CS545 Spring, 2015

Homework Assignment #2
Due: Feb 26
Student: Shuo Yang

1. Algorithm

```
Function FindClosePair(A[1:n])

distance := ComputeCloseDistance(A[1:n])

(x,y) := ComputeClosePair(A[1:n], distance)
```

Function ComputeCloseDistance(A[1:n])

Linearly scan the input array A[1:n] from left to right, find the minimum and maximum numbers, put them into variables min and max respectively, and let

```
distance := \lfloor (max - min)/(n-1) \rfloor.
```

Function ComputeClosePair(A[1:n], distance)

- (1) Linearly scan the input array A from left to right, find the minimum and maximum numbers, put them into variables min and max respectively.
 - (2) Divide the *n* elements into $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ groups of 5 elements, and ≤ 1 group of < 5 elements.
 - (3) Find the median of each group using selection sort.
 - (4) Recursively find the median med of the $\lfloor n/5 \rfloor$ medians found in step (3).
- (5) Partition the input array A around med from step (4) into two subarrays A_{low} and A_{high} such that A_{low} contains all elements $\leq med$ and A_{high} contains all elements $\geq med$.

```
(6) if med - min \leq distance
return \ (med, min)
if max - med \leq distance
return \ (max, med)
if n is odd
if max - med \geq med - min
(x, y) := ComputeClosePair(A_{low}, distance)
else
(x, y) := ComputeClosePair(A_{high}, distance)
else // n is even
if max - med - distance \geq med - min //  med is lower median
(x, y) := ComputeClosePair(A_{low}, distance)
else
(x, y) := ComputeClosePair(A_{high}, distance)
```

Run time analysis

The sub-routine ComputeCloseDistance takes $\Theta(n)$ time. Let the total run time for ComputeClosePair be T(n) where n is the number of elements in the input array A. Step (1) takes $\Theta(n)$ time, step (2) takes $\Theta(n)$ time, step (3) takes $\Theta(n)$ time, step (4) takes $T(\lfloor (n/5) \rfloor)$ time, step (5) takes $\Theta(n)$ time, step (6) takes T(n/2) time because for each recursive call, we reduce the size of the input for the sub-problem into half, that is, either recurse on lower partition or higher partition. Thus, we have:

$$T(n) = T(n/5) + T(n/2) + \Theta(n)$$

= $\Theta(n)$ (1)

So the total run time for FindClosePair is $T(n) + \Theta(n) = \Theta(n)$.

Correctness

Lemma1: Given an unsorted array A of n distinct numbers, a close pair always exists.

Proof. Prove by contradiction, that is, no such a close pair exists, this means for any pair (x, y) where x > y, we have:

$$x - y > \frac{1}{n - 1}(max - min) \tag{2}$$

Suppose we order numbers in the array A in ascending order as a sequence: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n where $a_i > a_j$ if i > j. Let $distance = \frac{1}{n-1}(max - min)$, according to the assumption, we have:

$$a_{n} - a_{n-1} > distance$$

$$a_{n-1} - a_{n-2} > distance$$

$$\cdots$$

$$a_{3} - a_{2} > distance$$

$$a_{2} - a_{1} > distance$$

$$(3)$$

Summing the above equations together produces:

$$a_n - a_1 > distance \times (n-1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (max - min) \times (n-1)$$

$$= max - min$$
(4)

Since we have sorted the array A in ascending order, this means that $a_n = max$ and $a_1 = min$, therefore the above equation says that max - min > max - min, this is clearly a contradiction, thus the lemma must be true.

Lemma2: Given an unsorted array A of n distinct numbers partitioned around its median into two subarrys A_{low} and A_{high} . A_{low} contains all elements \leq median and A_{high} contains all elements \geq median. Let the maximum element be max, minimum element be min and median be med. A close pair must exist in A_{low} if $max - med \geq med - min$ (when n is odd) or $max - med - distance \geq med - min$ (when n is even), and in A_{high} otherwise.

Proof. Prove by contradition. If $max-med \ge med-min$ (when n is odd) or $max-med-distance \ge med-min$ (when n is even), assume that A_{low} does not contain any close pairs. There are two cases to consider:

(a) n is odd.

