

선 택 형

주제

본문 1

《BRINGING NEW LIFE TO OLD CITIES》

As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and lifeless, which may cause citizens to move away. When this happens, a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way to revitalize the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like.

1. 다음 글의 주제로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① methods for initiating urban population decline
- ② collaboration between government and citizens in urban revitalization
- ③ architectural innovations in modern city planning
- ④ economic benefits of renovating old neighborhoods
- ⑤ challenges new cities are facing worldwide

2. 다음 글의 주제로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① importance of private partnerships in city development
- ② demographic challenges facing modern metropolitan areas
- ③ effective approaches to revitalizing aging urban neighborhoods
- ④ architectural preservation methods in historical city districts
- ⑤ preventing urban decay through early intervention strategies

3. 다음 글의 주제로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① urban planning strategies for excess population
- ② failure prevention techniques in municipal projects
- ③ case study analysis methods in urban development research
- ④ government-citizen cooperation in neighborhood renewal
- ⑤ international comparison of environment revitalization programs

4. 다음 글의 주제로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① historical preservation challenges in European cities
- ② economic impacts of urban migration patterns
- ③ architectural design principles for modern neighborhoods
- ④ successful models of urban area revitalization through partnership
- ⑤ solutions for excess population in metropolitan regions

5. 다음 글의 주제로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① urban development funding mechanisms in Europe
- ② community involvement strategies in city planning processes
- ③ technological innovations in modern urban infrastructure
- ④ environmental sustainability in urban renewal projects
- ⑤ collaborative approaches to aging neighborhood transformation

제목

본문 1

《BRINGING NEW LIFE TO OLD CITIES》

As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and lifeless, which may cause citizens to move away. When this happens, a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way to revitalize the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like.

26. 다음 글의 제목으로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Why Urban Planning Fails: A Lesson from the Netherlands
- ② Government vs. Citizens: The Battle for Urban Control
- ③ Bringing New Life to Old Cities: The Power of Collaboration
- ④ The Hidden Cost of Urban Collapse
- ⑤ Innovation Dies in the Dutch Bureaucracy

27. 다음 글의 제목으로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Why European Cities Are Slowly Disappearing
- ② Urban Revitalization: When Government and Citizens Unite
- ③ How Modern Design Breaks Old Neighborhoods Apart
- ④ Population Crisis: Why Cities Are Becoming Ghost Towns
- ⑤ The Dutch Dream That Never Came True

28. 다음 글의 제목으로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Aging Cities: The Crisis No One Talks About
- ② Budget Constraints: Why Urban Development Always Fails
- ③ Historical Preservation vs. Modern Development Needs
- ④ Can We Protect History While Moving Forward?
- ⑤ What Went Wrong with Dutch City Planning

29. 다음 글의 제목으로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Economic Disasters in Modern European City Planning
- ② The Failure of Government-Led Urban Initiatives
- ③ Saving Cities with Tradition: A Backward View?
- ④ How Two Dutch Projects Revolutionized Urban Development
- ⑤ Why European Cities Are Shrinking and Failing

30. 다음 글의 제목으로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Government Policies: The Root of Urban Problems
- ② Why Involving Citizens Slows Down Development
- ③ Old Methods Can't Save New Cities
- ④ Budget Limitations: The End of Urban Innovation
- ⑤ Partnership Power: Transforming Lifeless Neighborhoods

함축의미

본문 1

《BRINGING NEW LIFE TO OLD CITIES》

As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and lifeless, which may cause citizens to move away. When this happens, a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way to revitalize the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like.

51. 다음 글에서 밑줄 친 neighborhoods can become old and lifeless 부분이 함축하는 의미로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Government funding for infrastructure improvements decreases
- ② Residents prefer traditional architecture over modern designs
- ③ Local businesses struggle with increased competition
- ④ Transportation systems become outdated and inefficient
- ⑤ Communities lose their energy and vitality over time

52. 다음 글에서 밑줄 친 a collaboration between the local government and citizens 부분이 함축하는 의미로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Government control over citizen-led development initiatives
- ② Joint efforts combining official resources with community participation
- ③ Competition between public and private sector interests
- ④ Legal requirements for community consultation in planning
- ⑤ Financial partnerships reducing government development costs

53. 다음 글에서 밑줄 친 effective way to revitalize the area 부분이 함축하는 의미로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Expensive renovation projects requiring significant investment
- ② Modern technology applications in urban development
- ③ Government policies that increase property values rapidly
- ④ Successful methods that restore life and energy to communities
- ⑤ International standards for sustainable city planning

54. 다음 글에서 밑줄 친 successful urban revitalization 부분이 함축하는 의미로 가장 적절한 것은?

- ① Cost-effective development projects with quick returns
- ② Architectural preservation maintaining historical character
- ③ Transformative renewal that brings communities back to life
- ④ Population growth through improved economic opportunities
- ⑤ Environmental sustainability in modern city planning

내용일치

본문 1

《BRINGING NEW LIFE TO OLD CITIES》

As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and lifeless, which may cause citizens to move away. When this happens, a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way to revitalize the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like.

74. 다음 글의 내용과 일치하는 것은?

- ① Urban revitalization projects require only government funding to succeed.
- ② Collaboration between local government and citizens is effective for revitalizing old neighborhoods.
- ③ The Netherlands has only one successful urban revitalization project.
- ④ Citizens typically move away from cities regardless of neighborhood conditions.
- ⑤ Old neighborhoods never recover from decline once they become lifeless.

75. 다음 글의 내용과 일치하지 않는 것은?

- ① Aging neighborhoods can cause citizens to move away from cities.
- ② The Netherlands has examples of successful urban revitalization projects.
- ③ Government-citizen collaboration is mentioned as an effective revitalization method.
- ④ All urban revitalization projects in the Netherlands follow the same pattern.
- ⑤ Two specific projects in the Netherlands will be examined as examples.

76. According to the passage, which of the following is NOT mentioned?

- ① Cities can become old and lifeless as they age.
- ② Citizens may leave when neighborhoods deteriorate.
- ③ The Dutch government provides unlimited funding for all revitalization projects.
- ④ Collaboration is an effective approach to area revitalization.
- ⑤ Two Netherlands projects will be examined as examples.

77. 다음 글의 내용과 일치하는 것은?

- ① Urban revitalization always fails without proper government intervention.
- ② The passage will examine revitalization projects from multiple countries.
- ③ Old neighborhoods cannot be transformed into desirable living areas.
- ④ Citizens never participate in urban revitalization efforts.
- ⑤ Two specific projects in the Netherlands will serve as case studies.

78. 다음 글의 내용과 일치하지 않는 것은?

- ① Neighborhoods can become old and lifeless over time.
- ② Government-citizen collaboration can be effective for revitalization.
- ③ The passage focuses on projects specifically in the Netherlands.
- ④ Urban revitalization is impossible once neighborhoods start declining.
- ⑤ Citizens moving away can be a consequence of neighborhood deterioration.

빈칸

99. 다음 글의 빈칸에 들어갈 말로 가장 적절한 것은?

As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and lifeless, which may cause citizens to move away. When this happens, _____ is an effective way to revitalize the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like.

- ① individual government action without public input
- ② collaboration between the local government and citizens
- ③ complete privatization of urban development projects
- ④ foreign investment in residential construction
- ⑤ demolition of all existing structures and starting fresh

100. 다음 글의 빈칸에 들어갈 말로 가장 적절한 것은?

