

main

October 25, 2025

1 House Prices - Advanced Regression Techniques

The objective of this challenge is to build a regression model to predict the final price of homes in the dataset.

The dataset has 79 features, describing the characteristics of each given house. The description of each feature can be found in the [data_description.txt](#) file.

We will approach the problem in different steps:

1. Load the dataset and describe it
2. Data cleaning (e.g. remove nulls, feature encoding, etc.)
3. Train & Evaluate (rmse, r-squared)
4. Results
5. [Bonus] Improving our Kaggle score, where we try to improve the score with advanced techniques

2 Load the dataset and describe it

We are given two datasets, one called `train.csv` and the other `test.csv`. The `train.csv` dataset will be used for training, whereas the `test.csv` to create our final submission to Kaggle.

```
[1]: # Imports for the project
```

```
import pandas as pd
import seaborn as sns
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import numpy as np

random_state = 13427895
```

```
[2]: train_dataset_raw = pd.read_csv('./data/train.csv', index_col='Id')
test_dataset_raw = pd.read_csv('./data/test.csv', index_col='Id')

train_dataset_raw.info()
```

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
Index: 1460 entries, 1 to 1460
Data columns (total 80 columns):
#   Column          Non-Null Count  Dtype
#   ...
```

---	-----	-----	-----
0	MSSubClass	1460 non-null	int64
1	MSZoning	1460 non-null	object
2	LotFrontage	1201 non-null	float64
3	LotArea	1460 non-null	int64
4	Street	1460 non-null	object
5	Alley	91 non-null	object
6	LotShape	1460 non-null	object
7	LandContour	1460 non-null	object
8	Utilities	1460 non-null	object
9	LotConfig	1460 non-null	object
10	LandSlope	1460 non-null	object
11	Neighborhood	1460 non-null	object
12	Condition1	1460 non-null	object
13	Condition2	1460 non-null	object
14	BldgType	1460 non-null	object
15	HouseStyle	1460 non-null	object
16	OverallQual	1460 non-null	int64
17	OverallCond	1460 non-null	int64
18	YearBuilt	1460 non-null	int64
19	YearRemodAdd	1460 non-null	int64
20	RoofStyle	1460 non-null	object
21	RoofMatl	1460 non-null	object
22	Exterior1st	1460 non-null	object
23	Exterior2nd	1460 non-null	object
24	MasVnrType	588 non-null	object
25	MasVnrArea	1452 non-null	float64
26	ExterQual	1460 non-null	object
27	ExterCond	1460 non-null	object
28	Foundation	1460 non-null	object
29	BsmtQual	1423 non-null	object
30	BsmtCond	1423 non-null	object
31	BsmtExposure	1422 non-null	object
32	BsmtFinType1	1423 non-null	object
33	BsmtFinSF1	1460 non-null	int64
34	BsmtFinType2	1422 non-null	object
35	BsmtFinSF2	1460 non-null	int64
36	BsmtUnfSF	1460 non-null	int64
37	TotalBsmtSF	1460 non-null	int64
38	Heating	1460 non-null	object
39	HeatingQC	1460 non-null	object
40	CentralAir	1460 non-null	object
41	Electrical	1459 non-null	object
42	1stFlrSF	1460 non-null	int64
43	2ndFlrSF	1460 non-null	int64
44	LowQualFinSF	1460 non-null	int64
45	GrLivArea	1460 non-null	int64
46	BsmtFullBath	1460 non-null	int64

```

47 BsmtHalfBath    1460 non-null    int64
48 FullBath        1460 non-null    int64
49 HalfBath        1460 non-null    int64
50 BedroomAbvGr    1460 non-null    int64
51 KitchenAbvGr    1460 non-null    int64
52 KitchenQual     1460 non-null    object
53 TotRmsAbvGrd    1460 non-null    int64
54 Functional      1460 non-null    object
55 Fireplaces      1460 non-null    int64
56 FireplaceQu     770 non-null     object
57 GarageType      1379 non-null    object
58 GarageYrBlt     1379 non-null    float64
59 GarageFinish    1379 non-null    object
60 GarageCars      1460 non-null    int64
61 GarageArea      1460 non-null    int64
62 GarageQual      1379 non-null    object
63 GarageCond      1379 non-null    object
64 PavedDrive      1460 non-null    object
65 WoodDeckSF      1460 non-null    int64
66 OpenPorchSF     1460 non-null    int64
67 EnclosedPorch   1460 non-null    int64
68 3SsnPorch       1460 non-null    int64
69 ScreenPorch     1460 non-null    int64
70 PoolArea        1460 non-null    int64
71 PoolQC          7 non-null      object
72 Fence           281 non-null    object
73 MiscFeature     54 non-null     object
74 MiscVal         1460 non-null    int64
75 MoSold          1460 non-null    int64
76 YrSold          1460 non-null    int64
77 SaleType        1460 non-null    object
78 SaleCondition   1460 non-null    object
79 SalePrice       1460 non-null    int64
dtypes: float64(3), int64(34), object(43)
memory usage: 923.9+ KB

```

```
[3]: train_dataset_raw.sample(8, random_state=random_state)
```

```

[3]:   MSSubClass MSZoning LotFrontage LotArea Street Alley LotShape \
Id
1245         70      RL          NaN   11435   Pave   NaN      IR1
974          20      FV        95.0   11639   Pave   NaN      Reg
257          60      FV        64.0    8791   Pave   NaN      IR1
452          20      RL        62.0   70761   Pave   NaN      IR1
1412         50      RL        80.0    9600   Pave   NaN      Reg
958          20      RL        70.0    7420   Pave   NaN      Reg
671          60      RL        64.0    8633   Pave   NaN      Reg

```

1219	50	RM	52.0	6240	Pave	NaN	Reg	
------	----	----	------	------	------	-----	-----	--

	LandContour	Utilities	LotConfig	...	PoolArea	PoolQC	Fence	MiscFeature	\
Id				...					
1245	HLS	AllPub	Corner	...	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	
974	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	...	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	
257	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	
452	Low	AllPub	Inside	...	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	
1412	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	NaN	MnPrv	NaN	
958	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	
671	Lvl	AllPub	FR2	...	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	
1219	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	...	0	NaN	NaN	NaN	

	MiscVal	MoSold	YrSold	SaleType	SaleCondition	SalePrice
Id						
1245	0	6	2006	WD	Normal	230000
974	0	12	2008	New	Partial	182000
257	0	5	2008	WD	Normal	207500
452	0	12	2006	WD	Normal	280000
1412	0	9	2009	WD	Normal	140000
958	0	4	2007	WD	Normal	132000
671	0	2	2009	WD	Normal	173500
1219	0	7	2006	WD	Normal	80500

[8 rows x 80 columns]

```
[4]: missing = train_dataset_raw.isna().sum()

missing[missing > 0]
```

```
[4]: LotFrontage    259
Alley            1369
MasVnrType       872
MasVnrArea        8
BsmtQual         37
BsmtCond         37
BsmtExposure     38
BsmtFinType1     37
BsmtFinType2     38
Electrical        1
FireplaceQu      690
GarageType        81
GarageYrBlt       81
GarageFinish      81
GarageQual        81
GarageCond        81
PoolQC           1453
```

```
Fence          1179
MiscFeature     1406
dtype: int64
```

Looking at the output and in accordance with the [data_description.txt](#) file we can observe that the dataset:

- Contains many features with missing values. Now, should these columns or rows that contain them be dropped? We will have to distinguish whether the missing data actually is actually relevant.
 - For example, looking at the **PoolQC** feature, it indicates the PoolQuality. If **NaN** it indicates that the house does not have a pool, therefore is a useful information that we want to keep
 - Whereas, we see that for example **MasVnrArea** indicates the veneer area in square feet, a **NaN** value here means that we do not have that information, therefore we could either set it to zero or remove completely.

In summary:

1. These columns **Alley**, **BsmtQual**, **BsmtCond**, **BsmtExposure**, **BsmtFinType1**, **BsmtFinType2**, **FireplaceQu**, **GarageType**, **GarageFinish**, **GarageQual**, **GarageCond**, **PoolQC**, **Fence**, **MiscFeature**, **MasVnrType** are *absent*, but contain meaningful information
 2. **Electrical** column is actually missing (1 row), therefore we will simply remove it from the dataset
 3. **LotFrontage** column is missing in 259 rows. In this case we won't delete the rows since it would mean deleting roughly 20% of the dataset. Therefore, we will set the missing values to the median of the rows with values
- Contains many categorical features, which we will likely need to encode.

2.1 Target Variable

The target variable 'y' in the dataset is **SalePrice**

```
[5]: target_column = 'SalePrice'


train_dataset_raw[target_column].describe()
```

```
[5]: count      1460.000000
mean      180921.195890
std       79442.502883
min       34900.000000
25%      129975.000000
50%      163000.000000
75%      214000.000000
max       755000.000000
Name: SalePrice, dtype: float64
```

We observe that the **mean** (180921) is higher than the **median** (50% - 163000), which could mean that there are some houses that are driving the price up (max 755000) which could indicate that the data is skewed towards the right. To confirm this, let's plot the data.

