

# LMI-based Neural Network Observer for State and Nonlinearity Estimation

1<sup>st</sup> Yeongho Jeong

Department of Mechanical and Robotics Engineering  
Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology  
Gwangju, Republic of Korea  
bbang988@gm.gist.ac.kr

2<sup>nd</sup> Kyunghwan Choi

Cho Chun Shik Graduate School of Mobility  
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology  
Daejeon, Republic of Korea  
kh.choi@kaist.ac.kr

**Abstract**—This paper proposes a design method for linear matrix inequality (LMI)-based neural network (NN) observer gain in discrete-time domain. The proposed scheme employs an NN with a single hidden layer to approximate the lumped nonlinear term which includes uncertainties. A Lyapunov function is constructed to guarantee the stability of both the linear observer and the NN updates. The observer gain is determined by solving the LMI conditions, and the design is simplified by minimizing the number of tuning parameters, using a common gain structure for all vertices. Furthermore, designing an  $H_\infty$  observer can reduce the effect of NN approximation error and the measurement noise. The key advantages of the proposed method lie in its optimal LMI-based observer gain design, minimal tuning parameter requirement, and the capability to estimate both the system states and the lumped nonlinear term simultaneously. Simulation results indicate that the proposed method successfully tracks the actual states and the lumped nonlinear term and reduce the effects of NN approximation error and the measurement noise with comparison of the root mean square error (RMSE) values.

**Index Terms**—neural networks (NN), linear matrix inequalities (LMI),  $H_\infty$  observers, discrete-time nonlinear systems

## I. INTRODUCTION

State estimation is important in the analysis and control of nonlinear systems. In particular, the problem of simultaneously estimating the system states and the nonlinearities in the discrete-time domain has received considerable attention over the past decades [1]–[3]. This task becomes significantly more challenging in the presence of system uncertainties, external disturbances, and measurement noise, all of which are common in practical engineering systems.

To address these challenges, various observer design techniques have been proposed, including Extended Kalman Filters [4]–[6], Sliding Mode Observers [7]–[9], and neural network-based observers [10]–[12]. Especially, neural network-based observers have shown great promise due to their universal function approximation capability and adaptability to unmodeled dynamics. Furthermore, its capability for online learning offers significant advantages, which have led to successful applications in various engineering systems including robotic manipulators [14], [16], [16], power electronic converters [17], [18], and electric drives [19]–[21]. Their

flexibility and learning ability make them particularly attractive in scenarios where the system is subject to time-varying dynamics, uncertainties, and measurement noise—conditions under which traditional observers often struggle.

In this paper, the performance of the proposed method is compared with that of two existing NN-based observers. In [10], the update law of the weight matrices using backpropagation, combined with an e-modification term to incorporate damping, was proposed. However, this method requires the selection of many tuning parameters and does not guarantee stability, as it only keeps the estimation error within a bounded region. Due to these factors, the system may exhibit a tendency to diverge over time in response to rapid changes in operating point. In [11], a gain design approach based on LMI was presented to estimate the system state and update the neural network weight. Although this method allows for the computation of optimal gains and demonstrates robustness against external factors such as measurement noise, it may exhibit divergence after discretization due to the formulation in the continuous-time domain. In addition, similar to previous approach, it involves a large number of tuning parameters, making it complex and highly sensitive to parameter selection.

This paper focuses on the design of a discrete-time observer using a neural network with a single hidden layer to estimate both the system states and the lumped nonlinear term, which includes uncertainties. A linear observer is augmented with an NN-based nonlinear estimator, and a Lyapunov-based approach is employed to ensure the stability of the overall estimation scheme.

The proposed scheme determines the observer gain by solving an LMI-based problem, which is the gain that satisfies the stability for all vertices of the system. The number of tuning parameters is minimized, which simplifies the implementation and reduces design complexity. In addition, a neural network-based observer design that guarantees  $H_\infty$  performance to reduce the impact of the approximation error or measurement noise in the proposed method. Simulation results validate the effectiveness of the proposed LMI-based NN observer to accurately track the states and the nonlinear term and reduce the effects of the NN approximation error and the measurement noise with comparing the error and the root mean square error for the state and nonlinearity.

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (RS-2025-00554087) (Corresponding author: Kyunghwan Choi).

