**Emotional climate, Work stress and Occupational Cognitive Failure in doctors**

***Abstract***

*The current study was conducted to explore emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure among doctors. The sample of 150 doctors was selected from two cities of Pakistan i.e. Jhang and Faisalabad. Emotional climate was measured by Emotional climate scale by Yurtsever & De Rivera (2010), for work stress, Work Place Stress Scale by American institute of stress and adapted by Fatima Chohan (2013) was used. Occupational Cognitive Failure was measured by occupational cognitive failure questionnaire by Allahyari, Rangi, Khosravi and Zayeri (2011) was used. Results revealed that Emotional climate, work stress and cognitive failure are significantly positively correlated. No significant difference between male and female, rural and urban, government and private doctors on the level of emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure was found. Significant difference between nuclear and joint family doctors on the level of work stress was found. Doctors fromnuclear family systemshowed higherlevel of work stress as compared to Joint family doctors. Emotional climate, work stress and demographic variables are predictors which collectively and significantly contributed to occupational cognitive failure.*

**Introduction**

In recent era, the high job stress is considered the major cause for job change in employees. This job change affects individual well-being as well as cognitive abilities of employees. The high stress is assumed to be related with discrepancy in between employees job and its requirements. This mismatch intensifies stress at workplace and also affects the life of the employees. Employees are expected to be adjustable at workplace for changing environmental demands. The low level of job and employee fit leads to occupational cognitive failure, high level of stress and inefficient workplace behavior (Deniz, 2015). The emotional climates of the organization created by the policies of the organizations and the work stress have an impact on the cognitive functioning of the employees.

We all as human beings are emotional beings and this emotional being experience different emotions like hatred, anger, joy and love. Emotions are described as the feelings about self at conscious level about the objects in the environment and tags that humans assign to specific states of provocation from a cultural point of view (Turner, 2007). Emotions are experienced on constant basis. The perceived Emotional arousal might be either positive or negative for instance excitement or fear (Berggren, 2011). The Emotional climate refers predominant collective emotions as perceived and shared by all members of societalclustersjust like national community or ethnic minorities. De Rivera and Paez (2007) explained the emotional climate as predom­inant shared emotions engenderedby the interaction of social group members in certain specific setting. The emotional climate of a certain organization includes its beliefs, attitudes, goals and values. Comprehensively the emotional climate is regarded as the perceived feelings of the majority members in an orga­nization about thesitua­tion assembled by the organization. The debate encompassing theconceptions of organizational culture and climate is inconclusive. Culture is somewhat stable pattern while the climate is relatively temporary condition.

According to Cox, Griffiths and Rial-Gonzalez (2006) stress is a state of nervousness and uneasiness with the work, which have direct effect on employee emotions as well as physical health. Holmlund, Rytkonen and Strandvik, (2005) stated that Work stress is the lower level of the capacity to cover with work pressure and the wrong selection of the occupation and miss requirements of the work from the occupation. According to Greenberg, (1990) there are different factors which cause the stress reaction. These factors include psychological (threats to self-esteem, depression), biological (cold, toxins, heat), philosophical (use of time, purpose in life) and sociological (unemployment, birth of a child death of a loved one). In any case, the body’s reaction will be the same regardless of the stressor.

Occupational cognitive failure (OCF) is a bunch of mistakes that happen at workplace (Allahyari, Rangi, Khosravi & Zayeri 2011). The term cognitive failures were used by Broadbent et al (1982) which refers to minors slips which cause the normal course of proposed action either physical or mental, to be disrupted. Occupational Cognitive failures depictoverallaccountability towards recurrent gaps regarding cognitive control at workplace.

Many individuals areinclined to indulge cognitive failuremistakes more frequently than other persons. Occupational cognitive failures can bemajor concern as well as barricade to effectivelyaccomplishing the routine work. Themajor elements whichenhance susceptibility to occupational cognitive failures are not preciselydefined. The healthy aged persons are linked with deteriorationforcertainkind of cognitive abilities liked emanded recall (Hohman, Beason-Held, Lamar, &Resnick, 2011).Cognitive failures are failures in perception, memory, and motor functioning, in which the action and intention don’t match (Broadbent et al, 1982). Thus, occupational cognitive failure comprises several types of implementation lapses or the lapses in attention. The cognitive errorswhich occur at workplace environment called occupational cognitive failures.

