DS4400 HW2

Xin Guan

1. Linear Regression: Consider the modified linear regression problem

$$\hat{\theta} = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\theta^{T} \phi(x_i) - y_i)^2 + \lambda ||\theta - \mathbf{a}||_{2}^{2}$$

where a is a known and given vector of the same dimension as that of θ . Derive the closed-form solution. Provide all steps of the derivation.

Solution:

$$\begin{split} f(\theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\theta^T \phi(x_i) - y_i)^2 + \lambda || \theta - \mathbf{a}||_2^2 \\ \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\theta^T \phi(x_i) - y_i)^2}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial \lambda || \theta - \mathbf{a}||_2^2}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[2(\theta^T \phi(x_i) - y_i) \frac{\partial (\theta^T \phi(x_i) - y_i)}{\partial \theta} \right] + \lambda \frac{\partial || \theta - \mathbf{a}||_2^2}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[2(\theta^T \phi(x_i) - y_i) \phi(x_i) \right] + \lambda \frac{\partial (\theta - \mathbf{a})^2}{\partial \theta} \\ \lambda \frac{\partial (\theta - \mathbf{a})^2}{\partial \theta} &= \lambda \frac{\partial (\theta^2 - 2\theta \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^2)}{\partial \theta} = \lambda (2\theta - 2\mathbf{a}) \\ \text{Therefore, } \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[2(\theta^T \phi(x_i) - y_i) \phi(x_i) \right] + 2\lambda (\theta - \mathbf{a}) \\ \text{Write all data } \phi(x_1), \phi(x_2) \dots \phi(x_N) \text{ as a matrix:} \\ \Phi &= \begin{bmatrix} \phi(x_1)^T \\ \phi(x_2)^T \\ \dots \\ \phi(x_N)^T \end{bmatrix} \text{ the dimension is } N \times \mathbf{d} \\ Y &= \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ the dimension is } N \times \mathbf{d} \\ \text{Then } \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= 2(\Phi^T \Phi \theta - \Phi^T Y) - 2\lambda (\theta - \mathbf{a}) \\ \text{Let } \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= 0 \\ \Phi^T \Phi \theta - \Phi^T Y &= \lambda (\theta - \mathbf{a}) \\ \Phi^T \Phi \theta - \lambda I_d \theta &= \Phi^T Y - \lambda I_d \mathbf{a} \\ \Phi^T \Phi \theta - \lambda I_d \theta &= \Phi^T Y - \lambda I_d \mathbf{a} \\ (\Phi^T \Phi - \lambda I_d)^{-1} (\Phi^T Y - \lambda I_d \mathbf{a}) \\ \theta &= (\Phi^T \Phi - \lambda I_d)^{-1} (\Phi^T Y - \lambda I_d \mathbf{a}) \end{split}$$

Therefore, $\hat{\theta}$ is $(\Phi^T \Phi - \lambda I_d)^{-1} (\Phi^T Y - \lambda I_d a)$

2. Robust Regression using Huber Loss: In the class, we defined the Huber loss as

$$\ell_{\delta}(e) = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{1}{2}e^2 & |e| \leq \delta \\ \delta|e| - \frac{\delta^2}{2} & |e| \geq \delta \end{array} \right.$$

Consider the robust regression model

$$\min_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell_{\delta}(y_i - \theta^T \phi(x_i))$$

where $\phi(x_i)$ and y_i denote the *i*-th input sample and output/response, respectivly and unknown parameter vector.

a) Provide the steps of the batch gradient descent in order to obtain the solution for θ . Solution:

Let
$$J(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \ell_{\delta}(y_i - \theta^T \phi(x_i))$$

We have : $\frac{\partial \ell_{\delta}(e)}{\partial e} = \begin{cases} e & |e| \leq \delta \\ \delta & e \geq \delta \\ -\delta & e \leq -\delta \end{cases}$

Therefore,
$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial \ell_{\delta}(y_{i} - \theta^{T} \phi(x_{i}))}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \begin{cases} [y_{i} - \theta^{T} \phi(x_{i})] \cdot \phi(x_{i}) & |y_{i} - \theta^{T} \phi(x_{i})| \leq \delta \\ \delta \cdot \phi(x_{i}) & y_{i} - \theta^{T} \phi(x_{i}) \geq \delta \\ -\delta \cdot \phi(x_{i}) & y_{i} - \theta^{T} \phi(x_{i}) \leq -\delta \end{cases}$$

Gradient Descent Steps:

Assuming we have a Maximum iteration number T_{max} , threshold ϵ and Learning rate ρ .

