The question could for example be how employment percentage and trust in the police force can be correlated to the wealth of the country in salaries and health. It might seem a bit weird, but let us find out then. We start here:

Welcome to the **World Values Survey Data analysis tool**. To view data from a wave, click on the wave period from those listed below. You may return to this screen at any time to select a different wave.



Study on values realized in the countries of Europe by EVS research network is not included into the current data-set and is available for both downloading and online-analysis at: http://www.europeanvaluesstudy.eu

My first impression is that this is the wrong, in aspect order of questions. Next,

Select Wave	Select Countries
-------------	------------------


and:

# World Values Survey Wave 3: 1995-1999

Select Wave	Select Countries	Survey questions	Responses	Maps	Time Series
	untries you want to com he questions, [Select W				Next >>
Albania	Argentina		Armenia	☐ Austra	alia
<ul> <li>Azerbaijan</li> </ul>	Banglades	sh 🗆	Belarus	☐ Bosni	a and Herzegovina
Bulgaria	Colombia		Colombia	☐ Croati	а
Czech Republic	☐ Chile		China	☐ Domir	nican Republic
☐ El Salvador	Estonia		Finland	☐ Georg	<sub>i</sub> ia
Germany East	☐ Germany	West	Great Britain	☐ Hunga	ary
☐ India	<ul><li>Japan</li></ul>		Latvia	Lithua	inia
Macedonia			Mexico	☐ Moldo	va
Montenegro	New Zeala	and $\Box$	Nigeria	✓ Norwa	ay
Pakistan	☐ Peru		Philippines	Polan	d
Puerto Rico	Romania		Russian Federation	☐ Serbia	a
Slovakia	Slovenia		South Africa	☐ South	Korea
☑ Spain	Sweden		Switzerland	☐ Taiwa	n
Turkey	Ukraine		United States	☐ Urugu	ay
Venezuela					


I choose wave 3, because I want those countries. Wouldn't it be better to choose question first, countries next, and then waves available for the countries. But, next up, time for questions. Or, in this case, one question.

# World Values Survey Wave 3: 1995-1999




The functionality is sparse but quite useful, giving the possibility to filter among the very many alternatives of questions that can be picked.


# World Values Survey Wave 3: 1995-1999



### World Values Survey Wave 3: 1995-1999




#### overview? its uncomfortable.




So, we select a cross variable, and the new data that pops out looks similar to what we had before, but with other numbers.

### World Values Survey Wave 3: 1995-1999




Not that confusing. One can choose different cross sections.

# World Values Survey Wave 3: 1995-1999



### Different representations.



Selected sample: China 1995, Estonia 1996, Finland 1996, Great Britain 1998, Latvia 1996, Lithuania 1997, Norway 1996, Pakistan 1997, Poland 1997, Romania 1998, Russia 1995, Spain 1995, Sweden 1996, Turkey 1996, USA 1995 (1,961)

Three or more dimensions in this system is not recommended. I actually gave up the idea of trying to find an eventual correlation, and went on seeing if there is a trend over time.

### World Values Survey Wave - Time Series Select Wave Select Countries Survey questions Responses X028.- Employment status Choose the graph to show: Sweden Display Show Column % (all responses) Compare Countries by Category ■ ● Ⅲ Ⅲ ● ■ **Employment status** 70% 56% 42% 28% 14% 1994-1998 1999-2004 1981-1984 2005-2009 1989-1993 2010-2014 Full time Part time Self employed Retired Unemployed Other Housewife Students Missing; Unknown No answer O Don't know