Elliptic Curves Example Sheet 2

Isaac Martin

Last compiled February 23, 2022

EXERCISE 1. Find all points defined over the field \mathbb{F}_{13} of 13 elements on the elliptic curve

$$y^2 = x^3 + x + 5$$

and show that they form a cyclic group. Find an example of an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_{13} for which this group is not cyclic. Are there any examples where the group requires more than two generators?

Proof: By brute force (i.e. a Python script) one can check that

$$E(\mathbb{F}_{13}) = \{O_E, (1,10), (2,7), (3,3), (4,12), (9,12), (10,3), (11,7), (12,10)\}.$$

Counting, this means that $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{13}) = 9$. There are two groups of order 9, $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$. One can choose any non-identity point in $E(\mathbb{F}_{13})$ and add it to itself three times to verify that it is not order 3, and must therefore be order 9. Hence this is cyclic.

I didn't finish this question.

EXERCISE 2. Let A be an abelian group and let $q: A \to \mathbb{Z}$ be a map satisfying

$$q(x+y) + q(x-y) = 2q(x) + 2q(y).$$

Prove that A is a quadratic form.

Proof: Recall that to be a quadratic form, q must satisfy

- (i) $q(nx) = n^2 q(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (ii) $\langle x, y \rangle = q(x+y) q(x) q(y)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -bilinear pairing.

We prove these properties by induction.

(i) Notice that $q(1 \cdot x) = 1^2 q(x)$ trivially, q(0+0) + q(0-0) = 2q(0) + 2q(0) so q(0) = 0, and q(2x) = 12q(x) + 2q(x) - q(x - x) = 4q(x) for all $x \in A$; hence, (i) holds for n = 0, 1 and 2. Now suppose that (i) holds for all positive values k with n > k > 2. By induction,

$$q(nx) = 2q((n-1)x) + 2q(x) - q((n-2)x)$$

$$= 2(n-1)^{2}q(x) + 2q(x) - (n-2)^{2}q(x)$$

$$= (2n^{2} - 4n + 2 + 2 - n^{2} + 4n - 4)q(x) = n^{2}q(x),$$

so (i) holds for all values $n \ge 0$.

Finally, if $n \ge 0$ then

$$q(-nx) = q(x - (n+1)x) = 2q(x) + 2q((n+1)x) - q(x + (n+1)x)$$
$$= 2q(x) + 2(n+1)^2 q(x) - (n+2)^2 q(x)$$
$$= (2 + 2n^2 + 4n + 2 - n^2 - 4n - 4)q(x) = n^2 q(x).$$

This means $q(nx) = n^2 q(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in A$.

(ii) Since the pairing $\langle x, y \rangle$ is invariant under the permutation $x \mapsto y$ and $y \mapsto x$, it suffices to prove that $\langle -, - \rangle$ is \mathbb{Z} -linear in the first coordinate, i.e. that

- (a) $\langle nx, y \rangle = n \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x, y \in A$
- (b) $\langle x+y,z\rangle = \langle x,y\rangle + \langle y,z\rangle$ for all $x,y,z\in A$.

(a) We first treat the case that $n \ge 0$. This induction argument requires that the statement hold true for n-1, n-2 and n-3, so we need the cases that n=0,1 and 2 before proceeding to the induction step.

$$\underline{n=0} \colon \ \langle 0 \cdot x, y \rangle = q(0 \cdot x + y) - q(0 \cdot x) - q(y) = q(y) - q(y) = 0 = 0 \cdot \langle x, y \rangle.$$

 $\underline{n=1}$: This is trivially satisfied.

n=2: We invoke the equality q(2x)=4q(x) provided by (i) here.

$$\langle 2x, y \rangle = q(2x+y) - q(2x) - q(y)$$

$$= q(x+(x+y)) - q(2x) - q(y)$$

$$= 2q(x) + 2q(x+y) - q(x-(x+y)) - 4q(x) - q(y)$$

$$= 2q(x+y) - 2q(x) - q(-y) - q(y)$$

$$= 2(q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)) = 2\langle x, y \rangle.$$

Assume now that n > 2 and that $\langle kx, y \rangle = k \langle x, y \rangle$ holds for $n > k \ge 0$. This means

$$\langle kx, y \rangle = q(kx+y) - q(kx) - q(y) = k(q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y))$$

for $0 \le k < n$ and so

$$q(kx+y) = k(q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y)) + k^2 q(x) + q(y)$$

= $kq(x+y) + (k^2 - k)q(x) - (k-1)q(y)$. (*)

