

SuayLang Research Plan (v0.2)

Build: `make research-pdf` regenerates [docs/RESEARCH_PLAN.pdf](RESEARCH_PLAN.pdf).

Problem Statement

Many small languages ship a reference interpreter and a faster backend (VM/bytecode), but the two often diverge in subtle ways (semantics, diagnostics, determinism). SuayLang's goal is to make control flow explicit *and* make backend equivalence and diagnostic stability measurable and reproducible.

Research Questions

- 1) Can an expression-oriented language with explicit control-flow operators (`dispatch`, `cycle`) be specified and tested with a small, committee-reviewable contract?
- 2) Can we provide strong, reproducible evidence that two implementations (interpreter vs. bytecode VM) are observationally equivalent over a stated v1 scope?

Hypothesis (falsifiable)

Within the v1 scope, the interpreter and the VM are observationally equivalent under a fixed observation policy (termination class, normalized stdout, returned value when comparable, and error kind+span).

Method (how we will test it)

- Semantic contract + golden diagnostics**: a small v1 contract document plus a suite of valid/invalid programs with golden snapshots for error kind/span/message shape.
- Differential testing (interpreter vs. VM)**: deterministic generator by seed, multi-seed runs, size buckets (S/M/L), timeouts.
- Comparator + normalization**: normalize paths and whitespace; compare outputs exactly after normalization; compare errors by kind + span (and stable formatting).
- Minimization**: when a divergence is found, shrink the program and store a minimized regression case with metadata.
- Coverage reporting**: record feature coverage (AST nodes and/or opcode families) to show exploration breadth.
- Benchmarks**: measure parse/compile/interp/VM times with warmups and repeats; report median and p90 with raw samples.

Metrics & Success Criteria

All metrics are produced by repository commands and saved under `results/`.

Equivalence (primary)

CI mode: seeds 0 . . 9, N=500/seed \Rightarrow **divergences = 0**.

Full mode: seeds 0 . . 99, N=2000/seed \Rightarrow **divergences = 0**.

Diagnostics stability (primary)

- For the invalid-program contract corpus: **100% match** on error kind + span, and stable message prefix/shape.

****Coverage (supporting)****

- Coverage report includes counts by feature class (dispatch/cycle/functions/collections/errors). Success criterion: no major feature class is zero-covered in CI mode.

****Benchmarks (supporting; not a correctness proof)****

- Report median and p90 for parse, compile-to-bytecode, interpreter runtime, and VM runtime with ≥ 20 repeats + warmup. Success: the benchmark runner emits raw samples and environment metadata.

Experimental Protocol (repeatability)

- Runs are deterministic by **seed** and generator configuration.

- Profiles:

- **CI**: fast gate (seeds 0..9, smaller N).

- **Full**: long local run (seeds 0..99, larger N).

- Every run captures:

- Python version, OS, CPU info (best-effort), and git commit hash.

- Per-seed breakdown and size-bucket breakdown.

- Artifacts:

- `results/diff_report.json + results/diff_report.md`

- `results/coverage.json + results/coverage.md`

- `results/bench_raw.json + results/benchmarks.md`

Threats to Validity

- **Generator bias**: random generation may over/under-sample important features.

- **Observation policy limitations**: “equivalence” is with respect to the chosen observable outcomes.

- **Timeouts**: timeouts may hide non-termination differences.

- **Host effects**: Python runtime and OS scheduling noise affects benchmark timing.

- **Scope gaps**: behavior outside the declared v1 subset is intentionally unspecified.

Expected Results + What Would Falsify the Hypothesis

****Expected:**** no divergences within v1 scope under CI and full differential testing profiles; stable diagnostics for the contract corpus.

****Falsifiers:****

- Any reproducible divergence in stdout/value/error kind+span for the same program input between interpreter and VM.

- Any change that breaks golden diagnostic snapshots for the v1 contract invalid-program corpus (without an explicit, documented version bump).

Timeline (milestones)

- 1) Finalize v1 contract and golden diagnostics corpus.
- 2) Scale differential testing to multi-seed CI + full profiles; enable minimization.
- 3) Add feature coverage reporting (AST/opcode) and publish reports to `results/`.
- 4) Add benchmark suite + runner with raw samples and noise controls.
- 5) Tooling polish: one-command install + `suay` CLI closed loop.

References

- Plotkin (1981), *A Structural Approach to Operational Semantics*.
- Kahn (1987), *Natural Semantics*.
- McKeeman (1998), *Differential Testing for Software*.
- Claessen & Hughes (2000), *QuickCheck*.
- Yang et al. (2011), *Finding and Understanding Bugs in C Compilers*.
- Le et al. (2014), *Compiler Validation via Equivalence Modulo Inputs*.
- Maranget (2008), *Compiling Pattern Matching to Good Decision Trees*.