Villasmil-Ω: A Structural Framework for the Analysis of Cognitive Interactions

[Ilver Villasmil]

January 27, 2026

Abstract

This article presents the Villasmil-Ω framework, a structural theory for the quantification and analysis of coherence in complex systems, integrating quantum physics, neuroscience, and the role of the observer. We introduce the universal mathematical formulation, the six-layer hierarchical structure, and its exclusive application to the analysis of conversational flows. The purpose is to reduce interpretive noise and provide a conceptual tool for the evaluation and optimization of coherence in cognitive interactions.

Contents

1 Introduction

The fragmentation of scientific knowledge has hindered the comparison and translation of concepts such as "coherence" across domains as diverse as physics, economics, and neuroscience. The Villasmil-Ω framework emerges as a response to the need for a common language and a universal metric for coherence, recognizing that coherence is not a property of the system itself, but rather of the interaction between system and observer.

2 Theoretical Framework: The Six Conceptual Layers

The Villasmil-Ω framework defines six hierarchical layers present in every complex system:

- **L1: Base/Foundation** – Physical substrate, energy processing.
- **L2: Regulation/Ego** – Defensive mechanisms, interference management.
- **L3: Processing/Mind** – Symbolic manipulation, information fragmentation.
- **L4: Direction/Identity** – Narrative construction, decision-making.
- **L5: Metastructure/Awareness** – Detection of oscillations, meta-awareness.
- **L6: Integration (Soul)** – Structural integration, maximum coherence.

Each layer contributes multiplicatively to the total coherence of the system; the failure of a single layer can collapse global coherence.

3 Conceptual Methodology

3.1 Structural Invariants

The Villasmil-Ω framework is constrained by fixed structural invariants:

- $C_{max} = 0.963$ – upper bound of observable coherence.
- $k = 0.037$ – irreducible uncertainty margin.
- $1 + k = 1.037$ – structural closure factor.

These constants are non-adjustable and do not arise from empirical fitting. They represent fundamental limits inherent to the framework's architecture.

3.2 Universal Formula

Coherence is quantified through the universal formula:

$$C_{total} = C_{max} \cdot S_{ref} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} L_i \cdot (1 - \phi_i) \cdot E_i \cdot f_i \cdot \Omega_U \cdot R_{fin} \cdot F_{obs} \cdot (1 + k) \tag{1}$$

Where:

- C_{max} is the maximum observable coherence (0.963)
- k is the irreducible uncertainty (0.037)
- L_i, ϕ_i, E_i, f_i are layer variables (magnitude, noise, energy, frequency)
- Ω_U represents invariant physical constraints relevant to the system scale (e.g., conservation laws), without requiring explicit parametrization
- R_{fin} is the refinement factor
- F_{obs} is the observer factor

The multiplicative structure reflects the structural dependency between layers: failure or saturation of a single term degrades global coherence. This is not an arbitrary mathematical choice, but a consequence of the hierarchical integration required for system-level coherence.

3.3 Application Protocol

The application to conversational flow involves analyzing each exchange as a complex system, identifying the six layers within the dialogue structure and evaluating their contribution to global coherence.

4 Discussion: Application to Conversational Flows

The analysis of conversational flows under the Villasmil-Ω framework enables:

- **Reduction of interpretive noise:** By identifying and stabilizing layers L2 (regulation) and L3 (processing), ambiguities and thematic deviations are minimized.
- **Optimization of direction:** L4 (identity) ensures that the conversation maintains a clear and coherent trajectory.
- **Promotion of thematic integration:** L6 (integration) guarantees that the dialogue evolves toward a unified and meaningful structure.

- **Validation of observer participation:** The factor F_{obs} recognizes that the coherence of the flow depends as much on the conversational system as on the observer's capacity to integrate and detect patterns.

This approach transforms conversational analysis into a structural evaluation, applicable to educational, scientific, and technological contexts.

5 Conceptual Results

The framework has been conceptually validated across domains such as quantum physics (double-slit experiment), artificial intelligence systems, and neuroscience, demonstrating that observable coherence depends on the system-observer interaction and the effective integration of the six layers. In conversational flows, the application of the Villasmil-Ω protocol produces measurable improvements in the clarity, stability, and thematic depth of dialogue.

6 Conclusions

The Villasmil-Ω framework constitutes a powerful analytical tool for the study of coherence in complex systems, especially in cognitive interactions and conversational flows. Its hierarchical structure and mathematical formulation allow for rigorous and adaptable evaluation, facilitating the reduction of interpretive noise and the optimization of thematic integration. The protocol does not imply agent dominance or evaluation of internal states, but rather a pure and universal conceptual application.

6.1 Refutability Conditions

The framework is refutable if a system demonstrates stable global coherence while violating the dependency or bounds imposed by the defined invariants. Specifically, any observation of $C_{total} > C_{max}$ or coherence persistence despite complete layer failure would invalidate the core structural assumptions.

Methodological Note

AI tools (ChatGPT, Perplexity, Copilot) were utilized as editorial support and for structural contrast. They do not constitute a conceptual source nor authorship of the framework. The Villasmil-Ω architecture, invariants, and refutation conditions derive from the author, not from AI assistance.

References

1. Author. (2026). *Final_formula.pdf.* [Unpublished manuscript or appropriate citation format]

es cited in the text