# Induction term in spherical coordinates

Loren Matilsky

February 2, 2023

### 1 The problem with the traditional induction terms

We consider the ideal (resistance-free) magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) induction equation:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{u} \times \boldsymbol{B}),\tag{1}$$

$$= \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} - (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{B}, \tag{2}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{B}$  and  $\boldsymbol{u}$  are the vector magnetic and velocity fields, respectively. The three terms on the right-hand-side of Equation (2) are often interpreted as "shear," "advection," and "compression," respectively. However, this interpretation is problematic in general for two reasons:

- 1. The so-called shear and compression terms contain sub-terms that cancel; in particular, only velocity motions *perpendicular* to magnetic-field lines can shear or compress.
- 2. Solid-body rotation (which is a non-shearing motion that simply rotates the whole field configuration) shows up in the so-called shear and advection terms in a strange way.

When resolving the induction equation into a particular curvilinear system (e.g., spherical coordinates), another problem arises:

3. Large curvature terms appear, which are difficult to interpret and occasionally cancel.

Our goal here is to explain fully how these problems emerge and propose a solution for the case of spherical coordinates.

#### 2 Perpendicular shear and compression

To see how Problem 1 arises, we decompose the velocity field into components parallel and perpendicular to the local direction of  $\boldsymbol{B}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{u} \coloneqq u_{\parallel} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp} \tag{3}$$

Obviously  $\mathbf{B} = Bx_{\parallel}$ , where  $B = |\mathbf{B}|$ . We denote the Cartesian distance along  $\mathbf{B}$  by  $x_{\parallel}$ . We also decompose  $\mathbf{u}$  into its parallel and perpendicular components:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = \frac{\partial u_{\parallel}}{\partial x_{\parallel}} + \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp} \tag{4}$$

We then calculate

$$\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u} - (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}) \boldsymbol{B} = B \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\parallel}} (u_{\parallel} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\parallel} + \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp}) - \left( \frac{\partial u_{\parallel}}{\partial x_{\parallel}} + \nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp} \right) B \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\parallel}$$

$$= B \frac{\partial u_{\parallel}}{\partial x_{\parallel}} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\parallel} + B \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp}}{\partial x_{\parallel}} - \frac{\partial u_{\parallel}}{\partial x_{\parallel}} B \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\parallel} - (\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp}) B \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\parallel}$$

$$= B \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp} - (\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp}) \boldsymbol{B}. \tag{5}$$

Thus, only motions perpendicular to the local field line (i.e.,  $u_{\perp}$ ) can shear or compress B.

#### 3 Rigid rotation

To see how Problem 2 arises, we consider a velocity field due to rigid rotation at constant angular velocity  $\Omega$  about the z-axis in a cylindrical coordinate system:

$$\Omega = \Omega \hat{e}_z = \text{constant} \tag{6a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{r} = \Omega \lambda \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\phi} \tag{6b}$$

Here,  $\lambda$  is the cylindrical radius,  $\phi$  the longitude, and z the axial coordinate. In general,  $\hat{e}_{(\cdots)}$  denotes a unit vector in the direction of its subscript. We calculate:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{r})$$

$$= \boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \nabla \times \boldsymbol{r} - \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\Omega}$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{no compression for rigid rotation (obviously)}. \tag{7}$$

Then:

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) 
= \mathbf{\Omega} \times [(\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{r})] 
= \mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{B}$$
 "shear" for rigid rotation. (9)

Finally:

$$-\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B} = -\Omega \lambda \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{B}$$

$$= -\Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} (B_{\lambda} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\lambda} + B_{\phi} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\phi} + B_{z} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{z})$$

$$= -\Omega \sum_{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial B_{\alpha}}{\partial \phi} \right) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha} - \Omega \sum_{\alpha} B_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha}}{\partial \phi},$$

where the index  $\alpha$  runs over the three cylindrical coordinates. Note that in the cylindrical coordinate system (or indeed any coordinate system with an axis of rotational symmetry),  $\partial \hat{e}_{\alpha}/\partial \phi = \hat{e}_z \times \hat{e}_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ . Thus,

$$-\Omega \sum_{\alpha} B_{\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{e}_{\alpha}}{\partial \phi} = -\Omega \sum_{\alpha} B_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{z} \times \hat{e}_{\alpha}$$
$$= -\Omega \hat{e}_{z} \times \sum_{\alpha} B_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha}$$
$$= -\Omega \times B$$

and so

$$-\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \nabla \boldsymbol{B} = -\Omega \sum_{\alpha} \left( \frac{\partial B_{\alpha}}{\partial \phi} \right) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \times \boldsymbol{B} \quad \text{"advection" for rigid rotation.}$$
 (10)

Mathematically, in any coordinate system with an axis of rotational symmetry about z, the action of rigid rotation is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times [(\mathbf{\Omega} \times \mathbf{r}) \times \mathbf{B}]$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} \left( -\Omega \frac{\partial B_{\alpha}}{\partial \phi} \right) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\alpha}$$
or
$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \Omega \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right) B_{\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{for each } \alpha.$$
(11)

If you think about it, this makes sense: All the rigid rotation does is rotate the whole field configuration around the z-axis at the rate  $\Omega$ . If you decide to also rotate at  $\Omega$  (so your personal Eulerian time derivative is  $\partial/\partial t + \Omega \partial/\partial \phi$ ), then each component if the magnetic-field configuration should remain the same in your frame.

## 4 Solution for spherical coordinates

Resolving these issues fully for the spherical coordinate system seems complicated and I am not fully sure how to do it! In particular (for "full" resolution) we should, separately at each point  $(r, \theta, \phi)$ :

- 1. Form a local Cartesian coordinate system, say  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ . At the origin of this system (which lies at the point  $(r, \theta, \phi)$ ),  $(\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3)$  will coincide with  $(\hat{e}_r, \hat{e}_\theta, \hat{e}_\phi)$ . But slightly away from the origin, the Cartesian coordinates will remain the same while  $(\hat{e}_r, \hat{e}_\theta, \hat{e}_\phi)$  curve away.
- 2. Calculate the velocity-gradient tensor (being careful to differentiate along the Cartesian coordinates, not the curvilinear ones or along the actual  $\mathbf{B}$ -line):  $\partial u_1/\partial x_1$ ,  $\partial u_2/\partial x_1$ , etc. in spherical coordinates.
- 3. Rotate this velocity-gradient tensor "into  $\boldsymbol{B}$ " to form  $\partial u_{\parallel}/\partial x_{\parallel}$ ,  $\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp}/\partial x_{\parallel}$ , and  $\nabla_{\perp} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{\perp}$