

An Inexact Accelerated Proximal Gradient Method for TV Variation Problems

Author 1 Name, Author 2 Name *

August 30, 2025

This paper is currently in draft mode. Check source to change options.

Abstract

Not yet added.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H05, 52A41, 90C25; Secondary 15A09, 26A51, 26B25, 26E60, 47H09, 47A63. **Keywords:**

1 Introduction

{ass:smooth-nsmooth-sum} Let's make a type of algorithm that has the niche for applications with a state of the art performance.

Assumption 1.1 We assume the following about (F, f, g, L, X^+) :

- (i) $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex, L Lipschitz smooth function but doesn't support simple implementations of its proximal operator.
- (ii) $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, proper, and closed, and its proximal operator can be easily implemented, and easy to obtain some element ∂g at all points of the domain.
- (iii) The over all objective has $F = f + g$.

*Subject type, Some Department of Some University, Location of the University, Country. E-mail: `author.name@university.edu`.

Under this assumption, we denote the proximal gradient operator of $F = f + g$ as $T_B(x) = \text{prox}_{B^{-1}g}(x - B^{-1}\nabla f(x))$. Note that by definition it has also:

$$\begin{aligned} T_B(x) &= \text{prox}_{B^{-1}g}(x - B^{-1}\nabla f(x)) \\ &= \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ g(z) + \langle \nabla f(x), z \rangle + \frac{B}{2} \|z - x\|^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2 (A measure of error from proximal gradient evaluations)

Let (F, f, g, L) satisfies Assumption 1.1. For all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define S :

$$S_B(z|x) = \partial \left[z \mapsto g(z) + \langle \nabla f(x), z \rangle + \frac{B}{2} \|z - x\|^2 \right] (z).$$

Observe:

- (i) $S_B(z|x) = \partial g(z) + \nabla f(x) + B(z - x)$,
- (ii) $\mathbf{0} \in S_B(T(x)|x)$,
- (iii) $(S_B(\cdot|x))^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ is a singleton by strong convexity.

Let's assume inexact evaluation of $\tilde{x} \approx T_B(x)$, then the error measure is the set $S_B(\tilde{x}|x)$. Assuming that we have accurate information on $\nabla f(x)$, then $\forall w \in S_B(\tilde{x}|x) \exists \tilde{v} \in \partial g(\tilde{x})$.

$$w = \tilde{v} + \nabla f(x) + B(\tilde{x} - x).$$

We want to control w in the implementations of inexact accelerated proximal gradient algorithm.

2 Key ideas we need to get right

{def:inxt-pg}

Definition 2.1 (inexact proximal gradient)

Let (F, f, g, L) satisfies Assumption 1.1. Let $\epsilon \geq 0, B \geq 0$. We Define for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the inexact proximal gradient operator $T_B^{(\epsilon)}(x)$ to be such that if $\tilde{x} \in T_B^{(\epsilon)}(x)$ then, $\exists w \in S_B(\tilde{x}|x) : \|w\| \leq \epsilon \|\tilde{x} - x\|$.

The algorithm we will design must produce iterates in a way that satisfies the inexact proximal gradient operator define above. The following theorem will characterize a key inequality for convergence claim.

{thm:inxt-pg-ineq}

Theorem 2.2 (inexact over regularized proximal gradient inequality)

Let (F, f, g, L) satisfies Assumption 1.1. Take $T_B^{(\epsilon)}$ as given in Definition 2.1. Let $\epsilon \geq 0$.

For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\exists B \geq 0$ such that $\tilde{x} \in T_{B+\epsilon}^{(\epsilon)}(x)$ and, $D_f(\tilde{x}, x) \leq \frac{B}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2$. Then for all $z, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it has:

$$0 \leq F(z) - F(\tilde{x}) + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \frac{B}{2}\|z - \tilde{x}\|^2.$$

Proof. By Definition 2.1, $T_{B+\epsilon}^{(\epsilon)}(x)$ minimizes a $h(z) = z \mapsto g(z) + \langle \nabla f(x), z \rangle + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|x - z\|^2$ to produce \tilde{x} so that $w \in S_{B+\epsilon}(\tilde{x}|x) = \partial h(x)$. h is $B + \epsilon$ strongly convex by convexity of g . Since $w \in \partial h(\tilde{x})$, it has subgradient inequality through strong convexity:

$$(\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^n) \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - \tilde{x}\|^2 \leq h(z) - h(\tilde{x}) - \langle w, z - \tilde{x} \rangle.$$