```
[6]: sns.histplot(train_dataset_raw[target_column], kde=True)
plt.title(f"Distribution of {target_column}")
plt.xlabel(target_column)
plt.ylabel("Frequency")

plt.show()
```



And indeed we observe that the data is right-skewed. We will need to apply a transformation to make it less “unbalanced”.


2.2 Correlation

Let’s go on and see how the different columns contribute to the **SalePrice** target column by using correlation.

```
[7]: corr_matrix = train_dataset_raw.corr(numeric_only=True)
saleprice_correlations = corr_matrix[target_column].sort_values(ascending=False)

plt.figure(figsize=(12,7))
sns.barplot(x=saleprice_correlations.values, y=saleprice_correlations.index)
```

```
plt.title(f"Correlation of features for {target_column}")
plt.ylabel("Features")
plt.show()
```



Based on this visualization we can see that some features heavily ($> .6$) contribute to the **SalePrice** target

```
[8]: saleprice_correlations[saleprice_correlations > .6]
```

```
[8]: SalePrice      1.000000
     OverallQual    0.790982
     GrLivArea      0.708624
     GarageCars     0.640409
     GarageArea     0.623431
     TotalBsmtSF    0.613581
     1stFlrSF       0.605852
     Name: SalePrice, dtype: float64
```

Knowing this, let's see if these highly-correlated features have outliers using scatter plots.

```
[9]: highly_correlated = saleprice_correlations[saleprice_correlations > .6]
     highly_correlated = highly_correlated[1:] # remove the target column

     fig, axes = plt.subplots(nrows=2, ncols=3, figsize=(20, 12))

     for feature, ax in zip(highly_correlated.keys(), axes.flatten()):
```


```

sns.scatterplot(x=train_dataset_raw[feature],
               y=train_dataset_raw[target_column], ax=ax)

ax.set_title(f"Outliers: {feature} vs {target_column}")

plt.tight_layout()
plt.show()

```



Looking at this we see some outliers for:

- **GrLivArea** where two houses with a > 4500 ft sq. are sold at < 200000 dollars. We will remove this as it doesn't make much sense if we think at the context we are working in. A house that big should cost way more money, but here it is sold very cheap.
- **GarageArea** where houses with a > 1200 ft sq. are sold at < 300000 dollars. This is more nuanced as it's plausible that a real house might have an enormous garage or workshop. We will leave this outsider to be conservative and later see if it was the right decision.
- **TotalBSmtSF** & **1stFlrSF** with a really out-of-charts outliers, most certainly an error in the data.

3 Data Cleaning

In the previous phase, we identified several items that we need to address:

- **Missing Data**, We found numerous columns with missing values and determined that some NaNs represent a meaningful absence of a feature (e.g., no pool), while others are truly missing data points.

- **Outliers**, We identified a few significant outliers, particularly two data points with very large **GrLivArea** but unusually low **SalePrice**, which could negatively impact model performance.
- **Target Variable Skewness**, Our target variable, **SalePrice**, is heavily right-skewed and will need to be transformed to be more suitable for linear models.

In this section, we will execute a cleaning plan based on these findings. The process will be as follows:

1. First, we will handle all missing data by either **imputing** values or **dropping** rows.
2. Second, we will **remove** the identified outliers from the dataset.
3. Third, we will apply a log transformation to the **SalePrice** column to normalize its distribution.
4. Finally, once the data is clean, we will perform **feature encoding** to convert categorical columns into a numerical format that machine learning models can process.

3.0.1 Filling “missing” data and filling

```
[10]: target_column = 'SalePrice'

train_dataset_cleaned = train_dataset_raw.copy()
test_dataset_cleaned = test_dataset_raw.copy()

features_to_fill_none = [
    'Alley', 'BsmtQual', 'BsmtCond', 'BsmtExposure', 'BsmtFinType1',
    'BsmtFinType2', 'FireplaceQu', 'GarageType', 'GarageFinish',
    'GarageQual', 'GarageCond', 'PoolQC', 'Fence', 'MiscFeature',
    'MasVnrType'
]

# fill categorical missing with "None" string

for feature in features_to_fill_none:
    train_dataset_cleaned[feature] = train_dataset_cleaned[feature].
    ↪ fillna("None")
    test_dataset_cleaned[feature] = test_dataset_cleaned[feature].fillna("None")

# fill numerical missing with 0
features_to_fill_zero = ['GarageYrBlt', 'MasVnrArea']

for feature in features_to_fill_zero:
    train_dataset_cleaned[feature] = train_dataset_cleaned[feature].fillna(0)
    test_dataset_cleaned[feature] = test_dataset_cleaned[feature].fillna(0)

# fill any remaining NaNs in LotFrontage (e.g., if a neighborhood in test set_
    ↪ was not present in the training set,
# or if a neighborhood had all NaNs) with the global median from the training_
    ↪ set.
lot_frontage_median = train_dataset_cleaned['LotFrontage'].median()
```

```

train_dataset_cleaned['LotFrontage'] = train_dataset_cleaned['LotFrontage'].
    ↪fillna(lot_frontage_median)
test_dataset_cleaned['LotFrontage'] = test_dataset_cleaned['LotFrontage'].
    ↪fillna(lot_frontage_median)

# drop the row with missing "Electrical" feature
train_dataset_cleaned.dropna(subset=['Electrical'], inplace=True)

print(f"Rows with NaN values after cleaning: {train_dataset_cleaned.isna().
    ↪sum().sum()}")

```

Rows with NaN values after cleaning: 0

3.0.2 Outliers

```

[11]: print(f"Before removal of outliers {len(train_dataset_cleaned)}")

train_dataset_cleaned =
    ↪train_dataset_cleaned[train_dataset_cleaned['GrLivArea'] < 4000]
train_dataset_cleaned =
    ↪train_dataset_cleaned[train_dataset_cleaned['TotalBsmtSF'] < 6000]
train_dataset_cleaned = train_dataset_cleaned[train_dataset_cleaned['1stFlrSF']
    ↪< 4000]

print(f"After removal of outliers {len(train_dataset_cleaned)}")

```

Before removal of outliers 1459

After removal of outliers 1455

3.0.3 Adjusting skewness

```

[12]: ## Point 3

transformed_to_log_target_column = f"{target_column}_log"

train_dataset_cleaned[transformed_to_log_target_column] = np.
    ↪log1p(train_dataset_cleaned[target_column])

fig, axes = plt.subplots(nrows=1, ncols=2, figsize=(15, 4))


# Plot original SalePrice distribution on the first subplot
sns.histplot(train_dataset_cleaned[target_column], kde=True, ax=axes[0])
axes[0].set_title(f"Original {target_column} Distribution")

# Plot log-transformed SalePrice distribution on the second subplot
sns.histplot(train_dataset_cleaned[transformed_to_log_target_column], kde=True,
    ↪ax=axes[1])

```

