# Problem 1.

```
Given 0: \lambda f.\lambda x.x

succ: \lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.(f((n f) x))

n: if n is a natural number then its semantics is the result of n applications of succ on 0.

true: \lambda x.\lambda y.x

false: \lambda x.\lambda y.y

second: \lambda x.\lambda y.\lambda z.y

g: \lambda n.((n second) false)
```

What is the result of

- (a) (g n) when n is 0.
- (b) (g n) when n results from some application succ on 0.
- (c) What mathematical/logical operation is computed by g.

#### Solution

# Part (a)

```
(g 0)

(g \lambdaf.\lambdax.x)

(\lambdan.((n second) false) \lambdaf.\lambdax.x)

((\lambdaf.\lambdax.x second) false)

(\lambdax.x false)

false
```

### Part (b)

First consider what is happening when n applications of succ are taken on 0.

```
Consider n = 1 application of succ on 0. (succ 0) (\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.(f((n f) x)) \lambda f.\lambda x.x) \lambda f.\lambda x.(f((\lambda f.\lambda x.x f) x) \lambda f.\lambda x.(f((\lambda f.\lambda x.x x)) \lambda f.\lambda x.(f x))
Now consider n = 2 applications of succ on 0. (succ (succ 0)) (succ \lambda f.\lambda x.(f x)) (\lambda n.\lambda f.\lambda x.(f(n f) x)) \lambda f.\lambda x.(f(x)) \lambda f.\lambda x.(f((\lambda f.\lambda x.(f x) f) x)) \lambda f.\lambda x.(f((\lambda f.\lambda x.(f x) x))) \lambda f.\lambda x.(f(f x))
```

As discussed in class, this pattern will continue.

That is, n applications of succ on 0 can be written as the following.

$$\lambda f. \lambda x. (f^1 (... (f^n x)))$$

Note the superscript denotes the occurrence index for the lambda function f.

```
Now consider (g n)
(g n)
(g (\operatorname{succ}_1 (\ldots (\operatorname{succ}_n 0))))
(\lambda n.((n \text{ second}) \text{ false}) (\operatorname{succ}_1 (...(\operatorname{succ}_n 0))))
(((\operatorname{succ}_1(\ldots(\operatorname{succ}_n 0))) \operatorname{second}) \operatorname{false})
((\lambda f.\lambda x.(f^1 (... (f^n x))) second) false)
((\lambda f.\lambda x.(f^1 (... (f^n x))) \lambda x.\lambda v.\lambda z.v) false)
As these are lambda expressions, subscripts denote both the number of occurrences as well
as the uniqueness of each lambda variable.
(\lambda \mathbf{x}.(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1.\lambda \mathbf{y}_1.\lambda \mathbf{z}_1.\mathbf{y}_1 (\dots (\lambda \mathbf{x}_n.\lambda \mathbf{y}_n.\lambda \mathbf{z}_n.\mathbf{y}_n \mathbf{x}))) false)
(\lambda x_1.\lambda y_1.\lambda z_1.y_1 (... (\lambda x_n.\lambda y_n.\lambda z_n.y_n \text{ false})))
(\lambda x_1.\lambda y_1.\lambda z_1.y_1 (... (\lambda x_{n-1}.\lambda y_{n-1}.\lambda z_n.y_{n-1} (\lambda x_n.\lambda y_n.\lambda z_n.y_n \text{ false})))
(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1.\lambda \mathbf{y}_1.\lambda \mathbf{z}_1.\mathbf{y}_1 (\dots (\lambda \mathbf{x}_{n-1}.\lambda \mathbf{y}_{n-1}.\lambda \mathbf{z}_n.\mathbf{y}_{n-1} \lambda \mathbf{y}_n.\lambda \mathbf{z}_n.\mathbf{y}_n)))
Note that \lambda y.\lambda z.y is defined as 'true'.
(\lambda x_1.\lambda y_1.\lambda z_1.y_1 (... (\lambda x_{n-1}.\lambda y_{n-1}.\lambda z_n.y_{n-1} true)))
If n = 1 we would be done here and have a result of 'true'
(as the 1...n-1 terms would not exist).
If n > 1, we apply another beta reduction
(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1.\lambda \mathbf{y}_1.\lambda \mathbf{z}_1.\mathbf{y}_1 \ (\dots (\lambda \mathbf{x}_{n-2}.\lambda \mathbf{y}_{n-2}.\lambda \mathbf{z}_n.\mathbf{y}_{n-2} \ \lambda \mathbf{y}_{n-1}.\lambda \mathbf{z}_n.\mathbf{y}_{n-1}))
(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1.\lambda \mathbf{y}_1.\lambda \mathbf{z}_1.\mathbf{y}_1 (\dots (\lambda \mathbf{x}_{n-2}.\lambda \mathbf{y}_{n-2}.\lambda \mathbf{z}_n.\mathbf{y}_{n-2} \text{ true})))
(\lambda \mathbf{x}_1.\lambda \mathbf{y}_1.\lambda \mathbf{z}_1.\mathbf{y}_1 (\dots \lambda \mathbf{y}_{n-2}.\lambda \mathbf{z}_n.\mathbf{y}_{n-2}))
(\lambda x_1.\lambda y_1.\lambda z_1.y_1 (... (\lambda x_{n-3}.\lambda y_{n-3}.\lambda z_n.y_{n-3} true)))
Again note that if n = 2, we would be done here and have a result of 'true'.
If n > 3 this trend would continue recursively until resolving to true.
```

### Part (c)

As g resolves to false when n = 0, and true otherwise it then computes n > 0 or  $n \neq 0$  on the domain of natural numbers.

# Problem 2.

Consider the following  $\lambda$ -expression:

$$Y : \lambda t.(\lambda x.(t (x x)) \lambda x.(t (x x)))$$

Homework: Lambda Calculus & Racket

Prove/disprove that (Y t) after application of several  $\beta$ -reductions results in (t (Y t)).

### Solution

```
Setup
     We are given (Y t), apply the definition of Y to this given lambda expression.
     (\lambda t.(\lambda x.(t (x x)) \lambda x.(t (x x))) t)
     Denote Q: \lambda x.(t(x x))
     Note that (Q Q) after a single \beta-reduction results in (t (Q Q))
     Thus we are starting with (t (Q Q)).
Claim
     let n \in N
     If n \beta-reductions are taken of (t (Q Q)) denoted R
     then R \neq (t (Y t))
Proof by Induction on n
     Base Case (n = 0)
           This is true as Q \neq Y \land Q \neq t
           Thus (Q Q) \neq (Y t)
           Therefore (t (Q Q)) \neq (t (Y t))
     Induction Hypothesis
           Assume (t_0 (t_1 (\dots (t_n (Q Q))))) \neq (t (Y t))
     Induction Step
           We have (t_0 (t_1 (\dots (t_n (Q Q))))) \neq (t (Y t))
           Apply a single \beta-reduction to the left hand side producing
           (t_0 (t_1 (\dots (t_n (t_{n+1} (Q Q))))))
           Note that (t_0\ (t_1\ (\dots\ (t_n\ (t_{n+1}\ (\mathbf{X}))))))\neq (\mathbf{t}\ (\mathbf{X})) for any \mathbf{X}
           as (t_n(\dots)) is not a lambda expression that can be \beta-reduced.
           Therefore (t_0 (t_1 (\dots (t_n (t_{n+1} (Q Q)))))) \neq (t (Y t)) \square
```