

Contents

8 Relations

8.1 6b, 6c, 11, 18, 20

6 $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with Relation \mathbf{J} such that $A\mathbf{J}B \Leftrightarrow A \cap B \neq \emptyset$

b) Yes, $\{a, b\} \cap \{b, c\} \neq \emptyset$

c) Yes, $\{a, b\} \cap \{a, b, c\} \neq \emptyset$

11) $A = \{3, 4, 5\}$ and $B = \{4, 5, 6\}$ with Relation \mathbf{S} such that $x\mathbf{S}y \Leftrightarrow x|y$, and such that $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ (Definition of Cartesian Product).

$\mathbf{S} = \{(3, 6), (4, 4), (5, 5)\}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{-1} = \{(4, 4), (5, 5)\}$

18) $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8\}$ and the relation $x\mathbf{V}y$ means that $5|(x^2 - y^2) \forall x \forall y \in A$

20)

$\mathbf{R} = \{(-1, 1), (1, 1), (2, 2)\}$ and $\mathbf{S} = \{(-1, 1), (1, 1), (2, 2), (4, 2)\}$ and $\boxed{\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{S} = \{(-1, 1), (1, 1), (2, 2)\}}$

8.2 7, 17, 33, 36, 40

7) $\mathbf{A} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{(0, 3), (2, 3)\}$

Graph:

b) Not Reflexive, any of them are counter examples and don't point to themselves.

c) Not Symmetric, 0 points to 3 but 3 does not point to 0, it should be all of them to be symmetric, but a counter example was found.

d) It is Transitive, vacuous truth, the left is never true in the definition for transitivity.

17) Relation $\mathbf{P} \forall m \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} m\mathbf{P}n \exists$ a prime number such that $p|m$ and $p|n$

Reflexive, **yes** because $(n\mathbf{P}n)$ because if $p|n$ then that is the same as $p|n$.

Symmetric, **yes** because (if $m\mathbf{P}n$ then $n\mathbf{P}m$) is the first is true, a conjunction is commutative so the latter holds true as well therefore making this relation symmetric.

Transitive, (if $m\mathbf{P}n$ and $n\mathbf{P}o$ then $m\mathbf{P}o$) **yes** because if the first part is satisfies, m is in the factors of n , and if n is in the factors of o , m is also divisible by definition of transitivity. that means that the factors on m coincide within o . This means that $m|o$, which satisfies the relation.

33) $\mathbf{A} = \{\text{all lines on the plane}\} \forall l_1 \forall l_2, l_1 \mathbf{P} l_2 \Leftrightarrow l_1$ is perpendicular to l_2 .

Reflexive, **NO**, lines are not perpendicular to themselves, that breaks the definition of being perpendicular (90 degrees), this is 0 degrees.

Symmetric, **YES**, when line 1 is perpendicular to line 2, line 2 is perpendicular to line 1.

Transitivity, **NO**, if line 1 is perpendicular to line 2, and line 2 is perpendicular to line 3, line 1 is NOT perpendicular to line 3 because line 3 can either be 180 degrees from line 1 or the same line, in both cases the definition of perpendicularity is violated.

36) **[TRUE]**. if the relation \mathbf{R} is transitive, this means that if $x\mathbf{R}y$ and if $y\mathbf{R}z$ then $x\mathbf{R}z$ must hold true. Now if we apply the definition of an inverse we get, if $y\mathbf{R}^{-1}x$ and $z\mathbf{R}^{-1}y$ then $z\mathbf{R}^{-1}x$ which we can do due to law of transitivity. and $z\mathbf{R}^{-1}x$ is the inverse of $x\mathbf{R}z$ due to the law of inverses. This proves that it is transitive.

40) True because \mathbf{RUS} is just combining the reflexive tuples of each and they are all reflexive because reflexive requires the relations to be true for all possible values, thus including those within \mathbf{S} for \mathbf{R} and \mathbf{R} for \mathbf{S}

8.3 10, 20, 26

10) $[a] = \{x \in A | x = \sqrt{3k + a^2}, \text{ For Some } k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

$[0] = \{-3, 0, 3\}$

$[1] = \{-5, -4, -2, -1, 1, 2, 4, 5\}$

All other equivalence classes are equal to the ones above.

