

### III Local fields

Definition 7.1: Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be a valued field.  $K$  is a local field if it is complete and locally compact.

Eg.  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  are local fields.

Proposition 7.2: Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be a non-arch. complete valued field. TFAE:

(i)  $K$  is locally compact.

(ii)  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is compact.

(iii)  $v$  is discrete and  $k := \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}$  is finite.

Proof: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $U \ni 0$  be a compact neighbourhood of 0. Then  $\exists x \in \mathcal{O}_K$  s.t.  $x\mathcal{O}_K \subseteq U$ . Since  $x\mathcal{O}_K$  is closed,  $x\mathcal{O}_K$  is compact  $\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  is compact

$(x\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{x^{-1}} \mathcal{O}_K$  is a homeomorphism

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)  $\mathcal{O}_K$  compact  $\Rightarrow a + \mathcal{O}_K$  compact  $\forall a \in K$   
 $\Rightarrow K$  locally compact.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Let  $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ , and  $A_x \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  be a set of coset reps. for  $\mathcal{O}_K/x\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Then  $\mathcal{O}_K = \bigcup_{a \in A_x} a + x\mathcal{O}_K$  a disjoint open

cover.

$\Rightarrow A_x$  is finite by compactness of  $\mathcal{O}_K$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K / x\mathcal{O}_K$  is finite

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K / m$  is finite.

Suppose  $v$  is not discrete.

Let  $x = x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$  s.t.

$$v(x_1) > v(x_2) > v(x_3) > \dots > 0.$$

Then  $x\mathcal{O}_K \subsetneq x_2\mathcal{O}_K \subsetneq x_3\mathcal{O}_K \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_K$ .

But  $\mathcal{O}_K / x\mathcal{O}_K$  is finite so can only

have finitely many subgroups. ~~\*~~

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Since  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a metric <sup>space</sup>, it suffices to show  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is sequentially compact. Let  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{O}_K$

and fix  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  a uniformizer in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Since  $\pi^i \mathcal{O}_K / \pi^{i+1} \mathcal{O}_K \cong k$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K / \pi^i \mathcal{O}_K$  is finite ( $\mathcal{O}_K \supseteq \pi \mathcal{O}_K \supseteq \dots \supseteq \pi^i \mathcal{O}_K$ ),  $\forall i \geq 1$ .

Since  $\mathcal{O}_K / \pi \mathcal{O}_K$  is finite,  $\exists a \in \mathcal{O}_K / \pi \mathcal{O}_K$

and a subsequence  $(x_{i_n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $x_{i_n} \equiv a \pmod{\pi}$

We define  $y_1 = x_{i_1}$ .

Since  $\mathcal{O}_K / \pi^2 \mathcal{O}_K$  is finite,  $\exists a_2 \in \mathcal{O}_K / \pi^2 \mathcal{O}_K$

and a subsequence  $(x_{i_{n_1}})_{n_1=1}^{\infty}$  s.t.  $x_{i_{n_1}} \equiv a_2 \pmod{\pi^2}$

and a subsequence  $x_{2n} \equiv a_2 \pmod{\pi^2 \mathcal{O}_K}$ .

Define  $y_2 = x_{22}$ .

Continuing in this fashion, we obtain sequences  $(x_{i,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots$

s.t. (1)  $(x_{i+n,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a subsequence of  $(x_{i,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}$

(2) For any  $i$ ,  $\exists a_i \in \mathcal{O}_K / \pi^i \mathcal{O}_K$  s.t.

$$x_{i,n} \equiv a_i \pmod{\pi^i} \quad \forall n.$$

Then necessarily  $a_i \equiv a_{i+1} \pmod{\pi^i} \quad \forall i$ .

Now choose  $y_i = x_{i,i}$ ; this defines a subsequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Moreover  $y_i \equiv a_i \equiv a_{i+1} \equiv y_{i+1} \pmod{\pi^i}$

Thus  $y_i$  is Cauchy, hence converges by completeness.  $\square$

Ex. (i)  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is a local field.

