

Marking Rubric

Your assignment will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

Assessment Criterion & Weighting	A RANGE A+ A A-	B RANGE B+ B B-	C RANGE C+ C C-	D
Proposal Format (LO4) 10%	Contain standard sections, minimal spelling, and grammar mistakes. Properly use APA Version 7 format styling for writing.	Contain standard sections, some spelling and grammar mistakes. Use APA Version 7 format styling for writing.	Have some sessions but not very well organised. Contains several grammar or spelling mistakes. APA styling is not consistent.	Not well organised at all. Little APA styling applied.
Literature Review/ Field review (LO1) 40%	<p>Proper details are provided for the problem under investigation with an accurate mind map diagram.</p> <p>It's clear how the problem can be solved. The relevant literature is used and completely align with PRISMA diagram. A comprehensive coverage of papers or journal articles/ resources are summarised.</p>	<p>Good details are provided for the problem under investigation with your mind map diagram.</p> <p>Relevant literature is used and supportive however can contain some ambiguity but needs to be align with PRISMA diagram. Only limited number of conference papers or journal articles/ resources are summarised.</p>	<p>Shallow details are provided for the problem under investigation.</p> <p>Some literature is used however can contain ambiguity in research question. A few conference papers or journal articles are summarised.</p>	All the required elements in literature review are described with ambiguity or NOT described at all.
Research concept, Questions and Discussion (LO1) 30%	<p>Excellent research question(s) is given. Based on pure hypothesis, solutions are predicted and discussed properly. Necessary tools are identified as well as gaps in knowledge that need to be closed to execute this research effectively.</p> <p>A clear research concept with your contribution is outlined. Research question is clear and focused and not too broad or not too narrow. A clear path for conducting the research is apparent.</p>	<p>A research question(s) is given. Some relevant tools are identified as well as gaps in knowledge that need to be closed to execute this research effectively.</p> <p>A clear research concept is outlined. Research question is ambiguous and not focused. Research question is either too broad or too narrow. Path for conducting the research is not well laid out.</p>	<p>Research questions are presented but may contain ambiguity. The breakdown of the research question and discussion are not detailed.</p> <p>Research concept outlined is not clear. Research question is ambiguous and not focused. Research question is either too broad or too narrow. Path for conducting the research is not clear.</p>	Research concept outlined is not clear. Research question is not present or is not relating to the topic. Path for conducting the research is not mentioned or is not clear.
References and Schedule (LO1, Lo4) 20%	<p>In text citations are provided when summarised, paraphrased, or quoted from another source in proper format. All the references have been edited in the APA Version 7 format correctly. A 16-week Research & Development schedule has been provided and clearly predicts progress at key points. Each team member has clear and balanced tasks allocation.</p> <p>Each team member has outstanding contribution on the research proposal with allocated tasks. (if team mode)</p>	<p>In text citations are provided most of the time when summarised, paraphrased, or quoted from another source. References have been edited in the APA Version 7 format correctly. A 16-week Research & Development schedule has been provided.</p> <p>Each team member has tasks but not very detailed or show some unbalance. Each team member has clear contribution on the research proposal with allocated tasks. (if team mode)</p>	<p>Very few in text citations are provided when summarised, paraphrased, or quoted from another source Three references have been edited in the APA Version 7 format correctly.</p> <p>Schedule is provided with less details. No clear definition or separation of tasks for team members. Each team member has contribution on the research proposal with allocated tasks. (if team mode)</p>	No in text citations. None of the references have been edited in the APA Version 7 format correctly. No schedule provided or almost no good information for schedule.
Sum 100%				