Rotterdam, the second largest city in the Netherlands, is known for its modern architecture and busy port. By taking a ten-minute tram ride west from the city center, however, you can get to a more peaceful environment. Instead of crowded streets, you will see neighbors chatting in front of a modern residential structure and families spending time in its garden. The structure is a large apartment building called Wallisblok. Not that long ago, this area was very different, and _____. Yet that is exactly what happened.

- ① everyone expected it to become a family-friendly neighborhood
- ② the government had unlimited resources for urban development
- ③ nobody thought it could become a place where families would want to live
- ④ residents always considered it the safest area in Rotterdam
- ⑤ urban planners immediately recognized its potential for revitalization

101. 다음 글의 빈칸에 들어갈 말로 가장 적절한 것은?

At first, the local government thought that the best solution was simply to tear the building down and build a new one in its place. However, having a limited budget, the government was unable to do so and had to come up with a new plan. The plan was to sell the empty apartments for one euro each. The only condition was that people who bought these apartments needed to renovate them. Although the new residents would have to pay for these renovations, the government's plan soon attracted many applicants. Apparently, it was not just the idea of one-euro apartments that people found attractive. _____.

- ① They were also excited by the opportunity to use their creativity to improve the building
- ② They wanted to live in the most expensive neighborhood in Rotterdam
- ③ They preferred buildings that required no maintenance or renovation work
- ④ They were only interested in making quick profits from property resales
- ⑤ They expected the government to provide all renovation materials for free

요약문

104. 다음 글의 내용을 한 문장으로 요약하고자 한다.
빈칸 (A), (B)에 들어갈 말로 가장 적절한 것은?

As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and lifeless, which may cause citizens to move away. When this happens, a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way to revitalize the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like.

As urban neighborhoods (A)_____, effective revitalization can be achieved through (B)_____ between local government and citizens, as demonstrated by successful projects in the Netherlands.

- | (A) | / | (B) |
|---------------|---|---------------|
| ① deteriorate | / | collaboration |
| ② improve | / | competition |
| ③ expand | / | separation |
| ④ modernize | / | conflict |
| ⑤ disappear | / | independence |

105. 다음 글의 내용을 한 문장으로 요약하고자 한다.
빈칸 (A), (B)에 들어갈 말로 가장 적절한 것은?

Rotterdam, the second largest city in the Netherlands, is known for its modern architecture and busy port. By taking a ten-minute tram ride west from the city center, however, you can get to a more peaceful environment. Instead of crowded streets, you will see neighbors chatting in front of a modern residential structure and families spending time in its garden. The structure is a large apartment building called Wallisblok. Not that long ago, this area was very different, and nobody thought it could become a place where families would want to live. Yet that is exactly what happened. For years, the neighborhood was known for its high crime rates. Many apartments in Wallisblok were abandoned and then taken over by people who lived in them illegally.

Wallisblok, a large apartment building in Rotterdam, underwent a remarkable transformation from a (A)_____ area to a (B)_____ residential environment where families now enjoy living.

- | (A) | / | (B) |
|----------------|---|------------|
| ① prosperous | / | dangerous |
| ② crowded | / | isolated |
| ③ modern | / | outdated |
| ④ expensive | / | affordable |
| ⑤ crime-ridden | / | peaceful |

어휘

109. 다음 글에서 밑줄 친 부분 중, 문맥상 낱말의 쓰임이 적절하지 않은 것은?

As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and ① lifeless, which may cause citizens to move away. When this happens, a ② independence between the local government and citizens is an effective way to ③ revitalize the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what ④ successful urban revitalization looks like. These examples will show us how communities can work together to ⑤ transform abandoned spaces into vibrant neighborhoods.

110. 다음 글에서 밑줄 친 부분 중, 문맥상 낱말의 쓰임이 적절하지 않은 것은?

Rotterdam, the second largest city in the Netherlands, is known for its modern architecture and busy port. By taking a ten-minute tram ride west from the city center, however, you can get to a more ① peaceful environment. Instead of crowded streets, you will see neighbors chatting in front of a modern residential structure and families spending time in its garden. The structure is a large apartment building called Wallisblok. Not that long ago, this area was very different, and nobody thought it could become a place where families would want to live. Yet that is exactly what happened. For years, the neighborhood was known for its high crime rates. Many apartments in Wallisblok were ② abandoned and then taken over by people who lived in them ③ legally. At first, the local government thought that the best solution was simply to ④ tear the building down and build a new one in its place. However, having a limited budget, the government was unable to do so and had to come up with a ⑤ new plan.

111. 다음 글에서 밑줄 친 부분 중, 문맥상 낱말의 쓰임이 적절하지 않은 것은?

The plan was to sell the empty apartments for one euro each. The only condition was that people who bought these apartments needed to ① renovate them. Although the new residents would have to pay for these renovations, the government's plan soon ② attracted many applicants. Apparently, it was not just the idea of one-euro apartments that people found attractive. They were also ③ excited by the opportunity to use their creativity to improve the building. In the end, 42 new families moved into Wallisblok. The government's bold plan was a ④ failure. The residents transformed the old, worn-out building into 42 unique apartments with a community garden and rooftop terrace. The surrounding area soon began to ⑤ improve as well.

어법 1

114. 다음 글의 밑줄 친 부분 중, 어법상 틀린 것은?

As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and lifeless, ① which may cause citizens to move away. When this happens, a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way ② to revitalize the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands ③ to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like. These examples will show us how communities can work together ④ to transform abandoned spaces into vibrant neighborhoods that ⑤ bringing new life to old cities.

115. 다음 글의 밑줄 친 부분 중, 어법상 틀린 것은?

Rotterdam, the second largest city in the Netherlands, is known for its modern architecture and busy port. By ① taking a ten-minute tram ride west from the city center, however, you can get to a more peaceful environment. Instead of crowded streets, you will see neighbors ② chatting in front of a modern residential structure and families spending time in its garden. The structure is a large apartment building called Wallisblok. Not that long ago, this area was very different, and nobody thought it could become a place ③ which families would want to live. Yet that is exactly what ④ happened. For years, the neighborhood was known for its high crime rates. Many apartments in Wallisblok were abandoned and then ⑤ taken over by people who lived in them illegally.

116. 다음 글의 밑줄 친 부분 중, 어법상 틀린 것은?

The plan was ① to sell the empty apartments for one euro each. The only condition was that people who bought these apartments needed to renovate them. Although the new residents would have to pay for these renovations, the government's plan soon attracted many applicants. Apparently, it was not just the idea of one-euro apartments ② that people found attractive. They were also excited by the opportunity ③ to use their creativity to improve the building. In the end, 42 new families ④ moved into Wallisblok. The government's bold plan was a success. The residents transformed the old, worn-out building into 42 unique apartments with a community garden and rooftop terrace. The surrounding area soon began ⑤ improve as well.

117. 다음 글의 밑줄 친 부분 중, 어법상 틀린 것은?