```
axes[1].set_title(f"Log-Transformed {transformed_to_log_target_column}_
↳Distribution")

plt.show()
```



To encode the features, we have to be aware of the different types that are present in the dataset. We have two types (1) **Ordinal** and (2) **Nominal** features.

Ordinal features have an intrinsic order. For example we observe that many features in the dataset refer to “Quality of X”, like “ExterQual”, “BsmtQual”, etc that is ordered from (NA - No X, Po - Poor quality, Fa - Fair quality, TA - typical quality, Gd - good quality and Ex - excellent quality. We will map these values to a 0-5 and encode them using **Ordinal Encoding**.

Nominal features are categories to which the feature is assigned to. For example the **Neighborhood**, which represents the physical location of the house in the city, can assume different values depending, of course, by the neighborhood the house is in.

3.0.4 Encoding

```
[13]: ## Point 4 - Ordinal
from sklearn.preprocessing import OrdinalEncoder

# --- Define categories and columns ---
qual_cols = ['ExterQual', 'ExterCond', 'BsmtQual', 'BsmtCond', 'HeatingQC',
↳'KitchenQual', 'FireplaceQu', 'GarageQual', 'GarageCond']
qual_cats = ['None', 'Po', 'Fa', 'TA', 'Gd', 'Ex']
bsmt_fin_cols = ['BsmtFinType1', 'BsmtFinType2']
bsmt_fin_cats = ['None', 'Unf', 'LwQ', 'Rec', 'BLQ', 'ALQ', 'GLQ']
bsmt_exp_cols = ['BsmtExposure']
bsmt_exp_cats = ['None', 'No', 'Mn', 'Av', 'Gd']
garage_fin_cols = ['GarageFinish']
garage_fin_cats = ['None', 'Unf', 'RFn', 'Fin']
paved_drive_cols = ['PavedDrive']
paved_drive_cats = ['N', 'P', 'Y']
land_slope_cols = ['LandSlope']
```

```

land_slope_cats = ['Sev', 'Mod', 'Gtl']

# --- Initialize all encoders ---
qual_encoder = OrdinalEncoder(categories=[qual_cats] * len(qual_cols),
    ↪handle_unknown='use_encoded_value', unknown_value=-1)
bsmt_fin_encoder = OrdinalEncoder(categories=[bsmt_fin_cats] *
    ↪len(bsmt_fin_cols), handle_unknown='use_encoded_value', unknown_value=-1)
bsmt_exp_encoder = OrdinalEncoder(categories=[bsmt_exp_cats],
    ↪handle_unknown='use_encoded_value', unknown_value=-1)
garage_fin_encoder = OrdinalEncoder(categories=[garage_fin_cats],
    ↪handle_unknown='use_encoded_value', unknown_value=-1)
paved_drive_encoder = OrdinalEncoder(categories=[paved_drive_cats],
    ↪handle_unknown='use_encoded_value', unknown_value=-1)
land_slope_encoder = OrdinalEncoder(categories=[land_slope_cats],
    ↪handle_unknown='use_encoded_value', unknown_value=-1)

# --- Fit and Transform the Training Data ---
# Note: We fill NaNs with a default value just before fitting/transforming
train_dataset_cleaned[qual_cols] = qual_encoder.
    ↪fit_transform(train_dataset_cleaned[qual_cols])
train_dataset_cleaned[bsmt_fin_cols] = bsmt_fin_encoder.
    ↪fit_transform(train_dataset_cleaned[bsmt_fin_cols])
train_dataset_cleaned[bsmt_exp_cols] = bsmt_exp_encoder.
    ↪fit_transform(train_dataset_cleaned[bsmt_exp_cols])
train_dataset_cleaned[garage_fin_cols] = garage_fin_encoder.
    ↪fit_transform(train_dataset_cleaned[garage_fin_cols])
train_dataset_cleaned[paved_drive_cols] = paved_drive_encoder.
    ↪fit_transform(train_dataset_cleaned[paved_drive_cols])
train_dataset_cleaned[land_slope_cols] = land_slope_encoder.
    ↪fit_transform(train_dataset_cleaned[land_slope_cols])

# --- Transform the Test Data (filling NaNs with the same strategy) ---
test_dataset_cleaned[qual_cols] = qual_encoder.
    ↪transform(test_dataset_cleaned[qual_cols])
test_dataset_cleaned[bsmt_fin_cols] = bsmt_fin_encoder.
    ↪transform(test_dataset_cleaned[bsmt_fin_cols])
test_dataset_cleaned[bsmt_exp_cols] = bsmt_exp_encoder.
    ↪transform(test_dataset_cleaned[bsmt_exp_cols])
test_dataset_cleaned[garage_fin_cols] = garage_fin_encoder.
    ↪transform(test_dataset_cleaned[garage_fin_cols])
test_dataset_cleaned[paved_drive_cols] = paved_drive_encoder.
    ↪transform(test_dataset_cleaned[paved_drive_cols])
test_dataset_cleaned[land_slope_cols] = land_slope_encoder.
    ↪transform(test_dataset_cleaned[land_slope_cols])

```

```
[14]: train_dataset_cleaned.sample(8, random_state=random_state)
```

```
[14]: MSSubClass MSZoning LotFrontage LotArea Street Alley LotShape \
Id
1243      85      RL      85.0    10625  Pave  None      Reg
1186      50      RL      60.0     9738  Pave  None      Reg
1258      30      RL      56.0     4060  Pave  None      Reg
1254      60      RL      69.0    17542  Pave  None      IR1
1221      20      RL      66.0     7800  Pave  None      IR1
1320      20      RL      75.0    10215  Pave  None      Reg
172       20      RL     141.0    31770  Pave  None      IR1
958       20      RL      70.0     7420  Pave  None      Reg

LandContour Utilities LotConfig ... PoolQC Fence MiscFeature MiscVal \
Id
1243      Lvl     AllPub   Inside ...   None  MnPrv      None      0
1186      Lvl     AllPub   Inside ...   None  None      None      0
1258      Lvl     AllPub   Corner ...   None  None      None      0
1254      Lvl     AllPub   Inside ...   None  MnPrv      None      0
1221      Lvl     AllPub   Inside ...   None  None      None      0
1320      Bnk     AllPub   Inside ...   None  None      None      0
172       Lvl     AllPub   Corner ...   None  None      None      0
958       Lvl     AllPub   Inside ...   None  None      None      0

MoSold YrSold SaleType SaleCondition SalePrice SalePrice_log
Id
1243     1   2010      WD      Family    170000    12.043560
1186     3   2006      WD      Normal    104900    11.560772
1258     7   2009      WD      Normal     99900    11.511935
1254     7   2007      WD      Normal    294000    12.591338
1221    11   2006      WD    Abnorml    115000    11.652696
1320     2   2007      WD      Normal    111000    11.617294
172      5   2010      WD      Normal    215000    12.278398
958      4   2007      WD      Normal    132000    11.790565
```

[8 rows x 81 columns]

```
[15]: ## Point 4 - Nominal

from sklearn.preprocessing import OneHotEncoder

df_final = train_dataset_cleaned.copy()
df_test_final = test_dataset_cleaned.copy()

df_final['MSSubClass'] = df_final['MSSubClass'].astype(str)
df_test_final['MSSubClass'] = df_test_final['MSSubClass'].astype(str)

nominal_cols = df_final.select_dtypes(include=['object']).columns
ohe = OneHotEncoder(drop='first', sparse_output=False, handle_unknown='ignore')
```

```

# Fit on the training data and transform it
encoded_train = ohe.fit_transform(df_final[nominal_cols])
encoded_df_train = pd.DataFrame(encoded_train, index=df_final.index,
    ↪ columns=ohe.get_feature_names_out(nominal_cols))

# ONLY transform the test data
encoded_test = ohe.transform(df_test_final[nominal_cols])
encoded_df_test = pd.DataFrame(encoded_test, index=df_test_final.index,
    ↪ columns=ohe.get_feature_names_out(nominal_cols))

# Drop, concat, and align
df_final.drop(nominal_cols, axis=1, inplace=True)
df_test_final.drop(nominal_cols, axis=1, inplace=True)
df_final = pd.concat([df_final, encoded_df_train], axis=1)
df_test_final = pd.concat([df_test_final, encoded_df_test], axis=1)