In this case, A_{low} contains $\frac{n-1}{2}+1=\frac{n+1}{2}$ elements, including the med itself. Applying the same method used in proving Lemma1, we sort A_{low} as: $a_0,a_1,\cdots,a_{(n+1)/2}$ in ascending order. According to the assumption, we must have,

$$a_{\frac{n+1}{2}} - a_{\frac{n+1}{2}-1} > distance$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_3 - a_2 > distance$$

$$a_2 - a_1 > distance$$

$$(5)$$

Summing the above equations together produces:

$$a_{\frac{n+1}{2}} - a_1 > distance \times \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - 1\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (max - min) \times \left(\frac{n+1}{2} - 1\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (max - min) \times \frac{n-1}{2}$$

$$= \frac{max - min}{2}$$

$$(6)$$

Since A_{low} is sorted in ascending order, $a_{\frac{n+1}{2}} = med$ and $a_1 = min$. Thus,

$$med - min > \frac{max - min}{2}$$

$$med > \frac{max + min}{2}$$
(7)

And because $max - med \ge med - min$, we have $med \le \frac{max + min}{2}$. But we have just proved that $med > \frac{max + min}{2}$, clearly it is a contradiction. Thus, there must exist a close pair in A_{low} .

(b) n is even.

In this case, there are two medians, left median and right median. Assume that we pick the low median. A_{low} contains $\frac{n}{2}$ elements, including the med itself. Applying the same method used in proving Lemma 1, we sort A_{low} as: $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n/2}$ in ascending order. According to the assumption, we must have,

$$a_{\frac{n}{2}} - a_{\frac{n}{2}-1} > distance$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{3} - a_{2} > distance$$

$$a_{2} - a_{1} > distance$$

$$(8)$$

Summing the above equations together produces:

$$a_{\frac{n}{2}} - a_1 > distance \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n-1} (max - min) \times (\frac{n}{2} - 1)$$

$$= \frac{max - min}{2} \times \frac{n-2}{n-1}$$

$$(9)$$

Since A_{low} is sorted in ascending order, $a_{\frac{n}{2}} = med$ and $a_1 = min$, we have:

$$med - min > \frac{max - min}{2} \times \frac{n - 2}{n - 1}$$

$$med > \frac{max + min}{2} - \frac{max - min}{2(n - 1)}$$

$$(10)$$

Substituting $\frac{max-min}{n-1}$ with distance, we have:

$$med > \frac{max + min}{2} - \frac{distance}{2}$$
 (11)

And because $max - med - distance \ge med - min$, we have $med \le \frac{max + min}{2} - \frac{distance}{2}$. But we have just proved that $med > \frac{max - min}{2} - \frac{distance}{2}$, clearly it is a contradiction. Thus, there must exist a close pair in A_{low} .

The proof for the case when max - med < med - min (when n is odd) or max - med - distance < med - min (when n is even) is symmetrical.

So combining Lemma and Lemma , we can conclude that our algorithm can always find a pair of close elements.

2.

3. Algorithm

```
Function FindSmallestInMerge(A[1:m], B[1:n], k)
    medA := |m/2| // index of median of A
    medB := \lfloor n/2 \rfloor / / \text{ index of median of B}
    medM := medA + medB // index of median of merge of A and B
    if A[medA] > B[medB]
         \mathbf{if}\ k == medM
             return A[medA]
         else if k < medM
             x := FindSmallestInMerge(A[1:medA-1], B[1:medB], k)
         else // k > medM
             x := FindSmallestInMerge(A[medA + 1 : m], B[medB + 1 : n], k - medM)
    else //A[medA] < B[medB]
         if k == medM
             return B[medB]
         else if k < medM
             x := FindSmallestInMerge(A[1:medA], B[1:medB-1], k)
         else // k > medM
             x := FindSmallestInMerge(A[medA + 1 : m], B[medB + 1 : 1], k - medM)
```

Run time analysis

In each recursive call, we reduce the problem into half of its original size, and other operations executes in constant time, thus the recurrence equation is:

$$T(m+n) = T(\frac{m+n}{2}) + \Theta(1)$$

$$= \Theta(\log(m+n))$$
(12)