MWG 6.B.3

Bloody Micro! by Impatient Researcher

23 December 2020

Question

Refer to the textbook for the actual wordings of the question. The main idea is to show that if:

- 1. the set of outcome is finite
- 2. the individual's preference over the set of outcomes is rational¹
- 3. the independence axiom is satisfied

Then you can always find the best and the worst lottery.

¹ That is preference is complete and transitive

Answer

To prove this, we construct the best and the worst lottery directly.

- 1. Denote the set of outcomes by C and the rational relation by \geq .
- 2. As the set *C* is finite, ≽ is complete and transitive, there must exist at least one outome that is deemed to the best and and at least one deemed to be the worst.²
- 3. WLOG, let the number of outcomes be N such that:

$$c_1 \succ c_2 \succ c_3 \succ \cdots \succ c_N$$

4. Let *L* be a generic lottery which takes the form:

$$L = (p_1 \circ c_1, p_2 \circ c_2, p_3 \circ c_3, \dots, p_N \circ c_N)$$

5. We can make the lottery L more attractive³ by replacing c_2 by c_1 :

$$L' = (p_1 \circ c_1, p_2 \circ c_1, p_3 \circ c_3, \dots, p_N \circ c_N)$$

6. Following this logic, we can create an even better lottery by successively replacing c_3, \ldots, c_N by c_1 . Clearly, there's no room for improvement when we have replaced all outcomes in L with c_1 that is:

Implying that \overline{L} constructed this way is indeed the best lottery.

7. Similarly one can construct the worst lottery \underline{L} by replacing all outcomes by c_N . \square

- ² *C* being finite means there are just that many pairwise comparisons to be done, and rational preference implies that the individual can compare any pair of outcomes (completeness) and form a uncontradictory ordering of outcomes (transitivity).
- ³ This is because except c_2 all other items are the same. And by IA, $L' \succ L \iff c_1 \succ c_2$