Occupational cognitive failure is something that happens to every person in day to day functions, these may include perceptual failures or failures of actions and memory for example fail to recall people’s names when you encounter them (Allahyari, Rangi, Khosravi & Zayeri 2011). The common threat is a departure from the normal smooth flow of day to day functions and that the events of the day do not precede with the individuals intentions (Broadbent et al, 1982). The cognitive failure at workplace or occupational cognitive failures are reportedly related to many other constructs such as inattention, fatigue, work pressure, working environment unsuitability and work family conflicts (Allahyari, Rangi, Khosravi&Zayeri 2011).

Emotional Climate includesemotionality revealed by employees, the image perceived by the incoming persons and numerous other stuffs that are observed at a glance. It is somewhat challenging to label a specific climate to a title. It is the feelings of individuals when someone walks into a new room (Evans, et.al.2009). Employees of an organization generally have maximum agreement in their opinions regarding climate.

There are several reasons for work stress, which are classified by researchers in different manners. Job stress and occupational cognitive failure are positively related with each other.The various laws which an individual have to obey andthe demand of high attentionat workplacealso hang onto someone in high stress (Kahn & Byosiere 1992). There is positive relation with work demand, emotional demands and cognitive stress and due to that we experience cognitive failure.

Fairbrother and Warn (2003), reported the teamwork and environment have significant impacts on job satisfaction among naval officers. Kahn and Byosiere, (1992) stated in their model of job stress that employee’s health and well-being are related to the different qualities of the work place environment.

The term occupational cognitive failures refer to negligible slips which leads to normal flow of actions disrupted at workplace. Occupational Cognitive failures depict overall accountability towards recurrent terrors in cognitive mechanism. Wallace and Chen (2005) devised a tool for workplace cognitive failures, and also reported negative relationships between safety-related behaviors and occupational cognitive failures (OCF).Studies evaluating the correlation between safety and occupational cognitive failures showed that cognitive failures predict the performance of persons at workplace (Wickens, Toplak,& Wiesenthal, 2008).

Arthur and Barrett (2003) reported positive correlation between work place stress and cognitive failures (CF). Distraction, inattention, and cognitive processing faults at workplacelead to accidents (Arthur & Barrett, 2003).The same results were documented by O'hare, Wiggins and Morrison, (1994).

**Rationale of the Study**

The main purpose of the current study was to investigate the effects of emotional climate, job stress, and occupational cognitive failure among doctors. The study will be an addition to existing body of knowledge that how these variables are related and how these variables affect the employees. It will also add informationon how to minimize the effects of stress. Moreover the current study will be a foundation for more research and beneficial for stakeholders.The cognitive traits like emotions and moods are a vital part of human life.These cognitive traits not only influence the person at individual level but also influence others at interactional level. In a rescent investigation Batool et al (2018) explored the impact of cognitive failure on every day memory and insomnia. Emotional climate is shapedby the interactions among people at workplace (Schneider, Parkington, &Buxton 1980). The emotional climate is considered relatively stable trait and influenced by the individuals / groups. In current era where the government as well as private owners are continuously trying to impose more and more responsibilities over the serving staff and the resulting work stress may have some type of impact on occupational cognitive failures. This study will help to know how work stress, emotional climate and occupational cognitive failure are related, and this study will help for awareness to overcome the social and domestic problems which are only due to these factors. The study aimed to examine the relationship between work stress, cognitive failure and emotional climate. To find out more about the work stress, cognitive failure and emotional climate and how well these related to each other.

**Objectives**

1. To examine the relationship of emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure
2. To find out the effect of emotional climate and work stress on occupational cognitive failure
3. To examine the gender differences regarding emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure.
4. To examine the differences of government and private doctors regarding emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure.

