

## Lecture 5

- Corollary 4.5: Let  $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \in K[x]$  with  $a_0, a_n \neq 0$ . If  $f(x)$  is irreducible, then  $|a_i| \leq \max(|a_0|, |a_n|)$  for all  $i$ .

Proof: Upon scaling, WMA

$f(x) \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  with  $\max_i |a_i| = 1$ . Thus we need to show that  $\max(|a_0|, |a_n|) = 1$ . If not, let  $r$  be minimal s.t.

$|a_r| = 1$ ; then  $0 < r < n$ . Thus we have

$$\bar{f}(x) = x^r (a_r + \dots + a_n x^{n-r}) \pmod{m}.$$

Then Theorem 4.5 implies  $\bar{f}(x) = g(x)h(x)$ , with  $0 < \deg g < n$  □

## § Teichmüller lift

- $A = \{0, \dots, p-1\}$  coset reps for  $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Is there a more natural choice?

Definition 5.1: A ring  $R$  of characteristic  $p$  is a **perfect ring** if the Frobenius  $x \mapsto x^p$  is an automorphism of  $R$ . A field of char  $p$  is a perfect field if it is perfect as a ring.

Remark: Since  $\text{char } R = p$ ,  $(x+y)^p = x^p + y^p$ ,  
so Frobenius is ring hom.

Example: (i)  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p$  are perfect fields.

(ii)  $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$  is not perfect,  $t \notin \text{Im}(\text{Frob})$ .

(iii)  $\mathbb{F}_p(t^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}) := \mathbb{F}_p(t, t^{\frac{1}{p}}, t^{\frac{1}{p^2}}, \dots)$  is a  
perfect field (perfection of  $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ ).

Fact: A field  $k$  is perfect iff any  
finite extension of  $k$  is separable.

Theorem 5.2: Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be a complete  
discretely valued field s.t.  $k := \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m}$  is a  
perfect field of char.  $p$ . Then there  
exists a unique map

$$[\ ] : k \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$$

s.t. (i)  $a \equiv [a] \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \quad \forall a \in k$ .

(ii)  $[ab] \equiv [a][b] \quad \forall a, b \in k$ .

Moreover if  $\text{char } \mathcal{O}_K = p$ ,  $[\ ]$  is a ring hom

Definition 5.3: The element  $[a] \in \mathcal{O}_K$  constructed  
in Theorem 5.2 is called the **Teichmüller lift** of

Lemma 5.4: Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be as in Theorem 5.2,  
and fix  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  a uniformizer. Let  $x, y \in \mathcal{O}_K$   
s.t.  $x \equiv y \pmod{\pi^k} \quad (k \geq 1)$ .

then  $x^p \equiv y^p \pmod{\pi^{k+1}}$

Proof: Let  $x = y + u\pi^k$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then

$$x^p = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} y^i (u\pi^k)^{p-i}$$

$$(p > 2) = y^p + p u \pi^k y^{p-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \binom{p}{i} y^i (u\pi^k)^{p-i}$$

Since  $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi\mathcal{O}_K$  char.  $p$ , we have  $p \in \pi\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Thus  $p u \pi^k y^{p-1} \in \pi^{k+1}\mathcal{O}_K$ .

For  $i = 0, \dots, p-2$ ,  $(u\pi^k)^{p-i} \in \pi^{k+1}\mathcal{O}_K$

$$\Rightarrow x^p \equiv y^p \pmod{\pi^{k+1}}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 5.2:

Let  $a \in K$ . For each  $i \geq 0$  we choose a lift

$y_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$  of  $a^{1/p^i}$ , and we define.

$$x_i := y_i^{p^i}$$

We claim that  $(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy

sequence, and its limit  $x_i \rightarrow x$  is

independent of the choice of  $y_i$ .

