SYNTHESIZING FLEXIBLE, COMPOSITE HIERARCHICAL STRUCTURE FROM MUSIC DATASETS

Ilana Shapiro

UC San Diego

ilshapiro@ucsd.edu

ABSTRACT

2

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

Music is an innately hierarchical system, comprising multiple semantic levels informed by music theory. Such lev- 42 els include formal structure segmentation, disjoint motif 43 repetition, and harmonic and melodic contour. Historically, researchers in the music information retrieval community have focused on developing analyses for single levels in this hierarchy. However, existing research has ad- 47 dressed neither (1) how to combine arbitrarily many levels 48 of structure analyses into a single unified model and (2) 49 how to extract a representative such structure from a corpus of music, rather than just a single piece. In this work, we propose a novel data structure called the *semantic tem*poral graph that captures the semantic (i.e. music theoretic) relationships between levels of the hierarchy, as well as the temporal relationships between adjacent-level analyses. Furthermore, given a corpus of such graphs derived from individual pieces, we introduce a method rooted in stochastic optimization to derive a representative centroid graph encoding the music dataset's overall structure. We provide a qualitative evaluation of the semantic temporal graph [where we.....], as well as a quantitative evaluation 61 of the centroid graph [where we...].

1. INTRODUCTION

Music is both composed and comprehended with a hierarchical organization. Individual notes constitute the bottom 67 of the hierarchy, followed by harmony, rhythmic patterns, 68 motives, phrases, and finally large scale sections. Together, 69 this hierarchy defines the overall structure of a piece [1].

Automatic identification of musical structure, also 71 known as *music structure analysis* (MSA), continues to 72 be a major interest to both musicologists and the MIR 73 community. Research thus far has focused on the auto-74 matic contiguous segmentation (both flat and hierarchical) 75 of musical form [1–13], which involves a boundary detection step followed by a segment labeling step, as well 77 as motif detection [14, 15], which looks for disjoint repeating musical patterns. More recently, researchers have 79

© Ilana Shapiro. Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0). Attribution: Ilana Shapiro, "Synthesizing Flexible, Composite Hierarchical Structure from Music Datasets", in *Proc. of the 25th Int. Society for Music Information Retrieval Conf.*, San Francisco, United States, 2024.

also developed avenues for harmonic [16], functional harmonic [17], and melodic [18–20] contour extraction. The techniques used are diverse, ranging from matrix factorization to deep learning in both supervised and unsupervised settings. All of these tasks have been proposed in annual competitions of the Music Information Retrieval eXchange (MIREX) [21–23], which provides a standard format for their outputs.

To our knowledge, all existing MSA research addresses a single aspect of the compositional hierarchy, such as motif extraction, or melodic contour. There is currently no notion of how reconcile differing levels of the hierarchy into a single, unified model of structure, even though their amalgamation is central to a piece's compositional architecture and cohesive integrity. In identifying the critical components necessary for integrating the hierarchical levels, we find that there are two central challenges: how to convey each level's semantic, music theoretic level in the hierarchy, and how to encapsulate the temporal relationships between the results of structural analyses at adjacent hierarchical levels.

Furthermore, prior MSA research has only addressed the problem of identifying structure in a single piece, and there is presently no methodology for describing the overall structure of a musical corpus, even across existing single-level analyses. The one exception is Oriol Nieto's proposed technique for merging multiple segment boundary annotations [1], but this is intended to be used with multiple boundary detection algorithms over a single piece to alleviate the problem of subjectivity, and does not address the problem of reconciling differing labels.

To address the first gap, in Section 4, we develop the notion of a *semantic temporal graph* (STG), a k-partite directed acyclic graph (DAG) where semantic, music theoretic levels of the compositional hierarchy are represented as levels in the k-partite structure, nodes represent structure labels that are the results of the relevant analysis at each level, and edges between nodes of adjacent levels convey the temporal relationships between those structure labels. Each node has an associated time interval determined by the relevant MSA algorithm. A node must have one or two parents at the level above it: one if its associated time interval is a total subset of its parents, and two if its time interval begins in one parent and ends in the other. In order to easily parse the results of MSA algorithms into this data structure, the standard MIREX format is adhered to.