In the early 20th century, there was an area in the northern part of Amsterdam ① that was full of shipyards and factories. Over time, the shipyards closed down, and the work once ② done at the factories was moved abroad. As a result, the area was left abandoned and polluted for years. ③ Want to do something to improve the area, the city purchased an abandoned shipyard called De Ceuvel. However, city officials did not know what to do with it. So they turned to the public for help by ④ asking for plans that would achieve two primary goals. First, the plan had to include a method to clean up the land and turn it into a sustainable development. Second, it had to suggest a way ⑤ to transform the site into a creative workspace.

어법 2

120. 다음 중 어법 상 알맞은 것을 고르시오

As cities 1) [age / are aged], neighborhoods can 2) [be become / become] old and lifeless, 3) [that / which] may cause citizens 4) [moving / to move] away. When this 5) [happens / is happened], a collaboration between the local government and citizens 6) [is / are] an effective way 7) [revitalize / to revitalize] the area. Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out 8) [that / what] successful urban revitalization 9) [look / looks] like.

121. 다음 중 어법 상 알맞은 것을 고르시오

Rotterdam, the second largest city in the Netherlands, is 1) [knowing / known] for its modern architecture and busy port. By 2) [taking / take] a ten-minute tram ride west from the city center, however, you can get to a more peaceful environment. Instead of crowded streets, you will see neighbors 3) [to chat / chatting] in front of a modern residential structure and families 4) [to spend / spending] time in its garden. The structure is a large apartment building 5) [called / calling] Wallisblok. Not that long ago, this area was very different, and nobody thought it could become a place 6) [which / in which] families would want to live. Yet that is exactly 7) [that / what] happened. For years, the neighborhood was known for its high crime rates. Many apartments in Wallisblok were 8) [abandoned / abandon] and then 9) [take / taken] over by people 10) [whose / who] lived in them 11) [illegal / illegally].

122. 다음 중 어법 상 알맞은 것을 고르시오

At first, the local government thought 1) [it / that] the best solution was simply to tear the building down and 2) [builds / build] a new one in its place. However, 3) [have / having] a limited budget, the government was unable to do so and 4) [has / had] to come up with a new plan. The plan was to sell the empty apartments for one euro each. The only condition was 5) [what / that] people 6) [whose / who] bought these apartments 7) [needing / needed] to renovate them. 8) [Despite / Although] the new residents would have to pay for these renovations, the government's plan soon 9) [attracted / attract] many applicants. Apparently, it was not just the idea of one-euro apartments 10) [that / what] people found attractive. They were also 11) [exciting / excited] by the opportunity to use their creativity to 12) [improves / improve] the building. In the end, 42 new families moved into Wallisblok. The government's bold plan was a success. The residents 13) [transforming / transformed] the old, worn-out building into 42 unique apartments with a community garden and rooftop terrace. The 14) [surrounding / surrounded] area soon began to improve as well. Today, Wallisblok is one of the most desirable 15) [place / places] to live in Rotterdam.

순서

125. 주어진 글 다음에 이어질 글의 순서로 가장 적절한 것은?

Rotterdam, the second largest city in the Netherlands, is known for its modern architecture and busy port. By taking a ten-minute tram ride west from the city center, however, you can get to a more peaceful environment.

(A) For years, the neighborhood was known for its high crime rates. Many apartments in Wallisblok were abandoned and then taken over by people who lived in them illegally.

(B) Not that long ago, this area was very different, and nobody thought it could become a place where families would want to live. Yet that is exactly what happened.

(C) Instead of crowded streets, you will see neighbors chatting in front of a modern residential structure and families spending time in its garden. The structure is a large apartment building called Wallisblok.

- ① (A) - (C) - (B) ② (B) - (A) - (C)
 ③ (B) - (C) - (A) ④ (C) - (A) - (B)
 ⑤ (C) - (B) - (A)

126. 주어진 글 다음에 이어질 글의 순서로 가장 적절한 것은?

At first, the local government thought that the best solution was simply to tear the building down and build a new one in its place. However, having a limited budget, the government was unable to do so and had to come up with a new plan.

(A) Although the new residents would have to pay for these renovations, the government's plan soon attracted many applicants. Apparently, it was not just the idea of one-euro apartments that people found attractive.

(B) The plan was to sell the empty apartments for one euro each. The only condition was that people who bought these apartments needed to renovate them.

(C) They were also excited by the opportunity to use their creativity to improve the building.

- ① (A) - (C) - (B) ② (B) - (A) - (C)
 ③ (B) - (C) - (A) ④ (C) - (A) - (B)
 ⑤ (C) - (B) - (A)

삽입

130. 글의 흐름으로 보아, 주어진 문장이 들어가기에 가장 적절한 곳은?

Yet that is exactly what happened.

Rotterdam, the second largest city in the Netherlands, is known for its modern architecture and busy port. (①) By taking a ten-minute tram ride west from the city center, however, you can get to a more peaceful environment. (②) Instead of crowded streets, you will see neighbors chatting in front of a modern residential structure and families spending time in its garden. (③) The structure is a large apartment building called Wallisblok. (④) Not that long ago, this area was very different, and nobody thought it could become a place where families would want to live. (⑤) For years, the neighborhood was known for its high crime rates. (⑥) Many apartments in Wallisblok were abandoned and then taken over by people who lived in them illegally.

131. 글의 흐름으로 보아, 주어진 문장이 들어가기에 가장 적절한 곳은?

They were also excited by the opportunity to use their creativity to improve the building.

At first, the local government thought that the best solution was simply to tear the building down and build a new one in its place. (①) However, having a limited budget, the government was unable to do so and had to come up with a new plan. (②) The plan was to sell the empty apartments for one euro each. (③) The only condition was that people who bought these apartments needed to renovate them. (④) Although the new residents would have to pay for these renovations, the government's plan soon attracted many applicants. (⑤) Apparently, it was not just the idea of one-euro apartments that people found attractive. (⑥) In the end, 42 new families moved into Wallisblok. (⑦) The government's bold plan was a success. (⑧) The residents transformed the old, worn-out building into 42 unique apartments with a community garden and rooftop terrace. (⑨) The surrounding area soon began to improve as well. (⑩) Today, Wallisblok is one of the most desirable places to live in Rotterdam.

서 술 형

영작

134. 다음 글의 밑줄 친 우리말과 같은 뜻이 되도록 <보기>에 주어진 단어만을 모두 사용하여 영작하시오.

도시가 노후화되면서, 동네들은 낡고 활기없게 될 수 있으며, 이는 시민들로 하여금 이주하게 만들 수 있다.

<보기>

134)[become / lifeless, / neighborhoods / move / may / can / old / away. / citizens / As / to / cities / which / and / age, / cause]

→

135. 다음 글의 밑줄 친 우리말과 같은 뜻이 되도록 <보기>에 주어진 단어만을 모두 사용하여 영작하시오.

이런 일이 일어날 때, 지역정부와 시민들 간의 협력이 그 지역을 재생시키는 효과적인 방법이다.

<보기>

135)[the / happens, / the / government / When / a / revitalize / this / and / area. / citizens / is / collaboration / effective / between / to / way / an / local]

→

136. 다음 글의 밑줄 친 우리말과 같은 뜻이 되도록 <보기>에 주어진 단어만을 모두 사용하여 영작하시오.

성공적인 도시 재생이 어떤 모습인지 알아보기 위해 네덜란드의 두 프로젝트를 살펴보자.

