

Perturbed Mass Flux and Timescales for Breakdown of Anelastic Equations

Loren Matilsky

April 03, 2019

In these notes we start using the notation (ρ_0, ρ_1) to refer to the background reference and perturbations about the reference, respectively. We also use \mathbf{u} to refer to the velocity since ApJ makes v 's look like ν 's. This notation is in line with Brown, Vasil, Zweibel (2010) and avoids confusion with using overbars to represent zonal averages. The linearized equation of state assumed by Rayleigh is

$$\frac{\rho_1}{\rho_0} = \frac{P_1}{P_0} - \frac{T_1}{T_0} = \frac{P_1}{\gamma P_0} - \frac{S_1}{c_p}. \quad (1)$$

We also have the anelastic continuity equation,

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{u}) = 0 \implies \rho_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + u_r \frac{d\rho_0}{dr} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\implies \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = -\frac{u_r}{\rho_0} \frac{d\rho_0}{dr} = -u_r \frac{d \ln \rho_0}{dr}. \quad (3)$$

We want to compute the counterpart to (2) for the *perturbed* mass flux ρ_1 , so we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \mathbf{u}) &= \rho_1 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho_1 \\ &= -\rho_1 u_r \frac{d \ln \rho_1}{dr} + u_r \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial r} + \frac{u_\theta}{r} \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial \theta} + \frac{u_\phi}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial \phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[\frac{\rho_0 P_1}{\gamma P_0} - \frac{\rho_0 S_1}{c_p} \right] \\ &= \frac{d\rho_0}{dr} \underbrace{\left[\frac{P_1}{\gamma P_0} - \frac{S}{c_p} \right]}_{\rho_1/\rho_0 \text{ by (1)}} - \frac{\rho_0 P_1}{\gamma P_0^2} \frac{dP_0}{dr} + \frac{\rho_0}{\gamma P_0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - \frac{\rho_0}{c_p} \frac{\partial S}{\partial r}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If the reference state is in hydrostatic equilibrium,

$$-\frac{dP_0}{dr} = \rho_0 g, \quad (6)$$

Then (5) \implies

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial r} = \frac{d \ln \rho_0}{dr} \rho_1 + \frac{\rho_0^2 g}{\gamma P_0^2} P_1 + \frac{\rho_0}{\gamma P_0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - \frac{\rho_0}{c_p} \frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \quad (7)$$

Thus,

$$-\rho_1 u_r \frac{d \ln \rho_1}{dr} + u_r \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial r} = -\cancel{\rho_1 u_r \frac{d \ln \rho_1}{dr}} + \cancel{u_r \frac{d \ln \rho_0}{dr} \rho_1} + u_r \left[\frac{\rho_0^2 g}{\gamma P_0^2} P_1 + \frac{\rho_0}{\gamma P_0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} - \frac{\rho_0}{c_p} \frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \right] \quad (8)$$

Finally, since the reference state is independent of θ and ϕ ,

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial \{\theta, \phi\}} = \frac{\rho_0}{\gamma P_0} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \{\theta, \phi\}} - \frac{\rho_0}{c_p} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \{\theta, \phi\}}, \quad (9)$$

and

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \mathbf{u}) &= u_r \left[\frac{\rho_0^2 g}{\gamma P_0^2} P_1 + \frac{\rho_0}{\gamma P_0} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial r} - \frac{\rho_0}{c_p} \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial r} \right] \\ &+ \frac{u_\theta}{r} \left[\frac{\rho_0}{\gamma P_0} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\rho_0}{c_p} \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \theta} \right] + \frac{u_\phi}{r \sin \theta} \left[\frac{\rho_0}{\gamma P_0} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\rho_0}{c_p} \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial \phi} \right] \end{aligned}} \quad (10)$$

Physically, the fully compressible continuity equation,

$$\frac{\partial(\rho_0 + \rho_1)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot [(\rho_0 + \rho_1) \mathbf{u}], \quad (11)$$

implies that under the anelastic approximation with time-independent reference state (i.e., $\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{u}) = 0$, $\partial \rho_0 / \partial t = 0$),

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \mathbf{u}). \quad (12)$$

Thus, there can be a “mass leak” under the anelastic equations; if we define

$$M_1(t) := \int_{\mathcal{V}} \rho_1(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3 x \quad (13)$$

as the “perturbed mass” at time t (where the volume \mathcal{V} is taken to be the entire spherical shell), then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM_1}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \rho_1(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3 x = \int_{\mathcal{V}} \left(\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} \right) d^3 x \\ &= - \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \mathbf{u})] d^3 x \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

by (12). From (10), clearly this time derivative can be non-zero. However, *to the extent that the divergence theorem is satisfied by Rayleigh’s discretization scheme* the time derivative is zero, since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{V}} [\nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \mathbf{u})] d^3 x &\stackrel{?}{=} \oint_{\partial \mathcal{V}} (\rho_1 \mathbf{u}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} dS \\ &= \oint_{\partial \mathcal{V}} \rho_1 u_r dS \equiv 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

(where we have used the fact that $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \pm \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$ on spherical shell boundary $\partial\mathcal{V}$ and $u_r|_{\partial\mathcal{V}} \equiv 0$ for impenetrable boundary conditions).

We can thus compute a timescale after which the anelastic approximation becomes poor (since physically it would imply the leakage of an appreciable amount of mass):

$$T_M \sim M_0 \left| \frac{dM_1}{dt} \right|^{-1} = M_0 \left| \int_{\mathcal{V}} \left[\nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \mathbf{u}) \right] d^3x \right|^{-1}, \quad (16)$$

where we have defined the total mass of the shell,

$$M_0 := \int_{\mathcal{V}} \rho_0(\mathbf{x}) d^3x = 4\pi \int_{r_i}^{r_o} \rho_0(r) r^2 dr, \quad (17)$$

with r_i and r_o referring to the inner and outer radii of the shell.

We note that if the mass leakage is random, ebbing and flowing with the convective overturning time τ_c , then the time T'_M for appreciable mass loss will be less, since $|dM_1/dt| \rightarrow |dM_1/dt|/(T'_M/\tau_c)^{1/2}$, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} T'_M &\sim M_0 \left| \frac{dM_1}{dt} \right|^{-1} \left(\frac{T'_M}{\tau_c} \right)^{1/2} \Rightarrow \\ (T'_M)^{1/2} &\sim \frac{T_M}{\tau_c^{1/2}} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

or

$$T'_M \sim \frac{T_M^2}{\tau_c}. \quad (19)$$

In any case, Rayleigh does *not* solve the perturbed continuity equation (12) in any sense, and the timescales T_M (or T'_M) may be quite long with impenetrable boundaries depending on how well the computational grid of Rayleigh satisfies the divergence theorem. However, these timescales should be considered when determining how long to run simulations before the anelastic approximation becomes unphysical.

We note that the anelastic equations will not be *self-consistent* after the timescale for significant entropy leak,

$$T_S \sim c_p \left| \frac{\partial S_1}{\partial t} \right|^{-1}, \quad (20)$$

(or else $T'_S := T_S^2/\tau_c$), although it may be that the system reaches a statistically steady state in which $|S_1| \ll c_p$ well before the timescales T_S or T'_S are ever reached.