

# Social

## SOCIAL Rolls

This system is designed to allow a characters skills and stats to *augment* their social actions. The emphasis is not on getting good rolls, but on saying or doing the right thing. Rolls are used to express a particular character's social talents, but they don't replace what a character says or does. Grading numerical difficulty for a social interaction is difficult. A GM cannot prepare beforehand for the things a player will do or say, so DCs have to be set on the fly. Sometimes they are set incorrectly or something breaks. In this case, ignore the system/roll and do whatever is the most sensible/interesting/funny. This framework is largely for the benefit of the GM, to allow them to easily keep track of attitudes and relationships and to quickly create DCs and resolve rolls in a way that rewards the skill of the player as well as the skill of the character.

Social skills are divided into two sets: communicative skills and perceptive skills. communicative skills include persuasion, deception, and performance. Perceptive skills include sensing motives, detecting deceit, and gaining insight into another character's emotional state.

## Communicative Skills, Bias, and Reputation

Score	Name	Description
6	Revered	You are seen as almost otherworldly, beyond reproach.
5	Loved	You are set apart from others, distinctly trustworthy, valued, and important.
4	Admired	You are seen as an example to be followed, people will give your words great weight. They will go out of their way to help you out.
3	Trusted	You are trusted and valued. People will go out of their way to help you.
2	Well-Liked	You are liked quite a bit. People are willing to help you out. They want to see you do well.
1	Liked	You are liked. People want to talk and barter.
0	Neutral	People don't think much of you one way or another. They have the time to talk.
-1	Disliked	People will give you bad deals in trade. They do not particularly want to talk to you. They do not want to barter.
-2	Strongly Dis-liked	People do not want to talk or trade. They want you to go away.
-3	Loathed	You are a pariah. A group might throw you in jail, an individual might do you harm opportunistically. People will actively try to get rid of you.
-4	Hated	You will be attacked. If someone is attacking you, they will be given help.
-5	Despised	You will be attacked on sight. There is probably a bounty on your head.
-6	Reviled	You are vilified and reviled. Your name, face, and terrible actions are known. A group will send paid assassins and soldiers after you. An individual will go to great lengths and take on great risk and personal cost in order to do as much harm as possible to you.

The framework for setting a DC for a given social roll can also be used as a framework for managing a character's reputation among different groups. The scores range from -6 ("Reviled") to +6 ("Revered"). These scores can be used by a GM to easily

keep track of relationships and attitudes. They are also mechanical modifiers. If a character is at -2 (“Strongly Disliked”) with some group or individual, subtract 2 from their social check and/or their passive social score.

You can use these scores to set a sort of “default” attitude or bias for NPCs. The kindly innkeeper in a small peaceful town might treat every new person at around +2 (“Well-Liked”). The sneering guards in front of a keep might treat everyone at -2 (“Strongly Disliked”). Another traveler on the road just trying to get to where they’re going might be at -1 (“Disliked”) just because they really don’t want to stop and chat. The same traveler might, if you help them out, switch to +1 (“Liked”).

If a player passes the DC, treat their interaction as happening at 1 point higher than it would be otherwise. If the player exceeds the DC by more than 3, treat the interaction as +2.

An example: a player character is in a town in which they are at -3 (“Loathed”). Typically, this would mean that they are treated as a pariah, people are unwilling to talk to them and will actively attempt to get rid of them. They might be thrown in jail on made up charges. If they are very charismatic they may be able to walk into a shop, attempt to talk the owner into selling them something and receive a +2 for the interaction. The shop owner might think that the player character doesn’t seem quite as bad as people say, maybe he thinks that he is misremembering something or getting the player character confused with someone else. He is still wary, however. He still treats the character as -1 or “Disliked”, he will tend not to want to barter. He’ll want to get through the interaction quickly. Similarly, a guard treating everyone at -2 might be persuaded to relax a bit and just talk to the player character on neutral terms (-2 -> 0).

Remember that the good will from one positive social interaction does not last forever. Actions will tend to have a greater effect than words. If you just stabbed someone, you are not going to be able to smooth that over with your wit and charm.

