## 3.2.3.3 The Division Operation

The **division** operation, denoted by  $\div$ , is suited to queries that include the phrase "for all." Suppose that we wish to find all customers who have an account at *all* the branches located in Brooklyn. We can obtain all branches in Brooklyn by the expression

$$r_1 = \prod_{branch-name} (\sigma_{branch-city} = \text{``Brooklyn''} (branch))$$

The result relation for this expression appears in Figure 3.23.



**Figure 3.23** Result of  $\Pi_{branch-name}(\sigma_{branch-city} = \text{``Brooklyn''}\ (branch)$ .

We can find all (*customer-name*, *branch-name*) pairs for which the customer has an account at a branch by writing

$$r_2 = \prod_{customer-name, branch-name} (depositor \bowtie account)$$

Figure 3.24 shows the result relation for this expression.

Now, we need to find customers who appear in  $r_2$  with *every* branch name in  $r_1$ . The operation that provides exactly those customers is the divide operation. We formulate the query by writing

$$\Pi_{customer-name, \ branch-name} \ (depositor \bowtie \ account) \\ \div \Pi_{branch-name} \ (\sigma_{branch-city = \text{``Brooklyn''}} \ (branch))$$

The result of this expression is a relation that has the schema (*customer-name*) and that contains the tuple (Johnson).

Formally, let r(R) and s(S) be relations, and let  $S \subseteq R$ ; that is, every attribute of schema S is also in schema R. The relation  $r \div s$  is a relation on schema R - S (that is, on the schema containing all attributes of schema R that are not in schema S). A tuple t is in  $r \div s$  if and only if both of two conditions hold:

- 1. t is in  $\Pi_{R-S}(r)$
- **2.** For every tuple  $t_s$  in s, there is a tuple  $t_r$  in r satisfying both of the following:

**a.** 
$$t_r[S] = t_s[S]$$
  
**b.**  $t_r[R - S] = t$ 

It may surprise you to discover that, given a division operation and the schemas of the relations, we can, in fact, define the division operation in terms of the fundamental operations. Let r(R) and s(S) be given, with  $S \subseteq R$ :

$$r \div s = \Pi_{R-S}(r) - \Pi_{R-S}((\Pi_{R-S}(r) \times s) - \Pi_{R-S,S}(r))$$

customer-name	branch-name
Hayes	Perryridge
Johnson	Downtown
Johnson	Brighton
Jones	Brighton
Lindsay	Redwood
Smith	Mianus
Turner	Round Hill

**Figure 3.24** Result of  $\Pi_{customer-name, branch-name}$  (depositor  $\bowtie$  account).

To see that this expression is true, we observe that  $\Pi_{R-S}(r)$  gives us all tuples t that satisfy the first condition of the definition of division. The expression on the right side of the set difference operator

$$\Pi_{R-S} ((\Pi_{R-S} (r) \times s) - \Pi_{R-S,S}(r))$$

serves to eliminate those tuples that fail to satisfy the second condition of the definition of division. Let us see how it does so. Consider  $\Pi_{R-S}(r) \times s$ . This relation is on schema R, and pairs every tuple in  $\Pi_{R-S}(r)$  with every tuple in s. The expression  $\Pi_{R-S,S}(r)$  merely reorders the attributes of r.

Thus,  $(\Pi_{R-S}(r) \times s) - \Pi_{R-S,S}(r)$  gives us those pairs of tuples from  $\Pi_{R-S}(r)$  and s that do not appear in r. If a tuple  $t_j$  is in

$$\Pi_{R-S}\left(\left(\Pi_{R-S}\left(r\right)\times s\right)-\Pi_{R-S,S}(r)\right)$$

then there is some tuple  $t_s$  in s that does not combine with tuple  $t_j$  to form a tuple in r. Thus,  $t_j$  holds a value for attributes R-S that does not appear in  $r \div s$ . It is these values that we eliminate from  $\Pi_{R-S}(r)$ .

branch-name	branch-city	assets
Brighton	Brooklyn	7100000
Downtown	Brooklyn	9000000
Mianus	Horseneck	400000
North Town	Rye	3700000
Perryridge	Horseneck	1700000
Pownal	Bennington	300000
Redwood	Palo Alto	2100000
Round Hill	Horseneck	8000000

**Figure 3.3** The *branch* relation.

customer-name	account-number
Hayes	A-102
Johnson	A-101
Johnson	A-201
Jones	A-217
Lindsay	A-222
Smith	A-215
Turner	A-305

**Figure 3.5** The *depositor* relation.

account-number	branch-name	balance
A-101	Downtown	500
A-102	Perryridge	400
A-201	Brighton	900
A-215	Mianus	700
A-217	Brighton	750
A-222	Redwood	700
A-305	Round Hill	350

**Figure 3.1** The *account* relation.