## 1 Problem 2.16

Proposition 1.

$$(\exists x \ge \mathbf{0} : Ax = \mathbf{0}) \iff (y^T A \ge \mathbf{0} \implies y^T A = \mathbf{0})$$
 (1.0.1)

Introduce the lemma:

**Lemma 1.** if x > 0, and  $y \ge 0$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle \ge 0$ .

Lemma 1. This is true because  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i \geq \mathbf{0}$ , we are just multiplying each of the inequality by a non-negative number and then sum then all up.

Proposition 1. Proof of sufficiency  $\implies$ :

choose 
$$x \text{ s.t: } Ax = 0, \ x > 0$$
 (1.0.2)

$$y^T A \ge \mathbf{0} \land y^T A x = \mathbf{0} \implies y^T A = \mathbf{0} \tag{1.0.3}$$

 $y^T A \ge \mathbf{0}$  and by  $y^T A x = 0, x \ge 0$ , we know that  $y^T A = \mathbf{0}$ , because you can't sum up positive number and still get zero.

Proof of necessity  $\Leftarrow$ : we will use prove by contradiction, we assume that  $y^T A \geq \mathbf{0}$  and  $y^T A = \mathbf{0}$ , and for contradiction we assume  $\not\exists x > \mathbf{0} : Ax = \mathbf{0}$ .

$$y^T A = \mathbf{0} \implies y^T A x = \mathbf{0} \quad \forall x \tag{1.0.4}$$

$$\exists x > \mathbf{0} : \underbrace{y^T A}_{> \mathbf{0}} \underbrace{x}_{x>0} = \mathbf{0}$$
 (1.0.5)

Contradicts Lemma 1 
$$(1.0.6)$$

## 2 Problem 2.21

**Proposition 2.** If the polytope  $P := \{A | Ax \leq b\} \neq \emptyset$ , prove  $x^+ : x^+ = \max\{c^T x | Ax \leq b\}$  is attained by an vertex  $x^+ \in P$ .

Here is the approach for this problem. A polytope is closed therefore the objective value is going to be bounded. Next, if supremum of the objective exists then there is a point inside of the closed polytope p that attains it.

To show that the pint  $x^+$  is a vertex, we assume it's not, then we show that either we can wiggle it around to improve  $\langle c, x \rangle$ , or we can just wiggle it so it becomes an vertex in P eventually, hence it has to be a vertex.

2.26

2.27