# Proximal Gradient: Convergence, Implementations and Applications

Hongda Li

**UBC** Okanagan

November 23, 2022

## ToC

- Introduction and Prximal Operators
  - Taxonomy of Proximal type of Methods
  - The Proximal Operator
  - Strong Smoothness
  - A Major Assumption
- 2 Envelope and Prox 2 Points
- References

#### Sum of 2 Functions

$$\min_{x} g(x) + h(x) \tag{1}$$

Through out the presentation we assume that the objective of some kind of function f can be interpreted as the sum of 2 functions. The paper we will be focusing on: FISTA (Fast Iterative-Shrinkage Algorithm) by Beck and Teboulle.

- 1. When  $h = \delta_Q$  with Q closed and convex with  $Q \subseteq \text{ri} \circ \text{dom}(h)$ , we use projected subgradient.
- 2. When *g* is **strongly smooth** and *h* is **closed convex proper** whose proximal oracle is easy to compute, we consider the use of FISTA.
- 3. BIG Numerical Experiments!

#### Stuff to Go Over

#### What is FISTA

Simply speaking, the FISTA algorithm is the non-smooth analogy of gradient descend with Nesterov Momentum.

We will be going over these things in the presentations.

- 1. Derive the proximal gradient operator under standard convexity and regularity assumptions for the function g, h.
- 2. State one important lemma that arised during the proof for the proximal gradient method that is later useful for the proof for the FISTA.
- Derive the FISTA algorithm's convergence rate and construct the sequence of the Nesterov Momentum during the proof using a template algorithm.

# Proximal Operator Definition

#### Definition

The Proximal Operator Let f be convex closed and proper, then the proximal operator paramaterized by  $\alpha > 0$  is a non-expansive mapping defined as:

$$\operatorname{prox}_{f, \alpha}(x) := \arg \min_{y} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} \|y - x\|^2 \right\}.$$

# Prox is the Resolvant of Subgradient

# Lemma (Resolvant of the Subgradient)

When the function f is convex closed and proper, the  $\operatorname{prox}_{\alpha,f}$  can be viewed as the following operator  $(I + \alpha \partial f)^{-1}$ .

#### Proof.

$$\mathbf{0} \in \partial \left[ f(y) + \frac{1}{2\alpha} ||y - x||^2 \middle| y \right] (y^+)$$

$$\mathbf{0} \in \partial f(y^+) + \frac{1}{\alpha} (y^+ - x)$$

$$\frac{x}{\alpha} \in (\partial f + \alpha^{-1} I)(y^+)$$

$$x \in (\alpha \partial f + I)(y^+)$$

$$y \in (\alpha \partial f + I)^{-1}(x).$$



# An Example of Prox

## Definition (Soft Thresholding)

For some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the proximal operator of it's absolute value is given as:

$$\operatorname{prox}_{\lambda\|\cdot\|_1,t}(x) = \operatorname{sign}(x) \max(|x| - t\lambda, 0).$$

One could interpret the sign operator as projecting x onto the interval [-1,1] and the  $\max(|x|-t\lambda,0)$  as the distance of the point x to the interval  $[-t\lambda,t\lambda]$ .

# Strong Smoothness

## Definition (Strong Smoothness)

A differentiable function g is called strongly smooth with a constant  $\alpha$  then it satisfies:

$$|g(y) - g(x) - \langle \nabla g(x), y - x \rangle| \le \frac{\alpha}{2} ||x - y||^2 \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}.$$
 (2)

#### Remark

The absolute value sign can be removed and replaced with  $0 \le$  on the left when the function g is a convex function.

# Equivalence of Strong Smoothness and Lipschitz Gradient

# Theorem (Lipschitz Gradient Equivalence under Convexity)

Suppose g is differentiable on the entire of  $\mathbb E$ . It is closed convex proper. It is strongly smooth with parameter  $\alpha$  if and only if the gradient  $\nabla g$  is globally Lipschitz continuous with a parameter of  $\alpha$  and g is closed and convex.

$$\|\nabla g(x) - \nabla g(y)\| \le \alpha \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{E}$$

# A Major Assumption

# Assumption (Convex Smooth Nonsmooth with Bounded Minimizers)

We will assume that  $g: \mathbb{E} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is **strongly smooth** with constant  $L_g$  and  $h: \mathbb{E} \mapsto \bar{\mathbb{R}}$  is **closed convex and proper**. We define f:=g+h to be the summed function and  $ri \circ dom(g) \cap ri \circ dom(h) \neq \emptyset$ . We also assume that a set of minimizers exists for the function f and that the set is bounded. Denote the minimizer using  $\bar{x}$ .

# **Envelope and Upper Bounding Functions**

#### **Upper Bounding Function**

With assumption 1, we construct an upper bounding functions at the point x evaluated at y for the function f and it's given by:

$$g(x) + \nabla g(x)^T (y-x) + \frac{\beta}{2} ||y-x||^2 + h(y) =: m_x(y|\beta) \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{E},$$

## Minimizers wrt to y

# References