Human Factors Psychology Lab, Seoul National University Summer 2019

The Influence of Working Memory on Reading and Creative Writing Processes in a Second Language

Salim Abu-Rabia (2003) Educational Psychology Presented by {Soomin Cho}

Content

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Hypothesis
- 3. Methods
- 4. Results
- 5. Conclusion

1. Introduction

Purpose

- the influence of working memory (WM) on reading and writing
- measure both the **processing** and **storage functions** of WM

Rationale

- In one's second language (L2) linguistic processes and problems can be detected very often.
 - predicting that similar difficulties will appear in both languages because of a central processing deficit.

2. Hypothesis

Question 1. What makes one individual a great writer?

- Link into WM's processes
- Figure out how to improve the writing skills of weaker writers

Question 2. Language comprehension proficiency and WM capacity?

- The proficiency would depend on WM
- Significant correlation

2. Hypothesis

- Daneman and Carpenter (1980)

"the **efficiency** with which an individual processes language is **determined by their WM capacity.**

=> writing and reading undoubtedly share several basic components.

3. Methods

- Participants
 - 47 high school students
 - 37 native Hebrew speakers
 - 8 **native Russian** speakers

- Rationale
 - Younger => Better deal with L2

3. Methods

Tasks

1. Test of Written Language

- a comprehensive standardized measure of writing ability
- 10 subtests:

spelling, vocabulary, style, logical sentences, sentence combining, thematic maturity, contextual vocabulary, syntactic maturity, contextual spelling, contextual style

3. Methods

2. WM - Reading Comprehension

- determine subjects' WM capacity
- procedure
 - 1) reading two passages



2) answering four questions

Pronoun reference

In the first passage, the distance = 2 sentences In the second passage, distance = 6 sentences

Fact (2)

Thematic (theme of the passage)

Table V. Correlation between Test of Written Language and WM—reading comprehension test total (n = 47)

Subtest	Working memory		
Total	0.54***		
Spelling	0.68***		
Vocabulary	0.55***		
Style	0.45**		
Logical sentences	0.48**		
Sentence combining	0.57***		
Thematic maturity	0.29*		
Contextual vocabulary	0.52***		
Syntactic maturity	0.51***		
Contextual spelling	0.45**		
Contextual style	0.29*		

 $[\]star P < 0.05$

1. Significant correlation occurred

- between the WM task (the reading comprehension task)
- and the subtests
- and total Test of written Language

^{**}P < 0.01

^{***} P < 0.001

Table II. Passage 1 WM—reading comprehension (distance two sentences) (n = 47)

Question type	Sum	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max
Fact	34	0.72	1	0.45	0	1
Pronoun reference	63	1.34	1.5	0.58	0	2
Thematic	35.5	0.75	1	0.420	0	1
Total	132.5	2.8	3	0.97	0	4

TABLE III. Passage 2 WM—reading comprehension (distance six sentences) (n = 49)

Question type	Sum	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max
Fact	21	0.44	0	0.49	0	1
Pronoun reference	61.5	1.30	1	0.63	0	2
Thematic	39	0.82	1	0.43	0	2
Total	119.5	2.54	3	1.0	0	4

2. Marginal effect

- The **distance** between a pronoun and its referent
 - ability to **recall** the referent
- => the individual's **working memory was at work here.**

Table II. Passage 1 WM—reading comprehension (distance two sentences) (n = 47)

Question type	Sum	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max
Fact	34	0.72	1	0.45	0	1
Pronoun reference	63	1.34	1.5	0.58	0	2
Thematic	35.5	0.75	1	0.420	0	1
Total	132.5	2.8	3	0.97	0	4
TABLE III. Passag	Sum	Mean	Median	SD SD	Min	Max
Fact	21	0.44	0	0.49	0	1
Pronoun reference	61.5	1.30	1	0.63	0	2
Thematic	39	0.82	1	0.43	0	2
Total	119.5	2.54	3	1.0	0	4