**Method**

**Participants**

The sample consisted of 150 MBBS and FCPS doctors who were working in private or government hospitals, of age range 25 to 55 years. Both male and female doctors were selectedThe doctors who had personal clinic and working independently or the doctors who had been retired from service were excluded from the sample. Sample was taken conveniently from the various hospitals of Jhang and Faisalabad. Demographic variables used in this study were age, gender, institute, family system and residence.

**Measures**

Following scales were used in this study to measure the variables.

**Emotional Climate**

Emotional climate was measured by Emotional Climate scale developed by Yurtsever & De Rivera (2010). It is a 7-point rating scale, consists of 28 items.Item no 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 24, and 27 has reverse scoring.

**Work stress Scale**

Work stress was measured by the work place stress scale developed by American institute of stress and adapted by Chohan (2013). Work place stress scale haseight items and it is a five point Likert scale.Higher scores indicate higher levels of occupational stress.

**Occupational Cognitive Failure Scale**

Occupational cognitive failure questionnaire by Allahyari, Rangi, Khosravi and Zayeri (2011) was used for occupational cognitive failure measurement. A five point likert scale consists of 30 items, Cronbach alpha value of the scale reported by auther is 0.96.

**Procedure**

In order to complete the requirement of the study informed consent was designed according to the ethics of research. Purpose of the study was explained to the participants and confidentiality of the research was ensured. The demographic information were gathered through demographic sheet.Keeping in view the objectives of the study, the appropriate statistical analysis was used through SPSS.

**Result**

This study was conducted to explore emotional climate, work stress and occupationalcognitive failure among doctors. The data was analyzed by using t-test, correlation and regression to investigate the differences between groups, relationship between variables of the study and impact of independent variable on dependent variable respectively. The Hierarchical regression analysis was done where emotional climate, work stress and demographical variables were taken as independent variable and occupationalcognitive failure was taken as dependent variable.

**Table 1**

*Descriptive data of demographic variables*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | Frequencies | Percentage |
| Age |  |  |
| 25-40 | 46 | 30.6 |
| 41-55 | 104 | 69.33 |
| Birth order |  |  |
| 1-2 | 92 | 61.3 |
| 3-5 | 58 | 38.67 |
| Institution |  |  |
| Government | 83 | 55.3 |
| Private | 67 | 44.7 |
| Family System |  |  |
| Nuclear | 88 | 58.7 |
| Joint | 62 | 41.3 |
| Residence |  |  |
| Rural | 66 | 44.0 |
| Urban  Gender | 84 | 56.0 |
| Male  Female | 74  76 | 49.3  50.7 |

Table 1 described the details of demographical picture of the sample.Around 30.6 % of individuals were of age 25-40 years while 60.4% of participants were of age 41-55 years. Government employees were 55.4% and 44.6% were private employees. Around 58.6% of participants belonged to neuclear family system and 41.4% were from joint family system. It was found that 44% of participants were from rural background and 56% of individuals had urban background. From the sample 49.4% were male and around 50.6% were females doctors.

**Table 2**

*Summary of Correlation Analysis: Relationship of Emotional Climate Work Stress and Occupational Cognitive Failure(N=150)*

|  | Emotional Climate | Work stress | Occupational cognitive failures |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Emotional Climate | 1 | .39\*\* | .56\*\* |
| Work stress |  | 1 | .26\*\* |
| Occupational Cognitive failure |  |  | 1 |

*P,0.01\*\**

The results of correlation analysis indicate that emotional climate is positively related with work stress and cognitive failure. Similarly, work stress has significantly positive relationship with cognitive failure. Emotional climate is positively correlated with work stress and occupational cognitive failure with r .395\*\* and *r .*56\*\*. On the other hand work stress and occupationalcognitive failure was correlated with *r*= .26\*\*.

