## Assignment 2: Regression and Classification

AIGS/CSED515 Machine Learning Instructor: Jungseul Ok jungseul@postech.ac.kr

Due: 20:00pm Oct 22, 2020

## Remarks

- Group study and open discussion via LMS board are encouraged, however, assignment that your hand-in must be of your own work, and hand-written.
- Submit a scanned copy of your answer on LMS online in a single PDF file.
- Delayed submission may get some penalty in score: 5% off for delay of  $0 \sim 4$  hours; 20% off for delay of  $4 \sim 24$  hours; and delay longer than 24 hours will not be accepted.

1. [20pt; Linear Regression] We are given a dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(1,1),(2,1)\}$  containing two pairs (x,y) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want to find the parameters  $\boldsymbol{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  of a linear regression model  $\boldsymbol{y} = w_1 x + w_2$  using

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}} \left( y - \boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{2} . \tag{1}$$

- (a) [2 pt] Plot the given dataset and find the optimal  $w^*$  by inspection.
  - sol) Line passing through points (x, y) = (1, 1) and (x, y) = (1, 1).

$$oldsymbol{w}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) [4 pt] Write down  $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\boldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{2\times}$  which makes the following optimization equivalent to (1):

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \ \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2 \tag{2}$$

sol)

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

- (c) [3 pt] Derive the general analytical solution for (2). Also plug in the values for the given dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  and compute the solution numerically.
  - sol) Setting the derivative to zero, we have  $\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{y} = 0$ . Hence,

$$\boldsymbol{w}^* = (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (d) [3 pt] There are several ways to compute this solution via PyTorch. Read the docs for the functions torch.lstsq, torch.solve, torch.inverse. Use all three approaches when completing the file LinearRegression.py and verify your answer.
  - sol) See sol\_LinearRegression.py.

(e) [6 pt] We are now given a dataset  $\mathcal{D}' = \{(0,0), (1,1), (2,1)\}$  of pairs (x,y) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want to fit a quadratic model  $\hat{y} = w_1 x^2 + w_2 x + w_3$  using (2). Specify the dimensions of the matrix  $\boldsymbol{X}$  and the vector  $\boldsymbol{y}$ . Also write down explicitly the matrix and vector using the values in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . Find the optimal solution  $\boldsymbol{w}^*$  and draw it together with the dataset into a plot.

sol)

$$oldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 imes 3}, \quad oldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$$
 .

$$y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
,  $X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

$$\boldsymbol{w}^* = \begin{bmatrix} -0.5 \\ 1.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Parabola passing through all three points in the dataset  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

(f) [2 pt] Specify  $\boldsymbol{y}$  and  $\boldsymbol{X}$  in LinearRegression2.py to verify your answer for Problem 1e.

```
sol) See solLinearRegression2.py.
X = torch.Tensor([[0,0,1],[1,1,1],[4,2,1]])
y = torch.Tensor([[0],[1],[1]])
```

2. [20pt; Binary Logistic Regression] We are given a dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(-1, -1), (1, 1), (2, 1)\}$  containing three pairs (x, y), where each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes a real-valued point and  $y \in \{-1, +1\}$  is the point's class label.

Assuming the samples in the dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  to be i.i.d. and using maximum likelihood, we want to train a logistic regression model parameterized by  $\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$p(y \mid x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-y\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)}$$
(3)

(a) [1pt] Instead of maximizing the likelihood we commonly minimize the negative log-likelihood  $(p(\mathcal{D} \mid \boldsymbol{w}))$ . Write the objective for the model given in (3) (don't plug in the instances of  $\mathcal{D}$ . In other words, write an optimization problem like (1)).

sol)

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{D}} \log \left(1 + \exp\left(-y\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)\right) .$$

(b) [3pt] Compute the derivative of the negative log-likelihood objective which you specified in Problem 2a (don't plug in the instances of  $\mathcal{D}$ ). Sketch a simple gradient-descent algorithm using pseudo-code (use  $\boldsymbol{w}$  for the parameters,  $\alpha$  for the learning rate, f for the objective function, and  $g = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} f$  for the gradient).