# also process the missing values on the test dataset after encoding the
    ↪ features
test_nan_cols = df_test_final.columns[df_test_final.isna().any()].tolist()

for col in test_nan_cols:
    median_value = df_final[col].median()
    df_test_final[col] = df_test_final[col].fillna(median_value)
    print(f"Filled NaNs in '{col}' with training data median for test dataset:
    ↪ {median_value}")

```

```

Filled NaNs in 'BsmtFinSF1' with training data median for test dataset: 381.0
Filled NaNs in 'BsmtFinSF2' with training data median for test dataset: 0.0
Filled NaNs in 'BsmtUnfSF' with training data median for test dataset: 479.0
Filled NaNs in 'TotalBsmtSF' with training data median for test dataset: 991.0
Filled NaNs in 'BsmtFullBath' with training data median for test dataset: 0.0
Filled NaNs in 'BsmtHalfBath' with training data median for test dataset: 0.0
Filled NaNs in 'GarageCars' with training data median for test dataset: 2.0
Filled NaNs in 'GarageArea' with training data median for test dataset: 479.0

```

```

/Users/ilcors-dev/src/unibo/corsetti-
house_prices_advanced_regression_techniques/.venv/lib/python3.13/site-
packages/sklearn/preprocessing/_encoders.py:246: UserWarning: Found unknown
categories in columns [0, 1, 6, 15, 16, 22, 27] during transform. These unknown
categories will be encoded as all zeros
    warnings.warn(

```

```
[16]: df_final.sample(8, random_state=random_state)
```

```

[16]:      LotFrontage  LotArea  LandSlope  OverallQual  OverallCond  YearBuilt  \
Id
1243          85.0    10625         2.0             7             6        1974

```

1186	60.0	9738	2.0	5	7	1924
1258	56.0	4060	2.0	5	8	1922
1254	69.0	17542	2.0	7	7	1974
1221	66.0	7800	2.0	5	5	1964
1320	75.0	10215	2.0	4	5	1954
172	141.0	31770	2.0	6	5	1960
958	70.0	7420	2.0	5	5	1962

	YearRemodAdd	MasVnrArea	ExterQual	ExterCond	...	SaleType_ConLI	\
Id					...		
1243	1974	81.0	3.0	3.0	...	0.0	
1186	1950	0.0	3.0	4.0	...	0.0	
1258	1950	0.0	3.0	3.0	...	0.0	
1254	2003	0.0	4.0	3.0	...	0.0	
1221	1964	0.0	3.0	3.0	...	0.0	
1320	1954	132.0	3.0	3.0	...	0.0	
172	1960	112.0	3.0	3.0	...	0.0	
958	1962	0.0	3.0	3.0	...	0.0	

	SaleType_ConLw	SaleType_New	SaleType_Oth	SaleType_WD	\
Id					
1243	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
1186	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
1258	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
1254	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
1221	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
1320	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
172	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	
958	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	

	SaleCondition_AdjLand	SaleCondition_Alloca	SaleCondition_Family	\
Id				
1243	0.0	0.0	1.0	
1186	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1258	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1254	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1221	0.0	0.0	0.0	
1320	0.0	0.0	0.0	
172	0.0	0.0	0.0	
958	0.0	0.0	0.0	

	SaleCondition_Normal	SaleCondition_Partial
Id		
1243	0.0	0.0
1186	1.0	0.0
1258	1.0	0.0
1254	1.0	0.0

1221	0.0	0.0
1320	1.0	0.0
172	1.0	0.0
958	1.0	0.0

[8 rows x 228 columns]

4 Train & Evaluate

Now that we have a clean dataset, we can train some models and evaluate them using **Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)**, **R-squared**.

Going step by step, here's how we are going to split the work: 1. **Prepare Data for Modeling:** We will separate our data into a feature matrix (X) and a target vector (y). We will then split these into a training set (for teaching the models) and a validation set (for evaluating their performance on unseen data).

2. **Establish a Baseline Model:** We'll start by training a simple and interpretable **Ridge Regression** model. This will give us a baseline performance score that we can strive to improve upon.
3. **Train Advanced Models:** We will then train two more powerful models: a **Random Forest Regressor** and an **XGBoost Regressor**.
4. **Evaluate and Compare Models:** Finally, we will compare the performance of all three models using **Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)** and **R-squared** on the validation set. This will help us determine which model is the most accurate and best suited for this challenge.

Why do we use **RMSE** and **R-squared**?

RMSE, tells us the distance between the model's predictions and the actual values.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{error} &= \text{actual} - \text{predicted} \\ \text{MSE} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{actual} - \text{predicted})^2 \\ \text{RMSE} &= \sqrt{\text{MSE}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{actual} - \text{predicted})^2}\end{aligned}$$

In our case, it tells how much of, on average, our prediction is off by log-dollars. For example, if we get a **RMSE** of 0.13, it means that on average our model prediction is off by 0.13 log scaled dollars.

The lower the better because we want to minimize our prediction error.

R-squared, tells us how good our model fits the data by measuring the proportion of the variance in the target variable that gets explained by our model. It does so by comparing our model's performance to a baseline model that just predicts the mean value of the target for every observation.

$$\begin{aligned}
SS_{\text{res}}(\text{Sum of squared residuals}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{actual} - \text{prediction})^2 \\
SS_{\text{tot}}(\text{Total sum of squares}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{actual} - \text{mean})^2 \\
R^2 &= 1 - \frac{SS_{\text{res}}}{SS_{\text{tot}}}
\end{aligned}$$

where:

- SS_{res} (Sum of squared residuals) represents the errors of our model
- SS_{tot} (Total sum of squares) represents the errors of the *mean-only* model (the baseline)

In our case, it tells us **how well** our model accounts for the variation in the data. For example, if we get a **R-squared** of 0.86, it means that our model can explain 86% of the variation of the house prices.

The higher the better because it means that our model can explain the complexity of the data well.

4.1 Data preparation

```
[17]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

X = df_final.copy().drop([target_column, transformed_to_log_target_column],
    ↪axis=1, errors='ignore')
y = df_final.copy()[transformed_to_log_target_column]

X_test_final = df_test_final.copy()

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=.2,
    ↪random_state=random_state)

print(f"Shape of the original unsplitted dataset {X.shape}")
print(f"Shape of the splitted train dataset {X_train.shape}")
print(f"Shape of the splitted test dataset {X_test.shape}")
```

Shape of the original unsplitted dataset (1455, 226)

Shape of the splitted train dataset (1164, 226)

Shape of the splitted test dataset (291, 226)

```
[18]: from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, r2_score
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score

models = {
    'ridge': {
        'model': None,
        'prediction': None,
        'metrics': {}
    },
    'ridge_best': {
```

```

        'model': None,
        'prediction': None,
        'metrics': {}
    },
    'random_forest': {
        'model': None,
        'prediction': None,
        'metrics': {}
    },
    'random_forest_best': {
        'model': None,
        'prediction': None,
        'metrics': {}
    },
    'xgboost': {
        'model': None,
        'prediction': None,
        'metrics': {}
    },
    'xgboost_best': {
        'model': None,
        'prediction': None,
        'metrics': {}
    }
}

def compare_models(models_to_evaluate):
    """
    Creates a dictionary to compare the trained models.

    Args:
        models_to_evaluate (dict): A dictionary where keys are model names
                                   and values are instantiated model objects.

