# **Advanced computer architecture Lab3: Memory management**

by Steven IMPENS & Nino SEGERS

MELICT 2020-2021

Mentor: Alexander MARINSEK

## **Contents**

| Contents |                            | 1 |
|----------|----------------------------|---|
| 1        | Introduction               | 2 |
| 2        | Kolmogorov-Zurbenko filter | 3 |
| 3        | DFT                        | 4 |
| 4        | Conclusion                 | 5 |

#### 1 Introduction

In this lab we will venture in the memory of the GPU. Despite the fact that Numbu already uses some basic memory management in the background we will still experiment with it manually. By doing this we should see some improvements in execution times. We will first implement a kernel function that filters a certain signal with and without the use of memory pre-allocation. After that a function previously used will be implemented that can use the pre-existing data on the GPU.

The code repository can be found at:

https://github.com/imstevenxyz/geavanceerde-computerarch

#### 2 Kolmogorov–Zurbenko filter

The first part of this lab consists of a Kolmogorov–Zurbenko filter that is implemented parallel in a kernel function as seen in code fragment 2.1. This function takes three important arguments, the original signal samples array, the filter coefficients and the result array. The variables that will hold the corresponding data will be transferred to the GPU memory, first alone and then all three together. This will be done using the <code>cuda.to\_device()</code> method.

```
def kernel_filter_outputFocus(samples, coeffs, result):
    # Calculate the thread's absolute position within the grid
    x = cuda.threadIdx.x + cuda.blockIdx.x * cuda.blockDim.x

# Set stride equal to the number of threads we have available in either direction
    stride_x = cuda.gridDim.x * cuda.blockDim.x

# for i in range(x, result.shape[0], stride_x):
    for j in range(coeffs.shape[0]):
        result[i]+=samples[i+j]*coeffs[j]
```

Codefragment 2.1: KZ-filter kernel function

The kernel execution timing results are:

- No memory management => 0.0027556300163269045
- Only the original signal samples transferred  $\Rightarrow 0.0024497437477111815$
- Only the coefficients transferred => 0.002444329261779785
- Only the result transferred => 0.002314467430114746
- Everything transferred => 0.0017078185081481933

Of course the transfer of read-only data to the GPU memory also takes time:

- Transferring the original signal => 0.00028020620346069336
- Total execution time with transfer  $\Rightarrow 0.002650794982910156$

By comparing these result we see that the execution time somewhat decreases when all data is transferred. But we should take into account that the transfer itself is not included in the result. When we add the transfer and execution time together we see that it is only faster by a small fraction. 0.00275 compared to 0.00265.

## 3 DFT

## 4 Conclusion