# D-Modules, Unit *F*-Crystals, and Hodge Theory

# Definitions, Theorems, Remarks, and Notable Examples

## Isaac Martin

## Last compiled January 30, 2022

# Contents

1	Some Non-Commutative Algebra	2
	1.1 Filtered rings and modules	2
2	Differential Operators and D-Modules	3

### 1 Some Non-Commutative Algebra

 $\mathcal{D}$ -modules requires non-commutative algebra. Necessary facts are found here.

#### 1.1 Filtered rings and modules

This subsection follows Ginzburg's notes quite closely, see [BIBTEX SETUP, GINZBURG D-MODULES Page 3].

**Definition 1.1** (*Filtered Ring*). Let *A* be an associative ring with unit. We call *A* a *filtered ring* if an increasing filtration ...  $\subset A_i \subset A_{i+1} \subset ...$  by additive subgroups is given such that

- (i)  $A_i A_j \subset A_{ij}$
- (ii)  $1 \in A_0$ ,
- (iii)  $\bigcup A_i = A$ , i.e. the filtration is *exhausting*.

Typically, either (a)  $\mathbb{N}$  or (b)  $\mathbb{Z}$  is chosen for the index set. In the former case A is said to be *positively filtered*. Note that (a) can be viewed as a special case of (b) by setting  $A_{-1} = 0$ . In the latter case we will consider the topology induced by the filtration by taking  $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$  to be the base of open sets, and we then impose two additional conditions:

- (iv)  $\bigcap A_i = \{0\}$ , i.e. the topology defined by  $\{A_i\}$  is separating
  - 1. A is complete with respect to this topology.

Finally, we denote by grA the associated graded ring  $\bigoplus A_i/A_{i-1}$ .

#### 2 Differential Operators and D-Modules

**Definition 2.1** (Quasi-coherent #1). Fix X a scheme over k,  $\mathcal{O}_X$  the structure sheaf,  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. We call  $\mathcal{F}$  a *quasi-coherent* sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules (or simply an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules) if it satisfies the condition

If 
$$U \subseteq X$$
 an open affine,  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ , and  $U_f = \{u \in U \mid f(u) \neq 0\}$ ,

then 
$$\mathcal{F}(U_f) = \mathcal{F}(U)_f = \mathcal{O}_X(U_f) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{F}$$
.

**Definition 2.2** (Quasi-coherent #2). Let  $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  be a scheme. A sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent if X can be covered by affine opens  $U_i = \operatorname{Spec} A_i$  such that for each i there exists an  $A_i$  module  $M_i$  with  $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i} \cong \tilde{M}_i$ . We say  $\mathcal{F}_i$  is coherent if each  $M_i$  can be taken to be finitely generated.

**Remark 2.3.** If A is a ring and M an A-module, the sheaf associated to M is denoted by  $\tilde{M}$  and is formed as follows. For each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ ,  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A M$  is the localization with respect to  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Given an open set  $U \subseteq \operatorname{Spec} A$ , define

$$\tilde{M}(U) = \left\{ s: U \longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \;\middle|\; s(\mathfrak{p}) \in M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{ and locally } s = \frac{m}{f}, m \in M, f \in A \right\}.$$

More verbosely, this last condition means that for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in U$  there is a neighborhood  $V \subseteq U$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  such that for each  $\mathfrak{q} \in V$ ,  $f \not\in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $s(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{m}{f} \in M_{\mathfrak{q}}$ .

Alternatively, one may define

$$\tilde{M}(U_f) = M_f,$$

and then

$$\tilde{M}(U) = \varinjlim_{U_f \subseteq U} \tilde{M}(U_f).$$

Note that  $U_f$  is implied to be a distinguished open in one of the  $U_i$ , so really we need to take the limit above over all  $U_f$  in all  $U_i$  which intersect U nontrivially. This is a non-issue if U is affine.

**Lemma 2.4.** The following are equivalent conditions for  $\mathcal{F}$  a sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  modules:

- (a)  $\mathcal{F}$  is the direct limit of its coherent subschemes
- (b) For any Zariski open affine subset  $U \subseteq X$  and any  $f \in \mathcal{O}(U)$  one has  $\Gamma(U_f, \mathcal{F}) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})_f$ .

A *quasi-coherent* sheaf is then one which satisfies these conditions.

**Lemma 2.5** (Noether Normalization Lemma). Let k be a field, A a finitely generated k-algebra. Then there exists algebraically independent elements  $y_1, ..., y_d$  in A for some positive d such that A is finitely generated as a module over  $k[y_1, ..., y_n]$ .

**Remark 2.6.** The Noether normalization lemma provides a way to define differential operators using a manifold-esque coordinate approach. I prefer the following coordinate-free approach provided by Gröthendieck, however.

**Definition 2.7** (Differential Operators). Let *A* be a commutative ring. For any pair of *A*-modules *M*, *N* we define the module  $\mathcal{D}iff_A^k(M,N)$  inductively as follows:

(i) 
$$\mathcal{D}iff_A^0(M,N) = \operatorname{Hom}_A(M,N)$$

$$\text{(ii)} \ \ \mathcal{D}\textit{iff}^{k+1}_A(M,N) = \Big\{ \ \text{additive maps } u: M \to N \ \Big| \ \forall a \in A, (au-ua) \in \mathcal{D}\textit{iff}^k_A(M,N) \Big\}$$

It follows from the definition that  $\mathcal{D}iff_A^k(M,N)\subset \mathcal{D}iff_A^{k+1}(M,N)$ . We define

$$\operatorname{Diff}_A(M,N) := \bigcup_k \operatorname{Diff}_A^k(M,N).$$

In the case that M+N, we write  $\mathcal{D}iff_A(M)$  and note that it is a filtered almost commutative ring. asdf