This means for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - z\|^2 \\ & \leq g(z) + \langle \nabla f(x), z \rangle + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \left(g(\tilde{x}) + \langle \nabla f(x), \tilde{x} \rangle + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 \right) \\ & \quad - \langle w, z - \tilde{x} \rangle \\ & = \left(g(z) - g(\tilde{x}) + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 - \langle w, z - \tilde{x} \rangle \right) \\ & \quad + \langle \nabla f(x), z - x + x - \tilde{x} \rangle \\ & \stackrel{(1)}{=} \left(g(z) - g(\tilde{x}) + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 - \langle w, z - \tilde{x} \rangle \right) \\ & \quad - D_f(z, x) + f(z) + D_f(\tilde{x}, x) - f(\tilde{x}) \\ & = (F(z) - F(\tilde{x}) - \langle w, z - \tilde{x} \rangle) + \left(\frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - D_f(z, x) \right) \\ & \quad + \left(D_f(\tilde{x}, x) - \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 \right) \\ & \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - D_f(z, x) + \left(\frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq F(z) - F(\tilde{x}) + \|w\|\|z - \tilde{x}\| + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 \\ & \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} F(z) - F(\tilde{x}) + \epsilon\|x - \tilde{x}\|\|z - \tilde{x}\| + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

At (1), we used:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla f(x), z - x \rangle - \langle \nabla f(x), \tilde{x} - x \rangle \\ & = -D_f(z, x) + f(z) - f(x) + D_f(\tilde{x}, x) - f(\tilde{x}) + f(x) \\ & = f(z) + f(\tilde{x}) - D_f(z, x) + D_f(\tilde{x}, x). \end{aligned}$$

At (2), we had f convex as the assumption, hence $D_f(z, x) \leq 0$. We also had the assumption that B makes $D_f(\tilde{x}, x) \leq \frac{B}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2$, this simplifies the third term from the previous line into $-\frac{\epsilon}{2}\|x - \tilde{x}\|^2$. At (3), we applied the assumed inequality $\|w\| \leq \epsilon\|x - \tilde{x}\|\|z - \tilde{x}\|$. Continuing:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left(F(z) - F(\tilde{x}) + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - \tilde{x}\|^2 \right) + \epsilon\|\tilde{x} - x\|\|z - \tilde{x}\| - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 \\ &\stackrel{(4)}{=} F(z) - F(\tilde{x}) + \frac{B+\epsilon}{2}\|z - x\|^2 - \frac{B}{2}\|z - \tilde{x}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

At (4), we use some algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon\|\tilde{x} - x\|\|z - \tilde{x}\| - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 \\ &= \epsilon\|\tilde{x} - x\|\|z - \tilde{x}\| - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|\tilde{x} - x\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|z - \tilde{x}\|^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|z - \tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &= -\epsilon(\|x - \tilde{x}\| - \|z - \tilde{x}\|)^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|z - \tilde{x}\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\|z - \tilde{x}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

■

2.1 The accelerated proximal gradient algorithm {def:inxt-apg}

Definition 2.3 (accelerated inexact proximal gradient algorithm) *Let*

- (i) $(\alpha_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a sequence in $(0, 1]$.
- (ii) Let $(B_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a non-negative sequence.
- (iii) Let (F, f, g, L) be given by Assumption 1.1.
- (iv) Let (ϵ_k) be a non-negative sequence that is the error schedule.

Initialize with any (x_{-1}, v_{-1}) . For these given parameters, an algorithm is a type of accelerated proximal gradient if it generates $(y_k, x_k, v_k)_{k \geq 0}$ such that for $k \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_k &= \alpha_k v_{k-1} + (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1}, \\ x_k &\in T_{B_k + \epsilon_k}^{(\epsilon_k)} y_k : D_f(x, \tilde{x}) \leq (1/2)\|x - \tilde{x}\|^2, \\ v_k &= x_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}(x_k - x_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

3 convergence rates results

We will now show that Algorithms satisfying Definition 2.3 has desirable convergence rate.

{ass:apg-cnvg}

Assumption 3.1 (convergence assumptions) Let (F, f, g, L) satisfies Assumption 1.1 and in addition assume that F admits a set of non-empty minimizers X^+ .