    Returns:
        pd.DataFrame: A DataFrame with the RMSE and R2 scores for each model.
    """
    cv_results = {}

    for name, model_dict in models_to_evaluate.items():
        if 'rmse' not in model_dict['metrics'] or 'r2' not in_
        ↪model_dict['metrics']:
            continue

        cv_results[name] = {
            'rmse': model_dict['metrics']['rmse'],
            'r2': model_dict['metrics']['r2']
        }

```

```

    }

    results_df = pd.DataFrame.from_dict(cv_results, orient='index').
    ↪sort_values(['rmse', 'r2'], ascending=[True, False])

    fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(16, 4))

    sns.barplot(y=results_df.index, x='rmse', data=results_df, ax=axes[0],
    ↪palette='viridis', hue=results_df.index, legend=False)
    axes[0].set_title('Model RMSE Comparison (lower is best)')
    axes[0].set_xlabel('RMSE')
    axes[0].set_ylabel('Model')

    min_rmse = results_df['rmse'].min()
    max_rmse = results_df['rmse'].max()
    axes[0].set_xlim(min_rmse * 0.95, max_rmse * 1.05)

    sns.barplot(y=results_df.index, x='r2', data=results_df, ax=axes[1],
    ↪palette='viridis', hue=results_df.index, legend=False)
    axes[1].set_title('Model R2 Score Comparison (higher is best)')
    axes[1].set_xlabel('R2 Score')
    axes[1].set_ylabel('Model')

    min_r2 = results_df['r2'].min()
    max_r2 = results_df['r2'].max()
    axes[1].set_xlim(min_r2 * 0.95, max(1.0, max_r2 * 1.05))

    plt.tight_layout()
    plt.show()

    return results_df

```

4.2 Training a Baseline model

Let's now go on training the **Ridge Regression** model. Why? We want to come to an acceptable solution by starting with a simple model and building upon it to improve the predictions. There are a bunch of models that are deemed *simple* like the **Linear Regressor** or the **Ridge Regressor**.

The **Linear Regressor** goal is to minimize the “sum of squared errors” (SSE), that is having a cost function associated of this form

$$\min(\sum (\text{actual_target} - \text{predicted_target})^2)$$

In other words the model tries to find the specific slope (coefficient) for each feature that makes this total sum as little as possible. Since our dataset has many features columns, the **Linear Regressor** does not perform well because in case of highly correlated features the model may decide to give more weight to one feature (assigning a large coefficient) while assigning a negative weight to a

similar correlated feature (exploding coefficients). In the end this could lead to an unstable model which may perform really well on the train data but poorly on new unseen data (overfitting).

On the other hand, the goal of **Ridge Regressor** is the same as the **Linear Regressor** but it assigns a penalty to the coefficients that are assigned to be too large by adding a **regularization term** to the cost function. This term represents the sum of the squares of all the feature coefficients multiplied by an alpha (α) value.

$$\min(\sum \text{actual_target} - \text{predicted_target}^2 + \alpha \cdot \sum \text{all_feature_coefficients}^2)$$

With this, the model still aims to fit the training data well, but it is also incentivized to keep the coefficients small to minimize the penalty that would be assigned. The α is an hyperparameter that is set by us:

- if $\alpha = 0$: the **Ridge Regressor** acts like a standard **Linear Regressor**
- if α is very large: the penalty is severe, meaning that the model will keep the coefficients really small to avoid the penalty, which leads to fitting the training data a bit worse (underfitting)

We therefore want to set an α that is something in between to avoid overfitting (exploding coefficients) and underfitting (making coefficients really small)

```
[19]: from sklearn.linear_model import Ridge

models['ridge']['model'] = Ridge(alpha=1.0, random_state=random_state)

models['ridge']['model'].fit(X_train, y_train)

models['ridge']['prediction'] = models['ridge']['model'].predict(X_test)

models['ridge']['metrics']['mse'] = mean_squared_error(y_test,
↳models['ridge']['prediction'])
models['ridge']['metrics']['rmse'] = np.sqrt(models['ridge']['metrics']['mse'])
models['ridge']['metrics']['r2'] = r2_score(y_test,
↳models['ridge']['prediction'])


print("--- Ridge Regression Baseline ---")
print(f"RMSE: {models['ridge']['metrics']['rmse']:.4f}")
print(f"R-squared: {models['ridge']['metrics']['r2']:.4f}")

--- Ridge Regression Baseline ---
RMSE: 0.1137
R-squared: 0.9178
```

```
[20]: plt.figure(figsize=(8, 6))
plt.scatter(y_test, models['ridge']['prediction'], alpha=0.5)
plt.plot([y_test.min(), y_test.max()], [y_test.min(), y_test.max()], 'r--',
↳lw=2) # Perfect prediction line

plt.xlabel("Actual SalePrice (log-transformed)")
```

```
plt.ylabel("Predicted SalePrice (log-transformed)")
plt.title("Ridge Model: Predictions vs. Actual Values")
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
```




```
[21]: models['ridge']['residuals'] = y_test - models['ridge']['prediction']

plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
sns.histplot(models['ridge']['residuals'], bins=30, kde=True)

plt.axvline(x=0, color='r', linestyle='--')

plt.title("Distribution of residuals for Ridge Model")
plt.xlabel("Residual error (error: actual - predicted)")
plt.ylabel("Frequency")
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
```



Our initial baseline model, was trained to establish a performance benchmark. The model performed well, achieving an R-squared value of **0.9178**. This indicates that our model can explain approximately 91.8% of the variance in the log-transformed sale prices, which points to a very strong fit.

The RMSE was **0.1137**. This means that on the log-transformed scale, our model's predictions are, on average, off by about **0.11** log-scaled dollars.

4.2.1 Finetuning

Since we need to set the hyperparameter α , it's a good idea to finetune the model with **GridSearchCV** to find the best one.

```
[22]: from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV

param_grid = {
    'alpha': np.logspace(-2, 3, 20) # generates a list of values from .01 to 1000
    ↪1000
}

ridge_grid_search = GridSearchCV(
    estimator=Ridge(random_state=random_state),
    param_grid=param_grid,
    cv=5,
    scoring='neg_mean_squared_error' # take the negative of mse since
    ↪GridSearchCV works by taking the best the highest value out of the estimation
)
```

```

ridge_grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)

models['ridge_best']['model'] = ridge_grid_search.best_estimator_

print(f"Best alpha hyperparameter found {models['ridge_best']['model'].alpha}")

models['ridge_best']['prediction'] = models['ridge_best']['model'].
    ↪predict(X_test)

models['ridge_best']['metrics']['mse'] = mean_squared_error(y_test,
    ↪models['ridge_best']['prediction'])
models['ridge_best']['metrics']['rmse'] = np.
    ↪sqrt(models['ridge_best']['metrics']['mse'])
models['ridge_best']['metrics']['r2'] = r2_score(y_test,
    ↪models['ridge_best']['prediction'])

print(f"RMSE: {models['ridge_best']['metrics']['rmse']:.4f}")
print(f"R-squared: {models['ridge_best']['metrics']['r2']:.4f}")

```

Best alpha hyperparameter found 26.366508987303583

RMSE: 0.1127


R-squared: 0.9192

```

[23]: plt.figure(figsize=(8, 5))
plt.scatter(y_test, models['ridge_best']['prediction'], alpha=0.5)
plt.plot([y_test.min(), y_test.max()], [y_test.min(), y_test.max()], 'r--',
    ↪lw=2) # Perfect prediction line

plt.xlabel("Actual SalePrice (log-transformed)")
plt.ylabel("Predicted SalePrice (log-transformed)")
plt.title("Ridge Best Model: Predictions vs. Actual Values")
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()

```




```
[24]: models['ridge_best']['residuals'] = y_test - models['ridge_best']['prediction']

plt.figure(figsize=(10, 5))
sns.histplot(models['ridge_best']['residuals'], bins=30, kde=True)

plt.axvline(x=0, color='r', linestyle='--')

plt.title("Distribution of residuals for Ridge Best Model")
plt.xlabel("Residual error (error: actual - predicted)")
plt.ylabel("Frequency")
plt.grid(True)
plt.show()
```

We found a slightly better model with $\alpha = 26.366508987303583$, a slightly lower RMSE and higher R-squared.

4.3 Advanced Models

Our tuned **Ridge Regression** model provided a strong linear baseline, but the relationships between the features and the sale price are likely more complex and non-linear. To capture these intricate patterns and potentially improve our predictive accuracy, we will now explore two powerful ensemble models: **Random Forest** and **XGBoost**.

- **Random Forest Regressor:** This model operates by building a multitude of decision trees and averaging their predictions. This approach makes it robust, less prone to overfitting than a single tree, and excellent at modeling complex interactions.
- **XGBoost Regressor:** This is a leading implementation of gradient boosting, an algorithm that builds models sequentially, with each new model correcting the errors of its predecessor.

By training and evaluating these models, we can determine if a more complex, non-linear approach yields a significant improvement over our initial Ridge baseline.

4.3.1 Random Forest

