

Part III

Commutative Algebra

Solutions to Example Sheet I, 2021

1. (a) is by induction on n . The base case is $n = 2$. Note in any event $I_1 I_2 \subseteq I_1 \cap I_2$ by the definition of ideal. If I_1, I_2 are coprime, then there exists $x \in I_1, y \in I_2$ such that $x + y = 1$. Then if $z \in I_1 \cap I_2$, $xz + zy = z$ lies in $I_1 I_2$.

Now assume the result is true for I_1, \dots, I_{n-1} , and let $J = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} I_i = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} I_i$. Since $I_i + I_n = (1)$ we have for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ that $x_i + y_i = 1$ for some $x_i \in I_i, y_i \in I_n$, so

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - y_i) \equiv 1 \pmod{I_n}.$$

Thus $I_n + J = (1)$, so the case $n = 2$ and the induction case allow us to conclude.

(b): \Rightarrow : Wlog will show I_1, I_2 are coprime. By surjectivity, there exists $x \in A$ such that $\phi(x) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, so $x \equiv 1 \pmod{I_1}$ and $x \equiv 0 \pmod{I_2}$. Thus

$$1 = (1 - x) + x \in I_1 + I_2,$$

showing I_1, I_2 are coprime.

\Leftarrow : Wlog it is enough to show there exists an $x \in A$ with $\phi(x) = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. We have equations $u_i + v_i = 1$ with $u_i \in I_1, v_i \in I_i$ for each $i \geq 2$. Take $x = \prod_{i=2}^n v_i = \prod_{i=2}^n (1 - u_i) \equiv 1 \pmod{I_1}$. Also, $x \equiv 0 \pmod{I_i}$, $i \geq 2$, so $\phi(x)$ is as desired.

(c) Immediate since $\ker \phi = \bigcap_{i=1}^n I_i$.

2. Tensoring

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow A \rightarrow A/I \rightarrow 0$$

with M gives

$$I \otimes_A M \rightarrow A \otimes_A M \rightarrow (A/I) \otimes_A M \rightarrow 0.$$

Since there is an isomorphism $A \otimes_A M \rightarrow M$ given by $a \otimes m \mapsto am$, it is clear the image of $I \otimes_A M$ in M is IM , hence the result.

3. If m, n are coprime, then $m(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as m is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Hence we get the result immediately from Q2.
4. (a) We may view k as $k[x, y]/(x, y)$, so that again by Q2 the tensor product is $k[u, v]/(x, y)k[u, v]$. Note $(x, y)k[u, v]$ is the ideal $(u, uv) = (u) \subseteq k[u, v]$, so that the tensor product is $k[u, v]/(u) \cong k[v]$.
- (b) I claim that the tensor product is isomorphic to $k[u, w]/(u^2 - w^2)$, which we may prove by demonstrating the universal property for tensor product of rings. In particular, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[u, w]/(u^2 - w^2) & \xleftarrow{\psi} & k[v] \\ \varphi \uparrow & & \uparrow g \\ k[v] & \xleftarrow{f} & k[x] \end{array}$$

with φ given by $v \mapsto u$ and ψ given by $v \mapsto w$. So suppose given a ring R with maps $\phi', \psi' : k[v] \rightarrow R$ such that $\varphi' \circ f = \psi' \circ g$. Then to obtain $h : k[u, w]/(u^2 - w^2) \rightarrow R$ with $h \circ \varphi = \phi', h \circ \psi = \psi'$, we must have $h(u) = \phi'(v)$, $h(w) = \psi'(v)$. Thus h is uniquely determined if it exists. However, it exists because $\varphi'(v^2) = \psi' \circ f(x) = \psi' \circ g(x) = \psi'(v^2)$, so $h(u^2 - w^2) = 0$.

