

Ilansh  
302514401  
Ilan Shamir

#### Part 1

a. The error is that there are 2 stars in front of the first delimiter: the correct code would be:

```
*( *str + strlen(*str) - 1))
```

The reason for this is that the initial code looked at the address `str` which is a pointer to the address of the real string.

Performing the operation `(str + strlen(*str) - 1)` has no meaning, since `str` is not the address of the first letter of the string, but a pointer to that address.

`*str` however, is a pointer to the first letter of the string.

b. - `foo1` - will compile and run properly. The declaration `(int * const arr)` protects the pointer to the array and not the value in the pointed location, thus the change `++(*arr)` is legal, since it is applied to the value which `arr` points to (`*arr`) and not the address `arr`.

- `foo2` - will not compile, because the change `++arr` is done on the address and not the value, the conditions are the same as in `foo1` – the address is protected and thus a compilation error occurs.

- `foo3` - The code will compile but produce a warning, since we “down-cast” `arr` into a non-const pointer `p`. The integer pointed by `arr` is protected, and `p` is a non-const pointer to `int` (doesn't protect the integer value), so it can change values inside the array pointed by `arr`, even though `arr` protects the integer it points to.

-`foo4` The code will not compile for similar reasons to `foo1`. The `const` declaration protects the `int` value pointed by `arr`, and a change is made to this protected `int` value – error.

c. We should add the line `assert(arrLen == sizeof(myDigits->cArray) / sizeof(myDigits->cArray[0]))` right after the assignment into `arrLen` (similar in our case to `assert(arrLen == 10)`).

The problem is that `sizeof(MyDigits)` isn't necessarily the exact sum of its components sizes, rather it is spread over a batch of memory in a certain way (fields are aligned into 4 byte segments), thus the deduction of the 2 `int` values will not give us the size of the remaining `char` array.

d. running my program using `valgrind` and `Test1.in` from the `ex3` forum:

```
valgrind --leak-check=full PlayBoard out112 < Test1.in
```

```
==14014==
```

```
==14014== HEAP SUMMARY:
```

```
==14014==    in use at exit: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
```

```
==14014== total heap usage: 9 allocs, 9 frees, 1,640 bytes allocated
```

```
==14014==
```

```
==14014== All heap blocks were freed -- no leaks are possible
```

```
==14014==
```

```
==14014== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
```

```
==14014== ERROR SUMMARY: 0 errors from 0 contexts (suppressed: 4 from 4)
```