

Linear Convergence of Accelerated Gradient without Restart

Author 1 Name, Author 2 Name *

March 2, 2020

This paper is currently in draft mode. Check source to change options. [\[1\]](#)

Abstract

This is still a note for a draft so no abstract.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H05, 52A41, 90C25; Secondary 15A09, 26A51, 26B25, 26E60, 47H09, 47A63. **Keywords:**

1 Introduction

Notations. Unless specified, our ambient space is \mathbb{R}^n with Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Pi_C(\cdot)$ denotes the projection onto the set C , i.e: the closest point in C to another point in \mathbb{R}^n . For a function of $F = f + g$, and a $B \geq 0$ where f is \mathcal{C}^1 differentiable, and g is l.s.c, we consider the proximal gradient operator:

$$\begin{aligned} T_B(x) &= \operatorname{argmin}_z \left\{ g(z) + f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), z - x \rangle + \frac{B}{2} \|x - z\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{prox}_{B^{-1}g}(x - B^{-1}\nabla f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

We also define the gradient mapping operator $\mathcal{G}_B(x) = B^{-1}(x - T_B(x))$.

*Subject type, Some Department of Some University, Location of the University, Country. E-mail: `author.name@university.edu`.

2 Precursors materials for our proofs of linear convergence

The following two definitions defines the accelerated proximal gradient algorithm.

{def:st-apg}

Definition 2.1 (similar triangle form of accelerated proximal gradient)

The definition is about $((\alpha_k)_{k \geq 0}, (q_k)_{k \geq 0}, (B_k)_{k \geq 0}, (y_k)_{k \geq 0}, (x_k)_{k \geq -1}, (v_k)_{k \geq -1})$. These sequences satisfy:

- (i) $x_{-1}, y_{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are arbitrary initial condition of the algorithm;
- (ii) $(q_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence such that $q_k \in [0, 1)$ for all $k \geq 1$;
- (iii) $(\alpha_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence such that $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1]$, and for all $k \geq 1$ it has $\alpha_k \in (q_k, 1)$;
- (iv) $(B_k)_{k \geq 0}$ has $B_k \geq 0$.

Then an algorithm satisfies the similar triangle form of Nesterov's accelerated gradient if it generates iterates $(y_k, x_k, v_k)_{k \geq 1}$ such that for all $k \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_k &= \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{1 - q_k} \right) v_{k-1} + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) x_{k-1}, \\ x_k &= T_{L_k}(y_k), D_f(x_k, y_k) \leq \frac{B_k}{2} \|x_k - y_k\|^2, \\ v_k &= x_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}(x_k - x_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

{def:rlx-momentum-seq}

Definition 2.2 (relaxed momentum sequence) The following definition is about sequences $((\alpha_k)_{k \geq 0}, (q_k)_{k \geq 0}, (\rho_k)_{k \geq 0})$. Let

- (i) $(q_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is a sequence such that $q_k \in [0, 1)$ for all $k \geq 0$;
- (ii) $(\alpha_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be such that $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1]$, and for all $k \geq 1$ it has $\alpha_k \in (q_k, 1)$;
- (iii) $(\rho_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is a strictly positive sequence for all $k \geq 1$.

The sequences q_k, α_k are considered relaxed momentum sequence if for all $k \geq 1$ it satisfies the relation that:

$$\rho_{k-1} = \frac{\alpha_k(\alpha_k - q_k)}{(1 - \alpha_k)\alpha_{k-1}^2}.$$

{def:pg-gap}

Definition 2.3 (proximal gradient gap) Let $F = f + g$ where f is L Lipschitz smooth and g is convex. Then the proximal gradient mapping $T_B(x) = \text{prox}_{B^{-1}g}(x - B^{-1}\nabla f(x))$ is a singleton, which as domain on \mathbb{R}^n . Let μ, B be parameters such that $B > \mu \geq 0$. We define the proximal gradient gap $\mathcal{E}(z, y, \mu)$ is a $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ mapping:

$$\mathcal{E}(z, y, \mu, B) := F(z) - F(T_B(y)) - \langle B(y - T_B(y)), z - y \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|z - y\|^2 - \frac{B}{2} \|y - T_B(y)\|^2.$$

Remark 2.4 This expression is the same as the proximal gradient inequality.