The table with specific characterizations is useful, but it can be boiled down to some rules of thumb. 0 is neutral. From +1 to +3 the character is favored. Persuasion, information gathering, and bartering will be easier. People give you the benefit of the doubt. From +3 to +6 people will go out of their way to help you, they will likely come to your aid in combat or follow you into combat. From -1 to -3 people dislike you and generally do not want to have anything to do with you. -4 to -6 is when you start seeing a lot of unprovoked violence.

There are several different ways a GM can deal with this system in game. The system can be player-facing. You could tell your players their numerical reputation among different groups or numerical values for the attitudes of various characters. A DM could gate numerical information behind something like a good insight roll. A DM can also keep the entire system completely to themselves, as a tool for the to structure descriptions and interactions.

## **Social Perception**

“Social perception” is used here to refer to skills that allow you to roll to sense motives, detect deceit, and gain insight into another character’s emotional state. When a player asks a question that would fall under “social perception” about another character, they should first be given a superficial description of the character and their apparent emotional state. If the character in question seems to be at ease, the GM should just say so. This is true even if the character in question is only pretending to be at ease, but plans to attack or betray the player’s character. The rules and skills for social perception are not meant to cover the superficial. These rules are for attempts to read another character. Social perception rolls are very similar to other character conflicts, they can be either a check or a contest.

## **Social Checks**

When the roll is a check, the DC will be the usual passive DC, 6 + SOCIAL (and/or relevant skills, bias, reputation). If you are trying to read a character who is lying the DC will be set by their initial attempt to lie, which will have been a check + SOCIAL (and/or relevant skills) against your passive social perception. If they attempt to lie and fail this check, then whatever they said will be described as highly suspicious. If they succeed in their initial check, but you pass their DC when you attempt to read them, you will notice that something seems wrong, you might notice inconsistencies in what they said, they might seem nervous or suspicious.

Social perception rolls are almost always checks. If you are lying to someone, you perform a check. If they are trying to figure out if you are lying, they perform a check. If someone is trying to convince you of something and you think they might be lying, you perform a check.

### **Social Check Resolution**

In the case of a tie, resolution is the same as any other check. Defender wins. Otherwise there are four possible outcomes.

#### ***If you pass the check more than 3, Complete Success:***

You read the defender like an open book. You get a full answer to whatever question you were asking, or as full an answer as you could get from watching someone. They crumble at your gaze. Maybe they are so caught off guard that they mention something they should not have.

#### ***If you pass the check by 3 or less, Moderate Success:***

You get some insight into the question you were asking. If you are trying to see if someone is lying, you'll know that they're not telling the whole truth, that they are actively misleading you. If you're trying to get insight into someone's emotional state, you get a good idea of how they are feeling. You might be able to tell that someone is distressed and trying to hide it. This is a pretty good read of someone. They do not know that you know anything.

#### ***If you fail the check by 3 or less, Moderate Failure:***

You have no answer to your question at all and the person you were attempting to read knows what you were doing. If you were trying to figure out if someone was lying about a specific claim, they know that you don't trust them or that you suspect them in some way. Otherwise, you might just be staring and it's weird.

#### ***If you fail the check by more than 3, Catastrophic Failure:***

God have mercy on your soul. You completely give yourself away, your interests and your question are written all over your face. If you are trying to catch a lie, not only is it clear what exactly you think is being lied about, it's clear that you have *no* idea if the defender is lying. Maybe you scoffed at a specific claim, maybe you blurt out some crucial piece of information at exactly the wrong time. Your face is very red.

### **Contests**

Contests are far rarer than checks. Social perception contests happen in contexts where it is understood that both parties are trying to advance their own interests. Some examples of this would be a tense negotiation, an official dinner of ambassadors whose states are on the brink of war, a game of poker, spies meeting to trade secrets while not revealing their true interests. In these cases checks would be happening simultaneously anyway, and the information that someone might doubt what you are saying or that they might not be telling the whole truth wouldn't be very meaningful.

Contest Resolution is just about the same as check resolution. The difference between the rolls of the two characters determines which character succeeds and which fails, and to what degree. This success and failure are captured by the same event. For example one character might give away information they shouldn't have, which captures both the success of one character and the failure of another.

Ties are just ties, a staredown, a tense silence. If your group prefers, ties can be resolved in the normal way for a contest: win goes to the higher modifier, if that's a tie, it's a tie in game. If that outcome makes no sense, roll again.