5. In fact we may write $A[x] \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} A$ as A -modules, i.e., $A[x]$ is a free A -module. Thus we will first show that arbitrary direct sums commute with tensor product, i.e., let $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a collection of A -modules with I an arbitrary index set. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$, so there are natural maps $\varphi_i : M_i \rightarrow M$. For an A -module N , we define a map

$$f : \bigoplus_{i \in I} (M_i \otimes_A N) \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$$

by $f((\alpha_i)_{i \in I}) = \sum_{i \in I} (\varphi_i \otimes \text{id})(\alpha_i)$. Here $\varphi_i \otimes \text{id}$ is the obvious map $M_i \otimes_A N \rightarrow M \otimes_A N$ induced by φ_i . Conversely, we define a map

$$g : M \otimes_A N \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} (M_i \otimes_A N)$$

using the universal property of tensor product by defining a bilinear map with domain $M \times N$ given by $((m_i)_{i \in I}, n) \mapsto (m_i \otimes n)_{i \in I}$. These maps are easily seen to be inverse to each other by calculating $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ on generators, giving the isomorphism. [Alternatively, show the direct sum of tensor products satisfies the same universal property as $M \otimes_A N$ does. It is worth noting that tensor product *does not* distribute over infinite products.]

Now in fact any direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} A$ is a flat A -module: given an injective map $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$, the above discussion shows that after tensoring we get $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_2$ which is just f on each component, hence injective.

6. (a) \Rightarrow : Suppose $S^{-1}M = 0$. Let m_1, \dots, m_n be a generating set of M . Then since $m_i/1 = 0$ in $S^{-1}M$, there exists $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S$ such that $s_i m_i = 0$ for each i . Let $s = \prod_{i=1}^n s_i \in S$. Then $s m_i = 0$ for all i , so $sM = 0$.
 \Leftarrow : Suppose there exists an $s \in S$ such that $sM = 0$. Then for any $m \in M$, $m/1 = 0$ in $S^{-1}M$ since $sm = 0$. Thus $sM = 0$.
(b) We first define a ring homomorphism $(ST)^{-1}A \rightarrow U^{-1}(S^{-1}A)$ using the universal property of localization. Note that if $s \cdot t \in ST$, its image in $U^{-1}(S^{-1}A)$, $(t/1) \cdot s$, is invertible, since both $t/1$ and s are invertible. Thus the canonical ring map $A \rightarrow U^{-1}(S^{-1}A)$ factors through a well-defined ring map $(ST)^{-1}A \rightarrow U^{-1}(S^{-1}A)$. Note this map is given by $a/(s \cdot t) \mapsto (a/s)/(t/1)$.
This map is clearly surjective, as $(a/s)/(t/1)$ is the image of $a/s \cdot t$. For injectivity, suppose $a/(s \cdot t) = 0$. Then there exists $s' \in S, t' \in T$ such that $as't' = 0$. Thus we may write $(a/s)/(t/1) = (as'/ss')/(t/1)$, which is zero since $(as')(t'/1) = 0$ in $S^{-1}A$.
(c) There is a map $\varphi : S^{-1}B \rightarrow T^{-1}B$ given by $b/s \mapsto b/f(s)$. One needs to check this is well-defined, as we didn't state a universal property for localization of A -modules. But note that if $b/s = b'/s'$, there exists $s'' \in S$ with $(bs' - b's)s'' = 0$. But this is precisely the statement that $(bf(s') - b'f(s))f(s'') = 0$, by the definition of the A -module structure on B . Thus $b/f(s) = b'/f(s')$. This map is a homomorphism of $S^{-1}A$ -modules. Indeed, it easily is seen to preserve sums, and to see it preserves products, note $\varphi((a/s) \cdot (b/s')) = \varphi((ab)/(ss')) = ab/f(ss') = (a/f(s)) \cdot (b/f(s'))$. Further, φ is clearly surjective. For injectivity, if $\varphi(b/s) = 0$, then there exists an $s' \in S$ such that $bf(s') = 0$. But then $b/s = 0$ since $bs' = 0$.
7. There is an obvious ring homomorphism $k[x, z] \rightarrow k[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$ defined by $x \mapsto x, z \mapsto z$, and we may then compose this map with the canonical map to $(k[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2))_x$. Since the image of $x \in k[x, z]$ is invertible, this gives a ring map

