

1 Problem Target

Description of the problem we are trying to solve (experiment)

2 Governing Equations

We solve the compressible reactive flow equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{Q}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_i^I}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}_i^V}{\partial x_i} - \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{Q} = [\rho, \rho u_i, \rho E, \rho Y_k]$ is the vector of conserved variables, with ρ the density, ρu_i the momentum in the i^{th} direction, ρE the total energy density

$$\rho E = \frac{1}{\rho} u_i u_i + \sum_{i=1}^N \rho Y_k e_k, \quad (2)$$

where e_k and ρY_k are the internal energy and density of the k^{th} species for $k = 1, \dots, N-1$. The N^{th} species is N_2 and is treated as abundant, so its density is computed from

$$\rho Y_N = \rho - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \rho Y_k. \quad (3)$$

The inviscid \mathbf{F}_i^I and viscous \mathbf{F}_i^V fluxes, and source terms \mathbf{S} are

$$\mathbf{F}_i^I = \begin{bmatrix} \rho u_i \\ \rho u_1 u_i + p \delta_{i1} \\ \rho u_2 u_i + p \delta_{i2} \\ \rho u_3 u_i + p \delta_{i3} \\ u_i (\rho E + p) \\ \rho Y_k u_i \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{F}_i^V = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \tau_{1i} \\ \tau_{2i} \\ \tau_{3i} \\ u_j \tau_{ij} - q_i \\ \varphi_{ki} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ W_k \dot{\omega}_k \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where p is the pressure, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, τ_{ij} the viscous stress tensor, q_i the heat flux, $\varphi_{k,i}$ the diffusion flux of species k , W_k its molecular weight and $\dot{\omega}_k$ its net chemical production rate.

Models for the viscous stress tensor τ_{ij} , heat flux q_i , diffusion fluxes φ_{ki} , and net production rates $\dot{\omega}_i$ must be specified to close (1). The viscous stress tensor is that of a Newtonian fluid,

$$\tau_{ij} = 2\mu \left[S_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial u_m}{\partial x_m} \right], \quad (5)$$

where μ is the dynamic viscosity and

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right). \quad (6)$$

is the strain-rate tensor. The heat flux is

$$q_i = -\frac{\partial(\lambda T)}{\partial x_i} + h_k \varphi_{ki}, \quad (7)$$

where λ is the thermal conductivity and h_k is enthalpy of species k . The diffusion fluxes are

$$\varphi_{ki} = \varphi_{k,i}^* + \varphi_{ki}^c, \quad (8)$$

$$\varphi_{ki}^* = -\rho D_{k,m} \frac{W_k}{W} \frac{\partial X_k}{\partial x_i}, \quad (9)$$

$$\varphi_{ki}^c = -Y_k \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_{ni}^*, \quad (10)$$

where $\varphi_{k,i}^*$ is its mixture-average approximation, φ_{ki}^c a correction flux to ensure mass conservation, $D_{k,m}$ the mixture-averaged diffusivity of species k , $X_k = W_{(k)}Y_k/W$ its mole fraction (where the parenthesis precludes summation over repeated indices), and W the mean molecular weight. The net production rates are given by

$$\dot{\omega}_k = \nu_{kr} R_r \quad (11)$$

where ν_{kr} is the net stoichiometric coefficient of species k in reaction r , and R_r is the rate of progress of reaction r , the specific form of which is readily available [1, 2].

2.1 Boundary Conditions

Navier–Stokes characteristic boundary conditions [3] are enforced at the combustor inlet and (supersonic inflow and non-reflective outflow), injector inlet (supersonic inflow), and the walls (no-slip). Walls are taken to be impermeable [4, 5],

$$n_i \varphi_{ki} = 0, \quad (12)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, N$, where n_i is the wall-normal vector.

To absorb outgoing disturbances and minimize reflections from computational boundaries, absorbing buffer zones are used near the boundaries [6, 7]. In these zones, a source term

$$S_b = -\Upsilon(\mathbf{x}) \left(\frac{Q - Q_{\text{ref}}}{\tau_b} \right) \quad (13)$$

is added to (1) to penalize deviations from a prescribed reference state at the boundary Q_{ref} , where Υ is the buffer’s support, τ_b is the time scale over which the penalty acts. Here, we use

$$\tau_b = \frac{|\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_0|}{1.25 c_{\text{ref}}}, \quad (14)$$

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{x}) = \left(\frac{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|}{|\mathbf{x}_b - \mathbf{x}_0|} \right)^2, \quad (15)$$

where \mathbf{x}_b and \mathbf{x}_0 denotes the boundary and the end of the buffer zone, and c_{ref} is the reference speed of sound at the boundary.

2.2 Equation of State

3 Numerical Model

DG stuff goes here

4 Numerical Setup

mesh stuff initialization stuff

5 Example Results

References

- [1] Irvin Glassman, Richard A Yetter, and Nick G Glumac. *Combustion*. Academic Press, 2014.
- [2] Forman A Williams. *Combustion Theory*. CRC Press, 2018.
- [3] T. J. Poinso and S. K. Lele. Boundary conditions for direct simulations of compressible viscous flows. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 101(1):104–129, 1992. ISSN 10902716. doi: 10.1016/0021-9991(92)90046-2.
- [4] C. S. Yoo and H. G. Im. Characteristic boundary conditions for simulations of compressible reacting flows with multi-dimensional, viscous and reaction effects. *Combust. Theory Model.*, 11(2):259–286, 2007. ISSN 13647830. doi: 10.1080/13647830600898995.
- [5] Hemanth Kolla, Ray W. Grout, Andrea Gruber, and Jacqueline H. Chen. Mechanisms of flame stabilization and blowout in a reacting turbulent hydrogen jet in cross-flow. *Combust. Flame*, 159(8):2755–2766, 2012. ISSN 00102180. doi: 10.1016/j.combustflame.2012.01.012.
- [6] J. B. Freund. Proposed inflow/outflow boundary condition for direct computation of aerodynamic sound. *AIAA J.*, 35(4):740–742, 1997. ISSN 00011452. doi: 10.2514/2.167.
- [7] Tim Colonius. Modeling artificial boundary conditions for compressible flow. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.*, 36(1):315–345, 2004. ISSN 0066-4189. doi: 10.1146/annurev.fluid.36.050802.121930.