sol)

$$\sum_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{D}} \frac{\exp\left(-y\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)}{\log\left(1 + \exp\left(-y\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)\right)} \left(-y \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

$$\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w} - \alpha q$$

- (c) [5pt] Implement the algorithm by completing LogisticRegression.py. State the code that you implemented. What is the optimal solution  $\boldsymbol{w}^*$  that your program found?
  - sol) See sol\_LogisticRegression.py.

$$\boldsymbol{w}^* = \begin{bmatrix} 4.2385 \\ 0.0408 \end{bmatrix}$$

- (d) [3pt] If the third datapoint (2,1) was instead of (10; 1), would this influence the bias  $\mathbf{w}_2$  much? How about if we had used linear regression to fit  $\mathcal{D}$  as opposed to logistic regression? Provide a reason for your answer.
  - **sol)** No, it wouldn't since such an *easy* example contributes little to loss. However, it can significantly influence to the solution of linear regression which uses L2-loss instead of Log-loss.
- (e) [3pt] Instead of manually deriving and implementing the gradient we now want to take advantage of PyTorch auto-differentiation. Investigate LogisticRegression2.py and complete the update step using the instance named optimizer. What code did you add? If you compare the result of LogisticRegression.py with that of LogisticRegression2.py after an equal number of iterations, what do you realize?
  - sol) See sol LogisticRegression2.py. The results are identical to each other as we optimize the same loss from the same initialization.
- (f) [5pt] Instead of manually implementing the cost function, we now want to take advantage of available functions in PyTorch, specifically torch.nn.BCEWithLogitsLoss which expects targets to be  $y \in \{0,1\}$ . Consequently, you need to translate dataset  $\mathcal{D}$  with  $y \in \{-1,1\}$  to dataset  $\mathcal{D}'$  with  $y \in \{0,1\}$ . Write the probabilities  $p(y=1\mid x), p(y=0\mid x)$  and  $p(y\mid x)$  if we use torch.nn.BCEWithLogitsLoss. Complete LogisticRegression3.py and compare the obtained result after 100 iterations to the one obtained in previous functions.

sol)

$$p(y = 1 \mid x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)}$$

$$p(y = 0 \mid x) = 1 - p(y = 1 \mid x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)},$$

which is equivalent to

$$p(y \mid x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left((-2y + 1)\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)}.$$

See sol\_LogisticRegression3.py. The result is identical to the one obtained before.

3. [29 pt; Support Vector Machine]

We are given a dataset 
$$\mathcal{D} = \{(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, \boldsymbol{y}^{(i)}) : i = 1, 2, 3, 4\} \text{ of } (\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{y}^{(1)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, 1 \end{pmatrix}, (\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{y}^{(2)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, 1 \end{pmatrix}, (\boldsymbol{x}^{(3)}, \boldsymbol{y}^{(3)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, -1 \end{pmatrix}, (\boldsymbol{x}^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{y}^{(4)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
. We want to train the parameters  $\boldsymbol{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and the bias  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  of a max-margin support vector machine (SVM) using: (for hyperparameter  $C > 0$ )

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w},b} \ \frac{C}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2 \tag{4a}$$

s.t. 
$$y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} + b) \ge 1 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4$$
. (4b)

- (a) [5 pt] For the given data  $\mathcal{D}$ , how many constraints are part of the program in (4)? Specify all of them explicitly.
  - sol) Four constraints:

$$w_1 + b \ge 1$$

$$w_2 + b \ge 1$$

$$-b \ge 1$$

$$w_1 + w_2 - b \ge 1$$
.

(b) [8 pt] For b=0, b=-1, and b=-2, respectively, find the corresponding the set of feasible  $\boldsymbol{w}$  and optimal  $\boldsymbol{w}^*$  (if exists). Given only the three options  $b \in \{0, -1, -2\}$ , what is the optimal solution? Discuss whether a better solution exists.

sol)

When b = 0, the feasible set is empty, and thus no optimal solution exists.

When b = -1,  $w_1 \ge 2$ ,  $w_2 \ge 2$  and  $\mathbf{w}^* = [2, 2]^{\top}$ .