$$\varphi : k[x, z]_x \rightarrow (k[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2))_x$$

by the universal property. This map is surjective: note that in the ring on the right, we may write $y = z^2/x$. Thus any polynomial in x, y, z, x^{-1} can be written as a polynomial in x, z, x^{-1} , and hence is in the image of φ . For injectivity, note that $k[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$ is an integral domain as $xy - z^2$ is irreducible, hence $(xy - z^2)$ is prime. Thus if $f(x, z)/x^r \in k[x, z]_x$ has $\varphi(f(x, z)/x^r) = 0$, we in fact must have $f(x, z) = 0$ in the ring $k[x, y, z]/(xy - z^2)$, i.e., $f(x, z) \in (xy - z^2)$. Thus we may write $f = (xy - z^2)g(x, y, z)$ for some $g(x, y, z) \in k[x, y, z]$. Consider g as a polynomial in y , i.e., $g = g_0(x, z) + yg_1(x, z) + \dots + y^n g_n(x, z)$, with $g_n \neq 0$. Then the highest degree term in y in the product is $xy^{n+1}g_n(x, z)$, which is non-zero as $g_n \neq 0$. But $f(x, z)$ contains no term with a y in it, a contradiction. Thus $f \notin (xy - z^2)$ and the map is injective.

8. If $m, m' \in T(M)$, then there exists $a, a' \in A \setminus \{0\}$ such that $am = 0 = a'm'$. But then $(aa')(m + m') = 0$, so $m + m' \in T(M)$. If $a'' \in A$, then $a(a''m) = 0$, so $a''m \in T(M)$. Thus $T(M)$ is a sub-module.
(a) Suppose $m \in M$ with image $\bar{m} \in M/T(M)$ lying in $T(M/T(M))$, i.e., there exists $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a\bar{m} = 0$. Thus $am \in T(M)$, so there exists $a' \in A$ such that $a'am = 0$, but then $m \in T(M)$.
(b) If $m \in T(M)$, there exists $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ with $am = 0$. But then $0 = f(am) = af(m)$, so $f(m) \in T(N)$.
(c) Injectivity on the left follows immediately from injectivity of $M_1 \rightarrow M_2$. Let $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2, g : M_2 \rightarrow M_3$ be the maps, and f_T, g_T the corresponding maps on torsion modules. Since $g \circ f = 0, g_T \circ f_T = 0$, and hence $\text{im } f_T \subseteq \ker g_T$. Conversely, let $m_2 \in \ker g_T$. Then there exists $m_1 \in M_1$ with $f(m_1) = m_2$ by exactness of the original sequence. However, since f is injective and m_2 is torsion, we must have m_1 torsion. Hence $\ker g_T \subseteq \text{im } f_T$ as desired.
(d) Let $m/s \in T(S^{-1}M)$. Then there exists $a/s' \in S^{-1}A$ such that $(a/s')(m/s) = 0$, i.e., there exists $s'' \in S$ such that $as''m = 0$. So $m \in T(M)$, so $m/s \in S^{-1}T(M)$. Conversely, given any $m \in T(M)$, there exists an $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ with $am = 0$. Thus $(a/1)(m/s) = a(m/s) = 0$, so whenever $m/s \in S^{-1}T(M)$, we have $m/s \in T(S^{-1}M)$, giving the desired equality.
9. Define a map $\varphi : F \rightarrow F$ by taking $e_i = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ (the 1 in the i^{th} place) to x_i . Since x_1, \dots, x_n generate F , this map is surjective, and we would like to show it is then necessarily injective. As injectivity and surjectivity are local properties, we may show this after localizing at a maximal ideal, and hence may assume that A is local. Now let $K = \ker \varphi$, giving an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0.$$

We wish to show $K = 0$. Now tensor this exact sequence with $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$, where \mathfrak{m} is the unique maximal ideal of A . Since k is a field, certainly $F \otimes_A k \rightarrow F \otimes_A k$ is an isomorphism. However, F is a flat A -module, hence by the hint, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \otimes_A k \rightarrow F \otimes_A k \rightarrow F \otimes_A k \rightarrow 0,$$

so $K \otimes_A k = 0$. But $K \otimes_A k = K/\mathfrak{m}K$, and if we know that K is finitely generated, then by Nakayama's lemma, we see $K = 0$.

