MAT454 Notes

Jad Elkhaleq Ghalayini

March 2 2020

The Conformal Mapping Problem

Let f be a holomorphism, and assume $f'(z_0) = 0$. Then f^{-1} exists in a neighborhood of $f(z)$, and f is **conformal** at z_0 (preserves angles and their orientations). A nonconstant holomorphic mapping $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ is **open**, if it is one to one, then f is a homeomorphism onto its image $f(\Omega)$, and f^{-1} is a holomorphism.

Definition 1. *A **conformal** or **biholomorphic** mapping $f : \Omega \to \Omega'$ is a holomorphic mapping with aholomorphic inverse.*

We are now faced with the **conformal mapping problem**:

- Given domains $\Omega, \Omega' \subset \mathbb{C}$, are they biholomorphic?
- If so, can we find all biholomorphisms?

We note that, for $f, g : \Omega \to \Omega'$, f, g are biholomorphisms if and only if $g^{-1} \circ f \in \operatorname{Aut}\Omega$, the group of biholomorphisms of Ω with itself. Furthermore, f induces a conjugation map

$$\operatorname{Aut}\Omega \to \operatorname{Aut}\Omega', \quad S \mapsto f \circ S \circ f^{-1}$$

Now let's consider some examples, starting with the complex plane itself. We have that

$$\operatorname{Aut}\mathbb{C} = \{\text{linear transformations } w = az + b, \quad a \neq 0\}$$

Suppose $w = f(z) \in \operatorname{Aut}\mathbb{C}$. At ∞, f has either an essential signularity or a pole. But we can show we don't have an essential singularity. On the other hand, what about when f is a polynomial, say of degree n, then that must mean it is not one-to-one, because $f(z) = w$ has n distinct roots for almost every value of w, except at roots of the derivative $w = f(z), f'(z) = 0$. So $n = 1$.