<보기>

136)[urban / two / look / at / the / like. / out / a / to / take / find / in / Netherlands / Let's / successful / looks / revitalization / projects / what]

→

137. 다음 글의 밑줄 친 우리말과 같은 뜻이 되도록 <보기>에 주어진 단어만을 모두 사용하여 영작하시오.

그러나 시내 중심가에서 서쪽으로 10분간 트램을 타면 더 평화로운 환경에 도달할 수 있다.

<보기>

137)[tram / to / you / center, / more / environment. / By / west / peaceful / ride / however, / ten-minute / taking / from / the / city / can / a / get / a]

→

정답 및 해설

1) 정답: ② collaboration between government and citizens in urban revitalization

해설: 본문 1은 도시가 노후화될 때 지방정부와 시민들 간의 협력이 지역 활성화의 효과적인 방법이라고 제시하며, 네덜란드의 두 프로젝트 사례를 통해 이를 설명하겠다고 하므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

2) 정답: ③ effective approaches to revitalizing aging urban neighborhoods

해설: 본문 1은 도시가 노후화되어 활력을 잃을 때 지역을 다시 활성화시키는 효과적인 방법으로 정부와 시민의 협력을 제시하고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

3) 정답: ④ government-citizen cooperation in neighborhood renewal

해설: 본문 1은 노후화된 지역을 다시 활성화하는 데 있어 지방정부와 시민 간의 협력이 효과적인 방법이라는 점을 강조하며 네덜란드 사례를 통해 이를 입증하겠다고 하므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

4) 정답: ④ successful models of urban area revitalization through partnership

해설: 본문 1은 지방정부와 시민의 파트너십을 통한 도시 재생의 성공적인 모델을 네덜란드의 두 프로젝트 사례로 제시하고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

5) 정답: ⑤ collaborative approaches to aging neighborhood transformation

해설: 본문 1은 노후화된 지역의 변화를 위한 협력적 접근법의 중요성을 제시하며, 이를 보여주는 구체적 사례로 네덜란드 프로젝트들을 소개하겠다고 하므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

6) 정답: ① transformation of Rotterdam's Wallisblok from crime-ridden area to desirable neighborhood

해설: 본문 2는 과거에는 범죄율이 높고 많은 아파트가 버려진 상태였던 Wallisblok이 현재는 가족들이 살고 싶어하는 곳으로 변화했다는 내용을 다루고 있으므로 ①번이 가장 적절함.

7) 정답: ③ background transformation of Wallisblok from problematic area to family-friendly community

해설: 본문 2는 현재 가족들이 시간을 보내는 평화로운 곳이 된 Wallisblok이 과거에는 높은 범죄율과 불법 거주 문제로 아무도 살고 싶어하지 않던 곳이었다는 극적인 변화를 설명하고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

8) 정답: ④ dramatic change of Wallisblok from unsafe area to attractive residential space

해설: 본문 2는 과거 위험하고 아무도 살고 싶어하지 않던 Wallisblok이 현재는 매력적인 거주 공간으로 극적인 변화를 보인 사실을 중점적으로 다루고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

9) 정답: ⑤ current peaceful environment versus past criminal problems in Wallisblok

해설: 본문 2는 현재의 평화로운 환경과 과거의 범죄 문제를 대조적으로 제시하며 Wallisblok의 극적인 변화를 강조하고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

10) 정답: ③ evolution of a building from abandoned building to thriving residential community

해설: 본문 2는 버려진 건물에서 번영하는 거주 공동체로 발전한 Wallisblok의 진화 과정을 시간의 흐름에 따라 설명하고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

11) 정답: ⑤ creative renovation solutions attracting new residents to abandoned buildings

해설: 본문 3은 정부가 예산 제약으로 건물을 철거할 수 없어 1유로 아파트 판매라는 창의적인 해결책을 제시했고, 이것이 새로운 거주자들을 끌어들이 성공을 거둔 내용을 다루고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

12) 정답: ③ innovative one-euro apartment strategy successfully attracting creative residents

해설: 본문 3은 정부의 1유로 아파트 판매 전략이 많은 지원자를 끌어들이고, 거주자들이 창의성을 발휘해 건물을 개선할 기회에 흥미를 느껴 성공을 거둔 내용을 중점적으로 다루고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

13) 정답: ④ successful transformation of a building through residents' creativity and renovation efforts

해설: 본문 3은 새로운 거주자들이 자신들의 창의성을 발휘하여 낡은 건물을 42개의 독특한 아파트로 변화시킨 성공적인 변화 과정을 다루고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

14) 정답: ⑤ bold government planning creating attractive residential transformation

해설: 본문 3은 정부의 대담한 1유로 아파트 계획이 성공을 거두어 Wallisblok을 로테르담에서 가장 살고 싶은 곳 중 하나로 변화시킨 내용을 다루고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

15) 정답: ② creative residential renovation attracting diverse new community members

해설: 본문 3은 거주자들의 창의적인 개조 작업이 다양한 새로운 공동체 구성원들을 끌어들이 42가족이라는 새로운 공동체를 형성한 내용을 중점적으로 다루고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

16) 정답: ② transformation of abandoned shipyard into sustainable creative workspace

해설: 본문 4는 버려지고 오염된 조선소 De Ceuvel을 지속가능한 창작 공간으로 변화시키는 과정과 방법을 상세히 다루고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

17) 정답: ③ creative upcycling solutions for sustainable workspace development

해설: 본문 4는 오염된 땅에 기초를 놓을 수 없어서 버려진 배들을 재활용해 창작 공간과 스튜디오 등을 만든 창의적인 업사이클링 해결책을 중심으로 다루고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

18) 정답: ② innovative approach to creating sustainable office park using abandoned boats

해설: 본문 4는 오염된 땅에 건물을 지을 수 없는 상황에서 버려진 배들을 활용해 지속가능한 오피스 파크를 만든 혁신적 접근법을 중점적으로 다루고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

19) 정답: ④ innovative transformation from polluted shipyard to creative community

해설: 본문 4는 오염된 조선소였던 De Ceuvel이 혁신적인 계획을 통해 창작 공간, 스튜디오, 문화 공간 등을 포함한 창의적 공동체로 변화한 과정을 다루고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

20) 정답: ③ collaborative solutions combining environmental cleanup with creative workspace development

해설: 본문 4는 토양 정화 식물을 심어 환경 정화와 동시에 창작 공간 개발이라는 두 가지 목표를 모두 달성하는 협력적 해결책을 다루고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

21) 정답: ① essential elements for successful urban revitalization beyond budget and planning

해설: 본문 5는 도시 재생에는 예산과 계획 이상의 혁신적 사고, 창의성, 공동체 정신, 협력이 필수적이라고 강조하며, 이러한 요소들이 성공의 핵심이었다고 결론짓고 있으므로 ①번이 가장 적절함.