```
[25]: from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor


models['random_forest']['model'] = \
    RandomForestRegressor(random_state=random_state)

models['random_forest']['model'].fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
models['random_forest']['prediction'] = models['random_forest']['model'].
    ↪predict(X_test)

models['random_forest']['metrics']['mse'] = mean_squared_error(y_test,
    ↪models['random_forest']['prediction'])
models['random_forest']['metrics']['rmse'] = np.
    ↪sqrt(models['random_forest']['metrics']['mse'])
models['random_forest']['metrics']['r2'] = r2_score(y_test,
    ↪models['random_forest']['prediction'])
```

[26]: `compare_models(models)`



```
[26]:
```

	rmse	r2
ridge_best	0.112727	0.919156
ridge	0.113688	0.917771
random_forest	0.137517	0.879688


[27]: `models['random_forest']['model'].estimator`

[27]: `DecisionTreeRegressor()`

```
[28]: from sklearn.tree import plot_tree

tree = models['random_forest']['model'].estimators_[0]

plt.figure(figsize=(20, 8))
plot_tree(tree,
           feature_names=X.columns,
           filled=True,
           rounded=True,
           max_depth=5,
           fontsize=10)
plt.title("Visualization of a Single (Untuned) Decision Tree - Simplified to
    ↪max_depth=3")
plt.show()
```



As we can see, our tuned **Ridge Regressor** performs better than this newly trained model. It's expected since we have not yet looked for the optimal parameters for this regressor.

Finetuning

[29]: `from sklearn.model_selection import RandomizedSearchCV`

```
param_grid = {
    'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300, 500],
    'max_depth': [10, 20, 30, None],
    'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10],
    'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4],
    'max_features': [1.0, 'sqrt']
}

rf_random_search = RandomizedSearchCV(
    estimator=RandomForestRegressor(random_state=random_state),
    param_distributions=param_grid,
    cv=5,
    scoring='neg_mean_squared_error',
    n_jobs=-1,
    random_state=random_state
)


rf_random_search.fit(X_train, y_train)

models['random_forest_best']['model'] = rf_random_search.best_estimator_
```

[30]: `models['random_forest_best']['prediction'] =`
`models['random_forest_best']['model'].predict(X_test)`
`models['random_forest_best']['metrics']['mse'] = mean_squared_error(y_test,`
`models['random_forest_best']['prediction'])`

```
models['random_forest_best']['metrics']['rmse'] = np.
    sqrt(models['random_forest_best']['metrics']['mse'])
models['random_forest_best']['metrics']['r2'] = r2_score(y_test,
    models['random_forest_best']['prediction'])

compare_models(models)
```



```
[30]:
```

	rmse	r2
ridge_best	0.112727	0.919156
ridge	0.113688	0.917771
random_forest_best	0.135646	0.882939
random_forest	0.137517	0.879688

We can conclude that our tuned Ridge model is a very strong and effective baseline. Any more complex model must prove that it is significantly better to justify its added complexity. Our tuned **Random Forest** failed to do this. Also, maybe the different features of the dataset are more linear than we thought they would be!

4.3.2 XGBoost

Let's try to train another model, **XGBoost** (eXtreme Gradient Boosting). This tree-based model works by building multiple models, each new one improving the last one. In other words (1) it starts with a simple model which will predict quite poorly, (2) the algorithm calculates the “how wrong” the prediction was for each house

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Error} &= \text{actual_value} - \text{predicted_value}, \\ &> 0, \text{ if prediction is lower than actual} \\ &< 0, \text{ if prediction is greater than actual} \end{aligned}$$

- (3) train a new model not to predict the target variable, but to predict the calculated error (basically trying to fix the previous model errors), (4) add this new model prediction to the previous one, using an hyperparameter called `learning_rate` which is used to tell the model “how much the correction should be trusted”. For example, a value of 1.0, will tell the model that the correction made by this new model is 100% trust-worthy, which can improve training speed but could make the model learn noise instead of actual patterns.

$\text{new_prediction} = \text{old_prediction} + (\text{learning_rate} \cdot \text{error_tree_prediction})$

```
[31]: import xgboost as xgb
```

```
models['xgboost']['model'] = xgb.XGBRegressor(random_state=random_state)


models['xgboost']['model'].fit(X_train, y_train)
```

```
[31]: XGBRegressor(base_score=None, booster=None, callbacks=None,
                  colsample_bylevel=None, colsample_bynode=None,
                  colsample_bytree=None, device=None, early_stopping_rounds=None,
                  enable_categorical=False, eval_metric=None, feature_types=None,
                  feature_weights=None, gamma=None, grow_policy=None,
                  importance_type=None, interaction_constraints=None,
                  learning_rate=None, max_bin=None, max_cat_threshold=None,
                  max_cat_to_onehot=None, max_delta_step=None, max_depth=None,
                  max_leaves=None, min_child_weight=None, missing=nan,
                  monotone_constraints=None, multi_strategy=None, n_estimators=None,
                  n_jobs=None, num_parallel_tree=None, ...)
```

```
[32]: models['xgboost']['prediction'] = models['xgboost']['model'].predict(X_test)

models['xgboost']['metrics']['mse'] = mean_squared_error(y_test,
↳models['xgboost']['prediction'])
models['xgboost']['metrics']['rmse'] = np.
↳sqrt(models['xgboost']['metrics']['mse'])
models['xgboost']['metrics']['r2'] = r2_score(y_test,
↳models['xgboost']['prediction'])

compare_models(models)
```



```
[32]:
```

	rmse	r2
ridge_best	0.112727	0.919156
ridge	0.113688	0.917771
random_forest_best	0.135646	0.882939

random_forest	0.137517	0.879688
xgboost	0.144381	0.867377

Finetuning The **Tuned Ridge** regressor is still the best performing model here. Let's see if we can optimize the **XGBoost** model

```
[33]: param_grid = {
        'max_depth': [3, 4, 5],
        'learning_rate': [0.05, 0.1, 0.2],
        'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300],
        'subsample': [0.8, 1.0]
    }

    xgb_grid_search = GridSearchCV(
        estimator=xgb.XGBRegressor(random_state=random_state),
        param_grid=param_grid,
        cv=5,
        scoring='neg_mean_squared_error',
        n_jobs=-1
    )


    xgb_grid_search.fit(X_train, y_train)

    models['xgboost_best']['model'] = xgb_grid_search.best_estimator_
```

```
[34]: models['xgboost_best']['prediction'] = models['xgboost_best']['model'].
        predict(X_test)

    models['xgboost_best']['metrics']['mse'] = mean_squared_error(y_test,
        models['xgboost_best']['prediction'])
    models['xgboost_best']['metrics']['rmse'] = np.
        sqrt(models['xgboost_best']['metrics']['mse'])
    models['xgboost_best']['metrics']['r2'] = r2_score(y_test,
        models['xgboost_best']['prediction'])

    compare_models(models)
```



```
[34]:
```

	rmse	r2
xgboost_best	0.111714	0.920602
ridge_best	0.112727	0.919156
ridge	0.113688	0.917771
random_forest_best	0.135646	0.882939
random_forest	0.137517	0.879688
xgboost	0.144381	0.867377

The tuned **XGBoost** model achieved the best **RMSE** and **R-squared**.

5 Results

In conclusion, we successfully executed all the steps we planned:

1. **Data Exploration**, where we took a look at the given dataset, discovering the correlation between features, discovering possible outliers and analysing the type of data.
2. **Data Cleaning**, where we applied our findings from point (1) to remove outliers, impute missing values, remove skewness of the target variable, convert categorical features with ordinal and one-hot encoding.
3. **Training and evaluation**, where we trained different models with different regressors, looking each time for the best parameters combination of each using GridSearchCV. In the end we found the best model to be XGBoost.

5.1 Final Step: Submission

What's left now is to get the best model we found, retrain it on the whole **train.csv** dataset and create a **submission.csv** dataset by inverting the log-based predictions back into the real unit in dollars and see how well we scored.

