

ADL HW2 @NTU, 2021 spring

B06902135 資工四 蔡宜倫

1. Data processing (2%)

- **Tokenizer (1%):**
 - **Describe in detail about the tokenization algorithm you use. You need to explain what it does in your own ways.**
 - 我使用transformers的 `BertTokenizerFast` 做tokenization，並且使用的pre-trained model是 `"bert-base-chinese"`：共有21228個token在vocab file。
- **Answer Span (1%):**
 - **How did you convert the answer span start/end position on characters to position on tokens after BERT tokenization?**

```
1 answer_start_token =  
  tokenized_paragraph.char_to_token(question["answers"][0]["start"])  
2 answer_end_token =  
  tokenized_paragraph.char_to_token(question["answers"][0]["start"] +  
    len(question["answers"][0]["text"]) - 1)
```

- 如上所示，我使用了 `char_to_token` 這個function轉換原本在character space的位置對應到token space的位置：這個function的input會是character在sequence中的index，輸出得到encoded token 的index。
- **After your model predicts the probability of answer span start/end position, what rules did you apply to determine the final start/end position?**
 - 我使用了兩種方法在尋找start和ends的span。
 - 第一種：找到start和ends的機率，並且找最大可能的start prob. + end prob.的組合，能生成最大值的即是我的answer span。但這樣會生成一個問題，如果model不夠好，可能會造成ends的index在start index的前面，因此我後來使用了第二種的方法，可以有效避免發生end prior to start的情況。
 - 第二種：先找到start的最大可能值，接著再做post-processing，從start index往後找一個區間內end index的機率最大值，這樣找到的answer span理論上較合理。

```
1 start_probs, start_indexs = torch.topk(output.start_logits[k],  
  k=1, dim=0)  
2 for start_prob, start_index in zip(start_probs, start_indexs):  
3     length_prob, length = torch.max(output.end_logits[k]  
  [start_index : start_index + max_answer_len], dim=0)  
4     prob = start_prob + length_prob
```

2. Modeling with BERTs and their variants (4%)

- Describe (2%)

- your model (configuration of the transformer model)

- BertForMultipleChoice:

- 使用transformer的pretrained-bert: "hf1/chinese-macbert-large"

- Configuration:

```
1  "architectures": [  
2    "BertForMultipleChoice"  
3  ],  
4  "attention_probs_dropout_prob": 0.1,  
5  "directionality": "bidi",  
6  "gradient_checkpointing": false,  
7  "hidden_act": "gelu",  
8  "hidden_dropout_prob": 0.1,  
9  "hidden_size": 1024,  
10 "initializer_range": 0.02,  
11 "intermediate_size": 4096,  
12 "layer_norm_eps": 1e-12,  
13 "max_position_embeddings": 512,  
14 "model_type": "bert",  
15 "num_attention_heads": 16,  
16 "num_hidden_layers": 24,  
17 "pad_token_id": 0,  
18 "pooler_fc_size": 768,  
19 "pooler_num_attention_heads": 12,  
20 "pooler_num_fc_layers": 3,  
21 "pooler_size_per_head": 128,  
22 "pooler_type": "first_token_transform",  
23 "position_embedding_type": "absolute",  
24 "transformers_version": "4.5.0",  
25 "type_vocab_size": 2,  
26 "use_cache": true,  
27 "vocab_size": 21128
```

- BertForQuestionAnswering:

- 使用transformer的pretrained-bert: "hf1/chinese-macbert-large"

- Configuration:

```
1  "architectures": [  
2    "BertForQuestionAnswering"  
3  ],
```

```

4  "attention_probs_dropout_prob": 0.1,
5  "directionality": "bidi",
6  "gradient_checkpointing": false,
7  "hidden_act": "gelu",
8  "hidden_dropout_prob": 0.1,
9  "hidden_size": 1024,
10 "initializer_range": 0.02,
11 "intermediate_size": 4096,
12 "layer_norm_eps": 1e-12,
13 "max_position_embeddings": 512,
14 "model_type": "bert",
15 "num_attention_heads": 16,
16 "num_hidden_layers": 24,
17 "pad_token_id": 0,
18 "pooler_fc_size": 768,
19 "pooler_num_attention_heads": 12,
20 "pooler_num_fc_layers": 3,
21 "pooler_size_per_head": 128,
22 "pooler_type": "first_token_transform",
23 "position_embedding_type": "absolute",
24 "transformers_version": "4.5.0",
25 "type_vocab_size": 2,
26 "use_cache": true,
27 "vocab_size": 21128