- (i) Pick the initial point θ^0
- (ii) For $t = 1, 2, ..., T_{max}$

• for
$$i = 1, 2, ..., N$$
, calculate $\frac{\partial \ell_{\delta}(y_i - \theta^T \phi(x_i))}{\partial \theta} = \begin{cases} [y_i - \theta^T \phi(x_i)] \cdot \phi(x_i) & |y_i - \theta^T \phi(x_i)| \leq \delta \\ \delta \cdot \phi(x_i) & y_i - \theta^T \phi(x_i) \geq \delta \\ -\delta \cdot \phi(x_i) & y_i - \theta^T \phi(x_i) \leq -\delta \end{cases}$

- sum them up to get $\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$
- If $\|\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\|_2^2 \le \epsilon$, return θ^{t-1} ; else, $\theta^t = \theta^{t-1} \rho \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta^{t-1}}$

b) Provide the steps of the stochastic gradient descent using mini-batches of size 1, i.e., one sample in each mini-batch, inorder to obtain the solution for θ

Solution:

This step is not very different from the above process. Just add a sampling step before the calculation of $\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$. In the sampling step, just randomly pick a $x_p \in \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_N\}$

Write down as:

Stochastic Gradient Descent Steps:

Assuming we have a Maximum iteration number T_{max} , threshold ϵ and Learning rate ρ .

- (i) Pick the initial point θ^0
- (ii) For $t = 1, 2, ..., T_{max}$
 - randomly pick an $x_p \in \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$

• Calculate
$$\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \ell_{\delta}(y_p - \theta^T \phi(x_p))}{\partial \theta} = \begin{cases} [y_p - \theta^T \phi(x_p)] \cdot \phi(x_p) & |y_p - \theta^T \phi(x_p)| \leq \delta \\ \delta \cdot \phi(x_p) & y_p - \theta^T \phi(x_p) \geq \delta \\ -\delta \cdot \phi(x_p) & y_p - \theta^T \phi(x_p) \leq \delta \end{cases}$$

2

```
• If \|\frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\|_2^2 \le \epsilon, return \theta^{t-1}; else, \theta^t = \theta^{t-1} - \rho \frac{\partial J(\theta)}{\partial \theta}|_{\theta^{t-1}}
```

- 3. **Probability and Random Variables:** State true or false. If true, prove it. If false, either prove or demonstrate by a counter example. Here Ω denotes the sample space and A^c denotes the complement of the event A. X and Y denote random variables.
 - (a) For any $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ such that $0 < P(A) < 1, P(A|B) + P(A|B^c) = 1$ Solution: This is False

Proof. From the Question, $P(B) + P(B^c) = 1$. $P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)}, P(A|B^c) = \frac{P(A \cap B^c)}{P(B^c)}$ Since $P(A \cap B) + P(A \cap B^c) = P(A)$ Since P(A + B) + P(A + B) = P(A + B) = P(A + B) $P(A|B) + P(A|B^c) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(B)} + \frac{P(A) - P(A \cap B)}{1 - P(B)}$ Then we let P(B) = 0.5, P(A) = 0.4 and $P(A \cap B) = 0.3$ $P(A|B) + P(A|B^c) = \frac{0.3}{0.5} + \frac{0.4 - 0.3}{1 - 0.5} = 0.6 + 0.2 = 0.8 \neq 1$

Therefore, the given term is False.

(b) For any $A, B \subseteq \Omega$ $P(B^c \cap (A \cup B)) + P(A^c \cup B) = 1$

Solution: This is **True**

Proof. $P(B^c \cap (A \cup B)) = P((B^c \cap A) \cup (B^c \cap B)) = P((B^c \cap A) \cup \emptyset) = P(B^c \cap A)$ Therefore, $P(B^c \cap (A \cup B)) + P(A^c \cup B) = P(B^c \cap A) + P(A^c \cup B)$ Since $P(A) = P(A \cap B^c) + P(A \cap B)$, We can write $P(B^c \cap A) = P(A) - P(A \cap B)$ Also, we can write $P(A^c \cup B) = P(A^c) + P(B) - P(A^c \cap B)$ Then $P(B^c \cap (A \cup B)) + P(A^c \cup B)$ $= P(B^c \cap A) + P(A^c \cup B)$ $= P(A) - P(A \cap B) + P(A^{c}) + P(B) - P(A^{c} \cap B)$ $= P(A) + P(A^c) + P(B) - (P(A \cap B) + P(A^c \cap B))$ Since $P(A) + P(A^c) = 1$ and $P(A \cap B) + P(A^c \cap B) = P(B)$ $P(B^c \cap (A \cup B)) + P(A^c \cup B) = 1$

(c) $P(A_1,...,A_n) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1,A_2)\cdots P(A_n|A_1,\cdots,A_{n-1})$

Solution: This is **True**

Proof. (By induction)

Base Case: n = 1

When $n = 1, P(A_1, ..., A_n) = P(A_1),$

 $P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1,A_2)\cdots P(A_n|A_1,\cdots,A_{n-1})=P(A_1)$

Therefore, when n = 1, $P(A_1, ..., A_n) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1, A_2)\cdots P(A_n|A_1, ..., A_{n-1})$ is ture.