```
[35]: def select_best_model(models_dict):
    """
    Selects and prints the best model from the dictionary of saved models,
    getting the one with lower rmse and higher r2.

    Args:
        models_dict (dict): A dictionary containing the trained models and
        their metrics.

    Returns:
        The best performing model object.
    """
    comparison = compare_models(models_dict)

    best_model_name = comparison.index[0]

    best_model = models_dict[best_model_name]

    print(f"Best model found {best_model_name}")
```

```

print(best_model['metrics'])

return best_model['model']

def create_submission(model, X_train_full, y_train_full, X_test_full,
    ↪output_file_name='submission'):
    """
    Retrains the given model on the full dataset, handles any final
    data cleaning, and generates the submission.csv file.

    Args:
        models_dict (dict): Dictionary containing all trained and evaluated
    ↪models.
        X_train_full (pd.DataFrame): The complete, aligned training feature set.
        y_train_full (pd.Series): The complete training target set.
        X_test_full (pd.DataFrame): The complete, aligned test feature set.
    """
    params = model.get_params()
    model_type_name = type(model).__name__

    model = None

    match model_type_name:
        case 'Ridge':
            model = Ridge(**params)
        case 'RandomForestRegressor':
            model = RandomForestRegressor(**params)
        case 'XGBRegressor':
            model = xgb.XGBRegressor(**params)
        case _:
            print(f"Error: Unhandled model type '{model_type_name}'")
            return

    if not model:
        return

    print(f"Final model for retraining: {model_type_name}")

    model.fit(X_train_full, y_train_full)
    print("Retraining complete.")

    X_test_prepared = X_test_full.copy()

    nan_cols = X_test_prepared.columns[X_test_prepared.isna().any()].tolist()

    for col in nan_cols:

```



```

        median_value = X_train_full[col].median()
        X_test_prepared[col] = X_test_prepared[col].fillna(median_value)
        print(f"Filled NaNs in '{col}' with training data median:␣
↪{median_value}")

    print("Making predictions on the prepared test set...")
    final_predictions_log = model.predict(X_test_prepared)

    final_predictions = np.expm1(final_predictions_log)

    submission = pd.DataFrame({'Id': X_test_prepared.index, 'SalePrice':␣
↪final_predictions})
    submission.to_csv(f'{output_file_name}.csv', index=False)

    print("submission.csv' has been created successfully!")
    print("Here are the first 5 predictions:")
    print(submission.head())

```

```


[36]: X = df_final.copy().drop([target_column, transformed_to_log_target_column],␣
↪axis=1, errors='ignore')
y = df_final.copy()[transformed_to_log_target_column]

X_test_final = df_test_final.copy()

X_train_final, X_test_final = X.align(X_test_final, join='left', axis=1) # make␣
↪sure the train and test dataset have the same

create_submission(select_best_model(models), X_train_final, y, X_test_final)

```



Best model found xgboost_best

```
{'mse': 0.012480020428009513, 'rmse': np.float64(0.11171401178012323), 'r2': 0.9206015347114854}
```

Final model for retraining: XGBRegressor

Retraining complete.

Making predictions on the prepared test set...

submission.csv' has been created successfully!

Here are the first 5 predictions:

	Id	SalePrice
0	1461	121806.296875
1	1462	154205.625000
2	1463	188187.937500
3	1464	188713.796875
4	1465	189931.984375

6 [Bonus] Improving our Kaggle score

Our solutions scores 0.12810, which places us 1172 on the leaderboard. Let's see if we can improve this.

Two things we can do is:

1. **Feature engineering**, which consists of creating new features based on the ones that are correlated with each other and retrain the models on this new dataset.
2. **Model ensembling**, which consists of using our best models predictions as new features upon which to train another model.

6.1 Feature Engineering

Looking deeper at the [data_description.txt](#) file, we can see that some features can be merged together:

- TotalBsmtSF, 1stFlrSF, 2ndFlrSF all represent the floors square feet.
- FullBath, HalfBath, BsmtFullBath, BsmtHalfBath all represents the baths present in the house and where they are.
- OpenPorchSF, EnclosedPorch, 3SsnPorch, ScreenPorch all represent the porches square feet.
- YrSold, YearBuilt age related features
- YrSold, YearRemodAdd age related on reworking
- YrSold == YearBuilt, new house
- YearRemodAdd != YearBuilt, was reworked
- OverallQual, TotalSF relating the overall quality to the total square feet
- OverallQual, HouseAge relating the overall quality to the house age

```
[37]: def add_features(df):  
    df['TotalSF'] = df['TotalBsmtSF'] + df['1stFlrSF'] + df['2ndFlrSF']  
    df['TotalBath'] = df['FullBath'] + 0.5 * df['HalfBath'] +  
    ↪df['BsmtFullBath'] + 0.5 * df['BsmtHalfBath']  
    df['TotalPorchSF'] = df['OpenPorchSF'] + df['EnclosedPorch'] +  
    ↪df['3SsnPorch'] + df['ScreenPorch']  
  
    df['HouseAge'] = df['YrSold'] - df['YearBuilt']  
    df['RemodelAge'] = df['YrSold'] - df['YearRemodAdd']  
  
    df['IsNew'] = (df['YrSold'] == df['YearBuilt']).astype(int)  
    df['WasRemodeled'] = (df['YearRemodAdd'] != df['YearBuilt']).astype(int)
```

```

df['OverallQual_x_TotalSF'] = df['OverallQual'] * df['TotalSF']
df['OverallQual_x_HouseAge'] = df['OverallQual'] * df['HouseAge']

return df

```

```

[38]: train_dataset = add_features(df_final.copy())
test_dataset = add_features(df_test_final.copy())

X = train_dataset.copy().drop([target_column,
    ↳transformed_to_log_target_column], axis=1, errors='ignore')
y = train_dataset.copy()[transformed_to_log_target_column]

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=.2,
    ↳random_state=random_state)

models_to_evaluate = {
    'ridge_feature_engineering': GridSearchCV(
        estimator=Ridge(random_state=random_state),
        param_grid={ 'alpha': np.logspace(-2, 3, 20) },
        cv=5,
        scoring='neg_mean_squared_error'
    ),
    'random_forest_feature_engineering': RandomizedSearchCV(
        estimator=RandomForestRegressor(random_state=random_state),
        param_distributions={
            'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300, 500],
            'max_depth': [10, 20, 30, None],
            'min_samples_split': [2, 5, 10],
            'min_samples_leaf': [1, 2, 4],
            'max_features': [1.0, 'sqrt']
        },
        cv=5,
        scoring='neg_mean_squared_error',
        n_jobs=-1,
        random_state=random_state
    ),
    'xgboost_feature_engineering': GridSearchCV(
        estimator=xgb.XGBRegressor(random_state=random_state),
        param_grid={
            'max_depth': [3, 4, 5],
            'learning_rate': [0.05, 0.1, 0.2],
            'n_estimators': [100, 200, 300],
            'subsample': [0.8, 1.0]
        },
        cv=5,
        scoring='neg_mean_squared_error',

```

```

        n_jobs=-1
    )
}

for name, searcher in models_to_evaluate.items():
    models[name] = {
        'model': None,
        'prediction': None,
        'metrics': {}
    }


    searcher.fit(X_train, y_train)

    models[name]['model'] = searcher.best_estimator_
    models[name]['prediction'] = models[name]['model'].predict(X_test)

    models[name]['metrics']['mse'] = mean_squared_error(y_test,
↪models[name]['prediction'])
    models[name]['metrics']['rmse'] = np.sqrt(models[name]['metrics']['mse'])
    models[name]['metrics']['r2'] = r2_score(y_test, models[name]['prediction'])

compare_models(models)

```



```

[38]:
      rmse    r2
xgboost_best 0.111714 0.920602
ridge_best   0.112727 0.919156
ridge_feature_engineering 0.113245 0.918410
ridge        0.113688 0.917771
xgboost_feature_engineering 0.113850 0.917536
random_forest_best 0.135646 0.882939
random_forest  0.137517 0.879688
random_forest_feature_engineering 0.138983 0.877109
xgboost       0.144381 0.867377

```

However this did not find a better model unfortunately, our best **xgboost__best** remains the best.

