

Problem 2.2.2

1. First show by induction that the matrix P that diagonalises A also diagonalises the matrices Y_n .

we have $Y_0 = 0 \Rightarrow$ base case $Y_1 = \frac{1}{2}(A + Y_0^2) = \frac{1}{2}A$

\therefore we take the base case: $P^{-1}Y_1P = P^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}A)P = \frac{1}{2}D$

Assuming the P diagonalises Y_n , we look at Y_{n+1}

$$\begin{aligned} P^{-1}Y_{n+1}P &= P^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2}(A + Y_n^2)\right)P = \frac{1}{2}P^{-1}AP + \frac{1}{2}P^{-1}Y_n^2P \\ &= \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}P^{-1}(Y_n \cdot Y_n)P = \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}P^{-1}Y_nP \cdot (PP^{-1})Y_n \\ &= \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}D_n \cdot P^{-1}Y_nP = \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}D_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

Applying this to the iteration $Y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(A + Y_n^2)$, we get

~~$Y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(A + Y_n^2)$~~ $D_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}D_n^2$, which is the iteration on the reals. looking at $\{Y_{n+1} - Y_n\}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}D_n^2 - \frac{1}{2}D_n = \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}D_n(D_n - 1) \text{ as } I \leq A \Rightarrow 1 \geq D$$

since $A \in P(n)$ we have $D \geq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}D_n^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}D_n$

$\Rightarrow \{Y_n\}$ is non-decreasing. As $\{Y_n\}$ is non-decreasing and therefore bounded above, we take the limit of

$$D_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}D_n^2$$

$$\Rightarrow D_n = \frac{1}{2}D + \frac{1}{2}D_{n-1}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}D_n^2 - D_n + \frac{1}{2}D = 0$$

which has roots $(1 - (1 - D)^{1/2})$ and $(1 + (1 - D)^{1/2})$

given $\{Y_n\}$ is non-decreasing and $Y_0 = 0, n \geq 0$, after undiagonalising above, we get that $\{Y_n\}$ converges to $I + (I - A)^{1/2}$

Problem 3.1.8

We have the convex cone

$$\text{Cone Co } \{(y_i, 1) \mid i=1, \dots, m\}$$

and an element $b := (0, 1)$

We ~~know~~ ^{know} that $b \in \text{Cone Co } \{(y_i, 1) \mid i=1, \dots, m\}$

~~If~~ if $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i = b, \lambda_i \geq 0, i=1, \dots, m$ ~~has a solution~~

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i = 0, \lambda_i \geq 0, i=1, \dots, m$ has a solution

By Farkas' lemma either the above has a solution or

$\langle b, \lambda \rangle > 0, \langle y_i, \lambda \rangle \leq 0$ for $i=1, \dots, m$
has a solution

Given we have $b = (0, 1)$, taking $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$

$$\langle b, \lambda \rangle = \lambda^T b > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 > 0$$

$\therefore \langle y_i, \lambda \rangle \leq 0$ implies that since $\lambda_2 > 0$, $y_i \leq 0$

And given that if this system were to have a solution, ~~b~~
 $b \notin \text{Cocone } \{(y_i, 1) \mid i=1, \dots, m\} \Rightarrow (0, 1) \notin \text{Cocone } \{(y_i, 1) \mid i=1, \dots, m\}$
 $\Rightarrow y_i < 0$ which gives us

$$\langle y_i, \lambda \rangle < 0 \text{ for } i=1, \dots, m$$

And hence we have Gordan's Theorem.

Problem 3.1.9

We know \bar{x} is stationary when

$$\max \{ \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), d \rangle, \langle \nabla g_i(\bar{x}), d \rangle \mid i \in I(\bar{x}) \} \geq 0$$

where $I(\bar{x}) = \{i \mid g_i(\bar{x}) = 0\}$

$\Rightarrow \bar{x}$ is not a stationary point when

$$\max \{ \langle \nabla f(\bar{x}), d \rangle, \langle \nabla g_i(\bar{x}), d \rangle \mid i \in I(\bar{x}) \} < 0 \quad (*)$$

By Gordon's Theorem, either $(*)$ has a solution, or the system

$$\lambda_0 \nabla f(\bar{x}) + \sum_{i \in I(\bar{x})} \lambda_i \nabla g_i(\bar{x}) = 0 \text{ has a solution } (+)$$

\Rightarrow we conclude that $(+)$ only has a ~~no~~ solution iff \bar{x} is a stationary point.

Hence we prove the Fritz-John optimality Condition.