

## Problem 1.

Take the following training/test split: 13000 training and 6020 test. Perform all necessary tests, plots, and Monte Carlo simulations to determine your final choice of classifier. You can use the built-in function of your favorite program. Can you beat the 86.6% mean accuracy on test data (based on 100 runs)?

## Solution

### KNN

---

```
1 #####
2 # Setup #
3 #####
4
5 library(foreach)
6 library(doParallel)
7
8 data <- read.csv("magic04.data", header=F, sep=",")
9 train.size <- 13000 # Given by homework specification
10 start.time <- proc.time()
11
12 #####
13 # KNN #
14 #####
15
16 # Try a bunch of different K-values,
17 err <- foreach (K=1:50, .combine = c) %do% {
18   cl <- makeCluster(8)
19   registerDoParallel(cl)
20
21   # Run KNN 100 times for each K value.
22   # Each run is independent, so we can speed things up a little
23   # bit by running it in parallel.
24   k.err <- foreach (i=1:100, .combine = c) %dopar% {
25     # Need to load the library for knn on each thread.
26     library(class)
27
28     data <- data[sample(nrow(data)),] # Randomize the data set
29
30     train <- data[1:train.size, 1:10]
31     test <- data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 1:10]
32     train.cl <- factor(data[1:train.size, 11])
33     test.cl <- factor(data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 11]);
34
```

```

35     predict.cl <- knn(train, test, train.cl, k=K)
36     sum(test.cl != predict.cl) / nrow(test)
37   }
38
39   stopCluster(cl)
40   mean(k.err)
41 }
42
43 # This was our best performing k value.
44 k <- which.min(err)
45 min.err <- min(err)
46 acc <- 1.0 - min.err
47
48 # About 80.975%
49 print(paste("Min K: ", k))
50 print(paste("KNN - Accuracy: ", acc))
51 print(proc.time() - start.time)

```

---

## LDA

```

1  #####
2  # Setup #
3  #####
4
5  library(foreach)
6  library(doParallel)
7
8  data <- read.csv("magic04.data", header=F, sep=",")
9  train.size <- 13000 # Given by homework specification
10 start.time <- proc.time()
11
12 #####
13 # LDA #
14 #####
15
16 cl <- makeCluster(4)
17 registerDoParallel(cl)
18
19 err <- foreach (i=1:100, .combine = c) %dopar% {
20   library(MASS)
21
22   data <- data[sample(nrow(data)),] # Randomize the data set
23
24   train <- data[1:train.size, 1:10]

```

```

25     test <- data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 1:10]
26     train.cl <- factor(data[1:train.size, 11])
27     test.cl <- factor(data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 11]);
28
29     model <- lda(x = train, grouping = train.cl)
30     predict.cl <- predict(model, test)$class
31     sum(test.cl != predict.cl) / nrow(test)
32 }
33
34 stopCluster(cl)
35 acc <- 1.0 - mean(err)
36
37 # About 78.429%
38 print(paste("LDA - Accuracy: ", acc))
39 print(proc.time() - start.time)

```

---

## QDA

---

```

1  #####
2  # Setup #
3  #####
4
5  library(foreach)
6  library(doParallel)
7
8  data <- read.csv("magic04.data", header=F, sep=",")
9  train.size <- 13000 # Given by homework specification
10 start.time <- proc.time()
11
12 #####
13 # QDA #
14 #####
15
16 cl <- makeCluster(4)
17 registerDoParallel(cl)
18
19 err <- foreach (i=1:100, .combine = c) %dopar% {
20     library(MASS)
21
22     data <- data[sample(nrow(data)),] # Randomize the data set
23
24     train <- data[1:train.size, 1:10]
25     test <- data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 1:10]
26     train.cl <- factor(data[1:train.size, 11])

```

```

27     test.cl <- factor(data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 11]);
28
29     model <- qda(x = train, grouping = train.cl)
30     predict.cl <- predict(model, test)$class
31     sum(test.cl != predict.cl) / nrow(test)
32 }
33
34 stopCluster(cl)
35 acc <- 1.0 - mean(err)
36
37 # About 78.4276%
38 print(paste("QDA - Accuracy: ", acc))
39 print((proc.time() - start.time))

