

MWG 6.B.3

Bloody Micro! by Impatient Researcher

December 24, 2020

Question

Refer to the textbook for the actual wordings of the question. The main idea is to show that if:

1. the set of outcome is finite
2. the individual's preference over the set of outcomes is *rational*¹
3. the independence axiom is satisfied

¹ That is preference is complete and transitive

Then you can always find the best and the worst lottery.

Answer

To prove this, we construct the best and the worst lottery directly.

1. Denote the set of outcomes by C and the rational relation by \succsim .
2. As the set C is finite, \succsim is complete and transitive, there must exist at least one outcome that is deemed to be the best and at least one deemed to be the worst.²
3. WLOG, let the number of outcomes be N such that:

$$c_1 \succ c_2 \succ c_3 \succ \dots \succ c_N$$

² C being finite means there are just that many pairwise comparisons to be done, and rational preference implies that the individual can compare any pair of outcomes (completeness) and form a uncontradictory ordering of outcomes (transitivity).

4. Let L be a generic lottery which takes the form:

$$L = (p_1 \circ c_1, p_2 \circ c_2, p_3 \circ c_3, \dots, p_N \circ c_N)$$

5. We can make the lottery L more attractive³ by replacing c_2 by c_1 :

$$L' = (p_1 \circ c_1, p_2 \circ c_1, p_3 \circ c_3, \dots, p_N \circ c_N)$$

³ This is because except c_2 all other items are the same. And by IA, $L' \succ L \iff c_1 \succ c_2$

6. Following this logic, we can create an even better lottery by successively replacing c_3, \dots, c_N by c_1 . Clearly, there's no room for improvement when we have replaced all outcomes in L with c_1 that is:

$$\bar{L} = (p_1 \circ c_1, p_2 \circ c_1, p_3 \circ c_1, \dots, p_N \circ c_1)$$

Implying that \bar{L} constructed this way is indeed the best lottery.

7. Similarly one can construct the worst lottery \underline{L} by replacing all outcomes by c_N . \square