## II. PROBLEM STATEMENT

The following equation represents a discrete-time system with unknown uncertainties and measurement noise:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1} &= Ax_k + Bu_k + Ff(x_k), \\ y_k &= Cx_k + n_k, \\ f(x_k) &= W_k\sigma(Nz_k) + \varepsilon_k, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is the state vector,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$  is the known input vector,  $f(x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^r$  is the uncertainties of the system,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$  is the output vector, and  $n \in \mathbb{R}^p$  denotes the measurement noise vector, respectively.  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ , and  $F \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$  are the known constant matrices, and the matrix  $A$  is a Schur matrix. The uncertainties  $f(x_k)$  is represented with a single hidden layer neural network form with the weight matrix  $W \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times h}$ , the diagonal normalization matrix  $N \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times h}$ , the input of neural network  $z \in \mathbb{R}^h$ , the neural network approximation error  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}^r$ , and the activation function  $\sigma(\cdot)$ . The index  $k$  indicates the discrete-time step.

Assuming that the selected activation function  $\sigma(\cdot)$  is bounded such that

$$-\infty < \sigma_{min} \leq \sigma(\cdot) \leq \sigma_{max} < \infty. \quad (2)$$

### III. NEURAL NETWORK-BASED OBSERVER

This section presents an NN-based observer for estimating the state vector and the lumped nonlinear term.

### A. Observer Design

Based on the model (1), the observer is designed as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_{k+1} &= A\hat{x}_k + Bu_k + Ff(\hat{x}_k) + L(y_k - \hat{y}_k), \\ \hat{y}_k &= C\hat{x}_k, \\ f(\hat{x}_k) &= \hat{W}_k\sigma(N\hat{z}_k),\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

with the estimated state vector  $\hat{x}$ , estimated output vector  $\hat{y}$ , the observer gain  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ , and the nonlinear term  $f(\hat{x}_k)$  where  $\hat{W}$  is updated weight matrix by an adaptive law to approximate the ideal weight matrix  $W$ . The scheme of the estimated nonlinear term  $f(\hat{x}_k)$  is depicted in Figure 1. The estimation error dynamics is obtained by subtracting (1) by (3) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{x}_{k+1} &= (A - LC)\tilde{x}_k + F\tilde{W}_k\sigma(N\hat{z}_k) + \phi_k, \\ \tilde{y}_k &= C\tilde{x}_k + n_k,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where the estimation error  $\tilde{x}_k = x_k - \hat{x}_k$ , the weight error  $\tilde{W}_k = W_k - \hat{W}_k$  and  $\phi_k = F\hat{W}_k(\sigma(Nz_k) - \sigma(N\hat{z}_k)) + F\varepsilon_k - Ln_k$ . To facilitate stability analysis, the error term  $F\tilde{W}_k\sigma(N\hat{z}_k)$  in the error dynamics (4) is reformulated to  $F\hat{\Sigma}_k\tilde{w}_k$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Sigma}_k &= I_r \otimes \sigma(N\hat{z}_k)^T, \\ \tilde{w}_k &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{w}_1^T \\ \tilde{w}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{w}_r^T \end{bmatrix}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$




Fig. 1: Schematic diagram of the proposed NN estimator.

where  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product,  $I_r$  is a  $r \times r$  identity matrix,  $\tilde{w}_i$  is the row vector for  $i$ th row in the weight matrix  $\tilde{W}$ .

The estimation error  $\tilde{x}_k$  converges to zero with the adaptive law that makes the term  $F\tilde{\Sigma}_k\tilde{w}_k$  to zero. Such adaptive law is proposed based on the backpropagation as follows:

$$\hat{w}_{k+1} = \hat{w}_k - T_s \eta \frac{\partial J_k}{\partial \hat{w}_k}, \quad (6)$$

with loss function  $J_k := \frac{1}{2} \tilde{y}_k^T \tilde{y}_k$ , the sampling time  $T_s$ , and the learning rate  $\eta$ . Using the chain rule to solve (6), the adaptive law is represented as follows:

$$\hat{w}_{k+1} = \hat{w}_k + T_s \eta \hat{\Sigma}_k^T F^T (I_n - A)^{-T} C^T \tilde{y}_k. \quad (7)$$

To represent the error dynamics for weight vector  $w$ , the static approximation method (i.e.  $w_{k+1} = w_k$ ) is used. Finally, the error dynamics of the weight vector is represented as follows:

$$\tilde{w}_{k+1} = \tilde{w}_k - T_{s\eta} \hat{\Sigma}_k^T F^T (I_n - A)^{-T} C^T C \tilde{x}_k + \varphi_k, \quad (8)$$

with  $\varphi_k = -T_s \eta \hat{\Sigma}_k^T F^T (I_n - A)^{-T} C^T n_k$ .