```

---

### Naive Bayes (Normal)

```

1 #####
2 # Setup #
3 #####
4
5 library(foreach)
6 library(doParallel)
7
8 data <- read.csv("magic04.data", header=F, sep=",")
9 train.size <- 13000 # Given by homework specification
10 start.time <- proc.time()
11
12 #####
13 # Naive Bayes (Normal) #
14 #####
15
16 cl <- makeCluster(4)
17 registerDoParallel(cl)
18
19 err <- foreach (i=1:100, .combine = c) %dopar% {
20     library(klaR)
21     library(caret)
22
23     data <- data[sample(nrow(data)),] # Randomize the data set
24
25     train <- data[1:train.size, 1:10]
26     test <- data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 1:10]
27     train.cl <- factor(data[1:train.size, 11])
28     test.cl <- factor(data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 11]);

```

```

29
30     model <- NaiveBayes(x = train, grouping = train.cl, usekernel=FALSE)
31     predict.cl <- predict(model, test)$class
32     sum(test.cl != predict.cl) / nrow(test)
33 }
34
35 stopCluster(cl)
36 acc <- 1.0 - mean(err)
37
38 # About 72.6714%
39 print(paste("Naive Bayes (Normal) - Accuracy: ", acc))
40 print(proc.time() - start.time)

```

---

## Naive Bayes (Kernel)

---

```

1 #####
2 # Setup #
3 #####
4
5 library(foreach)
6 library(doParallel)
7
8 data <- read.csv("magic04.data", header=F, sep=",")
9 train.size <- 13000 # Given by homework specification
10 start.time <- proc.time()
11
12 #####
13 # Naive Bayes (Kernel) #
14 #####
15
16 cl <- makeCluster(4)
17 registerDoParallel(cl)
18
19 err <- foreach (i=1:100, .combine = c) %dopar% {
20     library(klaR)
21     library(caret)
22
23     data <- data[sample(nrow(data)),] # Randomize the data set
24
25     train <- data[1:train.size, 1:10]
26     test <- data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 1:10]
27     train.cl <- factor(data[1:train.size, 11])
28     test.cl <- factor(data[(train.size+1):nrow(data), 11]);
29

```

```
30     model <- NaiveBayes(x = train, grouping = train.cl, usekernel=TRUE)
31     predict.cl <- predict(model, test)$class
32     sum(test.cl != predict.cl) / nrow(test)
33 }
34
35 stopCluster(cl)
36 acc <- 1.0 - mean(err)
37
38 # About 76.2375%
39 print(paste("Naive Bayes (Kernel) - Accuracy: ", acc))
40 print((proc.time() - start.time))
```

---

**Problem 2.**

- (a) Classify the test point  $(0, 1)$  using QDA and calculate the posterior class probabilities. Do the calculations by hand.
- (b) Classify the test point  $(0, 1)$  using naive Bayes assuming normality and calculate the posterior class probabilities. Do the calculations by hand.
- (c) Verify your results for both classifiers using Matlab, R, etc. You can use the built-in functions.

**Solution****Part (a)**

First we need to calculate the class means  $\hat{\mu}_0$  and  $\hat{\mu}_1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\mu}_0 &= \frac{1}{3}([0.6585, 0.2444] + [2.2460, 0.5281] + [-2.7665, -3.8303]) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3}[0.138, -3.8303] \\
 &= [0.046, -1.019267] \\
 \hat{\mu}_1 &= \frac{1}{3}([-1.2565, 3.4912] + [-0.7973, 1.2288] + [1.1170, 2.2637]) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3}[-0.9368, 6.9837] \\
 &= [-0.3122667, 2.3279000]
 \end{aligned}$$