**Table 3**

*Comparison of Male and Female Doctors on Emotional Climate, Work Stress and Occupational Cognitive Failure by Independent Samples T-Test (N=150).*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable |  | Gender | |  | |  | | | | |
| Male  (*n*=74) | | Female  (*n*=76) | | |  |  | *95% CI* | |  |
| Emotional Climate | *M* | *SD* | *M* | | *SD* | *t(148)* | *P* | *LL* | *UL* | *Cohen’s d* |
| 117.33 | 17.03 | 119.88 | | 13.64 | -1.01 | .31 | -7.51 | 2.43 | 0.16 |
| Work Stress | 26.89 | 4.67 | 27.26 | | 5.71 | -.43 | .66 | -2.05 | 1.31 | 0.07 |
| Occupational Cognitive failure | 109.95 | 18.00 | 105.93 | | 13.55 | 1.54 | .12 | -1.13 | -9.18 | 0.25 |

Independent samples T-test comute gender difference on Emotional climate, work stress and Occupationalcognitive failure. The results revealed that Male and Female doctors have no significant difference on Emotional climate, work stress and Occupational cognitive failure (Table 3). To compare the Rural and urban doctors on Emotional climate, work stress and Occupationalcognitive failure, independent sample T-test was done. No significant difference was found on this comparison (Table 4).

The results further revealed that Nuclear and Joint family doctors are significantly different on work stress, while no significant difference on emotional climate and Occupational cognitive failure was found. The analysis of mean showed that Nuclear family doctors had higher level of work stress as compared to Joint family doctors (Table 5).

**Table 4**

*Comparison of Rural and Urban doctors on Emotional climate, work stress and Occupational cognitive failure by Independent Samples t-test (N=150).*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable |  | Residence | |  | |  | | | | |
| Rural  (*n*=66) | | Urban  (*n*=86) | | |  |  | *95% CI* | |  |
| Emotional Climate | *M* | *SD* | *M* | | *SD* | *t(148)* | *P* | *LL* | *UL* | *Cohen’s d* |
| 120.09 | 11.51 | 117.47 | | 17.87 | 1.08 | .28 | -2.15 | 7.38 | 0.17 |
| Work Stress | 27.15 | 4.16 | 27.02 | | 5.93 | .14 | .88 | -1.57 | 1.82 | 0.02 |
| Occupational Cognitive failure | 109.37 | 13.77 | 106.77 | | 17.51 | 1.02 | .31 | -2.44 | 7.65 | 0.16 |

**Table 5**

*Comparison of Nuclear and Joint family doctors on Emotional climate, Work Stress and Occupational Cognitive Failure by Independent Samples t-test (N=150)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable |  | Family System | |  | |  | | | | |
| Nuclear Family  (*n*=88) | | Joint Family  (*n*=62) | | |  |  | *95% CI* | |  |
| Emotional Climate | *M* | *SD* | *M* | | *SD* | *t(148)* | *P* | *LL* | *UL* | *Cohen’s d* |
| 119.86 | 14.78 | 116.87 | | 16.22 | 1.17 | .24 | -2.05 | 8.03 | 0.19 |
| Work Stress | 27.87 | 5.73 | 25.95 | | 4.15 | 2.38 | .01 | .32 | 3.51 | 0.38 |
| Occupational Cognitive failure | 107.36 | 15.19 | 108.70 | | 17.21 | -.50 | .61 | -6.59 | 3.90 | 0.08 |

**Table 6**

*Comparison of Government and Private Doctors on Emotional Climate, Work Stress and Occupational Cognitive Failure by Independent Samples t-test (N=150)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Variable |  | Institute | |  | |  | | | | |
| Government  (*n*=83) | | Private  (*n*=67) | | |  |  | *95% CI* | |  |
| Emotional Climate | *M* | *SD* | *M* | | *SD* | *t(148)* | *P* | *LL* | *UL* | *Cohen’s d* |
| 119.13 | 17.07 | 118.00 | | 13.16 | ,446 | .65 | -3.88 | 6.14 | 0.07 |
| Work Stress | 23.16 | 3.21 | 23.79 | | 3.56 | -.318 | .75 | -1.27 | .92 | 0.18 |
| Occupational Cognitive failure | 106.61 | 15.49 | 109.53 | | 16.53 | -1.11 | .26 | -8.10 | 2.25 | 0.18 |

Comparision of Govt. and Private Doctors on Emotional climate, work stress and Occupational cognitive failure showed no significant difference on Emotional climate, work stress and Occupational cognitive failure (Table 6).

