

FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR F-CONTRACTION MAPPINGS IN PARTIAL METRIC SPACES

SHOLASTICA LUAMBANO^{1,*}, SANTOSH KUMAR^{2,**}, GRAYSON KAKIKO^{3,***}

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Natural and Mathematical Sciences, The University of Dodoma.

²Department of Mathematics, College of Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Dar es Salaam.

³Department of Mathematics, College of Natural and Applied Sciences, University of Dar es Salaam.

Abstract. In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorems for F-contraction mappings in partial metric spaces. In particular, the main results generalize a fixed point theorem due to Wardowski [8] in which F-contraction was introduced as a generalization of Banach Contraction Principle. An illustrative example is provided to validate the results.

Keywords. Partial metric spaces; F-contraction mappings; Fixed point theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of F-contraction mappings was introduced by Wardowski [8] as a generalization of Banach Contraction [5] and proved to be very useful in the existing metric fixed point theory. This gave rise to numerous fixed point results for F-contraction mappings as extension and its generalizations. Wardowski [8] introduced an F-contraction mapping and defined it as follows:

Definition 1.1. [8] Let (M, d) be a metric space, a mapping $T : M \longrightarrow M$ is said to be an F-contraction on M , if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in M$,

$$d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)). \quad (1.1)$$

and $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

$F1$: F is strictly increasing, that is for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $x \leq y \Rightarrow F(x) \leq F(y)$.

$F2$: For each sequence $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of positive numbers $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(\alpha_n) = -\infty$.

$F3$: There exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha^k F(\alpha) = 0$.

We denote the set of all functions satisfying the conditions $F1 - F3$ by Δ_F

Remark 1.2. From $F1$ and the contractive condition 1.1, we observe that every F-contraction is necessarily continuous.

*sholawilgis@gmail.com

**drsengar2002@gmail.com

***gkakiko@yahoo.co.uk

The following example is one amongst the examples of F-contractions:

Example 1.3. [7] Let $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $F(\alpha) = \ln(\alpha)$. It is clear that F satisfies $F1 - F3$ for any $k \in (0, 1)$. Each mapping $T : M \longrightarrow M$ satisfying $d(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y))$ is an F-contraction such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq e^{-\tau} d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in M, Tx \neq Ty$. Obviously, for all $x, y \in M$ such that $Tx = Ty$, the inequality $d(Tx, Ty) \leq e^{-\tau} d(x, y)$ holds and T is a Banach contraction. One can find more examples in [8].

A partial metric space was introduced by Matthews [6], which is a generalization of metric space and admits non-zero self distance:

Definition 1.4. [6] Let X be a non-empty set. A partial metric space is a pair (X, p) , where p is a function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, called the partial metric, such that for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following axioms hold:

- (P1) $x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, y) = p(x, x) = p(y, y)$;
- (P2) $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$;
- (P3) $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$; and
- (P4) $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$.

Clearly, by (P1)-(P3), if $p(x, y) = 0$, then $x = y$. But the converse is in general not true.

One among the classical examples of partial metric spaces is a pair $([0, \infty), p)$ where $p(x, y) = \max\{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in [0, \infty)$. One can find more examples in [3, 6].

Each partial metric p on X generates a T_0 topology τ_p on X whose basis is the collection of all open p -balls $\{B_p(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$, where $B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}$ for all $x \in X$, and ε is a positive real number.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The following are some basic concepts and preliminaries useful for the establishment of our results:

Bukatin et. al. [3] provided the following definition which is useful in our discussion:

Definition 2.1. [6] Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then,

- (i) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, p) is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ if and only if $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$.
- (ii) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, p) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.
- (iii) a partial metric space (X, p) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges with respect to the topology τ_p to a point $x \in X$ such that $p(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$.

Bukatin et. al. [3] proved the following lemma which is useful in our discussion:

Lemma 2.2. [3] Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then the mapping $p^s : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by

$$p^s(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ defines a metric on X .