Importantly, the STG is incredibly flexible, and sup-

ports the representation of arbitrarily many layers and layer 143 types. Furthermore, the STG is totally decoupled from any 144 specific MSA algorithm or input format, meaning that the 145 chosen MSA algorithm for any level can be easily swapped 146 out, as long as its output adheres to the standard MIREX 147 format. This is crucial as single-level MSA algorithms 148 are constantly improving, and the STG must be adaptable 149 enough to accommodate this.

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120 121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

Finally, to address the second gap, in Section 5 we ex- 151 amine the problem of finding a $^{\circ}$ centroid, or most represen- 152 tative, graph given a corpus of the k-partite semantic tem- 153 poral DAGs derived from individual pieces. We use the 154 label-aware graph edit distance as the similarity metric be- 155 tween two graphs. Given such a set of graphs $^{\circ}$, we seek to construct the STG $^{\circ}$ that minimizes this distance from $^{\circ}$ to every graph in $^{\circ}$. This is a constraint satisfaction problem, but one that is intractable to solve deterministically. 157 Thus, we must rely on approximation techniques, and utilize Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods, demonstrating how to use the Metropolis Hastings algorithm to infer an 159 optimal solution and thus arrive at the centroid graph most 160 descriptive of the entire corpus by construction.

2. RELATED WORK

The majority of existing MSA algorithms focus on the con- 163 tiguous formal segmentation task: boundary detection, followed by section labeling. Segmentation algorithms can 165 be flat or hierarchical (where each level is an increasingly 166 granular contiguous segmentation of the piece). Existing 167 approaches generally utilize matrix factorization, formal 168 grammars, or more recently, neural networks. Oriol Nieto's Music Structure Analysis Framework (MSAF) toolkit 169 [1] features most of the state-of-the art matrix factoriza- 170 tion approaches for audio, including ordinal linear discrim- 171 inant analysis [8], convex nonnegative matrix factorization 172 [5], checkerboard [2], spectral clustering [9], the Struc- 173 tural Features algorithm [3], 2D-Fourier Magnitude Coef- 174 ficients [6], and the Variable Markov Oracle [4]. These methods use variants of self-similarity matrices (SSMs) for 175 boundary detection, which are symmetric matrices storing 176 pair-wise comparisons between a given set of features. To 177 assign labels, various methods including Gaussian mixture 178 models and nearest neighbor search are employed [1].

More recently, both supervised [24] and unsupervised 180 [12, 25] deep learning approaches to segmentation have studied, as have graph- and grammar-based approaches. Hernandez-Olivan et al. use the graph-based G-PELT algorithm [10], while Dai et al. employ a graph-based approach 184 informed by interactions between sections, melody, harmony and rhythm [13]. In the grammar realm, Finkensiep et al. attempt a unified model of structure by using a minimal context-free grammar to combine repetition with formal prototypes in a tree-based approach, but they still only operate within the contiguous segmentation domain [11]. 189

MSA algorithms also extend to motif detection, har- 190 monic analysis, and melody extraction. Motif extraction 191 algorithms, which search for disjoint, repeating, and possi- 192 bly overlapping patterns in a piece, generally fall into three 193

categories: string-based approaches, where music data is represented as a one-dimensional pitch sequence repeated patterns are detected with sub-string matching; geometrybased approaches, which represents music data as multidimensional point sets

, where music data is represented as multidimensional point sets [14], as well as with string-based methods, such as by the Variable Markov Oracle, which uses a string-based method in which input features are symbolized and repeated suffixes are located within the time series [?].

harmony (NN)