The results of hierarchical multiple regressions in which Emotional climate and work stress were independent variable and Occupationalcognitive failure as dependent variable in the first step. Step 1 produced a significant *R2*of .30which means that independent variables collectively predicted 30% variance in occupationalcognitive failure. In step 2 Demographic variables of gender, Residence, Family system and birth order of participants was entered as independent variables and occupationalcognitive failure as dependent variable. Step 2 produced a significant *R2* of .36 which means that demographic variables collectively predicted 36% variance in occupational cognitive failure (Table 7).

**Table 7**

*Summary of Hierarchical Regression Analysis: Effect of Emotional Climate, Work Stress and Demographic Characteristics on Occupational Cognitive Failure (N=150)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Variables | Occupational Cognitive Failure | |
| *∆R2* | *Β* |
| Step 1 | .30\*\*\* |  |
| Emotional Climate |  | .54 |
| Work Stress |  | .05 |
| Step 2 | .36\*\* |  |
| Gender of participants |  | -.12 |
| Residence of participants |  | -.07 |
| Education of participants |  | -.03 |
| Family System of participants |  | .08 |
| Birth order of participants |  | -.20 |
| Total *R2* | .66\*\* |  |

*Note: ∆R*2= R Square change, *R2*= R Square

*\*p*<.05, *\*\*p*<.01, *\*\*\*p*<.001

**Discussion**

The aim of current study was to examine the relationship between emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure. Firstly we hypothesized that Emotional climate, work stress and Occupationalcognitive failure would have relationship and the findings of the current study supported this assumption. The results indicated that emotional climate, work stress and Occupationalcognitive failure were related with each other. The findings of current study are in the line of the findings ofParkand Kim (2013). They concluded that work stress and Occupationalcognitive failure are positively related with each other. Elfering, Grebner, & Ebener, (2015) study also supported the findings of this study. This positive relation seems quite natural. The person who have work stress and emotionally charged climate may also have Occupationalcognitive failures about daily tasks.

In second hypothesis we assumed that male and female doctors would be different on emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure. The findings of the current study depicted no significant difference regarding emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure. The findings of current study are supported by Arthur and Barrett (2003) work, they found no gender difference on emotional climate, work stress and occupationalcognitive failure. This insignificant difference may be explained as both male and female doctors have same nature of job.

The third hypothesis was that government and private doctors were different on emotional climate, work stress and occupational cognitive failure. The findings depicted no significant difference regarding emotional climate, work stress, occupational cognitive failure in government and private doctors. These insignificant differences may also be interpreted due to same nature of job. Further it was assumed that emotional climate, work stress and demographic variables would likely to predict occupational cognitive failure. The results indicated that predictors collectively contributed in outcome variable. Emotional climate, work stress, Gender, residence, family system, education and birth order have significant contribution to the outcome variable occupational cognitive failure.

There was no specific research related to the predictors of the occupational cognitive failures however few previously conducted studies partially supported the result (Wadsworth, Simpson, Moss, and Smith, 2003; and Broadbent, Cooper, FitzGerald, and Parkes, 1982). Common sense and logic support our findings. Emotional climate and work stress has thirty percent contribution in occupational cognitive failure. If the environment will emotionally charge and employees would have work stress then surely they will be prone for cognitive errors at workplace.

**Conclusion**

The results concluded that emotional climate, work stress and occupationalcognitive failure are significantly positively correlated with each other. Male and Female doctors experience same level of emotional climate, work stress and occupationalcognitive failure. Similarly government and private doctors are equal on the level of emotional climate, work stress and occupationalcognitive failure. The Organizations like hospitals mustfocus on the role of doctors if they inclined to preventnegative safety behaviors.

**Suggestions and limitations of the study**

The sample used for this study was taken from only two cities i.e. Jhang and Faisalabad doctors, sample from other cities should be included to generalize the results. The job level can also have significant impact on work stress and cognitive failure. Future researcher should also include participants from different level of jobs to study the effects of occupational cognitive failureamong individuals working on different levels. These phenomenon should also be explored in people working on other jobs like clerks, engineers, professors.
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