3 Deriving the convergence rate

{ass:for-cnvg} To derive the convergence rate of algorithm satisfying Definition 2.1, 2.2, we leverage Definition 2.3.

Assumption 3.1 (generic assumptions for convergence) The following assumption is about $(F, f, g, \mathcal{E}, \mu, L)$, it is the configuration needed to derive the convergence rate of algorithms that satisfy Definition 2.1. There exists $B > \mu \geq 0$ such that the following are true.

- (i) Let $F = f + g$ where f is L Lipschitz smooth and, g is closed convex and proper.
- (ii) $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists \bar{y}$ such that $\mathcal{E}(\bar{y}, y, \mu, B) \geq 0$.
- (iii) For all $z, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, it has $\mathcal{E}(z, y, \mu, B) + \frac{\mu}{2}\|z - y\|^2 \geq 0$.

{lemma:st-iterates-alt-form-part1} Note that, if the function is convex, all conditions are satisfied for $\mu = 0$, and for all $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.2 (equivalent representations of the iterates part I) Suppose that the sequences of $\alpha_k, q_k, y_k, v_k, x_k, B_k$ satisfy the similar triangle form, then for all $k \geq 0$ the iterates v_k admits the following equivalent representations:

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &= x_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &= v_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) - \alpha_k^{-1}B_k^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider all $k \geq 1$. The relations is direct, immediately from the update rule in Definition 2.1 of y_k we have

- (a) $(\alpha_k - 1)x_{k-1} = (\alpha_k - q_k)v_{k-1} - (1 - q_k)y_k$.
- (b) $x_k = y_k - B_k^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)$.

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &= x_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &= (1 - \alpha_k^{-1})x_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}x_k \\ &= \alpha_k^{-1}(\alpha_k - 1)x_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}x_k \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{=} \alpha_k^{-1}(\alpha_k - q_k)v_{k-1} - \alpha_k^{-1}(1 - q_k)y_k + \alpha_k^{-1}x_k \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{=} (1 - \alpha_k^{-1}q_k)v_{k-1} - (\alpha_k^{-1} - \alpha_k^{-1}q_k)y_k + \alpha_k^{-1}(y_k - B_k^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)). \\ &= (1 - \alpha_k^{-1}q_k)v_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}q_ky_k - \alpha_k^{-1}B_k^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \\ &= v_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1}q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) - \alpha_k^{-1}B_k^{-1}\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k). \end{aligned}$$

■

{lemma:st-iterates-alt-form-part2}

Lemma 3.3 (equivalent representations of the iterates part II)

Suppose the sequences of $\alpha_k, q_k, y_k, v_k, x_k, B_k$ satisfy the similar triangle form, then for all $k \geq 0$ the iterates v_k admits the following equivalent representations:

$$\begin{aligned} y_k &= x_{k-1} + (1 - q_k)^{-1}(\alpha_{k-1}^{-1} - 1)(\alpha_k - q_k)(x_{k-1} - x_{k-2}) \\ &= \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{1 - q_k} \right) v_{k-1} + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) x_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For all $k \geq 1$, from the update rules in Definition 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - q_k)^{-1} y_k &= (\alpha_k - q_k) v_{k-1} + (1 - \alpha_k) x_{k-1} \\ &= (\alpha_k - q_k) (x_{k-2} + \alpha_{k-1}^{-1} (x_{k-1} - x_{k-2})) + (1 - \alpha_k) x_{k-1} \\ &= (\alpha_k - q_k) x_{k-2} + \alpha_{k-1}^{-1} (x_{k-1} - x_{k-2}) + (1 - \alpha_k) x_{k-1} \\ &= (\alpha_k - q_k) (1 - \alpha_{k-1}^{-1}) x_{k-2} + \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{\alpha_{k-1}} + 1 - \alpha_k \right) x_{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply $(1 - q_k)$ on both sides yield:

$$\begin{aligned} y_k &= \frac{(\alpha_k - q_k)(1 - \alpha_{k-1}^{-1})}{1 - q_k} x_{k-2} + \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{\alpha_{k-1}(1 - q_k)} + \frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) x_{k-1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha_k - q_k)(1 - \alpha_{k-1}^{-1})}{1 - q_k} x_{k-2} + \left(\frac{(\alpha_{k-1}^{-1} - 1)(\alpha_k - q_k) + \alpha_k - q_k + 1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) x_{k-1} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha_k - q_k)(1 - \alpha_{k-1}^{-1})}{1 - q_k} x_{k-2} + \left(\frac{(\alpha_{k-1}^{-1} - 1)(\alpha_k - q_k)g}{1 - q_k} + 1 \right) x_{k-1} \\ &= x_{k-1} + (1 - q_k)^{-1} (\alpha_{k-1}^{-1} - 1) (\alpha_k - q_k) (x_{k-1} - x_{k-2}). \end{aligned}$$

■

3.1 Preparations for the convergence rate proof

The following lemma summarize important results that give a swift exposition for the proofs show up at the end for the convergence rate.

{lemma:cnvg-prep-part1}

Lemma 3.4 (convergence preparations part I) Let $(F, f, g, \mathcal{E}, \mu, L)$ satisfies Assumption 3.1. Suppose that

- (i) The sequence $(y_k, v_k, x_k)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies Definition 2.1 where T_B is defined on $F = f + g$.
- (ii) The sequences $(\alpha_k)_{k \geq 0}, (\rho_k)_{k \geq 0}, (q_k)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies the definition of relaxed momentum sequence.

(iii) We choose the parameters q_k has $q_k = \mu/B_k$, with $B_k > \mu$, for all $k \geq 0$.

Then, for all $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k(1 - \alpha_k)\rho_{k-1}\alpha_{k-1}^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k \mu}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\| + \frac{q_k}{2\mu} \|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 \\ & \quad - \langle q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}), \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \alpha_k \mu \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}, y_k - v_{k-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider any $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 \\ & \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \left\| \bar{x} - (v_{k-1} + \alpha_k^{-1} q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) - \alpha_k^{-1} B_k^{-1} \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)) \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \left\| (\bar{x} - v_{k-1}) - \alpha_k^{-1} (q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) - B_k^{-1} \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)) \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{B_k}{2} \|q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) - B_k^{-1} \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 \\ & \quad - \alpha_k B_k \langle \bar{x} - v_{k-1}, q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) - B_k^{-1} \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle \\ &= \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{B_k q_k^2}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\| + \frac{1}{2B_k} \|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 - q_k \langle y_k - v_{k-1}, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle \\ & \quad + B_k \alpha_k \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}, q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) - B_k^{-1} \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle \\ &= \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{B_k q_k^2}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\| + \frac{1}{2B_k} \|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 - q_k \langle y_k - v_{k-1}, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle \\ & \quad + B_k \alpha_k \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}, q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) \rangle - \alpha_k \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\alpha_k^2 B_k}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k^2 B_k}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\| + \frac{1}{2B_k} \|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 \\ & \quad - \langle q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}), \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle \\ & \quad + \alpha_k q_k B_k \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}, y_k - v_{k-1} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

At (1) we used Lemma 3.2. Subtracting $-\frac{B_k(1-\alpha_k)\rho_{k-1}\alpha_{k-1}^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2$ from both sides, the coefficient for $\|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2$ comes out to be:

$$\frac{\alpha_k^2 B_k}{2} - \frac{B_k(1 - \alpha_k)\rho_{k-1}\alpha_{k-1}^2}{2} = \frac{B_k}{2} (\alpha_k^2 + (1 - \alpha_k)\rho_{k-1}\alpha_{k-1}^2) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{B_k \alpha_k q_k}{2}.$$

At (1), we used the relation $(1 - \alpha_k)\rho_{k-1}\alpha_{k-1}^2 = \alpha_k(\alpha_k - q_k)$ as in Definition 2.2, for all $k \geq 1$. Therefore, we have the equality:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{B_k\alpha_k^2}{2}\|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k(1 - \alpha_k)\rho_{k-1}\alpha_{k-1}^2}{2}\|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&= \frac{\alpha_k q_k B_k}{2}\|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k^2 B_k}{2}\|y_k - v_{k-1}\| + \frac{1}{2B_k}\|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 \\
&\quad - \langle q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}), \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle \\
&\quad + \alpha_k q_k B_k \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}, y_k - v_{k-1} \rangle. \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2}\|\bar{x} - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k \mu}{2}\|y_k - v_{k-1}\| + \frac{q_k}{2\mu}\|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 \\
&\quad - \langle q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}), \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \alpha_k \mu \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}, y_k - v_{k-1} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