Next calculate the covariance matrix for each class.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\Sigma}_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(( [0.6585, 0.2444] - \hat{\mu}_0 ) ( [0.6585, 0.2444] - \hat{\mu}_0 )^\top \\
 &\quad + ( [2.2460, 0.5281] - \hat{\mu}_0 ) ( [2.2460, 0.5281] - \hat{\mu}_0 )^\top \\
 &\quad + ( [-2.7665, -3.8303] - \hat{\mu}_0 ) ( [-2.7665, -3.8303] - \hat{\mu}_0 )^\top ) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0.33516 & 0.77400 \\ 0.77400 & 1.59685 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4.8400 & 3.4042 \\ 3.4042 & 2.394 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 7.9102 & 7.9060 \\ 7.9060 & 7.9019 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 6.5627 & 6.0421 \\ 6.0421 & 5.9466 \end{bmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Sigma}_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(([-1.2565, 3.4912] - \hat{\mu}_1)([-1.2565, 3.4912] - \hat{\mu}_1)^\top \\
&\quad + ([-0.7973, 1.2288] - \hat{\mu}_1)([-0.7973, 1.2288] - \hat{\mu}_1)^\top \\
&\quad + ([1.1170, 2.2637] - \hat{\mu}_1)([1.1170, 2.2637] - \hat{\mu}_1)^\top \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0.89158 & -1.09843 \\ -1.09843 & 1.35327 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0.23526 & 0.53310 \\ 0.53310 & 1.20802 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2.0426033 & -0.0917589 \\ -0.0917589 & 2.0426033 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} 1.58482 & -0.32854 \\ -0.32854 & 1.28270 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

We would like to maximize  $\hat{P}[Y = k] \hat{f}(X = x|Y = k)$  over  $k \in \{0, 1\}$  for our input  $x=(0,1)$ .

$$\arg \max_{k \in \{0,1\}} \hat{P}[Y = k] \left( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\hat{\Sigma}_k|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(x - \hat{\mu}_k)^\top \hat{\Sigma}_k^{-1}(x - \hat{\mu}_k)\right)$$

**case: k = 0**

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}[Y = 0] &= \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2} \\
|\hat{\Sigma}_0| &= 2.5180 \Rightarrow |\hat{\Sigma}_0|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.5868 \\
\left( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{2}{2}} |\hat{\Sigma}_0|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) &= \left( \frac{1}{9.9702} \right) = 0.10030 \\
\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(x - \hat{\mu}_0)^\top \hat{\Sigma}_0^{-1}(x - \hat{\mu}_0)\right) &= \\
\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} * 11.078\right) &= \exp(-5.5389) = 0.0039308 \\
\hat{P}[Y = 0] \hat{f}(X = x|Y = 0) &= .00019713
\end{aligned}$$

**case: k = 1**

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}[Y = 1] &= \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{2} \\
|\hat{\Sigma}_1| &= 1.9249 \Rightarrow |\hat{\Sigma}_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1.3874 \\
\left( \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{2}{2}} |\hat{\Sigma}_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) &= \frac{1}{8.7174} = 0.11471 \\
\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \hat{\mu}_1)^\top \hat{\Sigma}_1^{-1}(x - \hat{\mu}_1)\right) &= \\
\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} * 1.3752\right) &= \exp(-0.6876) = 0.50278 \\
\hat{P}[Y = 1] \hat{f}(X = x|Y = 1) &= 0.028838
\end{aligned}$$



Noting that  $0.00019713 < 0.028838$ ,  $k=1$  maximizes our function, thus we predict a class label of 1 for  $(0,1)$ . The posterior class probability is given by the following function.

$$\begin{aligned} P[Y = k|X = x] &= \frac{P[Y = k]f_{1\dots d}(X = x|Y = k)}{\sum_{i=0}^k P[Y = i]f_{Y\dots d}(X = x|Y = i)} \\ &= \frac{0.028838}{0.028838 + 0.00019713} \\ &= 0.99321 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we find that we have a posterior class probability of 99.321% for class  $k=1$ .

### Part (b)

The naive Bayes classification uses the same class norms  $\hat{\mu}_0$  and  $\hat{\mu}_1$ . However we will need to compute  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  values for each feature of each class.