Bukatin et. al. [3] also proved the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. [3] *Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then:*

- (i) *a sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, p) if and only if it is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (X, p^s) .*
- (ii) *a partial metric space (X, p) is complete if and only if the metric space (X, p^s) is complete.*

Some researchers have attempted to generalize, improve and extend the notion of F-contraction mappings to partial metric spaces. For instance Nazam et. al. [7] introduced an improved F-contraction of rational type in partial metric spaces and used it to prove a common fixed point theorem for a pair of self mappings.

The following Theorem was given by Nazam et. al. [7]:

Theorem 2.4. [7] *The contractions $H, T : X \rightarrow X$ on a complete partial metric space X such that either H or T is continuous and (H, T) is a pair of improved F-contraction of rational type have a common fixed point z of (H, T) in X such that $P(z, z) = 0$.*

Wardowski [8] proved the following results using F-contraction mapping:

Theorem 2.5. [8] *Let (M, d) be a complete metric space and let $T : M \rightarrow M$ be an F-contraction on M . Then T has a unique fixed point $x_0 \in M$ and for every $x \in M$ the sequence $\{T^n x\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x_0 .*

In this article we generalize Theorem 2.5 to partial metric spaces to obtain some fixed point theorems for The following is the extension of the Definition 1.1 to partial metric spaces:

3. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 3.1. Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. The mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be an F-contraction on X if there exists $\tau > 0$ and $F \in \Delta_F$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$p(Tx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(p(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(p(x, y)). \quad (3.1)$$

The following theorem is the extension of Theorem 2.5 to partial metric spaces:

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an F-contraction map on X , then T has a unique fixed point $v \in X$ such that $p(v, v) = 0$.*

Proof. : Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary point and fixed. We define a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$ such that $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Also we denote $a_n = p(x_{n+1}, x_n)$, for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{n_0+1} = x_{n_0}$, then $Tx_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$. This will end the proof. Now suppose $a_n > 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$.

Then by the contractive condition (3.1) of Definition 3.1 we have,

$$F(a_n) \leq F(a_{n-1}) - \tau \leq F(a_{n-2}) - 2\tau \leq F(a_{n-3}) - 3\tau \leq \dots \leq F(a_0) - n\tau. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.2) we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = -\infty$.

Since $F \in \Delta_F$, then by (F2) of Definition 1.1 we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

By (F3) of Definition 1.1, there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^k F(a_n) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Following (3.2) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have,

$$a_n^k (F(a_n) - F(a_0)) \leq -a_n^k n\tau \leq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Taking into account (3.3) and (3.4) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.5) we obtain,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n^k = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Since (3.6) holds, then there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n a_n^k \leq 1$, for all $n \geq n_1$. this implies that,

$$a_n \leq \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \text{ for all } n \geq n_1. \quad (3.7)$$

Next we show that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Consider $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n \geq n_1$, then by (3.7) and axiom (P3) of Definition (1.4) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_m) &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) - \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} p(x_j, x_j) \\ &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &= a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} a_i \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{k}}}. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the series $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{\frac{1}{k}}}$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$. By Lemma 2.2 we obtain that for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p^s(x_n, x_m) \leq 2p(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to p^s and hence converges by Lemma 2.3. Thus there exists $v \in X$ such that,

$$p(v, v) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, v) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m). \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$, then from (2.8) we deduce that

$$p(v, v) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, v). \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.9) it follows that $x_{n+1} \rightarrow v$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to τ_p . By the continuity of T it implies that,

$$v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n = T v.$$

From the contractive condition (3.1) we can write, $\tau + F(p(v, T v)) \leq F(p(v, v))$.

This implies that $p(v, T v) = 0$ and by axioms (P1) and (P2) of Definition 1.4 we conclude that $v = T v$.

Hence v is a fixed point of T .

We now prove that a fixed point is unique.

Suppose, there exists $u \in X$ such that $u \neq v$ and $u = Tu$. From the contractive condition (3.1) we can have,

$$\begin{aligned} p(Tu, Tv) = p(u, v) > 0 &\Rightarrow F(p(u, v)) < \tau + F(p(u, v)) \\ &= \tau + F(p(Tu, Tv)), \\ &\leq F(p(u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $F(p(u, v)) < F(p(u, v))$ which is a contradiction. Hence $u = v$.