### B. Stability Analysis

The error dynamics (4) and (8) is reformulated the augmented state as follows:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_{k+1} \\ \tilde{w}_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}}_{\xi_{k+1}} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A - LC & F\hat{\Sigma}_k \\ -T_s\eta\hat{\Sigma}_k^T F^T(I_n - A)^{-T}C^TC & I_h \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathcal{A}(\hat{\Sigma}_k)} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \tilde{x}_k \\ \tilde{w}_k \end{bmatrix}}_{\xi_k} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \phi_k \\ \varphi_k \end{bmatrix}}_{\delta_k}, \quad (9)$$

with  $I_h$  is the  $h \times h$  identity matrix.

The time-varying matrix  $\mathcal{A}(\hat{\Sigma}_k)$  can be represented with affine parameter dependent model as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(\hat{\Sigma}_k) = \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{A}_1 \Omega_k + \Omega_k^T \mathcal{A}_2, \quad (10)$$

where  $\Omega_k = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\Sigma}_k \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_0$  is the matrix with constant components of  $\mathcal{A}(\hat{\Sigma}_k)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are the matrices where all elements are zero except for the matrix corresponding to  $\hat{\Sigma}_k$ . By the boundedness of  $\sigma(\cdot)$  defined in (2), the matrix  $\hat{\Sigma}_k$  is element-wise bounded as well. Since  $\mathcal{A}(\cdot)$  is affine with respect to  $\hat{\Sigma}_k$ , stability of the system can be guaranteed over the entire bounded set if the stability condition is satisfied at all vertices of the uncertainty set. Using this approach, the observer gain  $L$  can be designed to satisfy  $2^h$  LMI conditions corresponding to the vertices of the uncertainty set.

Theorem 1 presents a condition that guarantees the stability of the error dynamics (9).

*Theorem 1:* The estimation error dynamics (9) is stable if there exist matrices  $P = P^T = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} > 0$  and  $R$  of appropriate dimensions such that the following inequality is feasible:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma + (2\alpha - 1)P & \mathcal{A}(\hat{\Sigma}_k)^T P & \Pi^T \\ P\mathcal{A}(\hat{\Sigma}_k) & P & 0 \\ \Pi & 0 & -P_1 \end{bmatrix} \leq 0, \\ \Gamma = & \begin{bmatrix} A^T P_1 A - A^T R C - C^T R^T A + \mathcal{A}_{21}^T P_2 \mathcal{A}_{21} & \mathcal{A}_{12}^T P_1 A - \mathcal{A}_{12}^T R C + P_2 \mathcal{A}_{21} \\ A^T P_1 \mathcal{A}_{12} - C^T R^T \mathcal{A}_{12} + \mathcal{A}_{21}^T P_2 & \mathcal{A}_{12}^T P_1 \mathcal{A}_{12} + P_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Pi = & [RC \quad 0], \\ \mathcal{A}_{12} = & F\hat{\Sigma}_k, \\ \mathcal{A}_{21} = & -T_s \eta \hat{\Sigma}_k^T F^T (I_n - A)^{-T} C^T C. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

When the inequality (11) is feasible, the linear observer gain  $L$  is given by  $L = P_1^{-1}R$  and ensures that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{x}_k = x_k$  exponentially.

*Proof:* To verify the stability of the proposed observer, the Lyapunov function is defined as follows:

$$V_k = \xi_k^T P \xi_k. \quad (12)$$

Now, it remains to prove that  $\Delta V = V_{k+1} - V_k \leq -2\alpha V_k$  for all  $\xi_k \neq 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V = \xi_k^T (\mathcal{A}^T P \mathcal{A} - P) \xi_k + \xi_k^T \mathcal{A}^T P \delta_k \\ + \delta_k^T P \mathcal{A} \xi_k + \delta_k^T P \delta_k. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In order to satisfy the condition  $\Delta V \leq -2\alpha V_k$ , the following inequality should be satisfied:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_k \\ \delta_k \end{bmatrix}^T \mathcal{M} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_k \\ \delta_k \end{bmatrix} \leq 0, \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathcal{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}^T P \mathcal{A} + (2\alpha - 1)P & \mathcal{A}^T P \\ P \mathcal{A} & P \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\alpha$  is a fixed positive scalar that determines the convergence speed of the system (9).