By construction

$$y_i \equiv y_{i+1}^p \pmod{\pi}$$

By Lemma 5.4 and induction on  $k$ , we

$$\text{have } y_i^{p^k} \equiv y_{i+1}^{p^{k+1}} \pmod{\pi^{k+1}},$$

and hence

$$x_i \equiv x_{i+1} \pmod{\pi^{i+1}} \quad (\text{take } k=i).$$

$$\Rightarrow |x_i - x_{i+1}| \rightarrow 0.$$

$\Rightarrow (x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy, so  $x_i \rightarrow x \in \theta_K$ .

Suppose  $(x'_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$  arises from another choice  $y_i'$  lifting  $a^{\frac{1}{p^i}}$ . Then  $x'_i$  is Cauchy, and  $x'_i \rightarrow x' \in \theta_K$ .

$$\text{Let } (x''_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} = \begin{cases} x_i & i \text{ even} \\ x'_i & i \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

$\hookrightarrow$  Then  $x''_i$  arises from lifting  $y''_i := \begin{cases} y_i & i \text{ even} \\ y'_i & i \text{ odd} \end{cases}$

Then  $x''_i$  is Cauchy and  $x''_i \rightarrow x$ ,  $x''_i \rightarrow x'$

$\Rightarrow x = x'$ , hence  $x$  is indep. of  $y_i$ .

We define  $[a] = x$ .

$$\text{Then } x_i \equiv y_i^{p^i} \equiv (a^{\frac{1}{p^i}})^{p^i} \equiv a \pmod{\pi}$$

$\Rightarrow x \equiv a \pmod{\pi}$  so (i) is satisfied.

We let  $b \in K$  and we choose  $u_i \in \theta_K$

a lift of  $b^{\frac{1}{p^i}}$ ; let  $z_i := u_i^{p^i}$ .

Then  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i = [b]$ .

Now  $u_i y_i$  is a lift of  $(ab)^{\frac{1}{p^i}}$ , hence

$$[ab] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i z_i = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i$$

$$= [a][b].$$

$\Rightarrow$  (ii) is satisfied.

If  $\text{char } K = p$ ,  $y_i + u_i$  is a lift of  $\frac{1}{b^i} + \frac{1}{b^i} + \dots + \frac{1}{b^i}$

$$a' + b' = (a + b)'$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } [a+b] &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (y_i + u_i)^{p^i} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i^{p^i} + u_i^{p^i} \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i + z_i = [a] + [b]. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>b</sup> Easy to check that  $[0] = 0$  and  $[1] = 1$ ,  
 $\Rightarrow [\ ]$  is a ring hom.

Uniqueness: Let  $\phi: K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  be another such map. Then for  $a \in K$ ,  $\phi(a^{1/p^i})$  is a lift of  $a^{1/p^i}$ ; it follows that

$$[a] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(a^{1/p^i})^{p^i} = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \phi(a) = \phi(a).$$

□

$$\text{Eg. } K = \mathbb{Q}_p \quad [\ ]: \mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times \quad [a]^{p-1} = [a^{p-1}] = [1] = 1$$

$\Rightarrow [a]$  is a  $(p-1)^{\text{th}}$ -root of unity.

More generally

Lemma 5.6:  $(K, |\cdot|)$  complete discretely valued field. If  $k := \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{m} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$ ,  $[a] \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times$  is a root of unity.

Proof:  $a \in k \Rightarrow a \in \mathbb{F}_p^n$  some  $n$

$$\Rightarrow [a]^{p^n-1} = [a^{p^n-1}] = [1] = 1. \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.7: Let  $(K, |\cdot|)$  be a complete

discretely valued field with  $\text{char } k = p > 0$ .

Assume  $k$  is perfect. Then  $K \cong k((t))$ .

Proof: Since  $K = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ , it suffices to show  $\mathcal{O}_K \cong k[[t]]$ . Fix  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  a uniformizer, let  $[\ ] : k \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  be the Teichmüller map and define

$$\varphi : k[[t]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i t^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [a_i] \pi^i.$$

Then  $\varphi$  is a ring hom. since  $[\ ]$  and it is bijection by Prop. 3.5(ii).