TABLE II. Passage 1 WM—reading comprehension (distance two sentences) (n = 47)

Question type	Sum	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max
Fact	34	0.72	1	0.45	0	1
Pronoun reference	63	1.34	1.5	0.58	0	2
Thematic	35.5	0.75	1	0.420	0	1
Total	132.5	2.8	3	0.97	0	4

Table III. Passage 2 WM—reading comprehension (distance six sentences) (n = 49)

Question type	Sum	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max
Fact	21	0.44	0	0.49	0	1
Pronoun reference	61.5	1.30	1	0.63	0	2
Thematic	39	0.82	1	0.43	0	2
Total	119.5	2.54	3	1.0	0	4

3. Weaker Correlation

- Thematic Maturity subtest showed one exception
 - measure the instances where the subject used names of characters, objects and so on.
- => Written proficiency and WM are **not necessarily displayed** by use of proper **names** and **objects.**

Median Min Question type Sum Mean SD Max

Table II. Passage 1 WM—reading comprehension (distance two sentences) (n = 47)

Total	132.5	2.8	3	0.97	0	4
Thematic	35.5	0.75	1	0.420	0	1
Pronoun reference	63	1.34	1.5	0.58	0	2
Fact	34	0.72	1	0.45	0	1

Total	132.5	2.8	3	0.420	0	4
TABLE III. Pa	ssage 2 WM—re	ading com	prehension (d	listance six sei	ntences) (n = 49)

Pronoun reference	63	1.34	1.5	0.58	0	2
Thematic	35.5	0.75	1	0.420	0	1
Total	132.5	2.8	3	0.97	0	4
TABLE III. Passag	ge 2 WM—r	eading comp	rehension (dis	stance six se	entences) (n = 49)
Question type	Sum	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max

0.49

0.63

0.43

1.0

0

0.44

1.30

0.82

2.54

21

39

119.5

61.5

Fact

Total

Thematic

Pronoun reference

Fact	34	0.72	1	0.45	0	1		
Pronoun reference	63	1.34	1.5	0.58	0	2		
Thematic	35.5	0.75	1	0.420	0	1		
Total	132.5	2.8	3	0.97	0	4		
Table III. Passage 2 WM—reading comprehension (distance six sentences) $(n = 49)$								
Question type	Sum	Mean	Median	SD	Min	Max		

Passage 2:

Sitting with Richie, Archie, Walter and the rest of my gang in the Grill yesterday, I began to feel uneasy. Robbie had put a dime in the jukebox. It WAS blaring one of the latest "Rock and Roll" favorites. I was studying, in horror, the reactions of my friends to the music. I was especially perturbed by the expression on my best friend's face. Wayne looked intense and was pounding the table furiously to the beat. Now, I like most things other teenage boys like. I like girls with soft blond hair, girls with dark curly hair, in fact all girls. I like milkshakes, football games and beach parties. I like denim jeans, fancy T-shirts and sneakers. It is not that I dislike rock music but I think it is supposed to be fun and not taken too seriously. And here he was, "all shook up"

4. Forgetting words

- Forget words in the **dictation**
- **Substitute** "a" for "the" vice versa

EX) "Wayne" = speaker's best friend some wrote "my best friend," others wrote "his best friend," yet others wrote a distortion of the

name Wayne.

5. Conclusion

- Assumption
 - confusion of name "Wayne" <= lack of familiarity.</p>
 - a semantic field was accessed and activated
 - while answering questions regard to the other incorrect answers
 - phonology in WM would be also activated.

5. Conclusion

- Difficulty
 - **categorically isolating** the WM component of language.

- Future Research
 - While using similar WM tasks
 - names should be culturally based
 - significance of the **erroneous responses** should be studied.
 - In order to verify the accuracy of subjects
 - **interviewing** them upon conclusion of WM and writing tasks

Thank You for Your Attention