Then, using the Schur complement, the notation  $R = P_1 L$  and  $P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , the inequality (11) can be derived from the condition  $\mathcal{M} \leq 0$ . Therefore,  $\Delta V \leq -2\alpha V_k$  for all  $\xi_k \neq 0$ .  $\square$

#### IV. $H_\infty$ NEURAL NETWORK-BASED OBSERVER

In this section, the robust  $H_\infty$  neural network observer is proposed to reduce the effects of  $\delta_k$  such as the approximation error  $\varepsilon_k$ , and the measurement noise  $n_k$ . The observer gain with  $H_\infty$  gain  $\gamma$  is determined as follows:

$$\sup_{0 < \|\delta_k\|_2 < \infty} \frac{\|\xi_k\|_2}{\|\delta_k\|_2} \leq \gamma, \quad (15)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$  is an upper bound of  $H_\infty$  performance. This can be done by Theorem 2.

*Theorem 2:* For a positive scalar  $\gamma$ , the estimation error (9) converges if there exist matrix  $P = P^T = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix} > 0$  and  $R$  of appropriate dimensions such that the following inequality is feasible:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma + (2\alpha - 1)P + I & \mathcal{A}(\hat{\Sigma}_k)^T P & \Pi^T \\ P\mathcal{A}(\hat{\Sigma}_k) & P - \gamma^2 I & 0 \\ \Pi & 0 & -P_1 \end{bmatrix} \leq 0. \quad (16)$$

When the inequality (16) is feasible, the linear observer gain  $L$  is given by  $L = P_1^{-1}R$  and ensures that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{x}_k = x_k$  exponentially and minimizes the impact of NN approximation error or the measurement noise.

*Proof:* The  $H_\infty$  performance condition (15) is satisfied if the following inequality holds.

$$V_H = \Delta V + \|\xi_k\|^2 - \gamma^2 \|\delta_k\|^2 \leq 0. \quad (17)$$

The inequality (17) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_k^T (\mathcal{A}^T P \mathcal{A} - P + I) \xi_k + \xi_k^T \mathcal{A}^T P \delta_k \\ + \delta_k^T P \mathcal{A} \xi_k + \delta_k^T (P - \gamma^2 I) \delta_k \leq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The condition  $V_H \leq -2\alpha V_k$  can be represented in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_k \\ \delta_k \end{bmatrix}^T \bar{\mathcal{M}} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_k \\ \delta_k \end{bmatrix} \leq 0, \quad (19)$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}^T P \mathcal{A} + (2\alpha - 1)P + I & \mathcal{A}^T P \\ P \mathcal{A} & P - \gamma^2 I \end{bmatrix}$ .

Then, using the Schur complement, the notation  $R = P_1 L$  and  $P = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 \end{bmatrix}$ , the inequality (16) can be derived from the condition  $\bar{\mathcal{M}} \leq 0$ . Therefore,  $V_H \leq -2\alpha V_k$  for all  $\xi_k \neq 0$ .  $\square$

Given the LMI condition in Theorem 2, the design of observer gain  $L$  can be achieved by convex optimization. In order to minimize the  $H_\infty$  norm (15), the following optimization problem using the LMIs,

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{P, R} \quad & \bar{\gamma} \\ \text{subject to} \quad & P > 0, \bar{\gamma} > 0, \text{ and (16),} \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where  $\bar{\gamma} = \gamma^2$ . Since the LMIs are solved only once during the offline design phase, the computational complexity is not a concern for the online implementation.

## V. VALIDATION

The proposed LMI-based NN observer was validated in MATLAB/SIMULINK simulation. A permanent magnet synchronous machine (PMSM) compressor was used in simulation whose specifications are listed in Table I. The PMSM was controlled by PI controllers to track the current references. The PMSM is regulated to operate at a constant speed of 1800 revolutions per minute (RPM). The load torque is applied as a periodic input that varies proportionally with the rotational angle. The rotational speed dynamic system is as follows:

$$\dot{\omega}_m = -\frac{B_m}{J_m}\omega_m + \frac{1}{J_m}T_e - \frac{1}{J_m}T_L, \quad (21)$$

where  $\omega_m$  is the rotational speed of the PMSM,  $T_e$  is the torque of the PMSM, and  $T_L$  is the load torque of the PMSM, respectively. However, uncertainty arises due to the lack of exact knowledge of the system parameters. The system parameters in (1) with Table I as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= \omega_m, & u_k &= T_e^*, \\ A &= 0.9920, & B &= 1, & C &= 1, & F &= 1, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $T_e^*$  is the torque reference and  $f(x_k)$  includes the load torque, difference between the torque reference and the torque of the PMSM, and parameter uncertainties such as inertia and friction. A numerical reference generator presented in [13] was used to convert a torque command into the current references. To evaluate the performance of the proposed method, it will be compared with the methods presented in [10] and [11]. The measurement noise is  $n_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 0.01^2)$ . The parameters for the validation of the proposed method were selected as follows:  $\hat{z}_k = [\hat{x}_k \ y_k]^T$ ,  $N = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0053 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0053 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\sigma(z_k) = \tanh(z_k) + 2$ ,  $\alpha = 1.5$ ,  $\eta = 0.7$ , and the observer gain is obtained by solving (20) as  $L = 0.4386$ .