```

- **performance of your model.**

- Context Selection accuracy on `public.json`: 95.8%
- Question Answer EM on `public.json`: 84.3%
- **Joint:**

```
1 | {"count": 3526, "em": 0.819058423142371, "f1": 0.8733224272153176}
```

- **the loss function you used.**

- Context Selection: 對選取的context做 `Cross-Entropy Loss`。
- Question Answer: 對選取的answer start 和 answer end 做 `Cross-Entropy Loss`，並總和相加成為最後的loss。

- **The optimization algorithm (e.g. Adam), learning rate and batch size.**

- Context Selection:
 - Optimization Algorithm: `transformers.AdamW`
 - Scheduler: `transformers.optimization.get_linear_schedule_with_warmup`
 - Learning Rate: `3e-5`
 - Batch Size: `1`
 - Epoch: `2`

- Gradient Accumulation Step: 40
- Question Answering:
 - Optimization Algorithm: transformers.AdamW
 - Scheduler: transformers.optimization.get_linear_schedule_with_warmup
 - Learning Rate: 3e-5
 - Batch Size: 8
 - Epoch: 2
 - Gradient Accumulation Step: 2

- **Try another type of pretrained model and describe (2%)**

- **your model**

- 對於CS和QA都使用transformer的pretrained-bert: "bert-base-chinese"
- Configuration:

```

1  "architectures": [
2    "BertForMaskedLM"
3  ],
4  "attention_probs_dropout_prob": 0.1,
5  "directionality": "bidi",
6  "hidden_act": "gelu",
7  "hidden_dropout_prob": 0.1,
8  "hidden_size": 768,
9  "initializer_range": 0.02,
10 "intermediate_size": 3072,
11 "layer_norm_eps": 1e-12,
12 "max_position_embeddings": 512,
13 "model_type": "bert",
14 "num_attention_heads": 12,
15 "num_hidden_layers": 12,
16 "pad_token_id": 0,
17 "pooler_fc_size": 768,
18 "pooler_num_attention_heads": 12,
19 "pooler_num_fc_layers": 3,
20 "pooler_size_per_head": 128,
21 "pooler_type": "first_token_transform",
22 "type_vocab_size": 2,
23 "vocab_size": 21128

```

- **performance of your model.**

- Context Selection accuracy on public.json: 85.2%
- Question Answer EM on public.json: 75.3%
- **Joint:**

```
1 {"count": 3526, "em": 0.702468423967332, "f1": 0.7689004272513126}
```

- the difference between pretrained model (architecture, pretraining loss, etc.)
 - 可以從configuration很明顯得看出 `chinese-macbert-large` 相較於 `bert-base-chinese` 明顯是一個比較大的model，`intermediate_size` 和 `hidden_size` 都有很大的差異。
 - 在masking的時候，相較於去遮蔽mask token的位置，`chinese-macbert-large` 在訓練的時候是去mask 有相近meaning的詞。
 - 這樣的做法可以讓pre-train和fine-tune成為更相近的task，因此我們在使用fine-tune pretrained模型在我們的task和data上時，可以將model原有的performance更好地保存下來。
 - 可以看到 `chinese-macbert-large` 在overall 的performance上有顯著的提升，em從70%上升到82%。

3. Curves (1%)

- Plot
 - learning curve of EM (0.5%)
 - learning curve of F1 (0.5%)

4. Pretrained vs Not Pretrained (2%)

- Train a transformer model from scratch (without pretrained weights) on the dataset
- Describe
 - The configuration of the model and how do you train this model
 - the performance of this model v.s. BERT

