Homework 1: Review of materials

Solution Set

Problem 1: Prove that the Binomial distribution arises as a sum of n iid Bernoulli trials each with success probability p.

We prove the above using moment-generating functions.

Suppose, we have i.i.d. Bernouli random variables, $X_1, ..., X_n \sim Ber(p)$. These RVs share the MGF

$$M_{X_i}(t) = (1-p) + pe^t$$
.

Define their sum $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$

Recall that the sum of n i.i.d. random variables has MGF equal to the n[^]th power of the MGF of the individual variable, therefore the MGF for the sum of n Bernoulli trials is

$$M_{S_n}(t) = ((1-p) + pe^t)^n = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (pe^t)^r (1-p)^{n-r}.$$

Here, the last equality follows due to Binomial theorem. On the other hand, the moment-generating function of a Binomial distribution, $Y \sim Bin(n, p)$ is

$$M_Y(t) = \sum_{r=0}^n e^{rt} \binom{n}{r} p^r (1-p)^{n-r} = \sum_{r=0}^n \binom{n}{r} (pe^t)^r (1-p)^{n-r}.$$

Thus, the MGFs are same, Hence the proof.

Problem 2: Let $l(\theta)$ denote a twice continuously differentiable log likelihood corresponding to an iid sample under density f_{θ} where n is the sample size. The score function is defined as

$$u(\theta) = \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta},$$

and the Fisher information matrix is defined as

$$I(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 l(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right),$$

where the expectation is over the assumed distribution for the data when the parameter value is θ . Prove that

$$E(u(\theta)) = 0$$
 and $Var(u(\theta)) = I(\theta)$.

The score function is,

$$u(\theta) = \frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} f_{\theta}(x_i) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\theta}(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x_i)}{f_{\theta}(x_i)}$$

So we have,

$$E(u(\theta)) = E(\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta})$$

$$= \sum_{\chi} \int_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{\chi} \int_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{\chi} f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{\chi} f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \sum_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) dx$$

ii)

By the results we just proved in i),

$$\begin{split} 0 &= E(u(\theta)) \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E(u(\theta)) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} E(\sum \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f_{\theta}(x)) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \int_{\chi} \sum \frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) dx \\ &= \sum \int_{\chi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x) \right] dx \\ &= \sum \int_{\chi} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta^2} f_{\theta}(x) + \frac{\partial \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta} \right] dx \\ &= \sum \int_{\chi} \frac{\partial^2 \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta^2} f_{\theta}(x) dx + \sum \int_{\chi} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x)}{f_{\theta}(x)} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x)}{f_{\theta}(x)} f_{\theta}(x) dx \end{split}$$

Rearrange the above equality to get,

$$-\sum \int_{\chi} \frac{\partial^{2} \log f_{\theta}(x)}{\partial \theta^{2}} f_{\theta}(x) dx = \sum \int_{\chi} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x)}{f_{\theta}(x)} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f_{\theta}(x)}{f_{\theta}(x)} f_{\theta}(x) dx$$
$$-E(\frac{\partial^{2} l(\theta)}{\partial \theta^{2}}) = E[(\frac{\partial l(\theta)}{\partial \theta})^{2}]$$
$$I(\theta) = E[u(\theta)]^{2}$$

Finally, note that $E[u(\theta)] = 0$, hence we arrive at,

$$I(\theta) = E[u(\theta)]^2 = E[u(\theta) - E(u(\theta))]^2 = Var(u(\theta))$$

Problem 3: Let $Y \sim \text{binomial}(n,\pi)$ and let $T_n = \hat{\pi} = Y/n$. Use the CLT and the Delta Method to construct an asymptotic confidence interval for $\text{logit}(\pi)$. Note that this recipe does not work when the estimated success probability is on the boundary of its support, ie $\hat{\pi} = 0$ or $\hat{\pi} = 1$. Why?

From Problem 1, we can write Y as the sum of n i.i.d. varibles X_i with Bernoulli distribution with probability π . i.e. $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$

By CLT, we have $\sqrt{n}(T_n - \pi) \to \mathcal{N}(0, \pi(1 - \pi))$ in distribution, as g(x) = logit(x) fulfills $g'(\pi) = \frac{1}{\pi(1-\pi)} \neq 0$ $(0 < \pi < 1)$, we can obtain the following results with Delta Methods.

$$\sqrt{n}(g(T_n) - g(\pi)) \to \mathcal{N}(0, \pi(1-\pi)(g'(\pi))^2)$$
$$\sqrt{n}(g(T_n) - g(\pi)) \to \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{\pi(1-\pi)})$$

If $0 < \pi < 1$, we can also get $T_n \to \pi$ in probability by WLLN which indicates $\sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi(1-\pi)}} \to \sqrt{\frac{1}{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}$ in probability. From this, we can build asymptotic $1 - \alpha$ confidence interval for $logit(\pi)$ as

$$\left[\log\left(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}\right) - z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}, \log\left(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}\right) + z_{\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{n\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}\right]$$

where $z_{\alpha/2}$ is the $(1-\alpha/2)$ perventile of the standard normal distribution.

To explain why this cannot work for $\hat{\pi} = 0$ ro 1, we can see that the derivative of $g(\theta)$ does not exist at 0 and 1. This indicates that we cannot apply Delta Method to build the interval and the recipe does not work due to this.