Thus the fixed point of T is unique. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and a contraction $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies all conditions in Theorem 3.2. If we take F as defined in Example 1.3, then T is a Banach Contraction as generalized by Matthews [6].*

We now present a fixed point theorem for a pair of maps satisfying F-contraction condition as an extension of Theorem 3.2:

Theorem 3.4. *Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and $H, T : X \rightarrow X$ be a pair of F-contraction mappings, such that for all $x, y \in X$ we have,*

$$p(Hx, Ty) > 0 \Rightarrow \tau + F(p(Hx, Ty)) \leq F(\mathbb{M}(x, y)), \quad (3.10)$$

where,

$\mathbb{M} = \max \left\{ p(x, y), p(x, Hx), p(y, Ty), \frac{p(x, Ty) + p(y, Hx)}{2} \right\}$. Then there exists a unique fixed point which is common for both H and T .

Proof. : We first show the existence of a fixed point for both H and T .

Let $x_0 \in X$ be any arbitrary point and fixed. We define a sequence $\{x_n\} \in X$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{n+1} = Hx_n$ and $x_{n+2} = Tx_{n+1}$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Now suppose that $p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) > 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ with $x_{n+1} \neq x_{n+2}$.

Then by the contractive condition (3.10) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) &= \tau + F(p(Hx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \\ &\leq F(\mathbb{M}(x_n, x_{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= \max \left\{ p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, Hx_n), p(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{p(x_n, Tx_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, Hx_n)}{2} \right\}, \\ &= \max \left\{ p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{p(x_n, x_{n+2}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})}{2} \right\}, \\ &= \max \left\{ p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})}{2} \right\}, \\ &= \max \left\{ p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\max\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} = p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})$ then,
 $\tau + F(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \leq F(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})), \Rightarrow F(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \leq F(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) - \tau$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\max\{p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\} = p(x_n, x_{n+1})$.

Hence we can write,

$$F(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \leq F(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \tau, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence from (3.11) we have,

$$F(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(p(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2})) - 2\tau. \quad (3.12)$$

Repeating these steps n-times we get,

$$F(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq F(p(x_0, x_1)) - n\tau. \quad (3.13)$$

Then from 3.13 we obtain,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) = -\infty. \quad (3.14)$$

By (F1) of Definition 1.1 we obtain,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0. \quad (3.15)$$

By (F3), there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (p(x_n, x_{n+1}))^k F(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Following 3.13, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have,

$$(p(x_n, x_{n+1}))^k (F(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) - F(p(x_0, x_1))) \leq -(p(x_n, x_{n+1}))^k n\tau \leq 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Considering (3.14), (3.15) and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.16), we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(p(x_n, x_{n+1}))^k = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Since (3.18) holds, there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that,

$$n(p(x_n, x_{n+1}))^k \leq 1, \text{ for all } n \geq n_1. \quad (3.19)$$

Next we show that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Consider $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n \geq n_1$, then by (3.19) and axiom (P3) of Definition 1.4 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_n, x_m) &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) - \sum_{j=n+1}^{m-1} p(x_j, x_j) \\ &\leq p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &= a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{m-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} a_i \leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_i \\ &\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^k}. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence of the series $\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^k}$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0$. By Lemma 2.2 we get that, for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p^s(x_n, x_m) \leq 2p(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence with respect to p^s . Since (X, p) is complete then so is (X, p^s) , then there exists $u \in X$ such that,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^s(x_n, u) = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Moreover by Lemma (2.3),

$$p(u, u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, u) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m). \quad (3.21)$$

Then, from (3.21) we deduce that,

$$p(u, u) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, u). \quad (3.22)$$

It follows that, $x_{n+1} \rightarrow u$ and $x_{n+2} \rightarrow u$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to $\tau(p)$. Hence by the continuity of T it implies that,

$$u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_{n+1} = T \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = T u.$$

Hence from (3.10) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(p(u, Hu)) &= \tau + F(p(Hu, Tu)) \\ &\leq F(\mathbb{M}(u, u)) = F(p(u, u)). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $p(u, Hu) = 0$ and by (P1), (P2) of Definition 1.4 we obtain that $u = Hu$. Thus $Hu = Tu = u$. Hence (H, T) has a common fixed point $u \in X$.

