $\varphi = f^\sharp(\text{Spec } A) : A \rightarrow B$  is injective. Suppose instead that  $f^\sharp$  is not injective, so that there is some  $U \subseteq \text{Spec } A$  such that  $f^\sharp(U) : \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } B}(f^{-1}(U))$  which is not an injective ring homomorphism. By taking  $f \in A$  such that  $D(f) \subseteq U$  (which exists since the sets  $D(f)$  are basic opens) we can assume that  $U = D(f)$ . In this case, the map  $f^\sharp(D(f))$  is the map  $\varphi_f : A_f \rightarrow B_{\varphi(f)}$ . If this is not injective, then there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi(f^n)\varphi(a) = 0 \implies \varphi(f^n \cdot a) = 0$  such that  $f^n a \neq 0$ , and hence  $\varphi$  is not injective.

Suppose now that  $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$  is injective. The map  $f$  is dominant if and only if  $f(\text{Spec } B)$  has nontrivial intersection with every (nonempty) basic open  $D(f)$ . Fix then a nonempty  $D(f)$ , which by part (a) means  $f$  is not nilpotent. Localizing at  $f$  yields a map  $\varphi_f : A_f \rightarrow B_{\varphi(f)}$ . Pulling back a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Spec } B_{\varphi(f)}$  by  $\varphi_f$  yields a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A_f$ , and then by the correspondence between  $\text{Spec } A_f$  and primes in  $\text{Spec } A$  which do not contain  $f$ , we get that  $f(\mathfrak{m}) = \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{p} \in D(f)$ . Hence the image of  $f$  is dense in  $\text{Spec } A$ .  $\square$