

# Moffatt's Magnetic Field Generation in Electrically Conducting Fluids, Notes

Loren Matilsky

June 25, 2019

## Ch 2: Magnetokinematic Preliminaries

### 1. Identity relating angular momentum operator $L^2$ and Laplacian operator $\nabla^2$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla)^2 \psi &= (\epsilon_{ijk} r_j \partial_k)(\epsilon_{ilm} r_l \partial_m) \psi \\
 &= (\delta_{jl} \delta_{km} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{kl}) r_j (\delta_{lk} \partial_m \psi + r_l \partial_k \partial_m \psi) \\
 &= r_k \partial_k \psi + r_j r_j \partial_m \partial_m \psi - 3 r_m \partial_m \psi - r_j r_k \partial_k \partial_j \psi \\
 &= r^2 \nabla^2 \psi - 2 \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \psi - \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \psi,
 \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (2.23). Noting that  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla = r(\partial/\partial r)$ , and (since all unit vectors are independent of  $r$ ) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \psi &= (r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r) \cdot r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \dots \right) \\
 &= r^2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \cdot \left( \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \dots \right) = r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

we then compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 r^2 \nabla^2 \psi - 2 \mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \psi - \mathbf{x} \cdot (\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \psi &= \\
 r^2 \left( \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r^2 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} \right) - 2r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} - r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} \\
 \cancel{2r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}} + \cancel{r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2}} + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} - \cancel{2r \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}} - \cancel{r^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \sin \theta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \phi^2} = L^2 \psi.
 \end{aligned}$$

## 2. How to invert $L^2$

We now derive (2.32), which seems trivial, but really should require a bit of thought. From (2.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, \theta, \phi) &= \sum_n f_n(r) S_n(\theta, \phi), \\ \text{where } S_n(\theta, \phi) &= \sum_m A_n^m Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) \\ \text{and } Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) &:= P_n^m(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose

$$L^2 \psi = f(r, \theta, \phi)$$

We can similarly expand  $\psi$  in spherical harmonics:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r, \theta, \phi) &= \sum_n \psi_n(r) \tilde{S}_n(\theta, \phi), \\ \text{where } \tilde{S}_n(\theta, \phi) &= \sum_m \tilde{A}_n^m Y_n^m(\theta, \phi). \end{aligned}$$

and write

$$\begin{aligned} L^2 \psi &= L^2 \sum_n \psi_n(r) \tilde{S}_n(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \sum_n \psi_n(r) L^2 \tilde{S}_n(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \sum_n \psi_n(r) n(n+1) \tilde{S}_n(\theta, \phi) \\ &= \sum_n n(n+1) \psi_n(r) \tilde{S}_n(\theta, \phi) = f(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_n f_n(r) S_n(\theta, \phi). \end{aligned}$$

From this last equality, it is tempting to identify  $f_n = n(n+1)\psi_n$ , and be done with it, thereby arriving at (2.32). But this is not really allowed, since we have not shown yet that the  $\tilde{S}_n$  are the same as the  $S_n$ . So we really need to decompose further with respect to  $m$  and derive the relationship between the  $A$ -coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n n(n+1) \psi_n(r) \sum_m \tilde{A}_n^m Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) &= \sum_n f_n(r) \sum_m A_n^m Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) \implies \\ \sum_{n,m} n(n+1) \psi_n(r) \tilde{A}_n^m Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) &= \sum_{n,m} f_n(r) A_n^m Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) \end{aligned}$$

We know that the  $Y_n^m$  are all orthogonal, and so we identify

$$n(n+1) \psi_n(r) \tilde{A}_n^m = f_n(r) A_n^m.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(r, \theta, \phi) &= \sum_n \psi_n(r) \sum_m \tilde{A}_n^m Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) \\
&= \sum_{n,m} (\psi_n(r) \tilde{A}_n^m) Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) \\
&= \sum_{n,m} \left( \frac{1}{n(n+1)} f_n(r) A_n^m \right) Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) \\
&= \sum_n \frac{1}{n(n+1)} f_n(r) \sum_m A_n^m Y_n^m(\theta, \phi) \\
&= \sum_n \frac{1}{n(n+1)} f_n(r) S_n(\theta, \phi).
\end{aligned}$$