melody (salamon paper + NN approaches) As for a model unifying prototype graph

3. FORMATS AND PARSING

- 3.1 MIREX Standard Formats
- 3.2 Parsing

161

162

4. ABSTRACT REPRESENTATION

4.1 Semantic Temporal Graph

5. SYNTHESIS

6. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

7. REFERENCES

- [1] O. Nieto, "Discovering structure in music: Automatic approaches and perceptual evaluations," Ph.D. dissertation, New York University, 2015. [Online]. Available: https://www.proquest.com/openview/09f67403121bcbc7d2ee431985bf0568/1
- [2] J. Foote, "Automatic audio segmentation using a measure of audio novelty," in 2000 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo. ICME2000. Proceedings. Latest Advances in the Fast Changing World of Multimedia (Cat. No.00TH8532), vol. 1, 2000, pp. 452–455 vol.1.
- [3] J. Serrà, M. Müller, P. Grosche, and J. L. Arcos, "Unsupervised music structure annotation by time series structure features and segment similarity," *IEEE Trans*actions on Multimedia, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 1229–1240, 2014.
- [4] C. Wang, J. Hsu, and S. Dubnov, "Music pattern discovery with variable markov oracle: A unified approach to symbolic and audio representations," in *Proceedings of the 16th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, ISMIR 2015, Málaga, Spain, October 26-30, 2015*, M. Müller and F. Wiering, Eds., 2015, pp. 176–182. [Online]. Available: http://ismir2015.uma.es/articles/78_Paper. pdf
- [5] O. Nieto and T. Jehan, "Convex non-negative matrix factorization for automatic music structure identification," in *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics*, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2013, Vancouver, BC, Canada, May 26-31, 2013.

- IEEE, 2013, pp. 236–240. [Online]. Available: https: 247 //doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2013.6637644 248
- 196 [6] O. Nieto and J. P. Bello, "Music segment similarity us-249 [ing 2d-fourier magnitude coefficients," in 2014 IEEE 250
 198 International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and 251
 199 Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2014, pp. 664–668.

194

195

- [7] B. McFee, O. Nieto, M. M. Farbood, and J. P. Bello, 254
 "Evaluating hierarchical structure in music annota- 255
 tions," Frontiers in Psychology, vol. 8, 2017. [On- 256
 line]. Available: https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/ 257
 psychology/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01337
- 205 [8] B. McFee and D. P. W. Ellis, "Learning to segment 259
 206 songs with ordinal linear discriminant analysis," in 260
 207 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech 261
 208 and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2014, Florence, 262
 209 Italy, May 4-9, 2014. IEEE, 2014, pp. 5197–5201.
 210 [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.
 264
 2014.6854594
- [9] B. McFee and D. Ellis, "Analyzing song structure 266 212 with spectral clustering," in Proceedings of the 267 213 15th International Society for Music Information 268 214 Retrieval Conference, ISMIR 2014, Taipei, Tai-269 wan, October 27-31, 2014, H. Wang, Y. Yang, 270 216 and J. H. Lee, Eds., 2014, pp. 405-410. [On-217 http://www.terasoft.com.tw/conf/ [17] line]. Available: 218 ismir2014/proceedings/T073_319_Paper.pdf 219
- 220 [10] C. Hernandez-Olivan, S. R. Llamas, and J. R. ²⁷⁴
 Beltrán, "Symbolic music structure analysis with graph ²⁷⁵
 representations and changepoint detection methods," ²⁷⁶
 CoRR, vol. abs/2303.13881, 2023. [Online]. Available: ²⁷⁷
 https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.13881
- Finkensiep, M. Haeberle, F. Eisenbrand, ²⁷⁹ [18] 225 [11] C. M. Neuwirth, and M. Rohrmeier, "Repetition-structure 280 226 inference with formal prototypes," in Proceedings of 281 227 the 24th International Society for Music Information ²⁸² 228 Retrieval Conference, ISMIR 2023, Milan, Italy, 283 229 November 5-9, 2023, A. Sarti, F. Antonacci, M. San- 284 [19] 230 dler, P. Bestagini, S. Dixon, B. Liang, G. Richard, 285 231 and J. Pauwels, Eds., 2023, pp. 383-390. [Online]. 286 232 Available: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10265305 233 287
- 12] M. Buisson, B. McFee, S. Essid, and H. C. Crayencour, 234 "Learning multi-level representations for hierarchical 235 music structure analysis." in *Proceedings of the 23rd* ²⁹⁰ 236 International Society for Music Information Retrieval 291 237 Conference, ISMIR 2022, Bengaluru, India, December 292 238 4-8, 2022, P. Rao, H. A. Murthy, A. Srinivasamurthy, 239 R. M. Bittner, R. C. Repetto, M. Goto, X. Serra, 294 [20] 240 and M. Miron, Eds., 2022, pp. 591–597. [Online]. 295 241 Available: https://archives.ismir.net/ismir2022/paper/ 296 242 000071.pdf 243
- 244 [13] S. Dai, H. Zhang, and R. B. Dannenberg, "Automatic 298
 245 analysis and influence of hierarchical structure on 299
 246 melody, rhythm and harmony in popular music," 300