To see that K is finitely generated, we proceed as follows. Since the original map φ is surjective, we may find $f_1, \dots, f_n \in F$ with $\varphi(f_i) = e_i$, where e_1, \dots, e_n is the standard basis as above. Define a map $\psi : F \rightarrow F$ by $\psi(e_i) = f_i$. Then $\varphi \circ \psi = \text{id}_F$, we see in fact we may write $F \cong \text{im}(\psi \circ \varphi) \oplus \ker \varphi$ via the map

$$m \mapsto ((\psi \circ \varphi)(m), m - (\psi \circ \varphi)(m)).$$

Indeed, note $\varphi(m - (\psi \circ \varphi)(m)) = \varphi(m) - \varphi(m) = 0$ so $m - (\psi \circ \varphi)(m) \in \ker \varphi$. The above map is clearly injective as m can be recovered from the image of m . It is surjective: given (m_1, m_2) in the target module, we have $m_1 = \psi \circ \varphi(m)$ for some $m \in F$, and $m_2 - (m - \psi \circ \varphi(m)) \in \ker \varphi$, so the image of $m + (m_2 - (m - \psi \circ \varphi(m)))$ is (m_1, m_2) . [We have proved that $\ker \varphi$ is a direct summand of F , and in fact this argument works for any surjective map $\varphi : M \rightarrow F$ for any module M .]

So we see that $\ker \varphi$ is a quotient of F , hence finitely generated.

10. (a) We need (1) \emptyset and $\text{Spec } A$ are closed sets, but this is true as $\emptyset = V(A)$ and $\text{Spec } A = V(0)$. (2) Closed sets are closed under finite union, which is true as $\bigcup_{i=1}^n V(I_i) = V(I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n)$. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_i$ for some i , then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$, while if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_1 \cap \dots \cap I_n$, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_i$ for some i one of the easy exercises on the first day handout. (3) Closed sets are closed under arbitrary intersection, as $\bigcap_{i \in I} V(I_i) = V(\sum_{i \in I} I_i)$. Indeed, if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I_i$ for each $i \in I$, then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \sum_{i \in I} I_i$, and conversely.
- (b) Let $U = \text{Spec } A \setminus V(I)$ be an open set. We need to show that given any $\mathfrak{p} \in U$, there is an $f \in A$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in D(f) \subset U$. Note $D(f) \subset U$ if and only if $f \notin \mathfrak{q} \Rightarrow I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Thus take $f \in I$ with $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then $D(f) \subset U$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in D(f)$.
- (c) If $a \cdot a' \in \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$, then $\varphi(aa') \in \mathfrak{p}$ so either $\varphi(a)$ or $\varphi(a')$ lie in \mathfrak{p} . But then either a or a' lies in $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ is prime.

To show continuity, it is enough to show the inverse image of a closed set is closed. But for $I \subseteq A$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi^*)^{-1}(V(I)) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } B \mid I \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})\} \\ &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } B \mid \varphi(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} \\ &= V(I^e). \end{aligned}$$