(ii)  $\mathbb{F}_p((t))$  is a local field.

More on inverse limits.

Let  $(A_n)_{n=1}$  a sequence of sets/groups/rings and  $\varphi_n: A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n$  homomorphisms

Definition 7.3: Assume  $A_n$  is finite. The profinite topology on  $A := \varprojlim_n A_n$  is the weakest topology on  $A$  s.t.  $A \rightarrow A_n$  is continuous  $\forall n$ . where  $A_n$  are equipped

with the discrete topology.

Fact:  $A = \varprojlim_n A_n$  with profinite topology is compact, totally disconnected and Hausdorff.

Proposition 7.4: Let  $K$  be a local field.

Under the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_K \cong \varprojlim_n \mathcal{O}_K / \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$$

<sup>s</sup> ( $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  a uniformizer), the topology on  $\mathcal{O}_K$  coincides with the profinite topology.

Proof: One checks that the sets

$$B := \{ a + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}, a \in A_{\pi^n} \}$$

$A_{\pi^n}$  is a set of coset reps  
for  $\mathcal{O}_K / \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$

is a basis of open sets in both topologies.

For 1.1: clear.

For profinite topology:  $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K / \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$  is continuous iff  $a + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K$  open  $\forall a \in A_{\pi^n}$ .

Thus  $B$  is basis for profinite topology.  $\square$

Lemma 7.5: Let  $K$  be a non-arch. local field and  $L/K$  a finite extension. Then  $L$  is a local field.

Proof: Theorem 6.1  $\Rightarrow L$  complete and

discretely valued.

8 Suffices to show  $k_L := \mathcal{O}_L / \mathfrak{m}_L$  is finite.

Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be basis for  $L$  as a  $K$ -v.s.

$\| \cdot \|_{\text{sup}}$  (sup norm) equiv. to  $| \cdot |_L$  implies there exists  $r > 0$  s.t.

$$\mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \{x \in L : \|x\|_{\text{sup}} \leq r\}$$

Take  $a \in K$  s.t.  $|a| \geq r$ , then

$$\mathcal{O}_L \subseteq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n a \alpha_i \mathcal{O}_K$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L$  is fin. gen. as a module over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

$\Rightarrow k_L$  is fin. gen. over  $k$ .  $\square$

Definition 7.7: A discretely valued field  $(K, |\cdot|)$

has equal characteristic if  $\text{char}(K) = \text{char}(k)$

Otherwise it has mixed characteristic

Eg.  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  has mixed char.

Note: If  $K$  is a non-arch. local field,  $K$  has

mixed char. (resp. equal char.) iff  $\text{char} K = 0$

(resp.  $\text{char} K > 0$ ).

Theorem 7.8: Let  $K$  be a <sup>non-arch.</sup> local field of

equal characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then

$$K \cong \mathbb{F}_p((t)) \quad \text{some } n \geq 1.$$

Proof:  $K$  complete discretely valued,  $\text{char} K > 0$ .

Moreover  $K \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is finite, hence perfect.

By Theorem 5.7,  $K \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^n}((t))$ .  $\square$

Lemma 7.9: An abs. value  $|\cdot|$  on a field is non-archimedean  $\iff |n|$  is bounded  $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

P-af: " $\Rightarrow$ " Since  $|1| = 1$ ,  $|n| = |1 + \dots + 1|$ , thus suffices to show  $|n|$  bounded for  $n \geq 1$ .

Then  $|n| = |1 + 1 + \dots + 1| \leq 1$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ " Suppose  $|n| \leq B \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $x, y \in K$  with  $|x| \leq |y|$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x+y|^m &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} x^i y^{m-i} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^m \left| \binom{m}{i} x^i y^{m-i} \right| \\ &\leq |y|^m (m+1) B \end{aligned}$$

$\S$  Taking  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots gives

$$|x+y| \leq |y| \underbrace{[(m+1)B]^{\frac{1}{m}}}_{\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty}$$

$$|x+y| \leq |y| = \max(|x|, |y|)$$

$\square$