

Lecture 12

\mathcal{O}_K Dedekind domain.

Lemma 10.4: Let $x \neq 0 \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then

$$(x) = \prod_{\substack{p \neq 0 \\ \text{prime ideal}}} p^{v_p(x)}$$

Proof: $x \mathcal{O}_{K,(p)} = (p \mathcal{O}_{K,(p)})^{v_p(x)}$ by definition of $v_p(x)$. Lemma follows from property localization:

$$I = J \iff I \mathcal{O}_{K,(p)} = J \mathcal{O}_{K,(p)} \quad \forall \text{ prime ideal } p \quad \square$$

Notation: \mathcal{O}_K Dedekind domain, L/K finite separable extension, $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$, $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ non-zero prime ideals. We write $\mathcal{P} | \mathfrak{p}$ if $\mathfrak{p} \mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{P}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathcal{P}_r^{e_r}$, $\mathcal{P} \in \langle \mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_r \rangle$ ($e_i > 0$).

Theorem 10.5: Let \mathcal{O}_K be a Dedekind domain and L a finite separable extension of $K = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_K)$.

For \mathfrak{p} a non-zero prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K , we write $\mathfrak{p} \mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{P}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathcal{P}_r^{e_r}$. Then the absolute values on L extending $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (up to equivalence) are precisely $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{P}_1}, \dots, |\cdot|_{\mathcal{P}_r}$.

2. Def: A... Lemma 10.6: For ...

Proof. By Lemma 10.1, for any $x \in K$ and $i = 1, \dots, r$, we have $v_{p_i}(x) = e_i v_p(x)$.

Hence, up to equivalence, $|\cdot|_{p_i}$ extends $|\cdot|_p$.

Now suppose $|\cdot|$ is an abs. value on L extending $|\cdot|_p$. Then $|\cdot|$ is bounded on \mathbb{Z} and hence $|\cdot|$ is non-archimedean.

Let $R = \{x \in L \mid |x| \leq 1\} \subseteq L$ be the valuation ring for L w.r.t. $|\cdot|$. Then $\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq R$, and since R is integrally closed (Lemma 6.8), we have $\mathcal{O}_L \subseteq R$. Set $\mathcal{P} := \{x \in \mathcal{O}_L \mid |x| < 1\}$.

$$= \mathfrak{m}_R \cap \mathcal{O}_L$$

max. ideal in R .

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ prime ideal in \mathcal{O}_L - non-zero since $0 \in \mathcal{P}$

Then $\mathcal{O}_{L,(\mathcal{P})} \subseteq R$, since $s \in \mathcal{O}_L \setminus \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow |s| = 1$.

But $\mathcal{O}_{L,(\mathcal{P})}$ is a DVR, hence a maximal subring of $L \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L,(\mathcal{P})} = R$.

3 Hence $|\cdot|$ is equiv. to $|\cdot|_p$.

Since $|\cdot|$ extends $|\cdot|_p$, $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{P}$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathcal{P}_r^{e_r} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_i \text{ some } i.$$

□

Let K a number field if $\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ is a real or complex embedding, then $x \mapsto |\sigma(x)|$ defines

an abs. value on K (Ex. sheet 2) denoted by $|\cdot|_0$

Corollary 10.6: Let K be a number field

with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K . Then any

absolute value on K is equivalent to either

(i) $|\cdot|_p$ for some non-zero prime ideal of \mathcal{O}_K .

(ii) $|\cdot|_\sigma$ for some $\sigma: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$

Proof: Case 1: $|\cdot|$ non-archimedean

Then $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is equivalent to

$|\cdot|_p$ for some prime p by Ostrowski's

theorem. Theorem 10.5 then implies $|\cdot|$

is equiv. to $|\cdot|_p$ for p a prime of \mathcal{O}_K dividing p .