When b = -2,  $w_1 \ge 3$ ,  $w_2 \ge 3$  and  $\boldsymbol{w}^* = [3, 3]^{\top}$ .

Optimal solution is  $w_1 = 2 = w_2$  and b = -1. It is not possible to have a solution better than this since the feasible set is empty for b > -1 and the cost function increases as b decreases from -1.

- (c) [5 pt] Draw the dataset in  $(x_1, x_2)$ -space using crosses  $(\times)$  for the points belonging to class 1 and circles  $(\circ)$  for the points belonging to class -1. Using your drawing, find the support vectors. Noting that those points for which the constraints hold with equality at the optimal solution, solve the resulting linear system w.r.t.  $\boldsymbol{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{b}$  and draw the solution into  $(x_1, x_2)$ -space.
  - sol) Support vectors are  $x^{(1)}$ ,  $x^{(2)}$  and  $x^{(3)}$ . The linear system consists of

6

$$w_1 + b = 1w_2 + b \qquad = 1 - b = 1$$

of which solution is  $\boldsymbol{w} = [2, 2]^{\top}$  and b = -1.

(d) [1 pt] What conditions do the datapoints have to fulfill such that the program in (4) has a feasible solution?

## sol) Linearly separable.

(e) [6 pt] In practice, for large datasets, it is hard to find the support vectors by inspection. A gradient based method is applicable. Using general notation, i.e., no plugging in  $\mathcal{D}$ , and introducing slack variables  $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_i)_{i=1,\dots,4}$  into (4), state the soft-margin problem with  $L_1$  penalty on  $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$  (including all constraints). Subsequently, reformulate this program into an unconstrained program. Finally obtain the gradient of this unconstrained program w.r.t. w (use  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \max\{0, x\} = \mathbb{1}[x > 0]$ ). Compute the gradient at  $w_1 = 2$ ,  $w_2 = 2$  and b = -1, and discuss the impact of C and the relation between the max-margin and softmargin SVMs.

## sol)

The soft-margin problem with  $L_1$  penalty is obtained as follows:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w},b,\boldsymbol{\zeta}\succeq 0} \frac{C}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_{2}^{2} + \sum_{i} \zeta_{i}$$
s.t.  $y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top}x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \zeta_{i}$ .

This can be reformulated as follows:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w},b} f(\boldsymbol{w},b) := \frac{C}{2} \|\boldsymbol{w}\|_2^2 + \sum_i \max\{0, 1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}^\top x^{(i)} + b)\}.$$

The gradient is obtained as:

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} f = C \boldsymbol{w} - \sum_{i} \mathbb{1}[1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} x^{(i)} + b) > 0](y^{(i)} x^{(i)})$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = -\sum_{i} \mathbb{1}[1 - y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} x^{(i)} + b) > 0]y^{(i)}$$

When  $w_1 = 2$ ,  $w_2 = 2$  and b = -1,  $\nabla_{w} f = [2C, 2C]^{\top}$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = 0$ .

The choice of  $w_1 = 2$ ,  $w_2 = 2$  and b = -1 is not optimal for C > 0 as the gradient is non-zero for C > 0. However, as C goes to 0, the gradient converges to 0 at the choice of  $w_1 = 2$ ,  $w_2 = 2$  and b = -1. Hence, when we have sufficiently small C, the soft-margin approximates the max-margin.

(f) [4 pt] Complete SVM.py with C=1 and verify your reply for the previous answer. What is the optimal solution  $(\boldsymbol{w},b)$  that your program found and what is the corresponding loss? Explain the solution and what you observe when running the program, as well as how to fix this issue.

7

**sol)** See **sol\_SVM.py**. Pytorch uses  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \max\{0, x\} = \mathbb{1}[x \geq 0]$  instead of  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \max\{0, x\} = \mathbb{1}[x > 0]$ . However, still we can check that  $\boldsymbol{w} = [2, 2]^{\top}$  and b = -1 are not optimal. The program finds:

$$\mathbf{w} = [0.6674, 0.6674]^{\mathsf{T}}, \text{ and } 0.3330$$

of which loss is about 1.779. The value of C=1 is too large, hence, we need to decrease it for small task loss.