Next we will show that u is unique common fixed point of H and T .

Suppose that by contradiction there exists $z \in X$ such that $u \neq z$ and $z = Tz$. From the contractive condition (3.10) we have,

$$\tau + F(p(Hu, Tz)) \leq F(\mathbb{M}(u, z)), \quad (3.23)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}(u, z) &= \max \left\{ p(u, z), p(u, Hu), p(z, Tz), \frac{p(u, Tz) + p(z, Hu)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ p(u, z), p(u, u), p(z, z), \frac{p(u, z) + p(z, u)}{2} \right\} \\ &= \max \left\{ p(u, z), \frac{p(u, z) + p(z, u)}{2} \right\} \\ &= p(u, z). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.23) we have,

$$\tau + F(p(Hu, Tz)) \leq F(p(u, z)), \quad (3.24)$$

From (3.24) we obtain that, $p(u, z) < p(u, z)$ which is a contradiction. Hence $u = z$ and u is a unique common fixed point of (H, T) . □

Now we provide an illustrative example for Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.5. Let $X = [0, 1]$ and define $p(x, y) = \max \{x, y\}$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then (X, p) is a complete partial metric space. Define the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ such that for all $x \in X$,

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{3} & \text{if } x \in [0, 1) \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Clearly T is a self mapping. Define the function $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $F(r) = \ln(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Let $x, y \in X$ such that $p(Tx, Ty) > 0$, this implies that

$\tau + F(p(Tx, Ty)) = \tau + \ln(\max(\{\frac{x}{3}, \frac{y}{3}\}))$. Now suppose that $y \geq x$ without loss of generality and taking $\tau \leq \ln(3)$ we obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + \ln(\max(\{\frac{x}{3}, \frac{y}{3}\})) &\leq \ln(3) + \ln(\frac{y}{3}) \\ &= \ln(p(x, y)) \\ &= F(p(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $x \geq y$ we obtain that,

$$\tau + F(p(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(p(x, y)).$$

Thus, the contractive condition (3.1) is satisfied for all $x, y \in X$. Hence all hypotheses of the Theorem 3.2 are satisfied and note that T has a unique fixed point $x = 0$.

Remark 3.6. We also notice that T in Example 3.5 is not an F-contraction in (X, d) and consequently Theorem 2.5 cannot be applied.

Acknowledgements

Authors have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Ahmad, A. Al-Rawashdeh and A. Azam, "New fixed point theorems for generalized F-contractions in complete metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **1**, 80(2015).
- [2] H. Aydi, M. Abbas and C. Vetro, "Partial Hausdorff metric and Nadler's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces," *Topology and its Applications*, **159**, 3234–3242(2012).
- [3] M. Bukatin, R. Kopperman and S. Matthews, "Partial metric spaces," *American Mathematical Monthly*. **116**, 708–718(2009).
- [4] S. Chang, J. Kim, L. Wang and J. Tang, "Existence of fixed points for generalized F-contractions and generalized F-suzuki contractions in metric spaces," *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **12**(6), 4867-4882(2016).
- [5] M. Khamsi and W. Kirk, "An introduction to metric spaces and fixed point theory," John Wiley and Sons, **53**, 41-48(2011).
- [6] S. Matthews, "Partial metric topology in Papers on General Topology and Applications," Eighth Summer Conference at Queens College. Eds. S. Andima *et al.*, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **728**, 183–197(1992).
- [7] M. Nazam, M. Arshad and M. Abbas, "Existence of common fixed points of improved F-contraction on partial metric spaces," *Applied general topology*, **18**(2), 277-287(2017).
- [8] D. Wardowski, "Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **94**, (2012).