22) 정답: ② success factors beyond financial resources in urban renewal projects

해설: 본문 5는 도시 재생 프로젝트의 성공에는 예산과 계획 이상으로 혁신적 사고, 창의성, 공동체 정신, 협력이 모두 필수적이었다고 강조하며 이러한 요소들이 성공 요인을 제시하고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

23) 정답: ⑤ inspiration and key lessons from Dutch urban revitalization projects

해설: 본문 5는 네덜란드의 두 도시 재생 프로젝트가 다른 도시들이 버려진 지역에 새로운 활력을 불어넣는 데 훌륭한 영감의 원천이 된다고 결론짓고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

24) 정답: ③ vital components for urban transformation beyond traditional resources

해설: 본문 5는 도시 변화에 있어 전통적인 자원(예산과 계획) 이상으로 혁신적 사고, 창의성, 공동체 정신, 협력 등이 필수적 구성 요소였다고 강조하고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

25) 정답: ④ long-term value creation through innovative urban development projects

해설: 본문 5는 프로젝트 종료 시 다음 사용자들을 위해 더 가치 있는 땅을 남겨줄 것이라는 장기적 가치 창출과 다른 도시들에 영감을 주는 혁신적 개발의 내용을 다루고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

26) 정답: ③ Bringing New Life to Old Cities: The Power of Collaboration

해설: 본문 1은 노후화된 도시에 새로운 활력을 불어넣는 효과적인 방법으로 정부와 시민의 협력을 제시하고 있으며, 실제로 네덜란드의 성공적인 협력 사례들을 소개하고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

27) 정답: ② Urban Revitalization: When Government and Citizens Unite

해설: 본문 1은 지방정부와 시민들이 협력할 때 효과적인 도시 재생이 가능하다는 점을 강조하며 네덜란드의 성공 사례를 제시하고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

28) 정답: ④ Successful Models of Collaborative Urban Renewal

해설: 본문 1은 정부와 시민의 협력을 통한 성공적인 도시 재생 모델을 네덜란드의 두 프로젝트를 통해 보여주겠다고 제시하고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

29) 정답: ④ How Two Dutch Projects Revolutionized Urban Development

해설: 본문 1은 네덜란드의 두 프로젝트가 성공적인 도시 재생의 모습을 보여주며 도시 개발에 혁신을 가져온 사례를 제시하고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

30) 정답: ⑤ Partnership Power: Transforming Lifeless Neighborhoods

해설: 본문 1은 정부와 시민의 파트너십이 생명력을 잃은 노후 지역을 변화시키는 효과적인 방법이라는 점을 강조하며 성공 사례를 제시하고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

31) 정답: ⑤ From Crime Haven to Family Paradise: Wallisblok's Journey

해설: 본문 2는 과거 높은 범죄율과 불법 거주 문제로 아무도 살고 싶어하지 않던 Wallisblok이 현재는 가족들이 시간을 보내는 평화로운 곳으로 변모한 극적인 변화를 다루고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

32) 정답: ③ Rotterdam's Secret: The Hidden Peaceful Paradise

해설: 본문 2는 로테르담 시내에서 트램으로 10분 거리에 있는 평화로운 환경의 숨겨진 낙원 같은 곳으로 변화한 Wallisblok을 소개하고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

33) 정답: ② Before and After: Wallisblok's Amazing Transformation

해설: 본문 2는 과거와 현재의 극명한 대조를 통해 Wallisblok의 놀라운 변화를 보여주고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

34) 정답: ⑤ Wallisblok Today: A Neighborhood Reborn

해설: 본문 2는 오늘날의 Wallisblok이 과거의 문제들을 극복하고 새롭게 태어난 지역임을 강조하며 현재의 평화로운 모습을 제시하고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

35) 정답: ④ The Wallisblok Miracle: From Chaos to Community

해설: 본문 2는 과거의 혼란스러운 상황에서 현재의 공동체로 변화한 Wallisblok의 기적 같은 변화를 다루고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

36) 정답: ② Wallisblok's One-Euro Makeover That Changed Everything

해설: 본문 3은 정부의 혁신적인 1유로 아파트 판매 전략이 거주자들의 창의성을 끌어내어 낡은 건물을 42개의 독

특한 아파트로 변화시킨 기적 같은 성공 사례를 다루고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

37) 정답: ① Government Innovation: When Bold Plans Actually Work

해설: 본문 3은 정부의 대담한 1유로 아파트 계획이 실제로 성공을 거두어 많은 지원자를 끌어들이고 Wallisblok을 변화시킨 혁신적 정부 정책의 성공 사례를 다루고 있으므로 ①번이 가장 적절함.

38) 정답: ④ Creative Residents: Building Dreams One Euro at a Time

해설: 본문 3은 1유로로 아파트를 구입한 창의적인 거주자들이 자신들의 꿈을 실현하며 독특한 아파트와 공동체를 만들어간 과정을 중점적으로 다루고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

39) 정답: ⑤ From Teardown to Transformation: Wallisblok's Second Life

해설: 본문 3은 정부가 처음에는 건물을 철거하려 했지만 예산 문제로 인해 대신 변화시키는 방법을 선택했고, 이를 통해 Wallisblok이 새로운 생명을 얻은 과정을 다루고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

40) 정답: ③ Wallisblok's Renaissance: When Community Vision Becomes Reality

해설: 본문 3은 공동체의 비전이 현실이 되어 Wallisblok이 르네상스를 맞게 된 과정을 42가족의 참여와 창의적 변화를 통해 보여주고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

41) 정답: ② From Shipyard to Sustainable Workspace: De Ceuvel's Innovation

해설: 본문 4는 버려진 조선소에서 지속가능한 작업 공간으로 변화한 De Ceuvel의 혁신적인 변화 과정을 중점적으로 다루고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

42) 정답: ⑤ De Ceuvel Gave Old Boats a Second Life

해설: 본문 4는 버려진 배들을 창작 공간과 회의실 등으로 재활용한 De Ceuvel 프로젝트를 소개하며, 이 공간이 지속가능성과 혁신의 상징이 되었음을 보여줌. 따라서 오래된 배들이 새로운 쓰임을 찾았다는 내용을 담고 있는 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

43) 정답: ④ Creative Solutions: Building on Impossible Ground

해설: 본문 4는 오염된 진흙 때문에 기초를 놓을 수 없는 불가능한 땅에서 버려진 배를 활용한 창의적 해결책을 찾

아닌 과정을 다루고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

44) 정답: ⑤ De Ceuvel Turned Old Boats into a Sustainable Office Space

해설: 본문 4는 버려진 배들을 업사이클링하여 오피스와 창작 공간으로 변화시킨 De Ceuvel의 탁월한 변화 과정을 다루고 있으므로 ⑤번이 가장 적절함.

45) 정답: ④ Amsterdam's Green Innovation: De Ceuvel's Sustainable Success

해설: 본문 4는 암스테르담의 녹색 혁신 사례로서 De Ceuvel이 지속가능한 개발과 환경 정화를 동시에 달성한 성공 사례를 다루고 있으므로 ④번이 가장 적절함.

46) 정답: ② Beyond Budget and Plans: The Hidden Keys

해설: 본문 5는 도시 재생 성공에는 예산과 계획만으로는 부족하고 그 이상의 숨겨진 요소들(혁신적 사고, 창의성, 공동체 정신, 협력)이 필요하다는 핵심 메시지를 다루고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

47) 정답 : ③ Dutch Cities Show How Urban Revitalization Can Inspire the World

해설: 본문 5는 네덜란드의 도시 재생 사례가 전 세계에 영감을 주는 모범이 되었다고 강조함. ③번은 그 핵심을 가장 잘 반영하며, 도시 혁신의 확장 가능성을 표현한 적절한 제목임.

48) 정답: ⑤ What Really Drives a City's Transformation?