### 3. Curl of a Poloidal Field is a Toroidal Field

A “poloidal” field is written

$$\mathbf{B}_P = \nabla \wedge [\nabla \wedge (P\mathbf{x})] = -\nabla \wedge (\mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla P) = -\nabla^2(P\mathbf{x}) + \nabla[\nabla \cdot (P\mathbf{x})].$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}_P &= \nabla \wedge \{-\nabla^2(P\mathbf{x}) + \nabla[\nabla \cdot (P\mathbf{x})]\} \\
&= -\nabla \wedge [\nabla^2(P\mathbf{x})]
\end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^2(P\mathbf{x})_i &= \partial_j \partial_j (P r_i) \\
&= \partial_j [(\partial_j P) r_i + P \delta_{ij}] \\
&= (\partial_j^2 P) r_i + (\partial_j P) \delta_{ij} + (\partial_j P) \delta_{ij} + 0 \\
&= r_i \partial_j^2 P + 2 \partial_i P \implies \\
\nabla^2(P\mathbf{x}) &= (\nabla^2 P) \mathbf{x} + 2 \nabla P.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}_P &= -\nabla \wedge [(\nabla^2 P) \mathbf{x} + 2 \nabla P] \\
&= \nabla \wedge [(-\nabla^2 P) \mathbf{x}],
\end{aligned}$$

and so is a toroidal field with toroidal streamfunction  $-\nabla^2 P$ .

Note that the same holds true even if we define the streamfunctions in the alternate way

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_P + \mathbf{B}_T = \nabla \wedge [\nabla \wedge (P \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r)] + \nabla \wedge (T \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r),$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r = \mathbf{x}/r$ . That the curl of the toroidal field is a poloidal field is trivial, and the curl of the poloidal field is

$$\nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}_P = -\nabla \wedge [\nabla^2 (P \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r)].$$

Now, following the calculations from before,

$$\nabla^2(P\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r) = \nabla^2\left(\frac{P}{r}\mathbf{x}\right) = \nabla^2\left(\frac{P}{r}\right)\mathbf{x} + 2\nabla\left(\frac{P}{r}\right),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}_P &= -\nabla \wedge \left[ \nabla^2\left(\frac{P}{r}\right)\mathbf{x} \right] \\ &= \nabla \wedge \left\{ \left[ -r\nabla^2\left(\frac{P}{r}\right) \right] \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \right\},\end{aligned}$$

which is by (the new) definition, a toroidal field.

#### 4. $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}$ in Terms of Angular Momentum Operator

We write

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_P + \mathbf{B}_T = \nabla \wedge [\nabla \wedge (P\mathbf{x})] + \nabla \wedge (T\mathbf{x})$$

Since the Toroidal fields are orthogonal to  $\mathbf{x}$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{B} &= \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{B}_P = \mathbf{x} \cdot \{ \nabla \wedge [\nabla \wedge (P\mathbf{x})] \} \\ &= x_i \{ \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_j [\epsilon_{klm} \partial_l (Pr_m)] \} \\ &= (\epsilon_{ijk} x_i \partial_j) \{ \epsilon_{klm} [(\partial_l P) r_m + P \cancel{\delta_{lm}}] \} \\ &= - \underbrace{(\epsilon_{ijk} x_i \partial_j)}_{(\mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla)_k} \underbrace{(\epsilon_{kml} r_m \partial_l)}_{(\mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla)_k} P \\ &= -(\mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla)^2 P\end{aligned}$$

Since we have already shown that  $\nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}_P$  is a toroidal field (and thus orthogonal to  $\mathbf{x}$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} \cdot (\nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}) &= \mathbf{x} \cdot (\nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}_T) = \mathbf{x} \cdot \{ \nabla \wedge [\nabla \wedge (T\mathbf{x})] \} \\ &= -(\mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla)^2 T,\end{aligned}$$

by an identical calculation to the one manipulating  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ .

#### 5. Purely poloidal form for the term $T\mathbf{x} + \nabla U$ in vector potential

We identify the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  in terms of the streamfunctions in (2.37):

$$\mathbf{A} = \nabla \wedge (P\mathbf{x}) + T\mathbf{x} + \nabla U,$$

which is (2.40). If we pick the Coulomb gauge, then

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = \nabla \cdot [\cancel{\nabla \wedge (P\mathbf{x})} + T\mathbf{x} + \nabla U] \\ &= \nabla \cdot (T\mathbf{x} + \nabla U).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using the formalism just laid out, we can write  $T\mathbf{x} + \nabla U$  as the sum of a yet another set of toroidal and poloidal fields. But note that

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot [\nabla \wedge (T\mathbf{x} + \nabla U)] = \mathbf{x} \cdot [-\mathbf{x} \wedge \nabla T] = 0,$$

and so the toroidal part of  $T\mathbf{x} + \nabla U$  is zero by virtue of 2.39(b).

We thus have (for the Coulomb gauge)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} &= \mathbf{A}_P + \mathbf{A}_T \\ \text{where } \mathbf{A}_P &= T\mathbf{x} + \nabla U = \nabla \wedge [\nabla \wedge (S\mathbf{x})] \\ \text{and } \mathbf{A}_T &= \nabla \wedge (P\mathbf{x}) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since the curl of a poloidal field is a toroidal field, the curl of a toroidal field is a poloidal field, and  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_P &= \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}_T, \\ \mathbf{B}_T &= \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}_P. \end{aligned}$$

## 6. Axisymmetric Fields

For axisymmetric fields,  $\partial_\phi \equiv 0$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_T &= \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r & r\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta & r \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi \\ \partial_r & \partial_\theta & 0 \\ Tr & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \left[ -\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (Tr) \right] (r \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi) \\ &= -\frac{\partial T}{\partial \theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{A}_T = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_P = \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}_T &= \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r & r\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta & r \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\phi \\ \partial_r & \partial_\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r \sin \theta \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \left[ -r \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} \right) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \sin \theta \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} \right) (r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta) + 0 \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \underbrace{\left( r \sin \theta \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} \right)}_{:=\chi} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \underbrace{\left( r \sin \theta \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} \right)}_{:=\chi} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta, \end{aligned}$$

from whence we recover what Moffatt meant by (2.47). Note however, that Moffatt's (2.47) is completely wrong. It should read

$$\chi = -r \sin \theta A_\phi, \quad A_\phi = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta},$$

so that

$$\chi = r \sin \theta \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta}.$$

Now, the  $\mathbf{B}_P$ -lines satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} dr &= C(B_P)_r \\ rd\theta &= C(B_P)_\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is some constant of proportionality, possibly a function of position along the stream-line, but the same between both the above equations. Dividing the two equations above thus yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dr}{rd\theta} &= \frac{(B_P)_r}{(B_P)_\theta} \implies 0 = (B_P)_\theta dr - (B_P)_r rd\theta = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial r} dr - \left( -\frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta} \right) (rd\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \left( \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial r} dr + \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta} d\theta \right) = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} d\chi. \end{aligned}$$

The defining condition for  $\mathbf{B}_P$ -lines is thus

$$d\chi = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \chi(r, \theta) = \text{constant}.$$