5. Compare with different configurations (1% + Bonus 1%)

- Train a BERT-based model on HW1 dataset and describe
- Intent Classification:
 - your model
 - 使用 `"roberta-base"` 這個pre-trained model做 `RobertaForSequenceClassification` 的task，定義了 `num_labels=150` (150種intent)。
 - Configuration:

```
1 "architectures": [  
2     "RobertaForSequenceClassification"  
3 ],  
4 "attention_probs_dropout_prob": 0.1,  
5 "bos_token_id": 0,
```

```

6  "eos_token_id": 2,
7  "hidden_act": "gelu",
8  "hidden_dropout_prob": 0.1,
9  "hidden_size": 768,
10 "initializer_range": 0.02,
11 "intermediate_size": 3072,
12 "layer_norm_eps": 1e-05,
13 "max_position_embeddings": 514,
14 "model_type": "roberta",
15 "num_attention_heads": 12,
16 "num_hidden_layers": 12,
17 "pad_token_id": 1,
18 "type_vocab_size": 1,
19 "vocab_size": 50265

```

！注意原本config還有兩個dictionary（id2label和label2id），但因為要歸類成150種佔太多空間就省略不放了。

◦ performance of your model.

Submission and Description	Private Score	Public Score	Use for Final Score
bert_intent.csv a day ago by iluntsai99 "roberta-base"	0.96755	0.96400	<input type="checkbox"/>

- 可以看出使用BERT讓performance從92%進步到近97%，推測這是因為BERT的contextualize embedding比原本使用的GLOVE好很多。

◦ the loss function you used.

- 選取的intent(label)對ground truth做 `Cross-Entropy Loss`。

◦ The optimization algorithm (e.g. Adam), learning rate and batch size.

- Optimization Algorithm: `transformers.AdamW`
- Scheduler: `transformers.optimization.get_linear_schedule_with_warmup`
- Learning Rate: `5e-5`
- Batch Size: `64`
- Epoch: `5`
- Gradient Accumulation Step: `2`

• Slot Tagging:

◦ your model

- 使用 "roberta-base" 這個pre-trained model做 `RobertaForTokenClassification` 的task，定義了 `num_labels=9`（9個tag）。
- Configuration:

```

1  "architectures": [
2      "RobertaForTokenClassification"
3  ],

```

```

4  "attention_probs_dropout_prob": 0.1,
5  "bos_token_id": 0,
6  "eos_token_id": 2,
7  "hidden_act": "gelu",
8  "hidden_dropout_prob": 0.1,
9  "hidden_size": 768,
10 "initializer_range": 0.02,
11 "intermediate_size": 3072,
12 "layer_norm_eps": 1e-05,
13 "max_position_embeddings": 514,
14 "model_type": "roberta",
15 "num_attention_heads": 12,
16 "num_hidden_layers": 12,
17 "pad_token_id": 1,
18 "type_vocab_size": 1,
19 "vocab_size": 50265

```

！跟intent的task一樣，原本還有兩個dictionary (id2label和label2id)，分成9種NER，這邊一樣省略不放。

◦ performance of your model.

[bert_slot.csv](#)

0.79421

0.81072



a day ago by [iluntsai99](#)

"roberta-base"

- 原本使用GRU就可以達到82.3%，使用BERT反而讓performance從82.3%進步到近81%。
- 嘗試使用large的model想增進performance反而退步更多，推測是由於提供的slot data不夠多，無法有效地fine-tune pre-trained好的model（原本model的參數太dominant）。

◦ the loss function you used.

- 對每一個字選取的tag(label)做 `Cross-Entropy Loss`，並加起來作為total loss。

◦ The optimization algorithm (e.g. Adam), learning rate and batch size.

- Optimization Algorithm: `transformers.AdamW`
- Scheduler: `transformers.optimization.get_linear_schedule_with_warmup`
- Learning Rate: `5e-5`
- Batch Size: `32`
- Epoch: `5`
- Gradient Accumulation Step: `1`