20) $\mathbf{PRQ} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{P}$ and \mathbf{Q} have the same truth tables.

Reflexive - if x (a certain arrangement of p, q or r) has a certain truth table it is equivalent to itself so it related to itself $x\mathbf{R}x$. It is reflexive

Symmetric - if x is equivalent to y because they have the same truth tables, this means that $x\mathbf{R}y$, likewise, y will also relate to x due to their logical equivalences. It is symmetric

Transitivity - if x, y and z all arrangements or p, q or $r \in A$ such that $x \equiv y$ and $y \equiv z$ then this means that $x \equiv z$ due to the transitive property we saw in logic arguments in chapter one. It is transitive

Since this relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, it is an **equivalence relation**.

Distinct equivalent relations:

- $[x \in A] = \{\text{Every element that relates to } x\}$
- $[p] = \{\text{Every element that relates to } p\}$
- $[q] = \{\text{Every element that relates to } q\}$
- $[r] = \{\text{Every element that relates to } r\}$

26) Given the relation \mathbf{Q} on the set of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\forall (w, x) \forall (y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

Reflexive - Yes because $(w, x)\mathbf{Q}(w, x)$ and then $x = x \therefore$ it is reflexive.

Symmetric - $(w, x)\mathbf{Q}(y, z) = (y, z)\mathbf{Q}(w, x)$, true because $x = z$ and $z = x$. This means it is symmetric.

Transitive - if $(w, x)\mathbf{Q}(y, z)$ and $(y, z)\mathbf{Q}(a, b)$ then $(w, x)\mathbf{Q}(a, b)$ because if $x = z$, and $z = b$, then $x = b$ due to basic transitivity. This results in all of them relating and thus making the relation transitive.

Since this relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, it is an **equivalence relation**.
Distinct classes -

- $[(w, x), (y, z)] = \{\text{Where } x = z\}$
- $[(w, x), (y, z)] = \{\text{Where } x \neq z\}$

8.4 8, 9b, 15, 22

8a) $45 \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$, $6|45 - 3$, $6|42$ True because $6 * 7 = 42$ AND $104 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, $6|104 - 2$, $6|102$ True because $6 * 17 = 102$

8b) $45 + 104 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, $6|(149 - 5)$, $6|144$ True because $6 * 19 = 114$

8c) $45 - 104 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, $6| - 59 - 1$, $6| - 60$ True because $6 * -10 = -60$

8d) $45 * 104 \equiv (3 * 2) \pmod{6}$, $6|4680 - 6$, $6|4674$ True because $6 * 769 = -60$

8e) $45^2 \equiv 3^2 \pmod{6}$, $6|2025 - 9$, $6|2016$ True because $6(336) = 2016$

9b)

$(a - b) \equiv (c - d) \pmod{n}$ - Given.

$(a - b) - (c - d) = kn$ - Definition of divisibility

$(a - b) = kn + (c - d)$ - By algebra

$qn + r = kn + (c - d)$ - Quotient remainder theorem.

$(q - k)n + r = (c - d)$ - Same non-neg remainder r as a

$(a - b) \pmod{n} = r$ and $(c - d) \pmod{n} = r$ - Definition of the MOD function

$n|(a - b)$ and $n|(c - d)$ - definition of the mod function and algebra

$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$ - Definition of congruence modulo, QED

15) Units digit of $8^{100} = (8^2)^{50} = (64)^{50} = (2^6)^{50} = (2)^{300} = 6 \pmod{10}$

I was able to confirm this because $2^1 = 2$, $2^2 = 4$, power of 3 yields 8, power of 4 yields 6 in the ones spot, power of 5 yields 2 in the ones place, so it repeats here. What we can say now is that at 2^{300} , 300 is divisible by 4, ($4 * 75 = 300$) so now the ones place is 6 at 2^{300} or it is $6 \pmod{10}$, QED.

22) 75004900040 , $7 + 0 + 4 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 11 * 3 = 33 + (5 + 0 + 9 + 0 + 4) = 51$, $60 - 51 = \boxed{9}$.

8.5 8, 12b, 12c, 15