We can now prove the desired statement:

$$\langle nx, y \rangle = q(nx+y) - q(nx) - q(y)$$

$$= 2q(x) + 2q((n-1)x+y) - q((n-2)x+y) - q(nx) - q(y)$$

$$= 2q(x) + 2(n-1)(q(x+y) + 2(n-1)(n-2)q(x) - 2(n-2)q(y))$$

$$-q((n-2)x+y) \text{ by } (*)$$

$$-(n-2)q(x+y) - (n-2)(n-3)q(x) + (n-3)q(y) - n^2q(x) - q(y)$$

$$= (2(n-1) - (n-2))q(x+y) + (2+2(n-1)(n-2) - (n-2)(n-3) - n^2)q(x)$$

$$+ (-2(n-2) + (n+3) - 1)q(y)$$

$$= nq(x+y) - nq(x) - nq(y)$$

$$= n\langle x, y \rangle.$$

We now must treat the case that n < 0. If n = -1 we get

$$\langle -x, y \rangle = q(-x+y) - q(-x) - q(y)$$

= $2q(x) + 2q(y) - q(x+y) - q(x) - q(y) = -\langle x, y \rangle$

without too much trouble. Using this together with the $n \ge 0$ case gives us

$$\langle -nx, y \rangle = -\langle nx, y \rangle$$

for $n \ge 0$, so we conclude that $\langle nx, y \rangle = n \langle x, y \rangle$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) Let $x, y, z \in A$ be arbitrary elements. By expanding $\langle -, - \rangle$ it can be seen that

$$\langle x + y, z \rangle - \langle x, z \rangle - \langle y, z \rangle = 0$$

if and only if

$$q(x+y+z) = q(x+y) + q(x+z) + q(y+z) - q(x) - q(y) - q(z).$$

We prove this later equality. We first examine what we obtain by considering q(x+y+z) and swapping each "+" one at a time. By assumption, we have that

$$q(x+y+z) + q(x+y-z) = 2q(x+y) + 2q(z),$$
(1)

$$q(x+y-z) + q(x-y-z) = 2q(x-z) + 2q(y)$$
(2)

and

$$a(x-y-z) + a(-x-y-z) = 2a(-y-z) + 2a(x).$$
(3)

Adding equations (1) and (3) together and subtracting equation (2) gives us

$$q(x+y+z) + q(-x-y-z) = 2q(x+y) + 2q(z) - 2q(x-z) - 2q(y) + 2q(y+z) + 2q(x),$$

while recalling that q(-x) = q(x), dividing both sides by 2 and performing some convenient rearranging gives us

$$q(x+y+z) = [q(x+y)q(y+z) - q(y)] + [q(x-z) + q(z) + q(x)].$$

Finally, we have that q(x-z) = 2q(x) + 2q(z) - q(x+z). Substituting this in for q(x-z), combining like terms, and rearranging a final time yields

$$q(x+y+z) = q(x+y) + q(x+z) + q(y+z) - q(x) - q(y) - q(z)$$

as desired.

EXERCISE 3. Find a translation-invariant differential ω on the multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m . Show that if [n]: $\mathbb{G}_m \to \mathbb{G}_m$ is the endomorphism $x \mapsto x^n$ then $[n]^*\omega = n\omega$.

Proof: An invariant differential of a formal group law $F \in R[X,Y]$ is a differential form

$$\omega = P(T)dT \in R[T]dT$$

which satisfies

$$\omega \circ F(T,S) = \omega(T)$$

$$\iff$$

$$P(F(T,S))F_X(T,S) = P(T)$$

where $F_X(T,S)$ is the partial derivative of F in the first variable. The formal group law of \mathbb{G}_m is F(X,Y) = X + Y + XY = (1+X)(1+Y) - 1, and its partial derivative in X is $F_X(X,Y) = 1+Y$. We are therefore looking for some $P(T) \in R[T]$ such that

$$P((1+T)(1+S)-1)\cdot(1+S)=P(T).$$

It is fortunate that we discussed the element $\frac{1}{1-X} = 1 + x + x^2 + ... \in R[T]$ in class – a slight modification, the power series $P(T) = \frac{1}{1+T} = 1 - T + T^2 - T^3 + ... \in R[T]$, will do the trick:

$$P((1+T)(1+S)-1)\cdot(1+S) = \frac{1}{(1+T)(1+S)-1+1}\cdot(1+S) = \frac{1}{1+T} = P(T).$$

Hence the differential form $\omega = \frac{1}{1+T}$ is an invariant differential of the multiplicative formal group law. \Box