```
[39]: X = df_final.copy().drop([target_column, transformed_to_log_target_column],
    ↪axis=1, errors='ignore')
y = df_final.copy()[transformed_to_log_target_column]

X_test_final = df_test_final.copy()

X_train_final, X_test_final = X.align(X_test_final, join='left', axis=1) # make
    ↪sure the train and test dataset have the same

create_submission(models['ridge_feature_engineering']['model'], X_train_final,
    ↪y, X_test_final, output_file_name='submission_feature_engineered')
```

Final model for retraining: Ridge

Retraining complete.

Making predictions on the prepared test set...

submission.csv' has been created successfully!

Here are the first 5 predictions:

	Id	SalePrice
0	1461	115264.901889
1	1462	152316.905795
2	1463	177452.428970
3	1464	198984.555489
4	1465	191237.567938

As a result, submitting the best model trained on the engineered features scores worse on Kaggle (0.13214) than our `xgboost__best` best submission.

6.2 Model Ensembling (Stacking)

Stacking is an advanced ensembling technique that involves combining the predictions from multiple different machine learning models. We use a “meta-model” that learns how to best combine the outputs of several “base models” to produce a final, often more accurate, prediction.

The main idea is to take multiple models with their strenghts and weaknesses and compensate the weaknesses with other models strenghts making our final model hopefully more robust.

To implement the stacking we need to ensure that the predictions used to train our meta-model are “clean” meaning the base models that generated them had not seen that same data during their own training. If we train and predict on the same data, our meta-model will learn from over-optimistic predictions and fail to generalize to new data.

We achieve this by generating predictions in two different ways:

1. For the **Training Set (Creating Meta-Features)**: We use **K-Fold cross-validation**. For **each fold**, we train our base models on the other **K-1 folds** and then make predictions on the held-out fold. We repeat this process for all folds until we have a complete set of predictions for our entire training dataset. These are called “out-of-fold” predictions, and they serve as the feature set to train our meta-model.
2. For the **Test Set (Creating the Submission)**: To generate predictions for the final, unseen test data, we train our base models on the **entire** training dataset. This allows each base

model to learn from all the available information before making its final prediction on the test data. The meta-model then takes these predictions as input to generate the final submission file.

```
[40]: from sklearn.model_selection import KFold
from sklearn.linear_model import RidgeCV

train_dataset = add_features(df_final.copy())
test_dataset = add_features(df_test_final.copy())

X = train_dataset.copy().drop([target_column,
    ↳transformed_to_log_target_column], axis=1, errors='ignore')
y = train_dataset.copy()[transformed_to_log_target_column]

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=.2,
    ↳random_state=random_state)

base_models = [models['ridge_best']['model'], models['xgboost_best']['model'],
    ↳models['random_forest_best']['model']]
base_model_names = ['ridge_best', 'xgboost_best', 'random_forest_best']

models['ridge_stacked'] = {
    'model': None,
    'prediction': None,
    'metrics': {}
}

models['ridge_stacked']['model'] = RidgeCV()

kf = KFold(n_splits=5, shuffle=True, random_state=random_state)

meta_features_train = np.zeros((X_train.shape[0], len(base_models)))
meta_features_test = np.zeros((X_test.shape[0], len(base_models)))

for i, model in enumerate(base_models):
    print(f"Processing base model {i+1}/{len(base_models)}:
    ↳{base_model_names[i]}...")

    # Create out-of-fold predictions for training data
    for train_idx, val_idx in kf.split(X_train):
        model.fit(X_train.values[train_idx], y_train.values[train_idx])
        meta_features_train[val_idx, i] = model.predict(X_train.values[val_idx])

    # Create predictions for test data (by fitting on full training data)
    model.fit(X_train, y_train)
    meta_features_test[:, i] = model.predict(X_test)
```

```

models['ridge_stacked']['model'].fit(meta_features_train, y_train)

models['ridge_stacked']['prediction'] = models['ridge_stacked']['model'].
    ↪predict(meta_features_test)

models['ridge_stacked']['metrics']['mse'] = mean_squared_error(y_test,
    ↪models['ridge_stacked']['prediction'])
models['ridge_stacked']['metrics']['rmse'] = rmse = np.
    ↪sqrt(models['ridge_stacked']['metrics']['mse'])
models['ridge_stacked']['metrics']['r2'] = r2_score(y_test,
    ↪models['ridge_stacked']['prediction'])


compare_models(models)

```

Processing base model 1/3: ridge_best...

Processing base model 2/3: xgboost_best...

Processing base model 3/3: random_forest_best...



```

[40]:
      rmse      r2
ridge_stacked    0.109142  0.924216
xgboost_best     0.111714  0.920602
ridge_best       0.112727  0.919156
ridge_feature_engineering 0.113245  0.918410
ridge            0.113688  0.917771
xgboost_feature_engineering 0.113850  0.917536
random_forest_best 0.135646  0.882939
random_forest    0.137517  0.879688
random_forest_feature_engineering 0.138983  0.877109
xgboost          0.144381  0.867377

```

We can see that our **ridge_stacked** is the best performing model we trained so far!

```

[41]: X_full_train = df_final.copy().drop([target_column,
    ↪transformed_to_log_target_column], axis=1, errors='ignore')
y_full_train = df_final.copy()[transformed_to_log_target_column]
X_final_test = df_test_final.copy()

```

```

X_full_train, X_final_test = X_full_train.align(X_final_test, join='left',
↪axis=1)

test_nan_cols = X_final_test.columns[X_final_test.isna().any()].tolist()

for col in test_nan_cols:
    median_value = X_full_train[col].median()
    X_final_test[col] = X_final_test[col].fillna(median_value)
    print(f"Filled NaNs in '{col}' with training data median for test dataset:↪
↪{median_value}")

print("Generating meta-features for the entire training set (out-of-fold)...")
meta_features_full_train = np.zeros((X_full_train.shape[0], len(base_models)))
meta_features_final_test = np.zeros((X_final_test.shape[0], len(base_models)))

for i, model in enumerate(base_models):
    print(f"Processing train meta-features with model {i+1}/{len(base_models)}:↪
↪{base_model_names[i]}...")
    for train_idx, val_idx in kf.split(X_full_train):

        model.fit(X_full_train.iloc[train_idx], y_full_train.iloc[train_idx])
        # Predict on the validation fold
        meta_features_full_train[val_idx, i] = model.predict(X_full_train.
↪iloc[val_idx])

for i, model in enumerate(base_models):
    print(f"Generating test meta-features with model {i+1}/{len(base_models)}...
↪")
    # Fit on the ENTIRE training data
    model.fit(X_full_train, y_full_train)
    # Predict on the final test data
    meta_features_final_test[:, i] = model.predict(X_final_test)

print("Training the final stacked model on full meta-features...")

final_stacked_model = RidgeCV()
final_stacked_model.fit(meta_features_full_train, y_full_train)

final_predictions_log = final_stacked_model.predict(meta_features_final_test)

final_predictions = np.expm1(final_predictions_log)

submission_df = pd.DataFrame({'Id': X_final_test.index, 'SalePrice':↪
↪final_predictions})
submission_df.to_csv('submission_stacked.csv', index=False)

```



```
print("File saved!")
```

```
Generating meta-features for the entire training set (out-of-fold)...\nProcessing train meta-features with model 1/3: ridge_best...\nProcessing train meta-features with model 2/3: xgboost_best...\nProcessing train meta-features with model 3/3: random_forest_best...\nGenerating test meta-features with model 1/3...\nGenerating test meta-features with model 2/3...\nGenerating test meta-features with model 3/3...\nTraining the final stacked model on full meta-features...\nFile saved!
```

And indeed submitting this on Kaggle results in our best-so-far score of 0.12624. [on Kaggle](#).