Inductive Steps:

Inductive Hypothesis:

 $P(A_1,...,A_n) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1,A_2)\cdots P(A_n|A_1,...,A_{n-1})$ is true when n = k. Claim:

 $P(A_1,...,A_n) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1,A_2)\cdots P(A_n|A_1,...,A_{n-1})$ is true when n = k+1 **Proof** of Claim:

When n = k+1, right hand side:

$$P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1,A_2)\cdots P(A_n|A_1,\cdots,A_{n-1})$$

$$= P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1,A_2)\cdots P(A_n|A_1,\cdots,A_{k-1})P(A_n|A_1,\cdots,A_k)$$

$$= P(A_1,\ldots,A_k)P(A_{k+1}|A_1,\ldots,A_{k+1-1})$$

$$= P(A_1, ..., A_k) \frac{P(A_{k+1} \cap A_1, ..., A_k)}{P(A_1, ..., A_k)}$$

$$= P(A_{k+1} \cap A_1, ..., A_k)$$

$$= P(A_1, ..., A_{k+1})$$

Therefore, the claim is true.

Thus,
$$P(A_1,...,A_n) = P(A_1)P(A_2|A_1)P(A_3|A_1,A_2)\cdots P(A_n|A_1,\cdots,A_{n-1})$$
 is true.

(d) If X and Y are independent discrete random variables, then E[XY] = E[X]E[Y], where $E[\cdots]$ denotes expectation.

Solution:

Proof.
$$X \perp \!\!\!\perp Y \Rightarrow P(XY) = P(X)P(Y)$$

 $E(XY)$
 $= \sum xyP(XY)$
 $= \sum xyP(X)P(Y)$
 $= \sum xP(X)\sum yP(Y)$
 $= E[X]E[Y]$

4. **Maximum Likelihood Estimation:** Assume $X_1, X_2, ..., X_N$ are i.i.d. random variables each taking a real value, where

$$p_{\delta}(X_i = x_i) = e^{-\delta^2 + \delta x_i}$$

Here, δ is the parameter of the distribution. Assume, we observe $X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_N = x_N$.

(a) Write down the likelihood function $L(\delta)$.

Solution:

$$L(\delta) = \prod_{i=1}^{i=N} p_{\delta}(X_i = x_i)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{i=N} e^{-\delta^2 + \delta x_i}$$

(b) Derive the maximum likelihood or log-likelihood estimation of δ for the given observations. Provide all steps of derivations.

Solution:

$$log(L(\delta)) = log(\prod_{i=1}^{i=N} e^{-\delta^2 + \delta x_i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} log(e^{-\delta^2 + \delta x_i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} -\delta^2 + \delta x_i$$

$$= -N\delta^2 + \delta \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} x_i$$
Then
$$\frac{\partial log(L(\delta))}{\partial \delta} = \frac{\partial (-N\delta^2 + \delta \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} x_i)}{\partial \delta} = -2N\delta + \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} x_i$$
Let
$$\frac{\partial log(L(\delta))}{\partial \delta} = 0. \text{ Then, } -2N\delta + \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} x_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2N\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} x_i \Rightarrow \delta = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} x_i}{2N}$$
Therefore,
$$\hat{\delta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{i=N} x_i}{2N}$$

5. **Logistic Regression:** In the logistic regression for binary classification ($y \in \{0, 1\}$), we defined $p(y = 1|x) = \sigma(\omega^T x)$, where the sigmoid function is defined as

$$\sigma(z) \triangleq \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

Assume we have trained the logistic regression model using a given dataset and have learned ω . Let x_n be a test sample.

(a) Assume $\omega^T x_n < 0.3$. To which class x_n belongs? Provide details of your derivations.

Solution:

$$\omega^{T} x_{n} < 0.3 \Rightarrow e^{-\omega^{T} x_{n}} > e^{-0.3}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + e^{-\omega^{T} x_{n}} > 1 + e^{-0.3}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\omega^{T} x_{n}}} < \frac{1}{1 + e^{-0.3}}$$

$$\Rightarrow p(y = 1|x) < 0.5744$$

(b) Assume $\frac{1}{1+e^{\omega^T x_n}} = 0.7$. To which class x_n belongs and with what probability? Provide details of your derivations.

Solution:

$$\frac{1}{1+e^{\omega^T x_n}} = 0.7 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{0.7} = 1 + 1 + e^{\omega^T x_n} \Rightarrow e^{\omega^T x_n} = \frac{1}{0.7} - 1 \Rightarrow e^{-\omega^T x_n} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{0.7} - 1} = \frac{0.7}{1-0.7} = \frac{0.7}{0.3}$$
$$\sigma(\omega^T x_n) = \frac{1}{1+\frac{0.7}{0.3}} = \frac{0.3}{0.3+0.7} = 0.3$$

Therefore, $p(y = 0|x_n) = 1 - p(y = 1|x_n) = 1 - 0.3 = 0.7$

Thus, x_n belongs to 0 with probability of 0.7