Case 2: $|\cdot|$ archimedean: Ex sheet 2. \square

§ Completion

\mathcal{O}_K Dedekind domain, L/K finite separable

Let \mathfrak{p} a prime of \mathcal{O}_K and \mathfrak{P} a prime of \mathcal{O}_L s.t.

\mathfrak{P} divides \mathfrak{p} . We write $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ for the

completions of K and L w.r.t. the absolute values

defined by $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{P}}$ respectively.

Lemma 10.7:

(i) The natural map $L \otimes_K K_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow L_{\mathfrak{P}}$ is surjective.

(ii) $[L_{\mathfrak{P}} : K_{\mathfrak{p}}] \leq [L : K]$

Proof: Let $M := L K_p \subseteq L_p$. Then M is a finite extension of K_p and $[M: K_p] \leq [L: K]$. Moreover M is complete, ^{Thm 6.1} and since $L \subseteq M \subseteq L_p$, we have $M = L_p$. \square

Lemma 10.8: (Chinese remainder theorem)

Let R be a ring. Let $I_1, \dots, I_n \subseteq R$ be ideals s.t.

$\exists I_i + I_j = R \quad \forall i \neq j$. Then

$$(i) \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i = \prod_{i=1}^n I_i \quad (= I \text{ say}).$$

$$(ii) \quad R/I \cong \prod_{i=1}^n R/I_i.$$

Proof: Example sheet 2 \square

Theorem 10.9: The natural map $L \otimes_K K_p \xrightarrow[\cong]{\prod_{p|p} L_p}$ is an iso.

Proof: Write $L = K(\alpha)$ and let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be

the minimal polynomial of α . Then we have

$$f(x) = f_1(x) \dots f_r(x) \quad \text{in } K_p[x]$$

where $f_i(x) \in K_p[x]$ are distinct irreducible. (separate)

Since $L \cong K[x]/f(x)$, we have

$$L \otimes_K K_p \cong K_p[x]/f(x) \stackrel{\text{CRT}}{\cong} \prod_{i=1}^r K_p[x]/f_i(x)$$

Set $L_i := K_p[x]/f_i(x)$ a finite extension of K_p .

Then L_i contains both L and K_p (use

$K[x]/f(x) \hookrightarrow K_p[x]/f_i(x)$ injective since morphism

of fields). Moreover L is dense inside L_i .

6 Indeed since K is dense inside K_p , can approximate coefficients of an element of $K_p[x]/f_i(x)$ with an element of $K[x]/f_i(x)$.

The theorem follows from the following three claims.

- (1) $L_i \cong L_p$ for some prime P of \mathcal{O}_L dividing p .
- (2) Each p appears at most once.
- (3) Each p appears at least once.

Proof of claims:

(1) Since $[L_i : K_p] < \infty$, there is a unique abs. value $|\cdot|$ on L_i extending $|\cdot|_p$. Theorem 10.5 $\Rightarrow |\cdot|_L$ equiv. to $|\cdot|_p$ for some $P|p$. Since L is dense in L and L_i is complete, we have $L_i \cong L_p$.

(2) Suppose $\varphi: L_i \cong L_j$ is an isomorphism preserving L and K_p , then

$$\varphi: K_p[x]/f_i(x) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_p[x]/f_j(x)$$

takes x to x and hence $f_i(x) = f_j(x)$

$\Rightarrow j = i$.

7

(3) By Lemma 10.7, the ^{natural} map $\pi_p: L \otimes_K K_p \rightarrow L_p$ is surjective for any $P|p$. Since L_p is a field π_p factors through L_i for some i , and hence $L_i \cong L_p$ by surjectivity of π_p (Lemma 10.7) \square

Eg. $K = \mathbb{Q}$, $L = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, $f(x) = x^2 + 1$, Hensel $\Rightarrow \sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{Q}_5$
hence $\mathbb{Q}(S)$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}(i)$, i.e. $\mathfrak{S} \mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{p}_1 \mathfrak{p}_2$.

□