11. $i) \Rightarrow ii)$. If $\text{Spec } A$ is disconnected, then we can write $\text{Spec } A = V(I_1) \cup V(I_2)$ with $V(I_1) \cap V(I_2) = \emptyset$. Now $\emptyset = V(I_1) \cap V(I_2) = V(I_1 + I_2)$, so $I_1 + I_2 = A$ as $I_1 + I_2$ is thus not contained in any prime ideal. Also, $\text{Spec } A = V(I_1) \cup V(I_2) = V(I_1 \cap I_2)$, so $I_1 \cap I_2$ is contained in every prime ideal of A , i.e., $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{0}$. In particular, there exists $a \in I_1$, $b \in I_2$ such that $a + b = 1$, so that $V((a)) \cap V((b)) = \emptyset$. In any event $ab \in I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{0}$. Thus there exists $n > 0$ such that $(ab)^n = 0$. Now $V((a^n)) = V(a)$, $V((b^n)) = V(b)$, so $V((a^n)) \cap V((b^n)) = \emptyset$. So there exists $e_1 \in (a^n)$, $e_2 \in (b^n)$ such that $e_1 + e_2 = 1$ and $e_1 e_2 = 0$. Thus $e_1 = e_1(e_1 + e_2) = e_1^2 + e_1 e_2 = e_1^2$, and similarly $e_2 = e_2^2$. This gives ii).
- $ii) \Rightarrow iii)$ Let $A_1 = A/(e_2)$, $A_2 = A/(e_1)$. Then there is an obvious ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow A_1 \times A_2$. This is an isomorphism by the Chinese Remainder Theorem. Indeed, the ideals $(e_1), (e_2)$ are coprime because $e_1 + e_2 = 1$, and because they are coprime, $(e_1) \cap (e_2) = (e_1 e_2) = (0)$.
- $iii) \Rightarrow i)$ Let $e_1 = (1, 0)$, $e_2 = (0, 1)$. Then $V((e_1)) \cup V((e_2)) = V(0) = \text{Spec } A$ and $V((e_1)) \cap V((e_2)) = V(A) = \emptyset$, showing $\text{Spec } A$ is disconnected.

12. More about the spectrum.

- (a) There is a one-to-one correspondence between primes of $S^{-1}A$ and primes of A disjoint from S , given by contraction and extension of prime ideals, so in particular the induced map φ^* is an inclusion. To show it is a homeomorphism we need to show that the closed sets of $\text{Spec } S^{-1}A$ are precisely those of the form $(\varphi^*)^{-1}(V(I)) = V(I^e)$. But we showed in lecture that every ideal of $S^{-1}A$ is an extended ideal, so this is true.

In case $S = \{1, f, \dots\}$, then $f^n \in \mathfrak{p} \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq A$ prime, so the set of prime ideals of $S^{-1}A$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of prime ideals of A not containing f , i.e., $D(f)$.

- (b) Write $S^{-1}\varphi : S^{-1}A \rightarrow S^{-1}B$ for the induced map. Unwinding the definitions, to show the induced map $\text{Spec } S^{-1}B \rightarrow \text{Spec } S^{-1}A$ agrees with the restriction of φ^* to $S^{-1}Y$, it suffices to observe the following. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & S^{-1}A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & S^{-1}B \end{array}$$

Now given \mathfrak{p} a prime ideal of $S^{-1}B$, we may pull it back in two ways around the square. Of course, it doesn't matter which way you go because the square is commutative, hence the statement.

To see that $(\varphi^*)^{-1}(S^{-1}X) = S^{-1}Y$, let $\mathfrak{p} \in Y$ such that $\varphi^*(\mathfrak{p}) \in S^{-1}X$, i.e., $\varphi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ is disjoint from S . But then \mathfrak{p} is disjoint from $\varphi(S)$, so $\mathfrak{p} \in S^{-1}Y$. This shows that $(\varphi^*)^{-1}(S^{-1}X) \subseteq S^{-1}Y$, while the opposite inclusion is obvious.

- (c) We note that the set of primes of A/I is in one-to-one correspondence with the primes of A containing I , so indeed $\text{Spec } A/I$ can be identified with $V(I)$. Further, this identification is induced by the quotient map $A \rightarrow A/I$. Hence we may use the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & A/I \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \longrightarrow & B/J \end{array}$$

as before to conclude.

- (d) This is just combining parts (b) and (c), observing also that under the various natural identifications, the unique prime of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is identified with $\mathfrak{p} \in X$.