Figure 2 presents estimated the rotational speed of the PMSM compressor, where (a) is the proposed method in this paper, (b) is the method in [10], and (c) is the method in [11]. The results in (a) and (c) indicate that the impact of the measurement noise is reduced, while the result in (b) shows similar estimation performance to the sensed rotational speed of the PMSM compressor due to the measurement noise.

TABLE I  
SPECIFICATIONS OF THE PMSM DRIVE

DC-link voltage ( $V_{dc}$ )	150 V
Sampling time ( $T_s$ )	100 $\mu$ s
Number of pole pairs ( $P$ )	4
Stator resistance ( $R_s$ )	1.1 $\Omega$
d-axis Inductance ( $L_d$ )	8 mH
q-axis Inductance ( $L_q$ )	8 mH
Inertia ( $J_m$ )	$4.44 \times 10^{-4}$ kg·m <sup>2</sup>
Friction ( $B_m$ )	0.005 Nm·s/rad
Known inertia ( $\hat{J}_m$ )	$10^{-4}$ kg·m <sup>2</sup>
Known friction ( $\hat{B}_m$ )	0.008 Nm·s/rad




Fig. 2: Rotational speed of the PMSM compressor: (a) proposed method, (b) method in [10], and (c) method in [11].

Figure 3 presents the lumped nonlinear term  $f(x_k)$  in (22) and the estimation results  $f(\hat{x}_k)$ . Among the results, (c) is the least affected by measurement noise; however, it exhibits the slowest convergence to the real nonlinearity. In contrast, the proposed method (a) demonstrates fast and accurate estimation performance, despite the presence of noise, which does not significantly affect the state estimation accuracy. In addition, case (b) shows divergence result as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  due to the fast periodic application of the load torque and the estimation error remaining within a bounded region.

Figure 4 presents the absolute estimation errors, defined as the difference between the true values and the estimated values, i.e.,  $|e_x| = |x_k - \hat{x}_k|$  and  $|e_f| = |f(x) - f(\hat{x})|$  for all three methods: the proposed method, the method [10] and the method [11]. In addition, the absolute measurement noise  $|n_k| = |y_k - Cx_k|$  is also included in Figure 4-(a) as a black line. As shown in the figure, the proposed method




Fig. 3: Nonlinear uncertainty term: (a) proposed method, (b) method in [10], and (c) method in [11].

achieves the lowest estimation errors across the entire interval, demonstrating both fast convergence and reduced sensitivity to measurement noise. Notably, the estimation error of the proposed method remains below the measurement noise level (black line), while the methods in [10] and [11] exhibit higher fluctuations and slower error decay. This result highlights the robustness and accuracy of the proposed observer in the presence of nonlinear uncertainty.

Figure 5 presents the comparison of root mean square error (RMSE) values for state and nonlinearity estimation during a 0.5 second simulation period. All values are normalized by the maximum value of each component. The proposed method demonstrates improved robustness to measurement noise, leading to more accurate state estimation and enhanced nonlinearity approximation. These results are attributed to the proposed method, which combines a backpropagation-based weight update to minimize the output error loss function to




Fig. 4: Absolute estimation error values: (a) state, (b) nonlinear uncertainty term




Fig. 5: Comparison of RMSE values for state and nonlinearity estimation results.

track the measurement values with an  $H_\infty$ -based observer gain  $L$  designed to suppress the influence of the measurement noise and to be satisfied for all vertices. The results of all methods yield lower RMSE values compared to the directly sensed speed  $y_k$ , demonstrating robustness against measurement noise.