해설: 본문은 도시 변화의 핵심 동력으로 협력, 창의성, 혁신적 사고를 제시함. ⑤번 (무엇이 도시를 진짜 변화시키는가?)이 제목으로 가장 적절함.

49) 정답 : ② Creativity and Innovation: The Pulse of City Renewal

해설: 본문은 도시 재생에서 혁신과 창의성이 중심이 되었음을 강조하고 있으므로 ②번이 가장 적절함.

50) 정답: ③ The Gift That Keeps on Giving: Urban Transformation

해설: 본문 5는 프로젝트가 종료되어도 다음 사용자들에게 더 가치 있는 땅을 남겨주고 다른 도시들에게 지속적인 영감을 주는 계속 주어지는 선물 같은 변화를 다루고 있으므로 ③번이 가장 적절함.

51) 정답: ⑤ Communities lose their energy and vitality over time

해설: 'old and lifeless'는 단순히 물리적 노후화가 아닌 지역사회가 시간이 지나면서 활력과 생기를 잃게 된다는 의미를 함축하므로 ⑤번이 정답임.

52) 정답: ② Joint efforts combining official resources with community participation

해설: 'collaboration'은 지방정부의 공식적 자원과 시민들의 적극적 참여가 결합된 공동 노력을 의미하므로 ②번이 정답임.

53) 정답: ④ Successful methods that restore life and energy to communities

해설: 'effective way to revitalize'는 지역사회에 다시 생명과 활력을 불어넣키는 성공적인 방법을 의미하므로 ④번이 정답임.

54) 정답: ③ Transformative renewal that brings communities back to life

해설: 'successful urban revitalization'은 공동체를 다시 살아가게 하는 변화적 재생을 의미하므로 ③번이 정답임.

55) 정답: ② A calm, family-friendly atmosphere away from urban chaos

해설: 'more peaceful environment'는 도시의 혼잡함에서 벗어난 차분하고 가족 친화적인 분위기를 의미하므로 ②번이 정답임.

56) 정답: ③ Community bonds and friendly social connections thriving

해설: 'neighbors chatting'은 지역사회 유대와 우호적인 사회적 연결이 번영하고 있다는 의미를 함축하므로 ③번이 정답임.

57) 정답: ⑤ The area seemed completely unsuitable for family life

해설: 'nobody thought it could become'은 그 지역이 가족 생활에 완전히 부적합해 보였다는 의미를 함축하므로 ⑤번이 정답임.

58) 정답: ② The area had a dangerous reputation that deterred residents

해설: 'known for high crime rates'는 거주자들을 멀리하게 만드는 위험한 평판을 가지고 있었다는 의미를 함축하므로 ②번이 정답임.

59) 정답: ① Official housing management had completely broken down

해설: 'taken over illegally'는 공식적인 주택 관리 체계가 완전히 무너져 있었다는 의미를 함축하므로

①번이 정답임.

60) 정답: ③ Financial constraints forcing creative alternative solutions

해설: 'limited budget'는 창의적인 대안 해결책을 찾으려 강요하는 재정적 제약을 의미하므로 ③번이 정답임.

61) 정답: ② Develop an innovative approach when conventional methods fail

해설: 'come up with a new plan'은 기존 방법이 실패했을 때 혁신적인 접근법을 개발한다는 의미를 함축하므로 ②번이 정답임.

62) 정답: ① Freedom to express personal vision and innovative ideas

해설: 'opportunity to use creativity'는 개인적 비전과 혁신적 아이디어를 표현할 자유를 의미하므로 ①번이 정답임.

63) 정답: ④ A daring strategy that challenged conventional thinking

해설: 'bold plan'은 기존의 관습적 사고에 도전하는 대담한 전략을 의미하므로 ④번이 정답임.

64) 정답: ⑤ A highly sought-after location that attracts many residents

해설: 'most desirable places to live'는 많은 거주자들을 끌어들이는 매우 인기 있는 장소를 의미하므로 ⑤번이 정답임.

65) 정답: ② Complete neglect resulting in environmental deterioration

해설: 'left abandoned and polluted'는 완전한 방치로 인한 환경 악화를 의미하므로 ②번이 정답임.

66) 정답: ③ Sought community input when official expertise was insufficient

해설: 'turned to the public for help'는 공식적 전문성이 부족할 때 지역사회의 의견을 구했다는 의미를 함축하므로 ③번이 정답임.

67) 정답: ① Environmental contamination created serious construction challenges

해설: 'impossible to build foundations on oily mud'는 환경 오염이 심각한 건설 문제를 야기했다는 의미를 함축하므로 ①번이 정답임.

68) 정답: ② Transforming waste materials into valuable functional spaces

해설: 'upcycling the boats'는 폐기물을 가치 있는 기능적 공간으로 변화시킨다는 의미를 함축하므로 ②번이 정답임.

69) 정답: ① A dynamic hub where environmental innovations are tested and developed

해설: 'most active playgrounds for innovative green technology'는 환경 혁신이 테스트되고 개발되는 역동적인 허브를 의미하므로 ①번이 정답임.

70) 정답: ③ Create lasting positive impact that benefits future users

해설: 'leave behind a more valuable piece of land'는 미래 사용자들에게 도움이 되는 지속적인 긍정적 영향을 만든다는 의미를 함축하므로 ③번이 정답임.

71) 정답: ② Success requires additional essential elements beyond financial resources

해설: 'much more than a budget and a plan'은 재정적 자원을 넘어서는 추가적인 필수 요소들이 성공에 필요하다는 의미를 함축하므로 ②번이 정답임.

72) 정답: ⑤ Absolutely essential components that determined positive outcomes

해설: 'vital to their success'는 긍정적 결과를 결정한 절대적으로 필수적인 구성 요소들을 의미하므로 ⑤번이 정답임.

73) 정답: ② Powerful examples that motivate and guide similar efforts

해설: 'great sources of inspiration'은 유사한 노력들에 동기를 부여하고 지침을 제공하는 강력한 사례들을 의미하므로 ②번이 정답임.

74) 정답: ② Collaboration between local government and citizens is effective for revitalizing old neighborhoods.

해설: 본문 첫 번째 문단에서 "a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way to revitalize the area"라고 명확히 언급되어 있어 ②번이 본문 내용과 정확히 일치한다.

75) 정답: ④ All urban revitalization projects in the Netherlands follow the same pattern.

해설: 본문에서는 두 가지 서로 다른 형태의 도시 재생 프로젝트를 소개하고 있으며, 모든 프로젝트가 같은 패턴을 따른다는 언급은 없다.

76) 정답: ③ The Dutch government provides unlimited funding for all revitalization projects.

해설: 본문에는 네덜란드 정부가 모든 재생 프로젝트에 무제한 자금을 제공한다는 내용이 전혀 언급되지 않았다.

77) 정답: ⑤ Two specific projects in the Netherlands will serve as case studies.

해설: 본문 마지막 부분에서 "Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like"라고 하여 네덜란드의 두 프로젝트를 사례로 살펴본다고 명시하고 있다.

78) 정답: ④ Urban revitalization is impossible once neighborhoods start declining.

해설: 본문에서는 정부와 시민의 협력을 통한 효과적인 도시 재생 방법을 소개하고 있어, 쇠퇴한 지역의 재생이 불가능하다는 내용과는 반대이다.

79) 정답: ③ The area around Wallisblok was previously known for high crime rates.