Consider the flux through a ring made by rotating the infinitesimal line element between  $(r, \theta)$  and  $(r + dr, \theta + d\theta)$ . This line element has length  $dl = \sqrt{dr^2 + (rd\theta)^2}$ , and the ring has area  $a = 2\pi r \sin \theta dl$ . The normal to this area has associated unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_n = (-rd\theta, dr, 0)/dl$ . Thus, the flux of  $\mathbf{B}_P$  across the ring is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}_P \cdot (a\hat{\mathbf{e}}_n) &= \frac{-(B_P)_r rd\theta + (B_P)_\theta dr}{dl} (2\pi r \sin \theta dl) \\ &= 2\pi r \sin \theta \left[ \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta} rd\theta + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial r} dr \right] \\ &= 2\pi \left[ \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta} d\theta + \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial r} dr \right] = 2\pi d\chi. \end{aligned}$$

## 7. Two-dimensional analogues

Moffatt seems to switch here to the second convection for streamfunctions (e.g.,  $P\mathbf{x} \rightarrow P\hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$ , etc.) He then zooms in so that the ‘‘sphere is flat’’ and takes  $(r, \theta, \phi) \rightarrow (z, x, y)$ , leaving

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}_P + \mathbf{B}_T = \nabla \wedge [\nabla \wedge (P\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z)] + \nabla \wedge (T\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z).$$

The vector (field?)  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$  is just a constant, which makes things easier, e.g., proving that the curl of the poloidal field is a toroidal field, so no need to do it again!

Now, clearly  $\mathbf{B}_T$  is orthogonal to  $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \cdot \mathbf{B} &= \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \cdot \mathbf{B}_P = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \cdot \left\{ -\nabla^2(P\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z) + \underbrace{\nabla[\nabla \cdot (P\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z)]}_{\nabla P \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z = \frac{\partial P}{\partial z}} \right\} \\ &= \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \cdot \left[ -(\nabla^2 P)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z + \nabla\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z}\right) \right] \\ &= -\left( \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial y^2} + \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial z^2}} \right) + \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial z^2}} \\ &= -\nabla^2 P.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the two-dimensional Laplacian operator

$$\nabla^2 := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}$$

is the analogue of the angular momentum operator.

The fact that the curl of  $\mathbf{B}_P$  is toroidal (and thus  $\perp \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z$ ) and an identical calculation to the preceding one immediately yields

$$\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \cdot \nabla \wedge \mathbf{B} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \cdot \nabla \wedge \mathbf{B}_T = -\nabla^2 T.$$

For “axisymmetric fields”  $P = P(x, z)$ ,  $T = T(x, z)$ ,  $\mathbf{B}_T = \nabla T \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z = (\partial_x T \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + \partial_z T \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z) \wedge \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z = -\partial_x T \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$  (eq. (2.53)). Similar arguments to the ones before show that  $\mathbf{A}$  has a toroidal part given purely by  $\nabla \wedge (P\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z)$ , so that  $\mathbf{A}_T = -\partial_x P \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y$  and  $\mathbf{B}_P = \nabla \wedge \mathbf{A}_T = \nabla \wedge (A\hat{\mathbf{e}}_y)$ , where  $A = -\partial_x P$ .

Thus,

$$\mathbf{B}_P = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_x & \hat{\mathbf{e}}_y & \hat{\mathbf{e}}_z \\ \partial_x & 0 & \partial_z \\ 0 & A & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -(\partial_z A)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x + (\partial_x A)\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z.$$

The  $\mathbf{B}_P$ -lines thus satisfy

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \frac{-\partial_z A}{\partial_x A} \implies \partial_x A dx + \partial_z A dz = dA = 0.$$

Finally, the flux per unit length through a strip parallel to  $y$ , i.e., one obtained by sliding the line segment joining  $(x, z)$  and  $(x + dx, z + dz)$  along  $y$ , is

$$\mathbf{B}_P \cdot (dz\hat{\mathbf{e}}_x - dx\hat{\mathbf{e}}_z) = (B_P)_x dz - (B_P)_z dx = \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} dz - \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} dx = -dA,$$

making  $A$  ( $-A$ ?) the flux-function for  $\mathbf{B}_P$ .