## VI. CONCLUSION

This paper has presented an LMI-based neural network observer for the estimation of both the system states and nonlinear terms, with reduced sensitivity to measurement noise and other uncertainties in the discrete-time domain. A design method for the weight update rule based on the backpropagation, along with the observer gain derived by solving the LMI problem for all vertices is proposed. Furthermore,  $H_\infty$  observer is proposed to account for NN approximation error and measurement noise, aiming to minimize their effects. The effectiveness of the proposed method is validated through PMSM compressor simulation results. Future work will focus on experimental validation, potential extension to MIMO nonlinear systems, and the development of practical guidelines for tuning observer parameters such as learning rate.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Zemouche, and M. Boutayeb, "Observer Design for Lipschitz Nonlinear Systems: The Discrete-Time Case," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 777–781, August 2006.
- [2] W. Li, L. Liang, W. Liu, and X. Wu, "State of charge estimation of lithium-ion batteries using a discrete-time nonlinear observer," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 64, no. 11, pp. 8557–8565, Nov. 2017.
- [3] W. Zhang, H. Su, F. Zhu, and D. Yue, "A note on observer for discrete-time lipschitz nonlinear systems," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, vol. 59, no. 2, Feb. 2012.
- [4] M. Boutayeb, and D. Aubry, "A strong tracking extended kalman observer for nonlinear discrete-time systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 44, no. 8, pp. 1550–1556, Aug. 1999.
- [5] A. Barrau, and S. Bonnabel, "The invariant extended kalman filter as a stable observer," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 62, No. 4, Apr. 2017.
- [6] S. Afshar, F. Germ, and K. Morris, "Extended kalman filter-based observer design for semilinear infinite-dimensional systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 69, no. 6, Jun. 2024.
- [7] M. Ouassaid, M. Maaroufi, and M. Cherkaoui, "Observer-based nonlinear control of power system using sliding mode control strategy," *Electric Power System Research*, pp. 135–143, 2012.
- [8] B. Sanchez, C. Cuvas, P. Ordaz, O. Sanchez, and A. Poznyak, "Full-order observer for a class of nonlinear systems with unmatched uncertainties: joint attractive ellipsoid and sliding mode concepts," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 67, no. 7, Jul. 2020.
- [9] R. Lopez, and R. Yescas, "State estimation for nonlinear systems under model uncertainties: a class of sliding-mode observers," *Journal of Process Control*, pp. 363–370, 2005.
- [10] F. Abdollahi, H. Talebi, and R. Patel, "A stable neural network-based observer with application to flexible-joint manipulators," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 118–129, Jan. 2006.
- [11] W. Jeon, A. Chakrabarty, A. Zemouche, and R. Rajamani, "LMI-based neural observer for state and nonlinear function estimation," *International Journal of Robust Nonlinear Control*, pp. 6964–6984, 2024.
- [12] M. Khoygani, R. Ghasemi, and A. Vali, "Intelligent nonlinear observer design for a class of nonlinear discrete-time flexible joint robot," *Intelligent Service Robotics*, vol. 8, pp. 45–56, 2015.
- [13] K. Choi, Y. Kim, K.-S. Kim, and S.-K. Kim, "Real-time optimal torque control of interior permanent magnet synchronous motors based on a numerical optimization technique," *IEEE Transactions on Control Systems Technology*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 1815–1822, 2020.
- [14] J. Wang, Y. Song, and G. Zhai, "Adaptive Neural Network Tracking Control of Robotic Manipulators Based on Disturbance Observer," *Processes*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 499, 2024.
- [15] Y. H. Jang and J. J. Lee, "Neural network-based adaptive controller design of robotic manipulators with an observer," *Biomed Eng Online*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 5, 2007.
- [16] S. Long, X. Dang, and J. Huang, "FOESO-Net: A specific neural network for fast sensorless robot manipulator torque estimation," *Neural Networks*, vol. 168, pp. 14–31, Nov. 2023.
- [17] T. L. Paes and A. C. Oliveira, "Neural Network Controller for Power Electronics Circuits," in *Proc. of the International Conference on Engineering Applications*, 2019.
- [18] N. M. F. Rashed, M. E. Ahmed, and A. Fathy, "Adaptive neural network control of DC–DC power converter," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 235, 120195, 2023.
- [19] P. Dworak and Z. Leonowicz, "Neural Network Observer Design for Sensorless Control of Induction Motor Drive," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 49, no. 27, pp. 240–245, 2016.
- [20] P. Penczek, R. Orłowska-Kowalska, and M. Kaminski, "Neural Network Applications in Electrical Drives—Trends in Control," *Energies*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 4441, 2023.
- [21] J. Shim, M. Kim, B. Park, and J. Ha, "Position Estimation and Sensorless Control of PMSM Using Neural Network in Low-Speed Region," *IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE)*, pp. 6536–6542, 2024.