{lemma:inxt-apg-onestep}

Lemma 3.2 (inexact one step convergence claim)

Let (F, f, g, L, X^+) satisfies Assumption 3.1. Suppose that an algorithm satisfies optimizes the given $F = f + g$ also satisfying Definition 2.3. Then for the generated iterates $(y_k, x_k, v_k)_{k \geq 0}$, it has for all $k \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\bar{x}) - F(x_k) - \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 \\ & \leq \max \left(1 - \alpha_k, \frac{\alpha_k (B_k + \epsilon_k)}{\alpha_{k-1}^2 B_{k-1}} \right) \left(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x}) + \frac{\alpha_{k-1}^2 B_{k-1}}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\bar{x} \in X^+$, making it a minimizer of F . Define $z_k := \alpha_k \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1}$. It can be verified that:

{lemma:inxt-apg-onestep-a}

$$\begin{aligned} z_k - x_k &= \alpha_k (\bar{x} - v_k), \\ z_k - y_k &= \alpha_k (\bar{x} - v_{k-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{a}$$

Because from Definition 2.3 it has for all $k \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} z_k - x_k &= \alpha_k \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1} - x_k \\ &= \alpha_k x^+ + (x_{k-1} - x_k) - \alpha_k x_{k-1} \\ &= \alpha_k \bar{x} - \alpha_k v_k, \\ z_k - y_k &= \alpha_k \bar{x} + (1 - \alpha_k)x_{k-1} - y_k \\ &= \alpha_k \bar{x} - \alpha_k v_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For all $k \geq 0$, apply Theorem 2.2 with $z = z_k, \tilde{x} = x_k, x = y_k, \epsilon = \epsilon_k, B = B_k$:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq (F(z_k) - F(x_k)) + \left(\frac{B_k + \epsilon_k}{2} \|z_k - y_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k}{2} \|z_k - x_k\|^2 \right) \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} (\alpha_k F(\bar{x}) + (1 - \alpha_k) F(x_{k-1}) - F(x_k)) + \left(\frac{B_k + \epsilon_k}{2} \|z_k - y_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k}{2} \|z_k - x_k\|^2 \right) \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{=} (\alpha_k F(\bar{x}) + (1 - \alpha_k) F(x_{k-1}) - F(x_k)) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{(B_k + \epsilon_k) \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 - \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 \right) \\
&= F(\bar{x}) - F(x_k) + (1 - \alpha_k)(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x})) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{(B_k + \epsilon_k) \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 - \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 \right) \\
&= F(\bar{x}) - F(x_k) - \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_k)(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x})) + \frac{(B_k + \epsilon_k) \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&= F(\bar{x}) - F(x_k) - \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 \\
&\quad + (1 - \alpha_k)(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x})) + \frac{(B_k + \epsilon_k) \alpha_k^2}{\alpha_{k-1}^2 B_{k-1}} \frac{\alpha_{k-1}^2 B_{k-1}}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&= F(\bar{x}) - F(x_k) - \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 \\
&\quad + \max \left(1 - \alpha_k, \frac{(B_k + \epsilon_k) \alpha_k^2}{\alpha_{k-1}^2 B_{k-1}} \right) \left(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x}) + \frac{\alpha_{k-1}^2 B_{k-1}}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

At (1) we used convexity of f which is assumed and it makes $f(z_k) \leq \alpha_k F(\bar{x}) + (1 - \alpha_k) F(x_{k-1})$ because $\alpha_k \in (0, 1]$ from Definition 2.3. \blacksquare

As a prelude, to derive the convergence rate we unroll the recurrence relation proved in the above lemma. It remains to create convergence criterions of the error relative sequence ϵ_k such that the original optimal convergence rate of $\mathcal{O}(1/k^2)$ the sequence remains unaffected. Let the sequence $(B_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be given by ****Definition 2****. We suggest the following descriptors using another sequence ρ_k given by for all $k \geq 1$:

$$\rho_k = \frac{B_k + \epsilon_k}{B_{k-1}} \frac{B_{k-1}}{B_k}.$$

This means the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\max\left(1 - \alpha_k, \frac{\alpha_k^2(B_k + \epsilon_k)}{\alpha_{k-1}^2 B_{k-1}}\right) &= \max\left(\sim, \rho_k \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{B_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}^2}\right) \\ &\leq \max(1, \rho_k) \max\left(\sim, \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{B_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

If we consider $\rho_k \leq (1 + 2/k^2)$, it has the ability to make

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{k=1}^n \max\left(1 - \alpha_k, \frac{\alpha_k^2(B_k + \epsilon_k)}{\alpha_{k-1}^2 B_{k-1}}\right) &\leq \prod_{k=1}^n \max(1, \rho_k) \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \max\left(1 - \alpha_k, \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{B_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}^2}\right)\right) \\ &\leq \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{2}{k^2}\right) (\sim) \\ &\leq 2(\sim).\end{aligned}$$

The following lemma will seal the case for the other remaining big product above.

References