{lemma:cnvg-prep-part2} At (1), we used the relation that $B_k = \mu/q_k$, for all $k \geq 0$. ■

Lemma 3.5 (convergence preparations part II) *The iterates $(y_k, x_k, v_k)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies Definition 2.1 then, for all $k \geq 0, \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the following identities:*

$$\alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}) + q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + x_{k-1} - y_k = \alpha_k(x_{k-1} - \bar{x}).$$

Proof. We first establish two intermediate results. From Definition 2.1, it has for all $k \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
y_k &= \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{1 - q_k} \right) v_{k-1} + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) x_{k-1} \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) v_{k-1} + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) x_{k-1} \\
\iff y_k - v_{k-1} &= \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) (x_{k-1} - v_{k-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
y_k &= \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{1 - q_k} \right) v_{k-1} + \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) x_{k-1} \\
&= \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{1 - q_k} \right) v_{k-1} + \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{1 - q_k} \right) x_{k-1} \\
\iff y_k - x_{k-1} &= \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{1 - q_k} \right) (v_{k-1} - x_{k-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use the above two results and it derives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}) + q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + x_{k-1} - y_k \\
&= \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}) + q_k \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_k}{1 - q_k} \right) (x_{k-1} - v_{k-1}) - \left(\frac{\alpha_k - q_k}{1 - q_k} \right) (v_{k-1} - x_{k-1}). \\
&= \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}) + (1 - q_k)^{-1} (q_k - q_k \alpha_k + (\alpha_k - q_k)) (x_{k-1} - v_{k-1}) \\
&= \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}) + \alpha_k(x_{k-1} - v_{k-1}) \\
&= \alpha_k(x_{k-1} - \bar{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

■

{lemma:cnvg-prep-part3} **Lemma 3.6 (convergence preparations part III)**
Suppose that all of the following are satisfied

- (i) $(F, f, g, \mathcal{E}, \mu, L)$ satisfies Assumption 3.1.
- (ii) The sequences $(\alpha_k)_{k \geq 0}, (\rho_k)_{k \geq 0}, (q_k)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies Definition 2.2.
- (iii) We choose $(q_k)_{k \geq 0}$ is given by $q_k = \mu/B_k$ for all $k \geq 0$.
- (iv) The sequence $(y_k, v_k, x_k)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies Definition 2.1.

Then, $\forall k \geq 1$, there exists $\bar{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
& F(x_k) - F(\bar{x}_k) + \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x} - v_k\|^2 \\
& \leq (1 - \alpha_k)(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x}_k)) + \frac{B_k(1 - \alpha_k)\rho_{k-1}\alpha_{k-1}^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall Definition 2.3, consider:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
&= F(x_{k-1}) - F(x_k) - \langle B_k(y_k - z_k), x_{k-1} - y_k \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k}{2} \|y_k - x_k\|^2 \\
&= F(x_{k-1}) - F(x_k) - \langle \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k), x_{k-1} - y_k \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - \frac{q_k}{2\mu} \|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