해설: 본문에서 "For years, the neighborhood was known for its high crime rates"라고 명확히 언급하여 ③번이 본문 내용과 정확히 일치한다.

80) 정답: ④ Wallisblok was always considered a safe and desirable residential area.

해설: 본문에서 이 지역은 과거에 높은 범죄율로 유명했고, 많은 아파트가 방치되어 불법 거주가 이루어졌다고 명시하고 있어 ④번은 사실과 반대이다.

81) 정답: ① The neighborhood transformation happened very recently.

해설: 본문에서 "Not that long ago, this area was

very different"라고 하여 변화가 그리 오래되지 않았음을 시사하고 있다.

82) 정답: ④ The area's transformation from dangerous to family-friendly was unexpected.

해설: 본문에서 "nobody thought it could become a place where families would want to live. Yet that is exactly what happened"라고 하여 가족들이 살고 싶어 할 곳으로의 변화가 예상치 못한 것이었음을 나타낸다.

83) 정답: ③ Wallisblok was the only building in Rotterdam that experienced urban decay.

해설: 본문에서는 Wallisblok이 로테르담에서 도시 쇠퇴를 경험한 유일한 건물이라는 언급은 없으며, 이는 본문에서 지지되지 않는 내용이다.

84) 정답: ② The one-euro apartment plan attracted many applicants despite renovation requirements.

해설: 본문에서 "the government's plan soon attracted many applicants" 그리고 "people who bought these apartments needed to renovate them" 이라고 명시되어 있어 ②번이 정확하다.

85) 정답: ④ All renovation work was completely funded by the government.

해설: 본문에서 "Although the new residents would have to pay for these renovations"라고 명시하여 거주자들이 직접 보수 비용을 지불해야 했다고 나와 있어 ④번은 사실과 반대이다.

86) 정답: ③ Residents were excited about the opportunity to use their creativity in renovations.

해설: 본문에서 "They were also excited by the opportunity to use their creativity to improve the building"이라고 명확히 언급되어 있어 ③번이 본문 내용과 일치한다.

87) 정답: ⑤ The government's plan was ultimately considered unsuccessful.

해설: 본문에서 "The government's bold plan was a success"라고 명시적으로 언급하여 정부의 계획이 성공적이었다고 평가하고 있어 ⑤번은 사실과 반대이다.

88) 정답: ④ Both the low price and the opportunity to use creativity in renovations.

해설: 본문에서 "it was not just the idea of one-euro apartments that people found attractive. They were

also excited by the opportunity to use their creativity"라고 하여 저렴한 가격과 창의적 기회 모두가 동기가 되었음을 보여준다.

89) 정답: ⑤ The area was abandoned and polluted for years after shipyards closed down.

해설: 본문에서 "the area was left abandoned and polluted for years"라고 명확히 언급되어 있어 ⑤번이 본문 내용과 정확히 일치한다.

90) 정답: ④ City officials had a clear plan from the beginning for the site's development.

해설: 본문에서 "city officials did not know what to do with it. So they turned to the public for help"라고 명시하여 시 관계자들이 처음부터 명확한 계획을 가지고 있지 않았음을 보여준다.

91) 정답: ③ All the boats used in the project were newly constructed for the site.

해설: 본문에서 "They were able to purchase these at low prices from the boats' owners"라고 하여 기존의 버려진 보트들을 구매해서 사용했다고 명시되어 있어 ③번은 사실과 반대이다.

92) 정답: ⑤ The project created various spaces including workspaces, studios, and a café.

해설: 본문에서 "a small community that includes creative workspaces, studios, meeting rooms, cultural spaces, and even a café"라고 명시하여 다양한 공간들이 조성되었음을 보여준다.

93) 정답: ② Clean up the land sustainably and create a creative workspace.

해설: 본문에서 "two primary goals"로 "include a method to clean up the land and turn it into a sustainable development"와 "transform the site into a creative workspace"를 명시하고 있다.

94) 정답: ③ De Ceudel is known as one of Amsterdam's most active green technology playgrounds.

해설: 본문에서 "De Ceudel is now known as one of the most active playgrounds for innovative green technology in all of Amsterdam"라고 명확히 언급되어 있어 ③번이 정확하다.

95) 정답: ④ International funding

해설: 본문에서 "Innovative thinking, creativity, community spirit, and cooperation were all vital to their success"라고 언급되어 있지만, international funding은 언급되지 않았다.

96) 정답: ① The projects serve as inspiration only for Dutch cities seeking revitalization.

해설: 본문 마지막에서 "great sources of inspiration for other cities"라고 하여 다른 도시들(네덜란드 도시만이 아닌)에게 영감을 준다고 언급하고 있어 ①번은 사실과 다르다.

97) 정답: ④ These projects can inspire other cities looking to revitalize abandoned areas.

해설: 본문 마지막 문장에서 "These projects are great sources of inspiration for other cities that are looking to bring new life to abandoned areas"라고 명시되어 있다.

98) 정답: ② The project will leave behind a more valuable piece of land.

해설: 본문에서 "At the end of the lease, the project will also leave behind a more valuable piece of land for the next people who use it"라고 명확히 언급되어 있다.

99) 정답: ② collaboration between the local government and citizens

해설: 본문에서 "a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way to revitalize the area"라고 원문에 명시되어 있으며, 빈칸 앞뒤 맥락이 도시 재생의 효과적인 방법을 설명하고 있어 ②번이 가장 적절하다.

100) 정답: ③ nobody thought it could become a place where families would want to live

해설: 빈칸 뒤에 "Yet that is exactly what happened"라는 대조적 표현이 나오므로, 예상과 반대되는 결과를 나타내는 ③번이 가장 적절하다. 실제로 원문에서도 동일한 표현이 사용되었다.

101) 정답: ① They were also excited by the opportunity to use their creativity to improve the building

해설: 빈칸 앞에서 저렴한 가격만이 매력적인 것이 아니었

다고 언급하고 있으므로, 추가적인 매력 요소를 제시해야 한다. 창의성을 발휘할 기회가 추가적인 동기가 되었다는 ①번이 문맥상 가장 적절하다.

102) 정답: ⑤ it had to suggest a way to transform the site into a creative workspace
해설: 빈칸 앞에서 "First"로 첫 번째 목표를 제시하고 "Second"로 두 번째 목표를 언급하고 있다. 원문에서 "transform the site into a creative workspace"가 두 번째 목표로 명시되어 있어 ⑤번이 정확하다.

103) 정답: ② Innovative thinking, creativity, community spirit, and cooperation were all vital to their success
해설: 빈칸 앞에서 "much more than a budget and a plan"이라고 하여 예산과 계획 이상의 것이 필요했음을 강조하고 있다. 원문에서 혁신적 사고, 창의성, 공동체 정신, 협력이 성공에 필수적이었다고 명시되어 있어 ②번이 가장 적절하다.

104) 정답: ① deteriorate / collaboration
해설: 본문에서 도시가 쇠퇴하면서 시민들이 떠나게 되는 문제와 지역 정부와 시민의 '협력(collaboration)'이 효과적인 재생 방법이라는 핵심 내용을 담고 있어 ①번이 가장 적절하다.