- *CoRR*, vol. abs/2010.07518, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.07518
- [4] Y. Hsiao, T. Hung, T. Chen, and L. Su, "Bps-motif: A dataset for repeated pattern discovery of polyphonic symbolic music," in *Proceedings of the 24th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, ISMIR 2023, Milan, Italy, November 5-9, 2023,* A. Sarti, F. Antonacci, M. Sandler, P. Bestagini, S. Dixon, B. Liang, G. Richard, and J. Pauwels, Eds., 2023, pp. 281–288. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10265277
- 258 [15] C.-i. Wang and G. J. Mysore, "Structural segmentation with the variable markov oracle and boundary adjustment," in 2016 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2016, pp. 291–295.
- rating chord segmentation into harmony recognition,"
 in *Proceedings of the 20th International Society*for Music Information Retrieval Conference, ISMIR 2019, Delft, The Netherlands, November 4-8,
 268 2019, A. Flexer, G. Peeters, J. Urbano, and
 A. Volk, Eds., 2019, pp. 259–267. [Online]. Available:
 http://archives.ismir.net/ismir2019/paper/000030.pdf
 - 7] —, "Functional harmony recognition of symbolic music data with multi-task recurrent neural networks," in *Proceedings of the 19th International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, ISMIR 2018, Paris, France, September 23-27, 2018*, E. Gómez, X. Hu, E. Humphrey, and E. Benetos, Eds., 2018, pp. 90–97. [Online]. Available: http://ismir2018.ircam.fr/doc/pdfs/178_Paper.pdf
 - 18] J. Salamon, E. Gomez, D. P. W. Ellis, and G. Richard, "Melody extraction from polyphonic music signals: Approaches, applications, and challenges," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 118–134, 2014.
 - 19] K. Kosta, W. T. Lu, G. Medeot, and P. Chanquion, "A deep learning method for melody extraction from a polyphonic symbolic music representation," in *Proceedings of the 23rd International Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, ISMIR 2022, Bengaluru, India, December 4-8, 2022*, P. Rao, H. A. Murthy, A. Srinivasamurthy, R. M. Bittner, R. C. Repetto, M. Goto, X. Serra, and M. Miron, Eds., 2022, pp. 757–763. [Online]. Available: https://archives.ismir.net/ismir2022/paper/000091.pdf
 - 20] Y.-H. Chou, I.-C. Chen, C.-J. Chang, J. Ching, and Y.-H. Yang, "Midibert-piano: Large-scale pre-training for symbolic music understanding," 2021.
- 297 [21] M. McCallum. (2017) Structural Segmentation. Music
 298 Information Retrieval Evaluation eXchange (MIREX).
 299 [Online]. Available: https://www.music-ir.org/mirex/
 300 wiki/2017:Structural_Segmentation

- 301 [22] T. Collins. (2017)Discovery of Repeated & Sections. Music Information Re-Themes 302 trieval Evaluation eXchange (MIREX). [Online]. 303 Available: https://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2017: 304 Discovery_of_Repeated_Themes_\%26_Sections 305
- 306 [23] Sutashu. (2010) Harmonic Analysis. Music Information Retrieval Evaluation eXchange (MIREX).
 308 [Online]. Available: https://www.music-ir.org/mirex/wiki/2010:Harmonic_Analysis
- 310 [24] J. Wang, J. B. L. Smith, W. T. Lu, and X. Song, "Supervised metric learning for music structure 311 features," in Proceedings of the 22nd International 312 Society for Music Information Retrieval Conference, 313 ISMIR 2021, Online, November 7-12, 2021, J. H. 314 Lee, A. Lerch, Z. Duan, J. Nam, P. Rao, P. van 315 Kranenburg, and A. Srinivasamurthy, Eds., 2021, pp. 316 730–737. [Online]. Available: https://archives.ismir. 317 net/ismir2021/paper/000091.pdf 318
- 319 [25] M. C. McCallum, "Unsupervised learning of 320 deep features for music segmentation," *CoRR*, 321 vol. abs/2108.12955, 2021. [Online]. Available: 322 https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12955