On the second equality above, we used $B_k^{-1}(y_k - x_k) = \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)$, and $B_k = \mu/q_k$. For all $k \geq 0$, we define Ξ_k and simplify using the above result:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi_k &:= \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) + F(x_k) - F(\bar{x}_k) - (1 - \alpha_k)(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x}_k)) \\
&= \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) + F(x_k) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - (1 - \alpha_k)F(x_{k-1}) \\
&= \alpha_k F(x_{k-1}) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - \langle \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k), x_{k-1} - y_k \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - \frac{q_k}{2\mu} \|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the new term Ξ'_k which we defined and simplify below:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Xi'_k &:= \Xi_k + \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k(1 - \alpha_k) \rho_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{=} \alpha_k F(x_{k-1}) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - \langle \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k), x_{k-1} - y_k \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - \frac{q_k}{2\mu} \|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k \mu}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k}{2\mu} \|\mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k)\|^2 \\
&\quad - \langle q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k), \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \alpha_k \mu \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, y_k - v_{k-1} \rangle \\
&= \alpha_k F(x_{k-1}) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - \langle \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k), x_{k-1} - y_k \rangle - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k \mu}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - \langle q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k), \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \alpha_k \mu \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, y_k - v_{k-1} \rangle \\
&= \alpha_k F(x_{k-1}) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k \mu}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - \langle x_{k-1} - y_k + q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) + \alpha_k(v_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k), \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle \\
&\quad + \alpha_k \mu \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) \rangle \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{=} \alpha_k F(x_{k-1}) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{q_k \mu}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&\quad - \alpha_k \langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \alpha_k \mu \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) \rangle \\
&= \alpha_k F(x_{k-1}) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 + \alpha_k \mu \langle v_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, q_k(y_k - v_{k-1}) \rangle \\
&\quad - \alpha_k \langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \frac{q_k \mu - \mu \alpha_k}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&= \alpha_k F(x_{k-1}) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|y_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - \alpha_k \langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \frac{q_k \mu - \mu \alpha_k}{2} \|y_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{\leq} \alpha_k F(x_{k-1}) - \alpha_k F(\bar{x}_k) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|y_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - \alpha_k \langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle. \\
&= \alpha_k \left(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x}_k) - \langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 \right) - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

At (1), we used Lemma 3.6, and substituted Ξ_k . At (2), we used Lemma 3.5 to simplify the inner product. At (3), we used the $\alpha_k > q_k$ as in Definition 2.2, hence it makes the coefficient $q_k \mu - \mu \alpha_k \leq 0$, which gives us the inequality. Now, subtracting $\mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k)$

from both sides of the inequality will yield:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi'_k - \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& \leq \alpha_k \left(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x}_k) - \langle x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k, \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k) \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 - \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_k) \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& \stackrel{(1)}{=} \alpha_k (F(x_k) - F(\bar{x}_k) - \langle \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k), x_{k-1} - \bar{x}_k - (x_{k-1} - y_k) \rangle) \\
& \quad + \alpha_k \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|y_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 + \frac{B_k}{2} \|y_k - x_k\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_k) \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& = \alpha_k \left(F(x_k) - F(\bar{x}_k) - \langle \mathcal{G}_{B_k}(y_k), y_k - \bar{x}_k \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} \|y_k - \bar{x}_k\|^2 + \frac{B_k}{2} \|y_k - x_k\|^2 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_k) \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& = \alpha_k \left(-\mathcal{E}(\bar{x}_k, y_k, \mu, B_k) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 \right) \\
& \quad - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_k) \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} \frac{\alpha_k \mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 - (1 - \alpha_k) \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& = -(1 - \alpha_k) \left(\mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k-1} - y_k\|^2 \right) \\
& \stackrel{(3)}{\leq} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

At (1), we substituted $\mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k)$. At (2), we used the $\mathcal{E}(\bar{x}_k, y_k, \mu, B_k) \leq 0$ by choosing $\bar{x}_k = \bar{y}$ in Assumption 3.1 (iii) to make the inequality. At (3), we used Assumption 3.1 (iv). At this point, we had proved what we wanted because using the definitions of Ξ_k, Ξ'_k it has:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Xi'_k - \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& = \Xi_k + \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k(1 - \alpha_k) \rho_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 - \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& = \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) + F(x_k) - F(\bar{x}_k) - (1 - \alpha_k)(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x}_k)) \\
& \quad + \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k(1 - \alpha_k) \rho_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 - \mathcal{E}(x_{k-1}, y_k, \mu, B_k) \\
& = F(x_k) - F(\bar{x}_k) - (1 - \alpha_k)(F(x_{k-1}) - F(\bar{x}_k)) \\
& \quad + \frac{B_k \alpha_k^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_k\|^2 - \frac{B_k(1 - \alpha_k) \rho_{k-1} \alpha_{k-1}^2}{2} \|\bar{x}_k - v_{k-1}\|^2 \\
& \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

■

3.2 Proving the convergence rate

To finally find the convergence rate, we will strengthen Assumption [3.1](#).

Assumption 3.7 (Assumptions for linear convergence rate)

Assumption 3.8 (Assumption for sublinear convergence rate)

References

- [1] H. H. BAUSCHKE AND P. L. COMBETTES, *Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017.