105) 정답: ⑤ crime-ridden / peaceful
해설: 본문에서 Wallisblok 지역이 과거에는 높은 범죄율로 유명했고('crime-ridden'), 현재는 이웃들이 대화하고 가족들이 정원에서 시간을 보내는 평화로운('peaceful') 환경으로 변했다는 대조적 변화를 보여준다.

106) 정답: ② renovate / creativity
해설: 본문에서 아파트 구매자들이 직접 '보수(renovate)'해야 한다는 조건과 거주자들이 건물 개선에 자신들의 '창의성(creativity)'을 발휘할 기회에 흥미를 느꼈다는 내용이 핵심이므로 ②번이 가장 적절하다.

107) 정답: ③ upcycling / purify
해설: 본문에서 버려진 보트들을 재활용하여('upcycling') 건물 기초로 사용하고, 오염된 토양을 정화하는('purify') 특별한 식물을 심었다는 내용이 De Ceugel 프로젝트의 핵심이므로 ③번이 가장 적절하다.

108) 정답: ② sustainable / revitalize
해설: 본문에서 De Ceugel이 지속가능한('sustainable') 기술을 테스트하는 장소로 유명해졌고, 버려진 지역에 새로운 생명을 불어넣으려는('revitalize') 다른 도시들에게 영감을 주는 모델이 되었다는 내용이므로 ②번이 가장 적절하다.

109) 정답: ② independence → collaboration
해설: 본문에서는 지역 재생을 위해 정부와 시민이 함께한 두 가지 사례를 소개하며, 버려진 공간이 활기찬 동네로 변한 긍정적인 결과를 보여주고 있다. 따라서 'independence(독립)'이 아니라 협력을 의미하는 'collaboration'이 문맥에 적절하다.

110) 정답: ③ legally → illegally
해설: 본문에서 범죄율이 높았던 지역의 많은 아파트들이 버려진 후 불법으로 점유되었다는 부정적 상황을 설명하고 있다. 이후 정부가 건물을 허물거나 새로운 계획을 세워야 했다는 내용으로 이어지므로, 사람들이 '불법적으로(illegally)' 거주했다는 것이 문맥상 적절하며, 'legally'는 반대 의미로 부적절하다.

111) 정답: ④ failure → success
해설: 본문에서 1유로 아파트 프로젝트로 42가족이 입주하고, 주민들이 건물을 독특한 아파트들로 변화시키며, 주변 지역까지 개선되었다는 긍정적 결과들을 나열하고 있다. 따라서 정부의 대담한 계획이 '성공(success)'이었다는 것이 적절하며, 'failure'는 반대 의미로 문맥상 부적절하다.

112) 정답: ④ denied → awarded
해설: 본문에서 건축회사가 혁신적인 계획을 제출했고, 이후 그 계획이 실제로 실행되어 지속가능한 사무공원으로 변모했다는 긍정적 결과가 나타나고 있다. 따라서 건축회사가 10년 임대권을 '받았다(awarded)'는 것이 적절하며, 'denied'는 반대 의미로 문맥상 부적절하다.

113) 정답: ④ Conventional → Innovative
해설: 본문에서 네덜란드의 도시 재생 프로젝트들이 예산과 계획 이상의 것을 필요로 했다고 언급하며, 1유로 아파트나 버려진 배를 활용한 사무공원 같은 독창적이고 참신한 접근방식들을 소개하고 있다. 따라서 '혁신적 사고(Innovative thinking)'가 성공에 필수적이었다는 것이 적절하며, 'Conventional'은 반대 의미로 문맥상 부적절하다.

- 114) 정답: ⑤ bringing → bring
- 115) 정답: ③ which → where
- 116) 정답: ⑤ improve → to improve 또는 improving
- 117) 정답: ③ Want → Wanting
- 118) 정답: ④ was → were
- 119) 정답: ④ was → were
- 120) <정답>
 1) age
 2) become
 3) which
 4) to move
 5) happens
 6) is
 7) to revitalize
 8) what
 9) looks
- 121) <정답>
 1) known
 2) taking
 3) chatting
 4) spending
 5) called
 6) in which
 7) what
 8) abandoned
 9) taken
 10) who
 11) illegally
- 122) <정답>
 1) that
 2) build
 3) having
 4) had
 5) that
 6) who
 7) needed
- 8) Although
 9) attracted
 10) that
 11) excited
 12) improve
 13) transformed
 14) surrounding
 15) places
- 123) <정답>
 1) was
 2) that
 3) abandoned
 4) Wanting
 5) what
 6) asking
 7) turn
 8) submitted
 9) was awarded
 10) to build
 11) to use
 12) includes
 13) upcycling
 14) that
 15) were
- 124) <정답>
 1) others
 2) playgrounds
 3) leave
 4) valuable
 5) use
 6) much
 7) were
 8) that
- <서술형>
- 125) [정답] ⑤ (C) - (B) - (A)
- 126) [정답] ② (B) - (A) - (C)
- 127) [정답] ④ (C) - (A) - (B)
- 128) [정답] ② (B) - (A) - (C)
- 129) [정답] ④ (C) - (A) - (B)

- 130) [정답] ⑤
- 131) [정답] ⑥
- 132) [정답] ④
- 133) [정답] ④
- 134) As cities age, neighborhoods can become old and lifeless, which may cause citizens to move away.
- 135) When this happens, a collaboration between the local government and citizens is an effective way to revitalize the area.
- 136) Let's take a look at two projects in the Netherlands to find out what successful urban revitalization looks like.
- 137) By taking a ten-minute tram ride west from the city center, however, you can get to a more peaceful environment.
- 138) Not that long ago, this area was very different, and nobody thought it could become a place where families would want to live.
- 139) Many apartments in Wallisblok were abandoned and then taken over by people who lived in them illegally.
- 140) Instead of crowded streets, you will see neighbors chatting in front of a modern residential structure and families spending time in its garden.
- 141) For years, the neighborhood was known for its high crime rates.
- 142) Although the new residents would have to pay for these renovations, the government's plan soon attracted many applicants.
- 143) They were also excited by the opportunity to use their creativity to improve the building.
- 144) The residents transformed the old, worn-out building into 42 unique apartments with a community garden and rooftop terrace.
- 145) However, having a limited budget, the government was unable to do so and had to come up with a new plan.
- 146) Apparently, it was not just the idea of one-euro apartments that people found attractive.
- 147) Wanting to do something to improve the area, the city purchased an abandoned shipyard called De Ceuvel.
- 148) Since it was impossible to build foundations on the oily mud of the polluted land, the architects decided to use old, abandoned boats instead.
- 149) The architects created a small community that includes creative workspaces, studios, meeting rooms, cultural spaces, and even a cafe, all by upcycling the boats.
- 150) So they turned to the public for help by asking for plans that would achieve two primary goals.
- 151) Over time, the shipyards closed down, and the work once done at the factories was moved abroad.
- 152) They were able to purchase these at low prices from the boats' owners.
- 153) At the end of the lease, the project will also leave behind a more valuable piece of land for the next people who use it.
- 154) These two examples of urban revitalization in the Netherlands required much more than a budget and a plan.
- 155) These projects are great sources of inspiration for other cities that are looking to bring new life to abandoned areas.
- 156) Today, some people come to De Ceuvel to test new sustainable technologies such as bio-filters and water reuse systems.
- 157) Innovative thinking, creativity, community spirit, and cooperation were all vital to their success.