**case:  $k = 0$**

First compute the variance of each feature.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_0^2 &= \frac{1}{3} * \sum_{j \in C_0} (x_j - \hat{\mu}_j)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (((0.6585, 0.2444) - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 + ((2.2460, 0.5281) - \hat{\mu}_0)^2 + ((-2.7665, -3.8303) - \hat{\mu}_0)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (13.125, 11.893) \\ &= (4.3751, 3.9644) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute  $f_i((0, 1)|Y = 0)$  for use in our classifier.

$$\begin{aligned} f((0, 1)|Y = 0) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hat{\sigma}_0^2\pi}} e^{-\frac{((0,1)-\hat{\mu}_0)^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_0^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(5.2431, 4.9909)} (0.99976, 0.59794) \\ &= (0.19068, 0.11981) \end{aligned}$$

We would like to maximize the following equation over all  $k$   $\hat{P}[Y = 0] \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(X_i|Y = k)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}[Y = 0] &= \frac{3}{6} \\ f_0(X_0|Y = 0) &= 0.19068 \\ f_1(X_1|Y = 0) &= 0.11981 \\ \frac{1}{2} * 0.19068 * 0.11981 &= 0.011423 \end{aligned}$$

**case: k = 1**

First compute the variance of each feature.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\sigma}_1^2 &= \frac{1}{3} * \sum_{j \in C_1} (x_i - \hat{\mu}_i)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (((-1.2565, 3.4912) - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 + ((-0.7973, 1.2288) - \hat{\mu}_1)^2 + (1.1170, 2.2637) - \hat{\mu}_1)^2) \\
 &= \frac{1}{3} (3.1696, 2.5654) \\
 &= (1.05655, 0.85514)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we can compute  $f_i((0, 1)|Y = 1)$  for use in our classifier.

$$\begin{aligned}
 f((0, 1)|Y = 1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hat{\sigma}_1^2\pi}} e^{-\frac{((0,1)-\hat{\mu}_1)^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_1^2}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(2.5765, 2.3180)} (0.95490, 0.35664) \\
 &= (0.37062, 0.15386)
 \end{aligned}$$

We would like to maximize the following equation over all k  $\hat{P}[Y = 1] \prod_{i=1}^d f_i(X_i|Y = k)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{P}[Y = 1] &= \frac{3}{6} \\
 f_0(X_0|Y = 0) &= 0.37062 \\
 f_1(X_1|Y = 0) &= 0.15386 \\
 \frac{1}{2} * 0.37062 * 0.15386 &= 0.028512
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $0.011423 < 0.028512$ , k=1 maximizes our function, thus we predict a class label of 1 for (0,1). The posterior class probability is given by the following function.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P[Y = k|X = x] &= \frac{P[Y = k]f_{1\dots d}(X = x|Y = k)}{\sum_{i=0}^k P[Y = i]f_{Y\dots d}(X = x|Y = i)} \\
 &= \frac{0.028512}{0.028512 + 0.011423} \\
 &= 0.71396
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we find that we have a posterior class probability of 71.396% for class k=1.

**Part (c)**

I have included the results of the R script that follows as comments directly below the corresponding print statements. The result of `qda(...)` in R produces the exact same posterior class probability as I have computed above. Currently my results for `NaiveBayes(...)` are off by about 4%, so I must have an error in my work somewhere.

---

```

1 #####
2 # Setup #
3 #####
4
5 library(MASS)
6 library(klaR)
7
8 data <- data.frame(
9     c(0.6585, 2.2460, -2.7665, -1.2565, -0.7973, 1.1170),
10    c(0.2444, 0.5281, -3.8303, 3.4912, 1.2288, 2.2637),
11    c(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1)
12 )
13
14 test <- data.frame(c(0), c(1))
15
16 names(data) <- c("F1", "F2", "CLASS")
17 names(test) <- c("F1", "F2")
18
19 train.size <- nrow(data)
20 train <- data[1:train.size, 1:2]
21 train.cl <- factor(data[1:train.size, 3])
22
23 #####
24 # QDA #
25 #####
26
27 model <- qda(x = train, grouping = train.cl)
28 predict <- predict(model, test)
29 print(predict)
30 print(paste("QDA: ", predict$class))
31
32 # Posterior Class Probabilities
33 # 0: 0.0067895
34 # 1: 0.9932105
35
36 #####
37 # Naive Bayes #
38 #####

```

```
39
40 model <- NaiveBayes(x = train, grouping = train.cl, usekernel=FALSE)
41 predict <- predict(model, test)
42 print(predict)
43 print(paste("Naive Bayes: ", predict$class))
44
45 # Posterior Class Probabilities
46 # 0: 0.2493135
47 # 1: 0.7506865
```

---