EXERCISE 6. Show that if $\phi \in \text{End}(E)$ then there exists $\text{tr}(\phi) \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\deg([n] + \phi) = n^2 + n \operatorname{tr}(\phi) + \deg(\phi)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Establish the following properties:

- (i) $tr(\phi + \psi) = tr(\phi) + tr(\psi)$,
- (ii) $tr(\phi^2) = tr(\phi)^2 2 deg(\phi)$,
- (iii) $\phi^2 [\text{tr}(\phi)]\phi + [\text{deg}(\phi)] = 0$

EXERCISE 9. Let E/\mathbb{F}_q be an elliptic curve with p an odd prime. Show that there exists an elliptic curve E'/\mathbb{F}_p with

$$#E(\mathbb{F}_p) + #E'(\mathbb{F}_p) = 2(p+1).$$

Show further that the groups $E(\mathbb{F}_p) \times E'(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ have the same order, but need not be isomorphic.

EXERCISE 10. Let *E* be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_p with *p* a prime and $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p+1-a$, and let $\phi : E \to E$ be the *p*-power Frobenius, i.e. $\phi : (x,y) \mapsto (x^p,y^p)$. Let $\psi = [a] - \phi$.

- (i) Show that $\phi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi = [p]$.
- (ii) Show that if ψ is separable then $E[p^r] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ for all $r \ge 1$.
- (iii) Show that if $p \ge 5$ and E[p] = 0 then $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$.

Proof:

(i) The map ψ is the difference of isogenies and is therefore itself an isogeny. In particular, this means that ψ is a rational map. Since the Frobenius endomorphism $F: x \mapsto x^p$ on $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is a field homomorphism, it commutes with addition and multiplication on the level of field elements, and therefore it commutes with rational functions $g \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(E)$. This in turn implies that $\phi \circ \psi = \psi \circ \phi$, since the Frobenius endomorphism commutes with the rational functions that locally present ψ .

Applying problem 6 part (iii) and recalling that $a = tr(\phi)$, we have

$$[\operatorname{tr}(\phi)]\phi - \phi^2 - [\operatorname{deg}(\phi)] = ([a] - \phi) \circ \phi - [\operatorname{deg}(\phi)] = 0.$$

In class, we used the fact that $deg(\phi) = p$, so the above equation reduces to $\psi \circ \phi = [p]$.

(ii) We have the following string of equalities:

$$#E[p^r] = # \ker([p^r])$$

$$= # \ker(\phi^r \circ \psi^r)$$

$$= # \ker(\psi^r)$$

$$= \deg(\psi^r) = p^r.$$

That $\#\ker(\phi^r \circ \psi^r) = \#\ker(\psi^r)$ follows from the fact that ϕ is injective. To see this, recall that the Frobenius map $x \mapsto x^p$ is injective as a map $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ because *every* field homomorphism is injective. The map $(x,y) \mapsto (x^p,y^p)$ is therefore also injective.

Now that we know the cardinality of $E[p^r]$, we know that $E[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ since this is the only group of order p up to isomorphism. Inducting on r, we have that $E[p^r] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ or $E[p^r] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{r-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ since $E[p^r]$ must both contain $E[p^{r-1}] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{r-1}\mathbb{Z}$ as a subgroup and have cardinality p^r . The latter option is impossible since all of its elements have order at most p-1 and $E[p^{r-1}] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{r-1}\mathbb{Z}$ by the inductive hypothesis. It must then be the case that $E[p^r] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$ for all $r \geq 1$.

(iii) Let $\omega = \frac{dx}{y}$, and note that it an invariant differential of E. We have that

$$\phi^*(\omega) = \frac{d(x^p)}{y^p} = \frac{px^{p-1}dx}{y^p} = 0,$$

and by Lemma 6.3 from lecture,

$$\psi^*\omega = ([a] - \phi)^*\omega = [a]^*\omega - \phi^*\omega = [a]^*\omega = a \cdot \omega$$

where the final equality follows from problem 3. We know that ψ is inseparable by part (ii) since E[p]=0, hence the induced map $\psi^*:\Omega_E\to\Omega_E$ is zero by Lemma 6.4 from lecture. In particular, this means that $a\cdot\omega=0$, and because $\omega\neq0$ and Ω_E forms a \mathbb{F}_p -vector space, a=0 in \mathbb{F}_p . Hasse's bound tells us that $a\leq2\sqrt{p}$, so a< p when $p\geq5$ and therefore a=0 in \mathbb{Z} . Since $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)=p+1-a$, we conclude that $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p)=p+1$.