

MAT257 Notes

Jad Elkhaleq Ghalayini

November 16 2018

We begin by recalling two definitions for a manifold which we discussed last time

Definition 1. A set $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a C^r submanifold of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension k if

3. For all $a \in M$, there is an open neighborhood U of a in \mathbb{R}^n , an open subset $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a C^r diffeomorphism $h : U \rightarrow V$ such that

$$h(M \cap U) = V \cap (\mathbb{R}^k \times \{\mathbf{0}\})$$

4. For all $a \in M$, there is an open neighborhood U of a in \mathbb{R}^n , an open $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a C^r mapping $\varphi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

- φ is a bijection
- $\varphi(W) = M \cap U$
- φ has rank k at every point of W
- “ $\varphi^{-1} : \varphi(W) \rightarrow W$ is continuous” i.e. for every open subset Ω of W ,

$$\varphi(\Omega) = \varphi(W) \cap \tilde{U}$$

where U is open in \mathbb{R}^n .

We'll now show that the fourth definition implies the third:

Proof. Say $a = \varphi(b)$ for some $b \in W$. We can assume

$$\frac{\partial(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)}{\partial(y_1, \dots, y_k)}$$

has rank k on W . Define $\psi : W \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$(y, z) \mapsto \varphi(y) + (0, z)$$

Then we get the block matrix

$$\psi'(y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k)}{\partial(y_1, \dots, y_k)} & 0 \\ * & I \end{pmatrix}$$

This shows that ψ has rank n for all $y \in W$, since its determinant is nonzero. But that means that we can apply the inverse function theorem. So by the inverse function theorem, there are open neighborhoods V'_1 of $(b, 0)$ and U'_1 of $\psi(b, 0) = \varphi(b) = a$ such that $\psi : V'_1 \rightarrow U'_1$ has a C^r inverse $\psi^{-1} : U'_1 \rightarrow V'_1$.

We have that

$$\psi^{-1}(\varphi(y)) = (y, 0) \in V'_1 = \varphi(W) \cap \tilde{U}$$

where U is open in \mathbb{R}^n . Take $U_1 = U'_1 \cap \tilde{U}$ and $V_1 = \psi^{-1}(U_1)$. We have

$$M \cap U_1 = \{\varphi(y) : (y, 0) \in V_1\}$$

So

$$h = \psi^{-1}|_{U_1}$$

satisfies the conditions implied by (3) since

$$h(M \cap U_1) = \psi^{-1}(M \cap U_1) = \{(y, 0) : (y, 0) \in V_1\} = V_1 \cap (\mathbb{R}^k \times \{\mathbf{0}\})$$

□

This is quite a delicate topological argument, and gi